

de Sitter holography from quantum information

Shira Chapman, Ben-Gurion University

Eurostrings, Southampton 04-09-2024

Based on [arXiv:2407.09604] – **SC**, Saskia Demulder, Damian Galante, Sam Sheorey, Osher Shoval







Shira Chapman, Ben-Gurion University

Eurostrings, Southampton 04-09-2024

Based on [arXiv:2407.09604] - SC, Saskia Demulder, Damian Galante, Sam Sheorey, Osher Shoval



erc

Motivation - Quantum gravity

- What are the microscopic constituents of quantum gravity?
- For gravity in AdS, holography is very useful!
- For example: in low dimensions SYK.
- Quantum information & Chaos important!
- Can we say anything about dS?





Why would we think that dS is holographic?

- Observers in de Sitter space are surrounded by a cosmological horizon.
- This horizon has an entropy consistent with an area formula [Gibbons-Hawking (1977)]

$$S_{\rm dS} = \frac{A}{4G_N}$$

what is it counting?

- In AdS timelike boundary permitted progress.
- In dS no timelike boundary. [But see Coleman, Mazenc, Shyam, Silverstein, Soni, Torroba, Yang (2021); Svesko, Verheijden, Verlinde, Visser (2022); Anninos, Galante, Maneerat (2024)]



A hint towards dS holography Interpolating geometries

- How to proceed without a timelike boundary?
- In low dimesions hint can embed a portion of dS inside AdS Space - interpolating geometries [Anninos, Hofman (2017)]
- No knowledge of field theory $\stackrel{\smile}{=}$
- But expect IR modification [de Boer Verlinde² 1999]
- Which properties are expected from the dual?
- Chaotic behavior very different than AdS [Anninos, Galante, Hofman (2019); SC, Galante, Kramer (2022), ...]
- For instance, the OTOC has an oscillatory behavior rather than exponential



QM duals of interpolating geometries

• Towards duals of interpolating geometries:

IR modifications of AdS \implies relevant deformations of SYK

- For most of my talk, I will consider IR modifications that are dual to interpolating geometries between AdS and AdS.
- Study the chaotic properties of SYK RG flows! [Anninos, Galante, Sheorey (2022)]
- Back to dS in the outlook



Outline

- Motivation [V]
- Background: SYK model and its deformations
- Signatures of Chaos
- Summary and outlook

The Sachdev-Ye-Kitaev (SYK) Model (and its deformations)



The SYK model

• N Majorana fermions:

$$\{\psi_i,\psi_j\}=\delta_{ij}, \qquad i,j=1,\ldots,N.$$

• Hamiltonian: random q-body interactions:

$$H_q = i^{\frac{q}{2}} \sum_{1 \le i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_q \le N} J_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_q} \psi_{i_1} \psi_{i_2} \dots \psi_{i_q} , \qquad q \in 2\mathbb{Z}^+$$

$$\langle J_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_q} \rangle = 0 , \qquad \langle J_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_q}^2 \rangle = \frac{2^{q-1}}{q} \frac{\mathcal{J}^2(q-1)!}{N^{q-1}}$$

- Special case: q = 2 is integrable.
- Used to model strange metals (many-body quantum states without quasiparticles).

[Sachdev and Ye (1993); Maldacena and Stanford (2016); Kitaev and Suh (2018)] [Review: Chowdhury, Georges, Parcollet, Sachdev]



The SYK model continued

- The partition function can be written in terms of the self energy Σ and fermion bilinear:

$$G(\tau) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \langle T\psi_i(\tau)\psi_i(0) \rangle$$

• The large N saddle is given by Schwinger-Dyson equations

$$G = (\partial_{\tau} - \Sigma)^{-1}$$
 , $\Sigma = \mathcal{J}^2 G^{q-1}$

• At large q becomes ODE

Finite N, large matrices - hard Hard
Large N, integro-differential equations
Large N, large q - ODE - analytic solution Easy(er)

SYK at low energies

• At low energies $\beta J \gg 1$, the theory has nearly conformal behavior with $\Delta_{\psi} = 1/q$:

$$G_c(\tau) = \frac{b}{|\tau|^{2\Delta_{\psi}}} \operatorname{sgn}(\tau)$$

- Maximally chaotic (and yet tractable!)
- Dual to nearly AdS₂ solutions of JT gravity [Maldacena, Stanford, Yang (2016); Saad, Shenker, Stanford (2018, 2019); Stanford Witten (2019)]
- IR deformations of SYK could teach us about interpolating geometries



Deformations of the SYK model

- More general gravity backgrounds? with different IR?
- Relevant deformation of SYK.
- Consider disordered operators, like the Hamiltonian itself

$$H_{\mathrm{def}} = H_q + s H_{\tilde{q}} , \qquad s > 0 , \quad q \ge \tilde{q} \longrightarrow$$
 Becomes
important at very low energies

[Jiang and Yang (2019); Anninos, Galante (2020), Anninos, Galante, Sheorey (2022)] [Garcia Garcia et al. (2018); Lunkin et al. (2020); Nandy et al. (2022)]

- All the techniques at large N and large q that we used before can be generalized to this case.
- Dual understood (at least the thermodynamics) near AdS deformations.





Regimes along the RG flows



$$H_{\text{def}} = \mathbf{H}_{q} + s\mathbf{H}_{\tilde{q}} , \qquad s > 0 , \quad q \ge \tilde{q}$$

- Renormalization group flows away from the near-fixed point of the Sachdev-Ye-Kitaev (SYK) model
- Expect two different regimes of nearly maximal chaos, can we see them?

[Jiang, Yang] [Anninos, Galante, Sheorey,]

*If not $s \ll 1$ go directly to deep IR

Quantum Chaos (and how to recognize it)



Quantum Chaos

• Quantum version of hypersensitivity to initial conditions.

Lyapunov exponent

$$OTOC(t) = \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} Tr\left(\rho^{\frac{1}{4}} \psi_i(t) \rho^{\frac{1}{4}} \psi_j(0) \rho^{\frac{1}{4}} \psi_i(t) \rho^{\frac{1}{4}} \psi_j(0)\right), \qquad \rho = \frac{1}{Z(\beta)} e^{-\beta H}$$
$$OTOC = f_0 - \frac{f_1}{N} \exp \lambda_L t + \cdots$$

- A bound on chaos [Maldacena, Shenker, Stanford (2016)]
- $\lambda_L \le \frac{2\pi}{\beta}$
- Need separation between the dissipation and scrambling time.

Krylov complexity

Measure for operator spreading under Hamiltonian evolution:

 $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{O}} = \operatorname{span}\{\mathcal{O}, [H, \mathcal{O}], [H, [H, \mathcal{O}]], \ldots\} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\operatorname{Krylov}} = \operatorname{span}\{\mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_2, \mathcal{O}_3 \ldots\}$

How many operators are necessary to expand $\mathcal{O}(t)=e^{iHt}\mathcal{O}e^{-iHt}$



- Can be computed from the thermal 2pt function.
- Much easier than Lyapunov.
- No need for large N

[Pawel Caputa's talk! Parker, Cao, Avdoshkin, Scaffidi, Altman (2019)]

Relation between the two exponents

• Bounds on Krylov complexity [Parker, Cao, Avdoshkin, Scaffidi, Altman (2019); Avdoshkin, Dymarsky (2020); Gu, Kitaev, Zhang (2022)]

$$\lambda_L \le \lambda_K \le \frac{2\pi}{\beta}$$

- Conjectured: tight bound $\lambda_L = \lambda_K$ easy way to calculate Lyapunov?
- Tested for SYK at large q.
- But at the next order in 1/q already get more corrections!

$$\frac{\beta}{2\pi}(\lambda_K - \lambda_L) = \frac{4\pi^2}{3q\beta\mathcal{J}} + \cdots$$

[SC, Demulder, Galante, Sheorey, Shoval (2024)]

Numerical techniques at finite q

Outline of the numerical procedure:

- Solve the Schwinger-Dyson equations using an iterative procedure in Fourier space to obtain the fermion 2pt function and various analytic continuations in Lorentzian time.
- From the 2pt function can already obtain the Krylov exponent.
- Solve 4pt function from Kernel equation: $OTOC(t_1, t_2) = F_0(t_1, t_2) + \frac{1}{N}F(t_1, t_2) + \cdots$

$$F(t_1, t_2) = \int dt_3 dt_4 \ K(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4) F(t_3, t_4)$$

$$K(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4) = G^R(t_{13}) G^R(t_{24}) \mathcal{J}^2 \left(\frac{2^{q-1}}{q} (q-1) G^W(t_{34})^{q-2} + s^2 \frac{2^{\tilde{q}-1}}{\tilde{q}} (\tilde{q}-1) G^W(t_{34})^{\tilde{q}-2} \right)$$

• Numerical difficulties associated with low temperatures (many coding hours of our talented students & postdocs)

Chaos diagnostics in a single SYK

- Maximal chaos at low temperatures.
- Krylov good approximation to the Lyapunov exponent.
- But not exactly equal (differences fall within numerical accuracy)

q = 4/6 of fermions in each interaction term



[SC, Demulder, Galante, Sheorey, Shoval (2024)]

Chaos to Chaos flows

- Two regimes of nearly maximal chaos.
- Chaos transition at $\beta \mathcal{J} \sim 1/s^2$
- Krylov complexity correctly (but poorly!) bounds (above) the Lyapunov exponent.
- Krylov does **not** diagnose chaos transitions!
- Analytic expansions near maximally chaotic regimes available.

$$H_{\rm def} = H_q + s H_{q/2}, \qquad q \to \infty$$



[SC, Demulder, Galante, Sheorey, Shoval (2024)]

Chaos to integrable flows

 $H_{\rm def} = H_6 + sH_2$

- Lyapunov exponent sees the transition
- Krylov seems unable to diagnose the chaotic properties of the flows



[SC, Demulder, Galante, Sheorey, Shoval (2024)]

Other studies of chaos-integrable transitions: [Berkooz, Brukner, Jia, Mamroud, 2024; Garcia-Garcia, Loureiro, Romero-Bermudez, Tezuka, 2018; Kim, Cao, 2021; Lunkin, Kitaev, Feigel'man, 2020; Nandy, Cadez, Dietz, Andreanov, Rosa 2022; Menzler, Jha 2024...]

Bonus plot: exclusive to Eurostrings 2024

Chaotic to integrable phase transitions?



 $H_{\rm def} = H_{\infty} + sH_2$

Summary



Lyapunov good!



for diagnosing chaos along RG flows

*Note that the late time volume in flow geometries **also** does not seem to see the flow [Rabinovici, Sanchez-Garrido, Shir, Sonner 2023; **SC**, Galante, Kramer 2022]

Is the Krylov exponent always monotonic? (when it can be defined & in Hermitian systems)

$$\beta \partial_{\beta} \left(\frac{\lambda_K \beta}{2\pi} \right) \ge 0$$



Back to de Sitter

- dS space has non-standard chaotic behavior [Anninos, Galante, Hofman 2019; SC, Galante, Kramer 2022, ...]
- Which RG flow could lead to a dS interior?
- Maybe dS is dual to an open quantum system
 - Open boundary at future infinity
 - Perturbations make contact with a larger part of the system.
 - Thermodynamic behavior matches when $H_{def} = H_q + sH_{\tilde{q}} \Rightarrow H_q + isH_{\tilde{q}}$ [Anninos, Galante, Sheorey (2022)]
- Non Hermitian Hamiltonian
- Signatures of chaos in open quantum systems [Sá, Ribeiro, Prosen 2021; Bhattacharya, Nandy, Nath and Sahu, 2022; Liu, Tang, Zhai 2023; Bhattacharjee, Cao, Nandy, Pathak 2023; Srivatsa, Keyserlingk 2024...]
- Interesting to explore connection to dS!

Thank you! Any questions?