Gauge invariance and thermodynamic stability of rotating magnetized systems

Tokyo Univ. of Science
Kazuya Mameda

K. Fukushima, K. Hattori and KM, arXiv:2407.******* [hep-ph]

QCD matter under rotation

heavy-ion collision



The Fastest Fluid

by Sylvia Morrow

Superhot material spins at an incredible rate.

- ✓ elementary particles affected by $\vec{\Omega}$ (= source of angular momentum)
- ✓ $\vec{\Omega} \parallel \vec{B}$ is more crucial than either $\vec{\Omega}$ or $\vec{B}$

Early attempt : Thermodynamics



Landau-Lifshitz (1958) Vilenkin (1979)

$$Z = \mathrm{tr}\exp[-\beta(H - \Omega\mathcal{J})] \longleftrightarrow H - \mu N$$

Chen-Fukushima-Huang-Mameda (2016)

$$Z = \det\left[\mathcal{D}_0 - \gamma^0\Omega(L+S)\right]$$

inverse magnetic catalysis



Early attempt : Transport

Hattori-Yin (2016)
Kubo formula

$$\rho = \frac{eB\Omega}{4\pi^2}$$





Early attempt : Transport

Hattori-Yin (2016)
Kubo formula

$$\rho = \frac{eB\Omega}{4\pi^2}$$

$$\vec{B} \parallel \vec{\Omega}$$



Puzzle on magneto-vortical charge

Kubo formula

$$\rho = \frac{eB\Omega}{4\pi^2}$$

Hattori-Yin (2016)

free energy

$$\rho = \frac{eB\Omega}{4\pi^2} + (\text{divergence w.r.t. AM})$$

Chen-Fukushima-Huang-Mameda (2016)
Ebihara-Fukushima-Mameda (2017)

Answer (as of June)

Kubo formula

$$\rho = \frac{eB\Omega}{4\pi^2}$$ Hattori-Yin (2016)

free energy

$$\rho = \frac{eB\Omega}{4\pi^2} + \text{(divergence w.r.t. AM)}$$

Finial answer

Fukushima-Hattori-Mameda (in prep.)

correct Kubo formula

$$\rho = -\frac{eB\Omega}{4\pi^2}$$

correct free energy

$$\rho = -\frac{eB\Omega}{4\pi^2}$$

I will convince you!

Choice of angular momenta

$$Z = \det\left[\mathcal{D}_0 - \gamma^0 \Omega (L + S)\right]$$

Chen-Fukushima-Huang-Mameda (2016)

$$L_{\mathrm{can}} = -\mathrm{i}(x\partial_y - y\partial_x)$$

conserved AM

this work

$$L_{\mathrm{kin}} = -\mathrm{i}(xD_y - yD_x)$$

gauge invariant AM

Classical interpretation



Classical interpretation

$$\vec{\Omega} \parallel \vec{B}$$



$$\vec{v} = \Omega r \hat{\theta}$$

$$\vec{F}_{\text{drift}} = eB\Omega\vec{r}$$

no longer circular

$$H - \Omega L$$ unstable

Classical interpretation



$$e\vec{E} = -eB\Omega\vec{r}$$
$$= -\vec{\nabla}[\Omega(L - L_{\mathrm{kin}})]$$

for symmetric gauge

$$H + \Omega(L - L_{\mathrm{kin}}) - \Omega L = H - \Omega L_{\mathrm{kin}}$$ stable

gauge invariance ⟷ thermodynamic stability

Quantum mechanics

kinetic momentum $\vec{\Pi} = \vec{p} - e\vec{A}$

guiding center $\vec{X}$



Landau level basis $|\, n, m \,\rangle \propto (a^\dagger)^n \, (b^\dagger)^m \, |0,0\rangle$

kinetic energy

$$\vec{\Pi}^2 = eB(2a^\dagger a + 1)$$

distance from origin

$$\vec{X}^2 = (2b^\dagger b + 1)/eB$$

Angular momenta

$$L_{\text{kin}} = x\Pi_y - y\Pi_x = \Lambda + \Delta$$

$$\Lambda = (x - X)\Pi_y - (y - Y)\Pi_y$$



cyclotron motion

$$= -(2a^\dagger a + 1)$$

$$\Delta = X\Pi_y - Y\Pi_x$$



circular motion of guiding center

$$= \mathrm{i}(a^\dagger b^\dagger - ab)$$

Lowest Landau Level (LLL)

$$\langle J_{\mathrm{kin}} \rangle_{\mathrm{LLL}} = \langle \Lambda + \Delta + S \rangle_{\mathrm{LLL}} = -1/2$$



Lowest Landau Level

$$\langle J_{\mathrm{kin}} \rangle_{\mathrm{LLL}} = \langle \Lambda + \Delta + S \rangle_{\mathrm{LLL}} = -1/2$$

$$\vec{B} \parallel \vec{\Omega}$$



This suggests $\rho = -\dfrac{eB\Omega}{4\pi^2}$

LLL Pressure

Fukushima-Hattori-KM (in prep.)

$$Z = \det\left[\mathcal{D}_0 - \gamma^0 \underline{\Omega(\Lambda + \Delta + S)}\right]$$

$\nu = -\Omega/2$ (LLL)

$$P_{\mathrm{LLL}} = \frac{eB}{2\pi}\int\frac{\mathrm{d}p_z}{2\pi}\left[\ln\left(1+\mathrm{e}^{-\beta(\epsilon-\nu)}\right) + \ln\left(1+\mathrm{e}^{-\beta(\epsilon+\nu)}\right)\right]$$

massless limit $\quad \rho = \frac{\partial P_{\mathrm{LLL}}}{\partial \nu} = -\frac{eB\Omega}{4\pi^2}$

Comparisons

Fukushima-Hattori-Mameda (in prep.)
free energy (LLL)

$$\rho = \frac{eB\Omega}{4\pi^2} - \frac{eB\Omega}{2\pi^2}$$

spin orbit

Ebihara-Fukushima-Mameda (2017)
Chen-Fukushima-Huang-Mameda (2016)
incorrect

$$\rho = \frac{eB\Omega}{4\pi^2} + (\text{divergence w.r.t. AM})$$

due to $\vec{F}_{\text{drift}} = eB\Omega\vec{r}$

Hattori-Yin (2016)
linear responce (LLL)
incorrect

$$\rho = \frac{eB\Omega}{4\pi^2}$$

a mistake found

Yang et. al (2020)   Mameda(2023)
chiral kinetic theory
correct

$$\rho = \frac{eB\Omega}{4\pi^2}$$

no Landau level formed by weak B

Anatomy

for any B | only for strong B

$$\rho = \frac{\partial P_{\mathrm{LLL}}}{\partial \mu} = \underbrace{\frac{eB\Omega}{4\pi^2}}_{\text{spin}} - \underbrace{\frac{eB\Omega}{2\pi^2}}_{\text{orbit}} + \frac{eB\mu}{2\pi^2}$$

$$J = \frac{\partial P_{\mathrm{LLL}}}{\partial \Omega} = \underbrace{\frac{eB\mu}{4\pi^2}}_{\sim J^5_{\mathrm{CSE}}/2} - \frac{eB\mu}{2\pi^2} + \frac{eB\Omega}{8\pi^2}$$

Only the spin part is due to chiral anomaly

Yang-Yamamoto (2021)

Summary

- ✓ reformulate gauge-invariant and stable thermodynamics
- ✓ discover cyclotron contribution to magneto-vortical charge
- ✓ identify the anomaly-related part as the spin contribution
- ✓ kinder to lattice than pure rotation : no boundary effect, homogeneity
- ✓ applicability to various fields

  HIC : spin polarization under strong B

  neutron stars, electron systems, cold atoms, quantum optics