# Reliability Model of Critical TSU Failure – Missing the Triggering

**TSU CONS Reliability Study Progress Meeting #9** 



# **List of contents**

1. TSU Simplified Analytical Model

#### 2. Failure rates

- 1. Input Signals
- 2. Synchronous Paths
- 3. Asynchronous Paths
- 3. Simulation Results
- 4. Remaining questions



# **TSU Missing Triggering Model** Discussed in the previous meetings



FR=1

- 1. Failing to receive the trigger from the external sources.
- 2. Issue during "processing" of the trigger inside the TSU.
- 3. Failing to trigger any of the 2 synchronous paths.
- 4. Failing to trigger any of the 2 asynchronous paths.
- 5. LBDS critical malfunction.



# **TSU Simplified Analytical Model**

Simplifying calculations through pessimistic assumptions





# Failure rates Input Signals

Applicable failure modes:

- BLM always TRUE 2.89 FITS
- BETS always TRUE 0.67 FITS
- BIS always TRUE 0.67 FITS
- T1/T2 always TRUE 0.5 FITS
  - Only problematic when another failure occurs.

#### Total: 4.3 FITS.





# **Failure rates** Synchronous Paths

Applicable failure modes:

- Loss of SBDT A 30.3 FITS
  - Fuse F3 20 FITS
- Loss of SBDT B 28.7 FITS
  - Fuses F4 20 FITS
- Loss of SBDT A&B 1.0 FITS
  - In the simplified model there is just one path (to only one TFO) anyways.

#### Total: 31.3 FITS (SBDT A + SBDT A&B)





## **Failure rates** Asynchronous Path

Applicable failure modes:

• "Loss of ABDT path" – 4.9 FITS.

- Additional potentially relevant failure modes
  - Comment "Loss of ABDT path"
  - However, causing immediate synchronous or asynchronous dump
  - Total of 49.8 FITS.





# Simulation Results Of the Hybrid MC Model



#### **Reliability requirement:**

No more than 10% probability of a failure after 1,000 years.

#### **Assumptions:**

- Simulations of lifetimes lasting 1,000 years
  - A single year is assumed to have 6,000 operational hours.
  - Within that time, there are multiple "missions" ended by triggers.
    - Mission lenghts are sampled according to the value on the x axis.
- Each component is repaired after a trigger (i.e., mission).



## **Conclusions**

- The hybrid MC model shows that the system meets the reliability requirement.
  - With significant margins.
- No single points of failure identified in the analysis.
- There is reasonable confidence in the reliability of the system even with 1 year long missions.
  - Critical since the number of triggering does not impact the failure rates in the models, but decreases the testing frequency.
  - Shown by results of both, hybrid MC model and exact model.
  - Frequent testing/IPOC monitoring remain important though to avoid failure buildups, 2nd order failures, etc. (as was only partially in the scope of the FMECA and simulations).
- **Next step**: hybrid MC model of the asynchronous dumps.



# **Remaining questions**

- Failure modes causing "Loss of ABDT path" but triggering asynchronous or synchronous dumps – can they be danegrous?
- Can a "VPSOK always TRUE" failure mode result in missing a trigger?
- Where is the discrepancy between failure rates assigned to "Loss of SBDT A" (10.3 FITS) and "Loss of SBDT B" (8.7 FITS) coming from?
  - R175 Open causing loss of SBDT A path, while corresponding R194 is #N/A
  - R109 param. change causing loss of SBDT A path, while corresponding R125 no effect







home.cern