Flavoured Dark Matter: from Freeze-Out Scenarios to LHC Signatures

Monika Blanke

Karlsruher Institut für Technologie

Collaborative Research Center TRR 257
PPH
Particle Physics Phenomenology after the Higgs Discovery

FLASY 2024
Irvine – June 25, 2024

Two major puzzles of matter

Flavour puzzle

- Why does visible matter come in three generations?
- Why are their masses so hierarchical?
- Why is flavour violation so small?

Dark matter puzzle

- What is the dark matter (DM) of the universe made of?
- How was it created?
- How does it couple to ordinary matter?

potential link: **flavoured dark matter**

What is flavoured dark matter?

© Bing 2024

Assumptions

- dark matter comes in three generations
- dark flavour triplet couples to SM flavour triplet via new mediator field
- new flavour-violating coupling matrix λ



Simplified models as tools to approach big puzzles

Fundamental UV-complete theory

- theoretical description up to high energy scales, based on fundamental symmetries
- addresses fundamental puzzles
- phenomenologically challenging: non-trivial connection to observables

Simplified models

- contain minimal set of relevant particles and interactions
- useful tool for efficient phenomenological studies
- constraints on classes of UV-complete theories

The flavoured DM simplified model space

Model-building choices

- the nature of DM
  - scalar or fermion
  - real or complex representation

  ➢ 4 options
- the SM fermion portal
  - quarks or leptons
  - left- or right-handed...

  ➢ 5 options
- the flavour structure
  - Minimal Flavour Violation (MFV) or beyond

In this talk

- Majorana fermion flavoured DM coupled to right-handed up-type quarks
- Dark Minimal Flavour Violation (DMFV)
  Agrawal, MB, Gemmler (2014)
  - dark flavour symmetry $O(3)$
  - broken only by new coupling matrix λ

  ➢ minimal step beyond MFV

talk based on:

Acaroğlu, MB (2021)
Acaroğlu, MB, Heisig, Krämer, Rathmann (2023)
illustrations: Heisig @ MoriondEW 2024

The model

Model basics

The model

Acaroğlu, MB (2021)

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{dark}} = \frac{1}{2}\left(i\bar{\chi}\partial\!\!\!/\chi - M_\chi\bar{\chi}\chi\right) - \left(\lambda_{ij}\bar{u}_{Ri}\chi_j\phi + \text{h.c.}\right) + (D_\mu\phi)^\dagger(D^\mu\phi) - m_\phi^2\phi^\dagger\phi - V(\phi, H)$$

- Majorana fermion χ: gauge singlet, triplet under new approx. flavour symmetry $O(3)_\chi$
- complex scalar ϕ: colour & hypercharge, couples DM to right-handed up-type quarks
- flavour-violating coupling matrix λ with 15 parameters

$$\lambda = UDOd$$

U: unitary, O: orthogonal, d: Majorana phases, $D = \text{diag}(D_1, D_2, D_3)$ diagonal

- $\mathbb{Z}_2$ symmetry: χ and ϕ odd to stabilise DM

DMFV and the mass spectrum

DMFV ansatz ties DM mass spectrum to coupling strength via spurion expansion

$$m_{\chi_i} = m_\chi(\mathbb{1} + \eta\,\mathsf{Re}(\lambda^\dagger\lambda) + \dots)_{ii} \simeq m_\chi\left[1 + \eta\, D_i^2\right]$$

Standard hierarchy



DMFV and the mass spectrum

DMFV ansatz ties DM mass spectrum to coupling strength via spurion expansion

$$m_{\chi_i} = m_\chi(\mathbb{1} + \eta\,\mathsf{Re}(\lambda^\dagger\lambda) + \dots)_{ii} \simeq m_\chi\left[1 + \eta\, D_i^2\right]$$

Inverse hierarchy



Experimental constraints

Acaroğlu, MB (2021)

- **flavour constraints:** neutral D meson mixing



- **direct detection limits:** latest results from LZ experiment
- **indirect detection constraints:** cosmic-ray antiproton flux from AMS-02
- **DM relic density:** different possible freeze-out scenarios
- **LHC searches:** depending on dark spectrum

Freeze-out

DM freeze-out scenarios I: standard WIMP freeze-out





DM freeze-out scenarios II: coannihilation





Griest, Seckel (1991); Bell, Cai, Medina (2013)

DM freeze-out scenarios II: coannihilation





Griest, Seckel (1991); Bell, Cai, Medina (2013)

DM freeze-out scenarios III: conversion-driven





Garny, Heisig, Lülf, Vogl (2017); D'Agnolo, Pappadopulo, Ruderman (2017)

Canonical freeze-out

large couplings: efficient conversions between all $\mathbb{Z}_2$-odd particles ➢ thermal equlibrium

Scenarios

Acaroğlu, MB (2021); Acaroğlu, MB, Heisig, Krämer, Rathmann (2023)

- Single Flavour Freeze-Out (SFF)
  - significant mass splitting ($> 10\%$) between χ_3 and other odd particles
  - standard WIMP scenario, coannihilations irrelevant
- Quasi-Degenerate Freeze-Out (QDF)
  - small mass splitting ($< 1\%$) between χ_i flavours
  - all flavours contribute equally to freeze-out, according to their couplings
- Generic Canonical Freeze-Out (GCF)
  - no constraint on mass spectrum
  - captures relevant coannihilation effects

Canonical freeze-out scenarios – viable parameter space



- coannihilation effects open up significant region of parameter space
- quasi-degenerate mediator ϕ dilutes relic abundance due to QCD annihilations

Acaroğlu, MB, Heisig, Krämer, Rathmann (2023)

Conversion-driven freeze-out

Scenarios

- $\chi_2\chi_3$-conversion ($C_\chi 1_u$)





Acaroğlu, MB, Heisig, Krämer, Rathmann (2023)

Conversion-driven freeze-out

Scenarios

- $\chi_2\chi_3$-conversion ($C_\chi 1_u$)
- $\chi_3\phi$-conversion ($C_\phi 1_u$)





Acaroğlu, MB, Heisig, Krämer, Rathmann (2023)

Conversion-driven freeze-out

Scenarios

- $\chi_2\chi_3$-conversion ($C_\chi 1_u$)
- $\chi_3\phi$-conversion ($C_\phi 1_u$)
- $\chi_{2,3}\phi$-conversion ($C_\phi 2_u$)

$\eta > 0$:





Acaroğlu, MB, Heisig, Krämer, Rathmann (2023)

LHC signatures

Relevant LHC processes

Mediator pair-production

- QCD interactions (c.f. SUSY squarks)
- t-channel exchange of χ
- same-sign production due to Majorana nature of χ ➢ **enhanced** for $uu \to \phi\phi$

see also Garny, Ibarra, Pato, Vogl (2013)



Acaroğlu, MB (2021)
Acaroğlu, MB, Heisig, Krämer, Rathmann (2023)

Mediator decay

- determined by flavour structure of λ



- final states involving u, c, t and $\not{E}_T$
- chain decays via intermediate $\chi_{1,2}$ states
- soft and long-lived signatures for quasi-degenerate spectrum and/or small couplings

Constraints from vanilla LHC searches

Mediator pair-production

- applicable constraints from SUSY squark searches
- relevant final states $jj, t\bar{t} + \not{E}_T$
- cross-section affected by t-channel contribution
- branching ratios determined by flavour structure of λ





Monojet

- competitive mainly in compressed region

c.f. Papucci, Vichi, Zurek (2014)

Limits on flavoured Majorana DM

- sensitivity strongly depends on coupling pattern
- strongest bound for $m_\chi \neq 0$ due to same-sign production

Acaroğlu, MB (2021)

Unexplored signature I: same-sign tops from Majorana DM

Same-sign top signature Acaroğlu, MB (2021)

$$pp \to \phi\phi \to tt + \not{E}_T$$

- top charge accessible in dilepton final state
- cross-section in the fb regime

Naive reach estimate using CMS $ttjj + \not{E}_T$ search

CMS-SUS-19-008

- different kinematics ➢ not fully applicable
- highest reach for non-zero DM mass
- rate suppressed by $\mathrm{BR}(t \to b\ell\nu)^2 \sim 0.05$ and requirement of extra jets

➢ **not competitive (?) with jets+$\not{E}_T$**



Acaroğlu, MB, Heisig, Krämer, Rathmann (2023)

Unexplored signature II: single-top final states

Flavoured DM also induces **flavour-violating final states** – accessible with single-top

- $t + j + \not{E}_T$ (dominated by mediator pair-production)



- "monotop" $t + \not{E}_T$



MB, Pani, Polesello, Rovedi (2020)

(HL-)LHC reach for single-top final states

MB, Pani, Polesello, Rovedi (2020)



Dedicated single-top searches (shown: up-flavoured Dirac DM)

- cover additional parameter space
- probe thermal freeze-out in SFF scenario

(HL-)LHC reach for single-top final states

MB, Pani, Polesello, Rovedi (2020)



Dedicated single-top searches (shown: up-flavoured Dirac DM)

- cover additional parameter space
- probe thermal freeze-out in SFF scenario
- have significant discovery reach in particular at HL-LHC

Unexplored signature III: single-top charge asymmetry

Single-top charge asymmetry for Majorana DM

- combine previous insights on same-sign production and flavour-violating final states
- consider single-top charge asymmetry

$$a_{tj} = \frac{\sigma(tj + \not{E}_T) - \sigma(\bar{t}j + \not{E}_T)}{\sigma(tj + \not{E}_T) + \sigma(\bar{t}j + \not{E}_T)}$$

- $a_{tj} > 0$ only for Majorana flavoured DM
  $a_{tj} \sim 0$ for Dirac flavoured DM

➢ **highly promising smoking gun signature!**



Acaroğlu, MB, Heisig, Krämer, Rathmann (2023)

Unexplored signatures IV: LLPs with intermediate lifetimes

Conversion-driven freeze-out



- relevant limit (C_ϕ): stable R-hadrons (using `SModelS` reinterpretation tool)
- intermediate lifetimes not constrained

Opportunities for future LLP searches

➢ LLPs with intermediate decay lengths and soft decay products

Covering entire lifetime range

- searches for heavy stable charged particles
- searches for diasppearing tracks
- searches for displaced jets
- $\not{E}_T$ searches

Heisig, Lessa, Ramos (2024)

Acaroğlu, MB, Heisig, Krämer, Rathmann (2023)

Conclusions

Flavored dark matter

- potential link between flavour and dark matter puzzles
- rich phenomenology in direct & indirect detection, flavour and collider physics
- large regions of viable parameter space

Dark matter freeze-out scenarios

- canonical
  - standard WIMP
  - coannihilation
- conversion-driven
  - different possibilities depending on flavour structure

LHC signatures

- current gaps in LHC searches
  - complex decay chains, esp. with soft final states
  - long-lived particles (intermediate lifetimes)
  - flavour-violating final states
- Majorana-DM specific signatures
  - same-sign tops suffer from small BR($t \to b\ell\nu$)
  - single-top charge asymmetry promising