Spin Matrix Theory as Conformal Field Theory

Stefano Baiguera Ben Gurion University of the Negev

Based on work to appear with T. Harmark, Y. Lei and Z. Yan and on [SB, Harmark, Lei, 2211.16519]

5th September 2024

 Ω

4 ロ ▶ (何

1 [Motivations: Spin Matrix Theory](#page-2-0)

² [Conformal map between SU\(1,2\) Non-Lorentzian geometries](#page-6-0)

³ [Conclusions and perspectives](#page-13-0)

一番

 Ω

メロト メタト メミト メミト

Spin Matrix Theory (SMT)

Controlled finite N effects: Spin Matrix Theory limits [Harmark, Orselli, 2014]

Decoupling limits of $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM on $\mathbb{R}\times S^3\,\Rightarrow\,$ the theory reduces to a subsector with only one-loop contributions of the dilatation operator [Harmark, Orselli, 2006][Harmark, Kristjansson, Orselli, 2006-07]

 QQ

K ロ ト K 何 ト K ヨ ト

Non-relativistic nature of SMT

- Emergent $U(1)$ global symmetry \Rightarrow mass conservation
- Antiparticles decouple
- Low-energy excitations (magnons) have non-relativistic dispersion relations
- Bulk duals are non-relativistic string theories (see review [Oling, Yan, 2022])

 QQ

← ロ → → ← 伊 →

Decoupling limits

Zoom-in close to a unitarity (BPS) bound

$$
E \ge J \equiv \sum_{i=1,2} a_i S_i + \sum_{j=1}^3 b_j Q_j \tag{1}
$$

 $\left(S_i \right.$ rotations on $S^3, \, Q_i$ Cartan generators of $\mathrm{SU}(4)$ R-symmetry)

SMT limit $(\lambda = g^2 N)$

$$
\lambda \to 0, \qquad H_{\text{int}} = \frac{H - J}{\lambda} \text{ finite }, \qquad N \text{ fixed}
$$
 (2)

 $PSU(2, 2|4)$ symmetry group of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM gets reduced to a spin subgroup

PSU(1,2|3) sector contains all the others!

KOD KAD KED KED E VOOR

What to do next?

PSU(1,2|3) SMT Hamiltonian computed in [SB, Lei, Harmark, 2022]

- \bullet Find a local formulation of SMTs with SU(1,2) bosonic symmetry group
- \bullet Determine a geometry with $SU(1,2)$ conformal isometry
- Build a corresponding state-operator correspondence

 QQ

K ロ ト K 何 ト K ヨ ト K

Conformal map between SU(1,2) Non-Lorentzian geometries

Stefano Baiguera [SMT as CFT](#page-0-0) 5th September 2024 7 / 15

(□) () →

 Ω

Relativistic state-operator correspondence (Euclidean)

Local operators on \mathbb{R}^D \Leftrightarrow States on S^{D-1} (3)

Geometric mapping between Euclidean plane and cylinder

$$
ds^{2} = d\rho^{2} + \rho^{2} d\Omega_{D-1}^{2} \quad \underset{\tau = \log \rho}{\longleftrightarrow} \quad ds^{2} = e^{2\tau} (d\tau^{2} + d\Omega_{D-1}^{2}) \tag{4}
$$

Map between generators

$$
D^{E} = \rho \partial_{\rho} \quad \underset{\tau = \log \rho}{\longleftarrow} \quad H^{E} = \partial_{\tau} \,. \tag{5}
$$

K ロ ▶ K 何 ▶

 QQ

Relativistic state-operator correspondence (Lorentzian)

Hyperbolic map

$$
t = \frac{1}{2} \left[\tan\left(\frac{\tau + \chi}{2}\right) + \tan\left(\frac{\tau - \chi}{2}\right) \right], \quad r = \frac{1}{2} \left[\tan\left(\frac{\tau + \chi}{2}\right) - \tan\left(\frac{\tau - \chi}{2}\right) \right] \tag{6}
$$

relates Lorentzian plane and cylinder

$$
ds_{\text{state}}^2 = -dt^2 + dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega_2^2 \tag{7}
$$

$$
ds_{\rm ope}^2 = (\cos \tau + \cos \chi)^{-2} \left[-d\tau^2 + d\chi^2 + \sin^2 \chi d\Omega_2^2 \right]
$$
 (8)

Map of generators [Minwalla, 1997] [Chagnet, Chapman, de Boer, Zukowski, 2021]

4 D F

$$
H_{\tau}^{L} \to \frac{1}{2} (P_{0}^{L} + K_{0}^{L})
$$
 (9)

Stefano Baiguera [SMT as CFT](#page-0-0) 5th September 2024 9 / 15

 Ω

Geometries with $SU(1,2)$ conformal isometry

State picture

Start from $\mathbb{R}\times S^3$

$$
ds^{2} = -dt^{2} + \frac{1}{4} R^{2} \left[d\theta^{2} + \sin^{2} \theta \, d\varphi^{2} + \left(d\eta + \cos \theta \, d\varphi \right)^{2} \right] \tag{10}
$$

with energy $E = i R \partial_t$ and total angular momentum $S = -2\,i\,\partial_\eta$

- Introduce a null isometry along u
- Fix the null momentum $P_u = E S$ to preserve SU(1,2) isometry [Harmark, Kristjansson, Orselli, 2007] [Harmark, Hartong, Obers, Oling, 2020]
- Require energy is associated with the new time coordinate $E = i \partial_{x^0}$

$$
ds^2 = 2\tau (du - m) + h_{\mu\nu} dx^{\mu} dx^{\nu}
$$
\n(11)

$$
\tau = R^2 dx^0 - \frac{R^2}{2} (\cos \theta - 1) d\varphi, \qquad m = \frac{1}{2} dx^0 + \frac{1}{4} (\cos \theta + 1) d\varphi
$$

\n
$$
h_{\mu\nu} dx^{\mu} dx^{\nu} = \frac{R^2}{4} (d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\varphi^2)
$$
\n(12)

Stefano Baiguera [SMT as CFT](#page-0-0) 5th September 2024 10 / 15

Operator picture

Take a $R\to\infty$ limit such that $S^2\to\mathbb{R}^2$

$$
\theta = \frac{2\hat{r}}{R}, \qquad \varphi = \hat{\varphi}, \qquad x^0 = \frac{\hat{\varphi}}{4} + \frac{\hat{t}}{2R^2}, \qquad u = \hat{u} \tag{13}
$$

• Leads to a Newton-Cartan geometry with Ω -deformation [Lambert, Mouland, Orchard, 2022]

$$
d\hat{s}^2 = 2\hat{\tau}(d\hat{u} - \hat{m}) + \hat{h}_{\mu\nu}d\hat{x}^{\mu}d\hat{x}^{\nu}
$$
 (14)

$$
\hat{\tau} = d\hat{t} + \hat{r}^2 d\hat{\varphi}, \qquad \hat{m} = \frac{1}{2} d\hat{\varphi}
$$

$$
\hat{h}_{\mu\nu} d\hat{x}^{\mu} d\hat{x}^{\nu} = d\hat{r}^2 + \hat{r}^2 d\hat{\varphi}^2
$$
 (15)

 $A \Box B$ $A \Box B$ $A \Box B$ $A \Box B$ $A \Box B$

G.

 QQQ

• Geometry has $SU(1,2)$ conformal isometry

State-operator map

There exists an exact conformal map between the two geometries!

$$
x^{0} = -\operatorname{arccot}\left(\frac{\mathbf{t}^{2} + \mathbf{r}^{4} - 1}{\mathbf{t}}\right), \qquad \varphi = \hat{\varphi} - \operatorname{arctan}\left(\frac{\mathbf{r}^{2} - 1}{\mathbf{t}}\right),
$$

$$
\theta = \operatorname{arccos}\left[1 - \frac{8\,\mathbf{r}^{2}}{\mathbf{t}^{2} + (\mathbf{r}^{2} + 1)^{2}}\right], \qquad u = \hat{u} + \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{arctan}\left(\frac{\mathbf{r}^{2} - 1}{\mathbf{t}}\right)
$$
(16)

where $\mathbf{t} = (2R^2)^{-1}\,\hat{t}$ and $\mathbf{r} = (2R)^{-1}\,\hat{r}$.

Map of generators

$$
\boxed{H_0 = \partial_{x^0} \to L H + \frac{C}{L} - P_{\hat{u}} - \frac{1}{2}J} \tag{17}
$$

 H Hamiltonian, C special conformal generator, J angular momentum, $P_{\hat{u}}$ U(1) central generator

∢ ロ ≯ (何)

 QQQ

SU(1,2) state-operator correspondence

• Schrödinger case [Nishida, Son, 2007]

$$
|\psi_{\mathcal{O}}\rangle = e^{-H}\mathcal{O}^{\dagger}|0\rangle \tag{18}
$$

Primaries correspond to eigenstates of a system in a harmonic potential

$$
H_{\rm osc.} |\psi_{\mathcal{O}}\rangle = \Delta_{\mathcal{O}} |\psi_{\mathcal{O}}\rangle \,, \quad H_{\rm osc.} = H + C \tag{19}
$$

• Map between eigenstates also applies to systems with $SU(1, n)$ invariance [Lambert, Mouland, Orchard, 2022]

Geometric map between geometries with SU(1,2) conformal invariance naturally leads to the proposal

$$
D^{E} \equiv -i \left[LH + \frac{C}{L} - P_{\hat{u}} - \frac{1}{2}J\right], \qquad H^{E} \equiv -iH_{0}.
$$
 (20)

 Ω

 $(1 - 1)$ $(1 - 1)$ $(1 - 1)$ $(1 - 1)$ $(1 - 1)$ $(1 - 1)$ $(1 - 1)$

Conclusions and perspectives

Summary of results:

- Non-relativistic theories from near-BPS limits of $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM
- \bullet Geometric formulation of SU(1,2) state-operator correspondence

Future developments:

- Find non-relativistic QFT with $PSU(1,2|3)$ invariance from null reduction
- Bulk side and dual D3-branes perspective [Yan, Gomis, 2023][Blair, Lahnsteiner, Obers, Yan, 2023]

 Ω

(ロ) (母)

Thank you!

Stefano Baiguera **SMT** as CFT 5th September 2024 15 / 15

重

 $2QQ$

メロト メタト メミト メミト