



Bulk quantum corrections for non-spatial holographic entanglement

Marius Gerbershagen

Vrije Universiteit Brussel

Eurostrings 2024

Joint work with Dongming He to appear soon

Introduction



$$\frac{\operatorname{Area}(\mathscr{E}_{A}^{(w)})}{4G_{N}} \stackrel{?}{=} S_{A}^{(w)} = -\operatorname{Tr}(\rho_{A}^{(w)}\log\rho_{A}^{(w)})$$

→ Entanglement between different fields as well as between spatial DoF

Motivation

- "entanglement builds geometry" [Swingle (2009), Van Raamsdonk
 (2010)]: bulk geometry encoded in terms of entanglement
- entanglement shadows [Freivogel, Jefferson, Kabir, Mosk, Yang (2014)]: RT surfaces don't probe all of the spacetime



Motivation

- "entanglement builds geometry" [Swingle (2009), Van Raamsdonk (2010)]: bulk geometry encoded in terms of entanglement
- entanglement shadows [Freivogel, Jefferson, Kabir, Mosk, Yang (2014)]: RT surfaces don't probe all of the spacetime



- Non-minimal extremal surfaces can probe closer to singularities/horizons
 - → resolve entanglement shadows
- Expect large quantum corrections close to singularities
 - → breakdown of "entanglement builds geometry"?

Entwinement: definition

[Balasubramanian, Chowdhury, Czech, de Boer (2014); Balasubramanian, Bernamonti, Craps, de Jonckheere, Galli (2016); MG (2021)]

bottom-up AdS₃/CFT₂ setup: S_N orbifold CFT of generic seed CFT (e.g. D1/D5 system or AdS₃ × X with pure NS-NS flux [Eberhardt (2021); Knighton, Sriprachyakul (2024)])

$$S[X] = \sum_{i=1}^{N} S_{seed}[X_i] + marginal deformations$$

- field content: *N* indistinguishable copies of seed fields *X_i*
- Hilbert space contains states with long strands joining together multiple fields



Entwinement: definition

[Balasubramanian, Chowdhury, Czech, de Boer (2014); Balasubramanian, Bernamonti, Craps, de Jonckheere, Galli (2016); MG (2021)]

 ordinary entanglement entropy: consider one or multiple intervals of length L on long strands of arbitrary size



- entwinement: subsystem parametrized by two integers w < m and interval length L
 - \rightarrow consider one or multiple intervals of length w + L on long strands of length $m\mathbb{Z}$



Entwinement at large N: bulk dual

Explicit computations using large *N* techniques give

$$S_A^{(w,m)} = \frac{1}{m} \frac{\text{Length}[\mathscr{C}_A^{(w)}]}{4G_N} + O(1)$$

for conical defects [Balasubramanian, Chowdhury, Czech, de Boer (2014)] and BTZ black holes [MG (2021)]

Two-sided black hole: phase transition at $t_c \sim w$



- Winding number limit $w < N \sim 1/G_N$: cannot probe arbitrarily close to the horizon/singularity
- → How reliable is the entanglement/geometry connection for finite w and finite N?

Entwinement at large N: bulk dual

Explicit computations using large *N* techniques give

$$S_{A}^{(w,m)} = \frac{1}{m} \frac{\text{Length}[\mathscr{E}_{A}^{(w)}]}{4G_{N}} + \mathbf{O(1)}$$

for conical defects [Balasubramanian, Chowdhury, Czech, de Boer (2014)] and BTZ black holes [MG (2021)]

Two-sided black hole: phase transition at $t_c \sim w$



- Winding number limit $w < N \sim 1/G_N$: cannot probe arbitrarily close to the horizon/singularity
- → How reliable is the entanglement/geometry connection for finite w and finite N?

1/N corrections: formal procedure

- Entwinement ≈ ordinary entanglement entropy in "covering theory" on *m*-times larger torus
- → Bulk quantum corrections for entwinement follow from [Faulkner, Lewkowycz, Maldacena (2013)] applied to the covering theory
- DoF for entwinement are located on a subset of all possible collections of long strands (subset *ℋ*_m of the Hilbert space)
- QFT with spectrum \mathcal{H}_m : $S_{N/m}$ orbifold of the same seed CFT where only spins $m\mathbb{Z}$ are allowed,

$$Z(\tau) = \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathscr{H}_m}[q^{L_0}\bar{q}^{\bar{L}_0}] = \sum_{h-\bar{h}\in m\mathbb{Z}} q^{h-\frac{c}{24m}}\bar{q}^{\bar{h}-\frac{c}{24m}}$$

 \rightarrow can be rewritten as partition function of covering theory,

$$Z(\tau) = \sum a_{h,\bar{h}} \chi_h^{(c/m)}(-m/\tau) \chi_{\bar{h}}^{(c/m)}(-m/\bar{\tau})$$

• Entwinement = ordinary entanglement entropy for interval of length (w + L)/m and state $\rho \propto \sum_{h-\bar{h} \in m\mathbb{Z}} q^{h-\frac{c}{24m}} \bar{q}^{\bar{h}-\frac{c}{24m}} |h,\bar{h}\rangle\langle h,\bar{h}|$

1/N corrections: explicit computation

Direct computation of 1/N correction to entwinement at finite temperature gives (using techniques from [Barrella, Dong, Hartnoll, Martin (2013)] valid for m, w = O(1))

$$S_{A}^{(w,m)} = \frac{Nc_{\text{seed}}}{3m} \log \left[\frac{\beta}{2\pi^{2}\epsilon_{\text{UV}}} \sinh\left(\frac{2\pi^{2}(L+w)}{\beta}\right) \right] + e^{-2m\frac{2\pi}{\beta}} \left[8 - \frac{16\pi^{2}(L+w)}{\beta} \coth\left(\frac{2\pi^{2}(L+w)}{\beta}\right) \right] + O(e^{-4m\frac{2\pi}{\beta}}) + O(1/N).$$

- Corrections increase ~ lineary with w but decrease exponentially with m
- ⇒ For small O(1) winding numbers: quantum corrections are tiny, entanglement builds geometry is robust
- large O(N) winding numbers: no universal result for 1/N corrections, depends on seed theory in question

1/N corrections: comments

What about the exactly marginal deformations of the S_N orbifold?

- long strands exist as before but spectrum and OPE coefficients changes
- → generalization of FLM: covering theory depends on coupling constant
- explicit computations for small winding numbers: result shown is the universal piece from 1/N corrections to the dominant conformal block
- → further non-universal 1/N corrections may appear depending on the OPE coefficients and spectrum

Summary

- Entanglement between internal DoF (entwinement) is essential for understanding the entanglement/geometry connection in AdS/CFT
- FLM formula applies to entwinement, given the right choice of covering theory and state
- Obstructions to bulk geometry reconstruction from entwinement due to bulk quantum corrections can generically appear for large $O(N) = O(1/G_N)$ winding numbers

Open questions:

- Entwinement for large winding numbers?
- Entanglement between internal DoF in higher dimensions?
- String theoretic AdS/CFT constructions based on AdS_{d+1}× internal space. Probe geometry of the internal space using entanglement? [Mollabashi, Shiba, Takayanagi (2014); Karch, Uhlemann (2015); Taylor (2015); Das, Kaushal, Mandal, Nanda, Radwan, Trivedi (2022)]