

### Probing entanglement in top quark production with the CMS detector

Giulia Negro on behalf of the CMS Collaboration

> LHC TOP WG meeting 26 April 2024





## Entanglement at the LHC

- Fundamental predictions of Quantum Mechanics:
  - entangled states cannot be described by independent superpositions
  - measuring particle spin in an entangled system immediately reveals the spin state of the second particle
- A lot of measurements with electrons and photons already performed



Nobel Prize in 2022 for Aspect, Clauser, and Zeilinger

• First observation of entanglement in tt by ATLAS at the end of last year

#### arXiv:2311.07288

• Now also with CMS!

<u>CMS-PAS-TOP-23-001</u>		
Available on the CERN CDS information server	CMS PAS TOP-23-001	
CMS Physics Analysis Summary		
Contact: cms-pag-conveners-top@cern.ch	2024/04/01	
Probing entanglement in top quark production with the CMS detector		
The CMS Collaboration		



# Entanglement of top quarks

- Top quark = ideal candidate for spin measurements:
  - extremely short lifetime allows measuring polarization and spin correlation in tt production
  - **spin information is preserved** in the angular distribution of its decay products



- Entanglement present in top quark pairs can be measured using spin correlations variables
- Entanglement depends on production mode,  $m_{t\bar{t}}$ , scattering angle of the top quark ( $\Theta$ )



Afik, De Nova Eur. Phys. J. Plus **136**, 907

### How to probe entanglement

At the LHC, top quarks are produced in a mixed state
 → can be represented as a density operator:

$$\rho = \frac{I_4 + \Sigma_i \left( B_i^+ \sigma^i \otimes I_2 + B_i^- I_2 \otimes \sigma^i \right) + \Sigma_{i,j} C_{ij} \sigma^i \otimes \sigma^j}{4}$$

- $B^{+/-}$  = 3-vectors characterizing degree of top quark/antiquark polarization
- C = 3x3 matrix characterizing top quark and antiquark spin correlations
- Peres-Horodecki criterion:

Peres, <u>Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 1413</u> Horodecki, <u>Phys. Lett. A 232, 5</u>

if a state is separable (i.e., non-entangled), the transpose with respect to a subspace of the density operator is positive definite  $\rightarrow$  a state is non-separable (i.e., entangled) if this condition doesn't hold

→ top quarks are entangled in a certain phase space if at least one eigenvalue is < 0

#### How to probe entanglement

• Peres-Horodecki criterion: using simpler observables, a sufficient condition to observe entanglement in top quarks is:

$$\Delta = C_{33} + |C_{11} + C_{22}| - 1 > 0$$
 Eur. Phys. J. Plus 136, 907

- At low  $m_{t\bar{t}}$ ,  $C_{11} > 0$  and  $C_{22} > 0 \rightarrow \Delta + 1 = tr[C] > 1$
- tr[C] can be probed from a single-differential cross section:

$$\frac{1}{\sigma} \frac{d\sigma}{d\cos\varphi} = \frac{1}{2}(1 - D\cos\varphi) \qquad D = -\frac{\operatorname{tr}[C]}{3} \to (D < -1/3) \qquad \text{for } d\cos\varphi$$

Sufficient condition or entanglement !

→ measure D to access entanglement information in top quark events!

5

- cos φ = ℓ̂<sub>1</sub> · ℓ̂<sub>2</sub> is the opening angle between leptons in parent top rest frame
  → most sensitive and experimentally well measured
  - $\rightarrow$  focus of entanglement measurement

observable



# Analysis strategy

6

- The degree of entanglement is highly phase space-dependent
  - scan of  $\cos \Theta$  vs  $m_{t\bar{t}}$  to determine most sensitive phase space while minimizing expected total uncertainties
- Focus on low-mass region ( $345 < m_{t\bar{t}} < 400$  GeV) to increase entanglement
  - threshold region dominated by gg
  - maximal sensitivity with high statistics
- Cut on velocity along the beam line of the tt system to increase  $gg/q\bar{q}$  fraction:

Aguilar-Saavedra,  
Casas 
$$\beta = |\frac{p_z^t + p_{\overline{z}}^{\overline{t}}}{E^t + E^{\overline{t}}}| < 0.9$$
  
arXiv:2205.00542

$$\frac{205.00542}{E^{i} + E^{i}}$$

- Use leptonic final states to measure the helicity angle  $\cos \varphi = \hat{\ell}_1 \cdot \hat{\ell}_2$ 
  - fully encapsulates the spin correlations information for gg fusion production at low mass
- Perform a profile maximum likelihood fit of the  $\cos \varphi$  distribution in the  $m_{t\bar{t}}$   $\beta$  signal region

 $gg \to t\bar{t}$  $m(t\bar{t})$  [GeV]  $(1+\Delta)/3$ Entangled 10<sup>3</sup> -0.4 -0.6  $6 \times 10^{2}$ 1/3 -0.8 4 × 1🕰 0.75 1.00 -0.50 -0.25 0.00 0.25 -0.75 0.50

 $\cos \Theta$ 





# Threshold region

- Mis-modeling at a level of ~10% seen for  $m_{t\bar{t}}$  ~345 GeV ( $m_{e\mu}$  < 50 GeV)
- Consistent between dilepton and lepton+jets analyses in both CMS and ATLAS



# Threshold region

- Mis-modeling at a level of ~10% seen for  $m_{t\bar{t}}$  ~345 GeV ( $m_{e\mu}$  < 50 GeV)
- Consistent between dilepton and lepton+jets analyses in both CMS and ATLAS
- NRQCD contributions close to threshold
  - spin and color singlet state ( $\eta_t$ ): maximally entangled *toponium*
- Excess seen could come from toponium ?

→ inclusion of toponium ( $\eta_t$ ) contributions in our signal model using simplistic model based on Phys Rev D 104 034023

> Toponium = predicted top quark-antiquark quasi-bound state with a mass of 343 GeV and width of 7 GeV



JHEP 06, 158

# Dataset and signal model

- Current analysis = extension of 2016 top quark spin correlations analysis in dilepton events
- 35.9 fb<sup>-1</sup> of data @13 TeV collected in 2016
- Combined signal model:  $t\bar{t}$  + toponium ( $\eta_t$ )
  - PowhegBox+Pythia8 as nominal tt sample
  - PowhegBox+Herwig and MG5 aMC@NLO(+MadSpin) [FxFx] as alternatives tt samples
  - $\eta_t$  improves data modeling in the threshold region
    - only spin-0  $\eta_t$  accounted (colour singlet pseudoscalar state) [PRD 104 (2021) 034023]
    - toponium model generated with MG5 aMC@NLO(LO)+Pythia8 with  $337 < m_{n_{\star}} < 349 \text{ GeV}$
- Main background sources:
  - Z+jets (MG5\_aMC@NLO + data-driven corrections)
  - single top (Powheg MC)
  - diboson (Pythia8 MC)



Phys. Rev. D 100 (2019) 072002

## **Event selection**

- Current analysis = extension of 2016 top quark spin correlations analysis in dilepton events
  - same strategy for event selection, kinematic reconstruction, and background estimation
  - optimized sensitivity for entanglement measurement
- 2 oppositely charged isolated leptons (ee, eµ and μµ)
  - including also leptons from tau decays (different from 2016 analysis)
  - $p_T$  > 25(20) GeV, for leading(trailing) lepton and  $|\eta|$  < 2.4
  - veto events with more than two leptons
  - reject events with  $m_{\ell\bar{\ell}}$  < 20 GeV
  - single lepton + dilepton triggers
- ≥ 2 jets (R=0.4), >=1 b jet
  - $p_T$  > 30 GeV and  $|\eta|$  < 2.4
  - jet cleaning:  $\Delta R(\ell, jet) > 0.4$
- ee, µµ channels:
  - $E_{\text{miss}}^T > 40 \text{ GeV}$
  - Z veto:  $|m_Z m_{\ell \bar{\ell}}| > 15 \text{ GeV}$
- Top quark reconstruction with  $m_{\mathcal{C}b}$  weighting method
  - take solution with smallest  $m_{t\bar{t}}$



#### Phys. Rev. D 100 (2019) 072002



 $\cos \varphi$ 

## Extraction of entanglement proxy

- The entanglement proxy *D* is extracted with a template fit
  - all systematic effects included as nuisances
- How can we create variations of *D* outside of SM?
  - 1. generate top quark pairs with no spin correlations  $\rightarrow D = 0$  (noSC samples)
  - 2. create new samples with mixtures of SM and noSC to obtain  $D \in [D_{SM}, 0]$
  - 3. extend the fit for variations of  $[-1, D_{SM}]$
- Use mixtures of SC and noSC to change fraction of tt with aligned vs opposite spins
   → any value of D between -1 and +1 can be reached

$$\mathsf{D} \sim \frac{\sigma(\uparrow\uparrow) + \sigma(\downarrow\downarrow) - \sigma(\uparrow\downarrow) - \sigma(\downarrow\uparrow)}{\sigma(\uparrow\uparrow) + \sigma(\downarrow\downarrow) + \sigma(\uparrow\downarrow) + \sigma(\downarrow\uparrow)}$$



## Systematic uncertainties

- Current analysis = extension of 2016 top quark spin correlations analysis in dilepton events
  - same uncertainties considered + additional ones for toponium:
    - a flat uncertainty of 50% is applied on toponium
    - a binding energy uncertainty of ±0.5 GeV is considered
- Breakdown of leading syst. unc. in the entanglement proxy D at the post-fit level
- Leading experimental uncertainties:
  - Jet energy scale and resolution
- Leading theory-based uncertainties:
  - Toponium normalization
  - Parton Shower

Source	Uncertainty
	D
JES	10.1%
Toponium normalization	10.1%
Parton Shower (ISR)	6.3%
Scale	1.8%
Parton Shower (FSR)	1.2%
JER	0.9%
Z+jets shape	0.8%
b quark fragmentation	0.4%
tt normalization	0.3%
PDF	0.3%

#### Results

- Result of the binned profile likelihood fit of the  $\cos \phi$  distribution
  - ~47500 signal candidates
- Good agreement with SM predictions



#### Results

• Scan of the  $-2\Delta lnL$  distribution yields D at parton level, accounting for all detector effects



#### Results

• Scan of the  $-2\Delta lnL$  distribution yields D at parton level, accounting for all detector effects

 $D_{obs} = -0.478 \pm 0.017(\text{stat})^{+0.018}_{-0.021}(\text{syst})$ 

$$D_{exp} = -0.465^{+0.016}_{-0.017}(\text{stat})^{+0.019}_{-0.022}(\text{syst})$$

#### >5 standard deviations observation of top quarks being entangled at tt threshold !

- Good agreement with SM predictions
  - significantly improved with  $\eta_t$  inclusion



## Conclusions

- First observation of entanglement between top quarks with CMS data
- One of few quantum information studies in high energy physics
- Even in presence of a "toponium" bound state, we confirm the existence of entanglement in the tt system
- See next talk from Yoav for detailed comparison to ATLAS measurement







