Spin Matrix Theory as Conformal Field Theory

Stefano Baiguera Ben Gurion University of the Negev

Based on work to appear with T. Harmark, Y. Lei and Z. Yan and on [SB, Harmark, Lei, 2211.16519]

5th September 2024







Stefano Baiguera

SMT as CFT



Motivations: Spin Matrix Theory

2 Conformal map between SU(1,2) Non-Lorentzian geometries

Conclusions and perspectives

3

Spin Matrix Theory (SMT)

Controlled finite N effects: Spin Matrix Theory limits [Harmark, Orselli, 2014]

Decoupling limits of N = 4 SYM on ℝ × S³ ⇒ the theory reduces to a subsector with only one-loop contributions of the dilatation operator [Harmark, Orselli, 2006][Harmark, Kristjansson, Orselli, 2006-07]



Non-relativistic nature of SMT

- Emergent $\mathrm{U}(1)$ global symmetry \Rightarrow mass conservation
- Antiparticles decouple
- Low-energy excitations (magnons) have non-relativistic dispersion relations
- Bulk duals are non-relativistic string theories (see review [Oling, Yan, 2022])



Decoupling limits

Zoom-in close to a unitarity (BPS) bound

$$E \ge J \equiv \sum_{i=1,2} a_i S_i + \sum_{j=1}^3 b_j Q_j \tag{1}$$

 $(S_i \text{ rotations on } S^3, Q_i \text{ Cartan generators of } SU(4) \text{ R-symmetry})$

SMT limit ($\lambda = g^2 N$) $\lambda \to 0$, $H_{\rm int} = \frac{H-J}{\lambda}$ finite , N fixed

 $\mathsf{PSU}(2,2|4)$ symmetry group of $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM gets reduced to a spin subgroup

PSU(1,2|3) sector contains all the others!

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

(2)

What to do next?

PSU(1,2|3) SMT Hamiltonian computed in [SB, Lei, Harmark, 2022]

- Find a local formulation of SMTs with SU(1,2) bosonic symmetry group
- Determine a geometry with SU(1,2) conformal isometry
- Build a corresponding state-operator correspondence

• • • • • • • • • • • •

Conformal map between SU(1,2) Non-Lorentzian geometries

Stefano Baiguera

SMT as CFT

5th September 2024 7 / 15

Relativistic state-operator correspondence (Euclidean)

Local operators on $\mathbb{R}^D \iff \text{States on } S^{D-1}$

Geometric mapping between Euclidean plane and cylinder

$$ds^{2} = d\rho^{2} + \rho^{2} d\Omega_{D-1}^{2} \quad \xleftarrow[\tau = \log \rho]{} ds^{2} = e^{2\tau} \left(d\tau^{2} + d\Omega_{D-1}^{2} \right)$$
(4)



Map between generators

$$D^{E} = \rho \partial_{\rho} \quad \xleftarrow[\tau = \log \rho]{} H^{E} = \partial_{\tau} .$$
(5)

(3)

Relativistic state-operator correspondence (Lorentzian)

Hyperbolic map

$$t = \frac{1}{2} \left[\tan\left(\frac{\tau + \chi}{2}\right) + \tan\left(\frac{\tau - \chi}{2}\right) \right], \quad r = \frac{1}{2} \left[\tan\left(\frac{\tau + \chi}{2}\right) - \tan\left(\frac{\tau - \chi}{2}\right) \right]$$
(6)

relates Lorentzian plane and cylinder

$$ds_{\text{state}}^2 = -dt^2 + dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega_2^2$$
(7)

$$ds_{\rm ope}^2 = (\cos\tau + \cos\chi)^{-2} \left[-d\tau^2 + d\chi^2 + \sin^2\chi d\Omega_2^2 \right]$$
(8)



Map of generators [Minwalla, 1997] [Chagnet, Chapman, de Boer, Zukowski, 2021]

$$H_{\tau}^{L} \to \frac{1}{2}(P_{0}^{L} + K_{0}^{L})$$
 (9)

Stefano Baiguera

SMT as CFT

5th September 2024 9 / 15

Geometries with SU(1,2) conformal isometry

State picture

• Start from $\mathbb{R}\times S^3$

$$ds^{2} = -dt^{2} + \frac{1}{4} R^{2} \left[d\theta^{2} + \sin^{2}\theta \, d\varphi^{2} + \left(d\eta + \cos\theta \, d\varphi \right)^{2} \right]$$
(10)

with energy $E=iR\partial_t$ and total angular momentum $S=-2\,i\,\partial_\eta$

- $\bullet\,$ Introduce a null isometry along u
- Fix the null momentum $P_u = E S$ to preserve SU(1,2) isometry [Harmark, Kristjansson, Orselli, 2007] [Harmark, Hartong, Obers, Oling, 2020]
- Require energy is associated with the new time coordinate $E = i\partial_{x^0}$

$$ds^{2} = 2\tau (du - m) + h_{\mu\nu} dx^{\mu} dx^{\nu}$$
(11)

$$\tau = R^2 dx^0 - \frac{R^2}{2} (\cos \theta - 1) \, d\varphi \,, \qquad m = \frac{1}{2} dx^0 + \frac{1}{4} (\cos \theta + 1) \, d\varphi$$

$$h_{\mu\nu} dx^{\mu} dx^{\nu} = \frac{R^2}{4} (d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\varphi^2)$$
(12)

Stefano Baiguera

5th September 2024 10 / 15

Operator picture

 $\bullet\,$ Take a $R\to\infty\,$ limit such that $S^2\to\mathbb{R}^2$

$$\theta = \frac{2\hat{r}}{R}, \qquad \varphi = \hat{\varphi}, \qquad x^0 = \frac{\hat{\varphi}}{4} + \frac{\hat{t}}{2R^2}, \qquad u = \hat{u}$$
(13)

• Leads to a Newton-Cartan geometry with $\Omega\text{-deformation}$ [Lambert, Mouland, Orchard, 2022]

$$d\hat{s}^{2} = 2\hat{\tau}(d\hat{u} - \hat{m}) + \hat{h}_{\mu\nu}d\hat{x}^{\mu}d\hat{x}^{\nu}$$
(14)

$$\hat{\tau} = d\hat{t} + \hat{r}^2 d\hat{\varphi}, \qquad \hat{m} = \frac{1}{2} d\hat{\varphi}$$

$$\hat{h}_{\mu\nu} d\hat{x}^\mu d\hat{x}^\nu = d\hat{r}^2 + \hat{r}^2 d\hat{\varphi}^2$$
(15)

• Geometry has SU(1,2) conformal isometry

3

State-operator map

There exists an exact conformal map between the two geometries!

$$x^{0} = -\operatorname{arccot}\left(\frac{\mathbf{t}^{2} + \mathbf{r}^{4} - 1}{\mathbf{t}}\right), \qquad \varphi = \hat{\varphi} - \operatorname{arctan}\left(\frac{\mathbf{r}^{2} - 1}{\mathbf{t}}\right),$$

$$\theta = \operatorname{arccos}\left[1 - \frac{8\,\mathbf{r}^{2}}{\mathbf{t}^{2} + (\mathbf{r}^{2} + 1)^{2}}\right], \qquad u = \hat{u} + \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{arctan}\left(\frac{\mathbf{r}^{2} - 1}{\mathbf{t}}\right)$$
(16)

where $\mathbf{t}=(2R^2)^{-1}\,\hat{t}$ and $\mathbf{r}=(2R)^{-1}\,\hat{r}$.



Map of generators

$$H_0 = \partial_{x^0} \to L H + \frac{C}{L} - P_{\hat{u}} - \frac{1}{2}J$$
(17)

H Hamiltonian, C special conformal generator, J angular momentum, $P_{\hat{u}}$ U(1) central generator

SU(1,2) state-operator correspondence

• Schrödinger case [Nishida, Son, 2007]

$$|\psi_{\mathcal{O}}\rangle = e^{-H}\mathcal{O}^{\dagger}|0\rangle \tag{18}$$

Primaries correspond to eigenstates of a system in a harmonic potential

$$H_{\rm osc.}|\psi_{\mathcal{O}}\rangle = \Delta_{\mathcal{O}}|\psi_{\mathcal{O}}\rangle, \quad H_{\rm osc.} = H + C$$
 (19)

• Map between eigenstates also applies to systems with SU(1, n) invariance [Lambert, Mouland, Orchard, 2022]

Geometric map between geometries with SU(1,2) conformal invariance naturally leads to the proposal

$$D^{E} \equiv -i\left[LH + \frac{C}{L} - P_{\hat{u}} - \frac{1}{2}J\right], \qquad H^{E} \equiv -iH_{0}.$$
 (20)

Conclusions and perspectives

Summary of results:

- $\bullet\,$ Non-relativistic theories from near-BPS limits of $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM
- Geometric formulation of SU(1,2) state-operator correspondence

Future developments:

- Find non-relativistic QFT with PSU(1,2|3) invariance from null reduction
- Bulk side and dual D3-branes perspective [Yan, Gomis, 2023][Blair, Lahnsteiner, Obers, Yan, 2023]

< 口 > < 凸 >

Thank you!

Stefano Baiguera

SMT as CFT

5th September 2024 15 / 15

3