### MIXING, MOATS AND MODULATIONS IN DENSE QCD MATTER

**Fabian Rennecke** 







**XQCD 2024** LANZHOU - 17/07/2024

#### PATTERNS

Regularly repeated arrangements; spatial modulations. Ubiquitous in nature

#### materials



[Robert Fotograf]



[Maksymilian Rose]

#### vegetation



[Somali Water and Land Information Management]

#### THE CHEMICAL BASIS OF MORPHOGENESIS

By A. M. TURING, F.R.S. University of Manchester

(Received 9 November 1951-Revised 15 March 1952)

It is suggested that a system of chemical substances, called morphogens, reacting together and diffusing through a tissue, is adequate to account for the main phenomena of morphogenesis. Such a system, although it may originally be quite homogeneous, may later develop a pattern or structure due to an instability of the homogeneous equilibrium, which is triggered off by random disturbances. Such reaction-diffusion systems are considered in some detail in the case of an isolated ring of cells, a mathematically convenient, though biologically unusual system.

#### reaction-diffusion equation

$$\partial_t \begin{pmatrix} \phi \\ \chi \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} Z_{\phi} \overrightarrow{\nabla}^2 + M_{\phi}^2 & G_1 \\ G_2 & Z_{\chi} \overrightarrow{\nabla}^2 + M_{\chi}^2 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \phi \\ \chi \end{pmatrix}$$



Characterize possible solutions through "Hessian" H. Example: Brusselator model

$$\partial_t \begin{pmatrix} \phi \\ \chi \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{H}(\phi, \chi) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \phi \\ \chi \end{pmatrix}$$



Characterize possible solutions through "Hessian" H. Example: Brusselator model

$$\partial_t \begin{pmatrix} \phi \\ \chi \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{H}(\phi, \chi) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \phi \\ \chi \end{pmatrix}$$





Characterize possible solutions through "Hessian" H. Example: Brusselator model



homogeneous phase

 $\partial_t \begin{pmatrix} \phi \\ \chi \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{H}(\phi, \chi) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \phi \\ \chi \end{pmatrix}$ 



100

Characterize possible solutions through "Hessian" H. Example: Brusselator model





**moat regime: "homogeneous pattern"** [Pisarski, FR (2021)]



Characterize possible solutions through "Hessian" H. Example: Brusselator model





inhomogeneous phase : Turing pattern

instability of homogeneous ground state,  $\det \mathbf{H}(\phi_0,\chi_0) \leq 0$ 



in momentum space



#### PATTERNS IN EXTREME CONDITIONS

We are familiar with patterns under (relatively) normal conditions: flora, fauna, crystals, ... Can they also form under the most extreme conditions in the universe?





in theory:



in theory:



#### in theory:



in nature/experiment:



Experiments:

#### heavy-ion collisions



e.g. gravitational waves



in nature/experiment:



## PATTERN FORMATION IN QCD

Intuition tells us there are two important ingredients for pattern formation:



### DENSE QCD MATTER AND CK SYMMETRY

QCD at finite density:

$$\mathscr{L}_{\rm QCD} = \bar{q} \left( i \gamma^{\mu} D_{\mu} - m_q \right) q - \frac{1}{4} F^{\mu\nu}_{a} F^{a}_{\mu\nu} + i \mu \, \bar{q} \gamma^0 q$$

- charge conjugation symmetry (C) is broken at finite  $\mu$
- retains symmetry under *CK* (*K*: complex conjugation)

$$D_{\mu} = \partial_{\mu} - igA_{\mu}^{a}T^{a}$$
$$F_{\mu\nu}^{a}T^{a} = -\frac{i}{g}[D_{\mu}, D_{\nu}]$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bar{q}q \longrightarrow \bar{q}q \\ C \colon \bar{q}\gamma^{\mu}q \longrightarrow -\bar{q}\gamma^{\mu}q \\ A^{\mu} \longrightarrow -A^{\mu} \end{array}$$

### DENSE QCD MATTER AND CK SYMMETRY

QCD at finite density:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm QCD} = \bar{q} \left( i \gamma^{\mu} D_{\mu} - m_q \right) q - \frac{1}{4} F^{\mu\nu}_a F^a_{\mu\nu} + i \mu \, \bar{q} \gamma^0 q$$

- charge conjugation symmetry (C) is broken at finite  $\mu$
- retains symmetry under *CK* (*K*: complex conjugation)

Intuition from *CK*-extended  $\phi^4$ -theory:

$$\mathscr{L} = \frac{1}{2} (\partial_{\mu} \phi)^2 - \frac{1}{2} m^2 \phi^2 - \lambda \phi^4$$
$$- \frac{1}{2} (\partial_i \omega^0)^2 - \frac{1}{2} m_{\omega} (\omega^0)^2 + ig\phi \omega^0$$

 $D_{\mu} = \partial_{\mu} - igA_{\mu}^{a}T^{a}$  $F_{\mu\nu}^{a}T^{a} = -\frac{i}{g}[D_{\mu}, D_{\nu}]$ 

$$\bar{q}q \longrightarrow \bar{q}q$$
  
 $C: \bar{q}\gamma^{\mu}q \longrightarrow -\bar{q}\gamma^{\mu}q$   
 $A^{\mu} \longrightarrow -A^{\mu}$ 

- scalar field  $\phi$ , vector field  $\omega^{\mu}$
- linear coupling between  $\phi$  and  $\omega^0$ : mixing
- imaginary coupling ig: repulsion
- possesses *CK* symmetry

Non-relativistic EoM is like the reaction-diffusion equation from earlier!

The system has two peculiar features:

non-Hermitian Hessian ( $p_0 = 0$ )  $H = \begin{pmatrix} \vec{p}^2 + m^2 & -ig \\ -ig & \vec{p}^2 + m_w^2 \end{pmatrix}$ 

modified dispersion of  $\phi$ from integrating-out  $\omega^0$  $E^2(\vec{p}^2) = \vec{p}^2 + m^2 + \frac{g^2}{\vec{p}^2 + m_{\omega}^2}$ 

[Schindler, Schindler, Medina, Ogilvie (2019)]

#### **MODIFIED DISPERSION**

in the small-momentum regime:

$$E^{2}(\vec{p}^{2}) = \left(1 - \frac{g^{2}}{m_{\omega}^{4}}\right) \vec{p}^{2} + \frac{g^{2}}{m_{\omega}^{6}}\vec{p}^{4} + m^{2} + \frac{g^{2}}{m_{\omega}^{2}} + \mathcal{O}(\vec{p}^{6})$$



 $E^2 = \det H$ z < 0 for strong repulsive mixing: moat regime

[Pisarski, FR (2021)]

#### **MODIFIED HESSIAN**

Diagonalize to get physical degrees of freedom

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} \vec{p}^2 + m^2 & -ig \\ -ig & \vec{p}^2 + m_{\omega}^2 \end{pmatrix} \longrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \vec{p}^2 + M_+^2 & 0 \\ 0 & \vec{p}^2 + M_-^2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad M_{\pm} = \frac{m^2 + m_{\omega}^2}{2} \pm \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{(m^2 - m_{\omega}^2)^2 - 4g^2}$$

strong repulsive mixing: eigenvalues/masses come in complex conjugate pairs (follows from CK symmetry)

These masses determine screening properties of the physical fields

$$\lim_{r\to\infty} \left\langle \chi(r)\chi(0) \right\rangle \sim e^{-Mr}$$

• real M: ordinary exponential decay of disordered fields

• complex M: spatial modulations ~  $e^{-\operatorname{Re}[M]r} \sin(\operatorname{Im}[M]r)$ 



#### MIXING, MOATS AND MODULATIONS IN QCD

The intuition from this simple model is directly applicable to QCD!

#### MIXING, MOATS AND MODULATIONS IN QCD

Extensive repulsive mixing in QCD at finite density from fundamental interactions between quarks

[Haensch, FR, von Smekal (2023)]



- chiral condensate  $\sigma$  (chiral symmetry breaking)
- Polyakov loops  $L, \overline{L}$  (confinement)
- density mode  $\omega^0$  (*C*-symmetry breaking)

Eigenvalues of the Hessian at  $T = T_{CEP}$  in a low-energy model (PQM model with vector repulsion)



## MIXING, MOATS AND MODULATIONS IN QCD

The QCD phase diagram



[Fu, Pawlowski, FR (2019)]

indication for extended region with z < 0 in QCD: moat regime

#### FROM HOMOGENEOUS TO TOURING PATTERNS

The energy gap might close at lower T and larger  $\mu_B$ :



 $\mu$ 

### **TYPES OF PATTERNS**

Will an inhomogeneous instability automatically lead to Touring patterns?

No: formation of inhomogeneous phases depends on dynamics of soft (massless) modes.

fluctuation-induced instabilities of inhomogeneous phases

other types of phases possible (possibly without long-range order, but always patterned)

![](_page_24_Figure_5.jpeg)

#### WHERE DO WE EXPECT PATTERNS?

![](_page_25_Figure_1.jpeg)

patterns are expected in the "unknown" region of the phase diagram

this will be covered by future fixed target experiments

search for patterns in heavy-ion collisions!

### **SEARCH FOR PATTERNS IN HICS**

intuitive idea: [Pisarski, FR (2021)]

Characteristic feature of patterns: modes with minimal energy at nonzero momentum  $\Rightarrow$  enhanced particle production at nonzero momentum

![](_page_26_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_4.jpeg)

look for signatures in the momentum dependence of particle correlations

#### **SPECTRA & INTERFERENCE**

experiments count particles *particle number correlations* 

• compute **particle spectra**, e.g.,

$$n_{1}(\mathbf{p}) = \omega_{\mathbf{p}} \left\langle \hat{N}_{1} \right\rangle = \omega_{\mathbf{p}} \left\langle a_{\mathbf{p}}^{\dagger} a_{\mathbf{p}} \right\rangle$$
$$n_{2}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}) = \omega_{\mathbf{p}} \omega_{\mathbf{q}} \left\langle \hat{N}_{1} \hat{N}_{2} \right\rangle = \omega_{\mathbf{p}} \omega_{\mathbf{q}} \left\langle a_{\mathbf{p}}^{\dagger} a_{\mathbf{p}} a_{\mathbf{q}}^{\dagger} a_{\mathbf{q}} \right\rangle$$

- most elementary correlation: interference (follows from identical particles; no other fluctuations necessary)
- interference from two-particle scattering: encoded in  $n_2$
- Gaussian approximation captures relevant effects:

$$n_{2}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}) \sim \langle a_{\mathbf{p}}^{\dagger} a_{\mathbf{p}} \rangle \langle a_{\mathbf{q}}^{\dagger} a_{\mathbf{q}} \rangle + \left| \langle a_{\mathbf{p}}^{\dagger} a_{\mathbf{q}} \rangle \right|^{2} + \left| \langle a_{\mathbf{p}} a_{\mathbf{q}} \rangle \right|^{2}$$

$$= n_{1}(\mathbf{p}) n_{1}(\mathbf{q}) + \left| n_{1}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}) \right|^{2} + \left| \bar{n}_{1}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}) \right|^{2}$$
particle-particle interference particle interference (negligible here)

study interference in a moat regime

#### **INTERFERENCE ON A HYPERSURFACE**

![](_page_28_Figure_1.jpeg)

Interference in local thermal equilibrium (fluctuation-dissipation relation + sufficiently isotropic system)

average and relative pair momentum  

$$single-particle distribution, e.g., Bose-Einstein$$

$$n_1(\mathbf{P}, \Delta \mathbf{P}) = \frac{1}{2} \int d\Sigma_X e^{-i\overline{\Delta P} \cdot X} \int \frac{dP_{\parallel}}{2\pi} \left[ \left( P_{\parallel} + \overline{P}_{\parallel} \right)^2 - \frac{1}{4} \overline{\Delta P}_{\parallel}^2 \right] f(X; P_{\parallel}, \mathbf{P}_{\perp}) \rho(X; P_{\parallel}, \mathbf{P}_{\perp})$$
average position

in-medium effects enter through *P*-dependence of the spectral function  $\rho(x, y) = \langle [\phi(x), \phi(y)] \rangle$ 

#### **SPECTRAL FUNCTION IN A MOAT REGIME**

HBT correlation determined by spectral function

![](_page_29_Figure_2.jpeg)

### **TWO-PARTICLE SPECTRUM**

Compute in an illustrative model

- moat quasi-particle with  $k_0 = 100 \,\mathrm{MeV}$
- hypersurface at fixed proper time

![](_page_30_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Figure_6.jpeg)

### **TWO-PARTICLE SPECTRUM**

Compute in an illustrative model

- moat quasi-particle with  $k_0 = 100 \,\mathrm{MeV}$
- hypersurface at fixed proper time

![](_page_31_Figure_4.jpeg)

minimal energy leads to

peak in spectral function

correlation peaks at

 $|{\bf P}| = k_0$ 

![](_page_31_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Figure_7.jpeg)

# NORMALIZED TV<sub>1.2</sub>

Usually measured in experiments:

We propose to look at ratios:  $C_{out}$ ,  $C_{out}$ , C

![](_page_32_Figure_3.jpeg)

100

 $-\frac{1}{2}\Delta \mathbf{P}$ 

100

200

<sup>´</sup>50

![](_page_32_Figure_4.jpeg)

#### **MORE SIGNALS FROM THE MOAT REGIME**

Here: 2-particle correlation from identical particle interference in a moat regime But qualitative result appears to be generic

![](_page_33_Figure_2.jpeg)

• work in progress: dilepton production [Nussinov, Ogilvie, Pannullo, Pisarski, FR, Schindler, Winstel]

peak position related to wavenumber of modulation

#### **SUMMARY**

#### Mixing, moats and modulations likely in dense QCD matter

- directly related to pattern formation
- generic features of systems with C-breaking and competing attraction and repulsion

#### ... expected to occur in HIC range

- characteristic enhancement of correlations at finite momentum
- need to understand (and find more) possible experimental signatures

![](_page_34_Picture_7.jpeg)

#### ... relevant for neutron stars

nuclear pasta [Caplan, Horowith (2017)]

#### ... but details not well understood

mostly mean-field results, but fluctuations decide which phases are actually realized