The Statistical Mechanics

of Swarm Formation

Helmut Satz

Universität Bielefeld, Germany

Karpacz, Poland

May 2024

Two physicists are having coffee on the Piazza San Marco in Venice. The Piazza is densely populated by pigeons, moving here and there in a random way, picking up bits of food.



The set of pigeons has rotational symmetry.

Suddenly there is a loud bang, the pigeons all fly straight up and then depart in a big swarm in one direction.



The rotational symmetry is spontaneously broken.

The physicists protest: the birds are not allowed to do that, the Mermin-Wagner theorem forbids it!

A continuous symmetry in 2D cannot be spontaneously broken.

How did the pigeons manage to get around Mermin-Wagner? \rightarrow are birds smarter then nerds?

The physicists protest: the birds are not allowed to do that, the Mermin-Wagner theorem forbids it:

A continuous symmetry in 2D cannot be spontaneously broken.

How did the pigeons manage to get around Mermin-Wagner? \rightarrow are birds smarter then nerds?

The answer was given 1995 by Tamás Vicsek and collaborators, in Phys. Rev. Lett. 75 (1995) 1226 (> 4500 citations):

NOVEL TYPE OF PHASE TRANSITION IN A SYSTEM OF SELF-DRIVEN PARTICLES

Tamás Vicsek, András Czirók, Eshel Ben-Jacob, Inon Cohen and Ofer Shochet

That will be the subject of this talk.

Recall critical behavior in 2D spin systems

• Ising model: N^2 lattice, $s_i = \pm 1, N \rightarrow \infty$, n.n. interaction

$$egin{aligned} \mathcal{H} &= -J \sum \limits_{\{i,j\}} s_i s_j - H \sum \limits_i s_i; \ Z(T,H,N) &= \prod \limits_{i=1}^{N^2} \sum \limits_{s_i=\pm 1} \exp \left\{ -\mathcal{H}/\mathcal{T}
ight\} \end{aligned}$$

aligned spins energetically favored; for H = 0 with decreasing T continuous transition from disordered (paramagnetic) to ordered (ferromagnetic) state; order parameter

$$m(T,N) = rac{1}{Z(T,N)} \prod_{i=1}^{N^2} \sum\limits_i \left[rac{\Sigma_i \, s_i}{N^2}
ight] \exp\{ \ - (J/T) \sum\limits_{i,j}^{nn} s_i s_j \}$$

defines transition point $T=T_c=2J/\ln[1+\sqrt{2}]$

$$m(T) = egin{cases} (1-(T/T_c))^eta & orall \, T < T_c \ & \ 0 & orall \, T > T_c \end{cases}$$

NB: interaction range vs. correlation range interaction range: nearest neighbor interactions correlation range: define $\Gamma_{i,j}(t, H = 0) = \langle s_i s_j \rangle - \langle s_i \rangle \langle s_j \rangle$ average over all i, j with i - j = r to get correlation function $\Gamma(r, T) \sim \frac{e^{-r/\xi}}{r^{p}}$

with correlation length $\xi \sim |1 - (T/T_c)|^{-\nu} \gg$ interaction range.

 ξ specifies how many spins can "see" each other, for a given two-body nearest neighbor interaction range.

At critical point, $T = T_c$, correlation length ξ diverges,

$$\Gamma(r,T_c)\sim rac{1}{r^p}$$

scale-free system, everybody is connected to everybody (swarm!).

ullet q-state Potts model, N^2 lattice, $heta_i(n)=2\pi n/q, \,\,n=1,2,...,q$

$$\mathcal{H} \;=\; -J \sum\limits_{\{i,j\}} \cos(heta_i - heta_j) - H \sum\limits_i \cos(heta_i);$$

For q = 2: Ising model q=2 q=3 q=4 q=4

In general, discrete Z_q symmetry, spontaneously broken at transition temperature $T_c = 2J/\ln(1 + \sqrt{q})$ (for 2D),

for $q \leq 4$, continuous transition; for q > 4, first order.

The larger q, the lower the transition temperature,

the smaller the temperature range of long-range order

consider transition in discrete 2D spin models:

Peierls formulation

Ising model: island of down spins in sea of up spins, N sites change up spin to down spin: $\Delta E = 2J$ + + + + + + + + perimeter ("domain wall") Γ build Γ from given site: three possibilities (can't go back) hence $\sim 3^{\Gamma}N$ possibilities for Γ steps (N to start from); entropy $S = T \log 3^{\Gamma} N$

+ + - - - - + + + + - - - - + + + + - - - - + + + + - - +

change in free energy to get island $(F = E - TS; \text{assume } 3^{\Gamma} \gg N)$

 $\Delta F \simeq \Gamma(2J - T \log 3)$

hence transition temperature $T_c \simeq 2J/\log 3$.

q-state Potts model: $\Delta E \simeq (1 - \cos[2\pi/q])J$ $\rightarrow 0$ with large q: the larger q, the cheaper spin flip

hence $T_c \simeq J(1 - \cos[2\pi/q])/\log 3$ vanishes for $q \to \infty$

For $q \to \infty$, $T_c(q) \to 0$, no long-range order at finite T,

and Potts model becomes



• X - Y model, continuous rotational symmetry:

$$\mathcal{H} \;=\; -J \sum\limits_{\{i,j\}} \cos(heta_i - heta_j) - H \sum\limits_i \cos(heta_i)$$



but now $0 \leq \theta_i \leq 2\pi$.

For 2D: Mermin-Wagner theorem

- no spontaneous symmetry breaking,
- no state of long range order for T > 0,
- state of long range order only for T = 0.

(NB: finite temperature Kosterlitz-Thouless transition...)

At finite T > 0, ferromagnetic transition not possible: there is no state with $m(T) \neq 0$ for T > 0



How can the birds fly away all in one direction?

Experiment: Rome 2005, The EU Starflag Project



European Starling (sturnus vulgaris)





Palazzo Massimo alle Terme





ANIMAL BEHAVIOUR, 2008, **76**, 201–215 doi:10.1016/j.anbehav.2008.02.004

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com





Empirical investigation of starling flocks: a benchmark study in collective animal behaviour

MICHELE BALLERINI*†, NICOLA CABIBBO‡\$, RAPHAEL CANDELIER‡, ANDREA CAVAGNA***, EVARISTO CISBANI†, IRENE GIARDINA***, ALBERTO ORLANDI*, GIORGIO PARISI*‡, ANDREA PROCACCINI*‡, MASSIMILIANO VIALE‡ & VLADIMIR ZDRAVKOVIC* *Centre for Statistical Mechanics and Complexity (SMC), CNR-INFM †Istituto Superiore di Sanita (ISS) ‡Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Roma 'La Sapienza' §Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare **Istituto dei Sistemi Complessi (ISC), CNR

(Received 5 November 2007; initial acceptance 26 November 2007; final acceptance 11 February 2008; published online 6 May 2008; MS. number: 9575)

• Two high speed cameras, 30m above ground, 25m apart, ~ 100m from swarm, taking 10 frames/second for 8 seconds \equiv event, 500 events, of 24 swarms from 100 up to 4000 birds.

• Stereoscopic analysis (APE computers, CERN programs) identified each bird and allowed swarm structure determination.

CERN particle tracks in AA collision





- Stereoscopic analysis (APE computers, CERN programs) identified each bird and allowed swarm structure determination.
- Swarm (N birds) is approximately 2D: flat re gravity, area L.
- Each bird interacts with six other birds = interaction range. Topological, not metric, independent of swarm size, density.
- Each bird is correlated to many other birds in the swarm (correlation length $\sim L \gg$ interaction range \sim "stille Post").
- Some obserables:
 - order parameter, polarization $\phi = || \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} [\vec{v}_i / |v_i|] ||$

 $\phi = 0$ for rotational invariance;

- cms velocity of bird i, velocity fluctuation $\vec{u}_i = \vec{v}_i - \frac{1}{N}\sum\limits_{1}^{N} \vec{v}_i$

- correlation function,
$$C(r) = rac{\sum_{ij} ec{u}_i \cdot ec{u}_j \delta(r-r_{ij})}{\sum \delta(r-r_{ij})}$$

$C(r) \gg 0$: i, j distance r apart fly parallel, $\ll 0$ anti-parallel, 0 uncorrelated, cross-over defines correlation length ξ



interaction range determined by neighbor anisotropy:



interaction range \simeq six birds \ll correlation range

correlation range ξ determined by $C(r = \xi) = 0$, grows with swarm size $\xi(bL) = b\xi(L)$; result for $L \to \infty$

$$C(r) = rac{1}{r^{\gamma}} \exp{-(r/\xi)} ~
ightarrow rac{1}{r^{\gamma}} ~{
m with} ~~ \gamma \simeq 0.2$$

non-critical behavior:exponential decay of C(r)critical behavior:power-law decay.

Conclude: Bird swarm correlation is based on critical behavior with very weak decay, extremely long-range effect.

STARFLAG: "how starlings achieve such a strong correlation remains a mystery to us". Conclude: Bird swarm correlation is based on critical behavior with very weak decay, extremely long-range effect.

STARFLAG: "how starlings achieve such a strong correlation remains a mystery to us".

 \rightarrow mathematical models of swarm behavior.

Vicsek Model

basic idea: velocity vectors of birds \sim spins in spin models

- 2D surface of area $A = L^2$, periodic boundary conditions
- \bullet N birds move in time, at constant speed, over surface
- each bird tries to follow its neighbors = birds within fixed distance R around it; R is independent of L
- following is subject to stochastic noise \sim temperature for spins
- \bullet \exists rotational symmetry; no Galilei-invariance, momentum not conserved

Rules for bird motion:

- initial state: random space distribution of N birds, random orientation of velocity vectors of unit length
- start time evolution

$$ec{x}_i(t+1) = ec{x}_i(t) + ec{v}_i(t)\Delta t$$

• velocity $\vec{v}_i(t+1)$ is average over all bird vectors within a radius R around i, with noise $\Delta \theta_i$:

$$heta_i(t+1) = < heta_i(t)>_{i\in R_i}+\Delta heta_i$$

with $0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi$ measured re some arbitrary reference direction

- noise is random value subject to $-\eta \leq \Delta \theta_i \leq \eta \quad \forall i$
- two parameters: density $\rho = N/A$ and noise $\eta \sim$ temperature
- iterate in unit time steps $\Delta t = 1$ until equilibration

Vicsek Model

 \Rightarrow Self-Propelled Interacting Particles [Vicsek *et al.*, PRL **75**, 1226 (1995)]

- N locally aligning particles with noise and constant velocity v
- Periodic boundary conditions
- Parameters: density of particles and amplitude of noise



(a) initial random setting(b) low density, low noise(c) high density, high noise(d) high density, low noise

Order parameter: average momentum

$$\varphi = \frac{1}{N} \left| \sum_{i} v_i \right|$$

• order parameter $\phi = \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i} \vec{v}_{i} \right|$

magnetization (average velocity ϕ) vs. temperature (orientational noise η) of a bird swarm; get η_c

similar ϕ vs. ρ to get ρ_c



first interpretation: continuous transition, ρ vs. η like *n* vs. *T* phase diagram in condensed matter



Lessons for phase structure from Vicsek model:

- 2d Vicsek model spontaneous breaking of rotational symmetry, long range order, in contrast to
- 2
dx-y model no spontaneous symmetry breaking, no long range order
- non-equilibrium (motion, change of momentum): ordering effect

But: subsequent studies showed

- theory: transition is discontinuous, intermediate ("coexistence") stage of band structures
- experiment (STARFLAG results): neighbor definition is topological, not metric

return to experimental (bird) interaction range



interaction range \simeq six birds, $\neq x m$, nn can be 1 m or 10 m away, range size \sim swarm density: topological, not metric

consider average next nearest neighbor distance r_1 as function of swarm density:

$$r_1=a
ho^{-1/3}$$



how does interaction range depend on density?







Topological range (6 nearest birds) remains constant under density change, interaction structure unchanged as flock expands or contracts.

Need topological Vicsek model, with only noise η as parameter; density is irrelevant:

F. Ginelli and H. Chaté, PRL 105 (2010) 168103 Relevance of Metric-Free Interactions in Flocking Phenomena Original Vicsek model: transition line $\rho - \eta$, discontinuous transition

topological flock model:

critical transition point η_c , continuous transition, no density dependence



result of numerical simulation (Ginelli & Chaté); why $\eta_c \simeq 0.615$? determine critical exponents; not mean field, not any known universality class Topological definition: what are neighbors and why six?

Topological definition: what are neighbors and why six?

Voronoi tesselation

- consider random distribution of N particles in a plane of area A
- define as neighborhood of any particle all points closer to it than to any other particle
- result: coverage of the plane ("tesselation") by areas



Topological definition: what are neighbors and why six?

Voronoi tesselation

- consider random distribution of N particles in a plane of area A
- define as neighborhood of any particle all points closer to it than to any other particle
- result: coverage of the plane ("tesselation") by areas

for $N \to \infty$, N/A = const.:

what is the average number of neighbors for each particle?



Delaunay Triangulation

triangles have number of faces F, of vertices/particles V, of edges E Euler characteristic formula gives

$$\chi = V - E + F = 2$$



neglect borders:

face has three edges, edge touches two faces: 3F=2E

$$V = (1/3)E + 2$$

since each edge \sim two vertices, from each vertex emerge \sim six lines. \Rightarrow each Voronoi area has on the average six neighbors NB: actual bird flocks are flat, but not 2d!

Conclusions

- behavior of natural flocks of birds can be accounted for in terms of non-equilibrium statistical mechanics;
- it arises through self-organization based on local interactions of nearest neigbors only;
- observed global behavior is emergent.

