Categorical Landau Paradigm

Lakshya Bhardwaj (University of Oxford)

In collaboration with: Lea Bottini, Daniel Pajer, Sakura Schafer-Nameki, Apoorv Tiwari and Alison Warman

Works: 2310.03786, 2310.03784, 2312.17322, 2403.00905, 2405.05302, 2405.05964, 2408.05266 Lattice Gapped Gapless





GS,

(1+1)d Ising Model



\mathbb{Z}_4 Symmetry

Non-Invertible Symmetries in (1+1)d



$a, b \in \mathcal{S} = fusion category$

- e.g. $\mathcal{S} = \operatorname{Rep}(G)$, in particular $\operatorname{Rep}(S_3)$

 - $P \otimes E = E$
 - $E \otimes P = E$
 - $E \otimes E = 1 + P + E$

Permutation group of 3 objects

$\operatorname{Rep}(S_3)$ Landau Paradigm





$$\begin{aligned} H_1 &= -\sum_j \left[\frac{1 + \sigma_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^z}{2} + \frac{1 + X_j + X_j^2}{3} \right] \\ H_2 &= -\sum_j \frac{1}{6} \left(1 + X_j + X_j^2 \right) \left(1 + \sigma_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^x \Gamma_j \sigma_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^x \right) \\ H_3 &= -\sum_j \frac{1}{6} \left[1 + \sigma_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^z \right] \left[\sum_{n=0}^2 Z_j^n Z_{j+1}^{-n} \right] \end{aligned}$$

 $H_{4} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i} \left(1 + \sigma_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{x} \Gamma_{j} \sigma_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^{x} \right) - \frac{1}{6} \sum_{i} \sum_{\alpha=+1} \left[1 + \alpha \sigma_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^{z} \right] \left| \sum_{n=0}^{2} Z_{j}^{n} Z_{j+1}^{-\alpha n} \right|$

$$H = -\sum_{j} \sum_{I=0}^{5} \left[\lambda_{I} P_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(I)} + t_{I} X_{j}^{(I)} \right]$$

$$\begin{split} P_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^{(2I+s)} &= \frac{1}{6} \left[1 + (-1)^s \sigma_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^z \right] \left[\sum_{n=0}^2 \omega^{-In} Z_j^n Z_{j+1}^{2s-n} \right] \\ X_j^{(2I+s)} &= (X_j)^I \left(\sigma_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^x \Gamma_j \sigma_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^x \right)^s, \end{split}$$

Four Gapped Phases



- 2 Degenerate Ground States
- **3 Degenerate Ground States**
- = 3 x \mathbb{Z}_2 Trivial

- **3 Degenerate Ground States** $=\mathbb{Z}_2$ Trivial $+\mathbb{Z}_2$ Broken

- 1. Generalizing Landau paradigm to categorical or non-invertible symmetries leads to a plethora of new phases.
- 2. In (1+1)d, these phases are characterized in terms of degeneracies unexpected from spontaneous breaking of traditional group-like symmetries.
- 3. This includes both gapped and gapless phases, and transitions between them.
- 4. For gapped phases, we have degenerate gapped vacua appearing in the IR.
- 5. For gapless phases, we have degenerate CFTs appearing in the IR.
- 6. Using Symmetry TFTs we can classify all possible gapped and gapless phases for any given categorical symmetry.

Conclusions



Future Directions

- invertible symmetries on quantum simulators.
- 2. Phases in higher-dimensions: Symmetries are higher-categories. [LB, Bottini, Schafer-Nameki, Tiwari 2022] Infinite number of gapped phases for every symmetry in (2+1)d. [LB, Pajer, Schafer-Nameki, Tiwari, Warman 2024] for phases in (3+1)d.
- For fermionic non-invertible symmetries in (1+1)d, see [LB, Inamura, Tiwari 2024]
- studied using SymTFT. [LB, Moudgalya, Tiwari (to appear soon)]

1. On-going work on experimental realisation of phases and transitions with non-

See also [Aguilera Damia, Argurio, Benini, Benvenuti, Copetti, Tizzano 2023], [Antinucci, Copetti, Schafer-Nameki 2024]

3. Include symmetries of systems involving fermions and time-reversal in various d.

4. Phases of systems out-of-equilibrium (with categorical symmetries) can also be



