The Renormalization Group for Large-Scale Structure (RGforLSS)

Henrique Rubira

(Cambridge/LMU fellow)





With Fabian Schmidt and Charalampos Nikolis

2307.15031, 2404.16929, 2405.21002

Parma, November 2024

Message to take home

We derive the Callan-Symanzik equation for the galaxy bias+stochastic+PNG parameters

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{db_{\delta}}{d\Lambda} &= -\left[\frac{68}{21}b_{\delta^2} + 3b_{\delta^3}^* - \frac{4}{3}b_{\mathcal{G}_2\delta}^*\right]\frac{d\sigma_{\Lambda}^2}{d\Lambda},\\ \frac{db_{\delta^2}}{d\Lambda} &= -\left[\frac{8126}{2205}b_{\delta^2} + \frac{17}{7}b_{\delta^3}^* - \frac{376}{105}b_{\mathcal{G}_2\delta}^* + b_{n=4}^{*(\delta^2)}\right]\frac{d\sigma_{\Lambda}^2}{d\Lambda}\\ \frac{db_{\mathcal{G}_2}}{d\Lambda} &= -\left[\frac{254}{2205}b_{\delta^2} + \frac{116}{105}b_{\mathcal{G}_2\delta}^* + b_{n=4}^{*(\mathcal{G}_2)}\right]\frac{d\sigma_{\Lambda}^2}{d\Lambda}.\end{aligned}$$

Many things to explore:

- Systematic construction of operator basis,
- Systematic renormalization,
- Cross-checks,
- More information from galaxy clustering (to be investigated)



9

The future

1) Higher n-point functions and higher-loops

- We need: quick loop computations (e.g. COBRA from Bakx, Chisari, Vlah)
- Why? Inflation, neutrinos, DE, mediators, smaller scales, ...

2) Field-level (

- all n-pt function tower
- Difficulties: many degrees of freedom (convergence), hard noise-modelling

3) Other statistics and Multi-tracing

- 4) **Priors**
- 5) **Beyond-LCDM**: new interaction vertex
- 6) Other observables: Lyman-alpha, intensity maps, lensing

7) Theoretical pathway: the RGforLSS



New Physics from Galaxy Clustering III

Nov 4–8, 2024 Centro Congressi S. Elisabetta, Parma Europe/Rome timezone

Part I - Preamble(s)

How things change with scale? (from food to galaxies)





QFT101

Renormalization group: coupling constants evolve with the cutoff ("flow").

Observables don't depend on the cutoff!



Callan-Symanzik equation:

$$\frac{\partial g}{\partial \ln \mu} = \beta(g)$$

QED:
$$\beta(e) = \frac{e^3}{12\pi^2}$$

QCD: $\beta(g) = -\left(11 - \frac{n_s}{6} - \frac{2n_f}{3}\right) \frac{g^3}{16\pi^2}$

The galaxy bias expansion





From Illustris simulation, Haiden, Steinhauser, Vogelsberger, Genel, Springel, Torrey, Hernquist, 15

(a) dark matter

(b) baryons

Stochastic field

$$\delta_g(\boldsymbol{x},\tau) \equiv \frac{n_g(\boldsymbol{x},\tau)}{\bar{n}_g(\tau)} - 1 = \sum_O \begin{bmatrix} b_O(\tau) + c_{\epsilon,O}(\tau) \epsilon(\boldsymbol{x},\tau) \end{bmatrix} O(\boldsymbol{x},\tau) + \epsilon(\boldsymbol{x},\tau)$$
Bias

Bias review: Desjacques, Jeong, Schmidt

Part II - Renormalization in LSS

Renormalizing the bias parameters

$$\delta_g(\boldsymbol{x},\tau) \equiv \frac{n_g(\boldsymbol{x},\tau)}{\bar{n}_g(\tau)} - 1 = \sum_O \left[b_O(\tau) + c_{\epsilon,O}(\tau) \epsilon(\boldsymbol{x},\tau) \right] O(\boldsymbol{x},\tau) + \epsilon(\boldsymbol{x},\tau)$$

$$O[\delta](\boldsymbol{k}) = \int_{\boldsymbol{p}_1,...,\boldsymbol{p}_n} \delta_{\mathrm{D}}(\boldsymbol{k} - \boldsymbol{p}_{1...n}) S_O(\boldsymbol{p}_1,\ldots,\boldsymbol{p}_n) \delta(\boldsymbol{p}_1) \cdots \delta(\boldsymbol{p}_n)$$

First order: δ ;Second order: δ^2 , \mathcal{G}_2 ;Third order: δ^3 , $\delta \mathcal{G}_2$, Γ_3 , \mathcal{G}_3 ;

Contribution from arbitrarily small scales!

Renormalizing the bias parameters

$$\delta_{g}(\boldsymbol{x},\tau) \equiv \frac{n_{g}(\boldsymbol{x},\tau)}{\bar{n}_{g}(\tau)} - 1 = \sum_{O} \left[b_{O}^{\Lambda}(\tau) + c_{\epsilon,O}^{\Lambda}(\tau) \frac{\Lambda}{\epsilon(\boldsymbol{x},\tau)} \right] \frac{\Lambda}{O(\boldsymbol{x},\tau)} + \frac{\Lambda}{\epsilon(\boldsymbol{x},\tau)} + \frac{\Lambda}{\epsilon(\boldsymbol$$

$$D[\delta](\boldsymbol{k}) = \int_{\boldsymbol{p}_1,...,\boldsymbol{p}_n}^{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}} \delta_{\mathrm{D}}(\boldsymbol{k} - \boldsymbol{p}_{1...n}) S_O(\boldsymbol{p}_1,\ldots,\boldsymbol{p}_n) \delta(\boldsymbol{p}_1) \cdots \delta(\boldsymbol{p}_n)$$

Notation: $[[O]] = O^{\Lambda}_{+\text{counter-terms}(\Lambda)}$ How to determine the renormalization condition? First order: δ ;Second order: δ^2 , \mathcal{G}_2 ;Third order: δ^3 , $\delta \mathcal{G}_2$, Γ_3 , \mathcal{G}_3 ;

Contribution from arbitrarily small scales!

Motivation

RENORMALIZATION AND EFFECTIVE LAGRANGIANS

Joseph POLCHINSKI*

Lyman Laboratory of Physics, Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138, USA

Received 27 April 1983

1. Introduction

The understanding of renormalization has advanced greatly in the past two decades. Originally it was just a means of removing infinities from perturbative calculations. The question of why nature should be described by a renormalizable theory was not addressed. These were simply the only theories in which calculations could be done.

A great improvement comes when one takes seriously the idea of a physical cutoff at a very large energy scale Λ . The theory at energies above Λ could be another field

Intuition time

Take a box, smoothed on some scale Λ and measure b_O



*Technically, we are talking about operators constructed from differently the smoothed initial condition. But this picture should work as an intuition

Intuition time

In the same box, smooth on a new scale Λ and measure b_O



Intuition time

You can measure $b_O(\Lambda)$

Extra cross-check: If the running does not match the theoretical prediction, something is missing



Does this scaling of the bias parameters carry information? (Open question, I don't know the answer)

Part III - The Wilson-Polchinski path integral approach

Warning (and apologies in advance): next 2 slides will be technical, they are just there to trigger interest

The bias partition function (based on Carroll, Leichenauer, Pollack, 13)

$$\mathcal{Z}[J_{\Lambda}] = \int \mathcal{D}\delta_{\Lambda}^{(1)} \mathcal{P}[\delta_{\Lambda}^{(1)}] \exp\left(\int_{\mathbf{k}} J_{\Lambda}(\mathbf{k}) \left[\sum_{O} b_{O}^{\Lambda} O[\delta_{\Lambda}^{(1)}](-\mathbf{k})\right] \frac{\text{Single-current}}{\text{term}} + \frac{1}{2} P_{\epsilon}^{\Lambda} \int_{\mathbf{k}} J_{\Lambda}(\mathbf{k}) J_{\Lambda}(-\mathbf{k}) + \mathcal{O}[J_{\Lambda}^{2} \delta_{\Lambda}^{(1)}, J_{\Lambda}^{3}]\right)$$
Double-current term captures stochasticity source

N-point correlators evaluated as:

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{Z}}{\partial J_{\Lambda} \dots \partial J_{\Lambda}} \bigg|_{J_{\Lambda}=0}$$

See Cabass, Schmidt 19

The shell consider a very thin shell with width: $\Lambda = \Lambda' - \lambda$ Henrique Rubira **expansion** (Wilson formalism)
$$\begin{split}
\mathbb{Z}[J_{\Lambda}] = \int \mathcal{D}\delta_{\Lambda}^{(1)}\mathcal{P}[\delta_{\Lambda}^{(1)}] \exp\left(\int_{k} J_{\Lambda}(k) \left[\sum_{O} b_{O}^{\Lambda'} \Phi[\delta_{\Lambda}^{(1)}](-k)\right] \\
&+ \frac{1}{2} P_{\epsilon}^{\Lambda'} \int_{k} J_{\Lambda}(k) J_{\Lambda}(-k) + \mathcal{O}[J_{\Lambda}^{2} \delta_{\Lambda}^{(1)}, J_{\Lambda}^{3}] \right)
\end{split}$$

The shell
expansion
(Wilson formalism)

$$\mathbb{Z}[J_{\Lambda}] = \int \mathcal{D}\delta_{\Lambda}^{(1)}\mathcal{P}[\delta_{\Lambda}^{(1)}] \exp\left(\int_{k} J_{\Lambda}(k) \left[\sum_{O} b_{O}^{\Lambda'} \mathcal{P}[\delta_{\Lambda}^{(1)}](-k)\right] + \frac{1}{2} \int_{k,k'} J_{\Lambda}(k) \left[\sum_{O} b_{O}^{\Lambda'} \mathcal{P}[\delta_{\Lambda}^{(1)}](-k) + \mathcal{O}[J_{\Lambda}^{2}\delta_{\Lambda}^{(1)}](-k)\right] + \frac{1}{2} \int_{k,k'} J_{\Lambda}(k) \left[\sum_{O} b_{O}^{\Lambda'} \mathcal{P}[\delta_{\Lambda}^{(1)}](-k) + \mathcal{O}[J_{\Lambda}^{2}\delta_{\Lambda}^{(1)}](-k)\right] + \frac{1}{2} \int_{k,k'} J_{\Lambda}(k) \left[\sum_{O} b_{O}^{\Lambda'} \mathcal{O}[\delta_{\Lambda}^{(1)}](-k) + \mathcal{O}[J_{\Lambda}^{2}\delta_{\Lambda}^{(1)}](-k) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{k,k'} J_{\Lambda}(k) \left[\sum_{O} b_{O}^{\Lambda'} \mathcal{O}[\delta_{\Lambda}^{(1)}](-k) + \mathcal{O}[J_{\Lambda}^{2}\delta_{\Lambda}^{(1)}](-k) + \dots\right] + \frac{1}{2} \int_{k,k'} J_{\Lambda}(k) J_{\Lambda}(k') \sum_{O,O'} b_{O}^{\Lambda'} b_{O'}^{\Lambda'} \left[S_{OO'}^{11} [\delta_{\Lambda}^{(1)}](-k) + \dots\right] + \mathcal{O}[J_{\Lambda}^{2}\delta_{\Lambda}^{(1)}], J_{\Lambda}^{3}]\right)$$

The shell
expansion
(Wilson formalism)

$$\mathbb{Z}[J_{\Lambda}] = \int \mathcal{D}\delta_{\Lambda}^{(1)}\mathcal{P}[\delta_{\Lambda}^{(1)}] \exp\left(\int_{k} J_{\Lambda}(k) \left[\sum_{O} b_{O}^{O} \phi[\delta_{\Lambda}^{(1)}](-k)\right]\right] \qquad \text{Henrique Rubira}$$

$$\mathbb{Z}[J_{\Lambda}] = \int \mathcal{D}\delta_{\Lambda}^{(1)}\mathcal{P}[\delta_{\Lambda}^{(1)}] \exp\left(\int_{k} J_{\Lambda}(k) \left[\sum_{O} b_{O}^{O} \phi[\delta_{\Lambda}^{(1)}](-k)\right]\right] \qquad \text{The running of the bias/stochastic operators is done connecting both cutoff}$$
What appears after integrating out the shell

$$\mathbb{Y}\left(1 + \int_{k} J_{\Lambda}(k) \left[\sum_{O} b_{O}^{O'} \left[S_{O}^{(1)}[(-k) + S_{O}^{2}[\delta_{\Lambda}^{(1)}](-k) + ...\right]\right]\right) \qquad \text{Bias corrections}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \int_{k,k'} J_{\Lambda}(k) J_{\Lambda}(k') \sum_{O,O'} b_{O'}^{\Lambda'} b_{O'}^{\Lambda'} \left[S_{OO'}^{(1)}[(k,k') + ...\right] \qquad S_{O}^{(n)}$$



Example...



$$\begin{split} & \mathcal{S}_{\delta^2}^2[\delta_{\Lambda}^{(1)}](\boldsymbol{k}) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{68}{21} \delta^{(1+2)}(\boldsymbol{k}) + \frac{8126}{2205} \delta^2(\boldsymbol{k}) \end{bmatrix}^{(2)} + \frac{254}{2205} \mathcal{G}_2^{(2)}(\boldsymbol{k}) \end{bmatrix} \int \frac{p^2 dp}{2\pi^2} P_{\text{shell}}(p) \\ & + \text{higher derivative (h.d.)} + \mathcal{O}\left[\left(\delta_{\Lambda}^{(1)} \right)^3 \right] \,, \end{split}$$

$$\int_{p} P_{\text{shell}}(p) = \int_{\Lambda}^{\Lambda+\lambda} \frac{p^{2} dp}{2\pi^{2}} P_{\text{L}}(p) = \frac{d\sigma_{\Lambda}^{2}}{d\Lambda} \Big|_{\Lambda} \lambda + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{2}),$$

$$\underbrace{\frac{d\sigma_{\Lambda}^{2}}{d\Lambda}}{\delta_{\text{Linear}}}$$

Results

$$\frac{d}{d\Lambda}b_O(\Lambda) = -\frac{d\sigma_{\Lambda}^2}{d\Lambda} \sum_{O'} s_{O'}^O b_{O'}(\Lambda) \,,$$

$$J^1$$
 $(b_{\delta}) - (b_{\delta^2}) - (b_{\mathrm{H.O}})$

$s^O_{O'}$	δ	δ^2	\mathcal{G}_2	δ^3	\mathcal{G}_3	Γ_3	$\delta \mathcal{G}_2$
1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
δ	-	68/21	-	3	-	-	-4/3
δ^2	-	8126/2205	-	68/7	-	-	-376/105
\mathcal{G}_2	-	254/2205	-	-	-	-	116/105

Solutions

Wilson-Polchinski RG-equations

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{db_{\delta}}{d\Lambda} &= -\left[\frac{68}{21}b_{\delta^2} + 3b_{\delta^3}^* - \frac{4}{3}b_{\mathcal{G}_2\delta}^*\right]\frac{d\sigma_{\Lambda}^2}{d\Lambda},\\ \frac{db_{\delta^2}}{d\Lambda} &= -\left[\frac{8126}{2205}b_{\delta^2} + \frac{17}{7}b_{\delta^3}^* - \frac{376}{105}b_{\mathcal{G}_2\delta}^* + b_{n=4}^{*(\delta^2)}\right]\frac{d\sigma_{\Lambda}^2}{d\Lambda},\\ \frac{db_{\mathcal{G}_2}}{d\Lambda} &= -\left[\frac{254}{2205}b_{\delta^2} + \frac{116}{105}b_{\mathcal{G}_2\delta}^* + b_{n=4}^{*(\mathcal{G}_2)}\right]\frac{d\sigma_{\Lambda}^2}{d\Lambda}.\end{aligned}$$



Notice that:

- Bias parameter that are zero, may be sourced;
- Bias parameters may change sign!



PNGs (2405.21002)

w/ Charalampos Nikolis



PNGs





Ρ	NGs		Fre	e term						ł	Henrique Rubira
		$\frac{db_{\delta}}{d\Lambda} =$	$-\left[\frac{9}{2}\right]$	$\frac{58}{21}b_{\delta^2}(\Lambda)$	$+ b_{n=3}^{*\{\delta\}_{\mathrm{G}}} \bigg]$	$rac{d\sigma_{\Lambda}^2}{d\Lambda}$		New ii	nteractio	n	
				- a	$h_0 f_{\rm NL} \left[-\frac{13}{21} \right]$	$\frac{3}{4}b_{\Psi} + \frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{3}{21}b_{\Psi\delta}$	$+ b_{n=3}^{*\{\delta\}}$	$_{\rm NG} \right] \left(\frac{H_0}{\Lambda} \right)$	$\bigg)^2 \frac{3\Omega_1}{2T(z)}$	${m\over\Lambda}{d\sigma_\Lambda^2\over d\Lambda};$
Nc col OE	ow a upled s DEs	d set of $\begin{aligned} \frac{db_{\Psi}}{d\Lambda} &= -a_0 f_{\rm NL} b_{n=3}^{*\{\Psi\}_{\rm NG}} \frac{d\sigma_{\Lambda}^2}{d\Lambda} - 4a_0 f_{\rm NL} b_{\delta^2} \frac{d\sigma_{\Lambda}^2}{d\Lambda}, \\ \frac{db_{\Psi\delta}}{d\Lambda} &= -a_0 f_{\rm NL} \left[\frac{272}{21} b_{\delta^2} + b_{n=3+4}^{*\{\Psi\delta\}_{\rm G}} + b_{n=3+4}^{*\{\Psi\delta\}_{\rm NG}} \right] \frac{d\sigma_{\Lambda}^2}{d\Lambda}, \end{aligned}$ Rederivation of Dalal+ 07 (in an elegant way)									
8	$s_{O'}^O$ δ^2			$\delta \mathcal{G}_2$	Ψ	$\Psi\delta$	$\Psi \delta^2$	$\Psi \mathcal{G}_2$	$\mathrm{Tr}\Psi\Pi^{[1]}$	$\delta \operatorname{Tr} \Psi \Pi^{[1]}$	$\mathrm{Tr}\Psi\Pi^{[2]}$
1	δ	68/21	3	-4/3	-13/21	13/21	2	-4/3	34/21	1	34/21
1	$\frac{\delta^2}{C}$	8126/2205	68/7	-376/105	43/135	478/135	47/21	-31/21	124/315	$\frac{178}{105}$	$\frac{14347/6027}{241/725}$
	92 V	4	-	110/103	-1099/13230	19/2200	-	-1/21	-001/4410	4/00	-241/100
2	$\frac{\Psi}{\delta\Psi}$	272/21	12	-8/3	-	-	68/21	-	-	-	-
	$\operatorname{Tr} \Psi \Pi^{[1]}$	64/105	-	16/15	-	-	-	-	-	8/105	58/305



Stochasticity in LSS (2404.16929)

Stochasticity

Ρ

$$\delta_g(\boldsymbol{x},\tau) \equiv \frac{n_g(\boldsymbol{x},\tau)}{\bar{n}_g(\tau)} - 1 = \sum_O \left[b_O(\tau) + c_{\epsilon,O}(\tau) \epsilon(\boldsymbol{x},\tau) \right] O(\boldsymbol{x},\tau) + \epsilon(\boldsymbol{x},\tau)$$

Noise is a central part in modelling galaxy distribution

Properties of the noise:

$$\langle \epsilon(\mathbf{k}_1) \dots \epsilon(\mathbf{k}_m) O(\mathbf{k}_{m+1}) \rangle = \hat{\delta}_{\mathrm{D}}(\mathbf{k}_{1\dots m}) C_{\epsilon,O}^{(m)} O(\mathbf{k}_{m+1})$$

 $\langle \epsilon(\mathbf{k}_1) O(\mathbf{k}_2) O'(\mathbf{k}_3) \dots \rangle = 0$ (linearly does not correlate with O's)

Example: The shot-noise terms

$$\langle \epsilon(\boldsymbol{k}_1) \dots \epsilon(\boldsymbol{k}_m) \rangle = \hat{\delta}_{\mathrm{D}}(\boldsymbol{k}_{1\dots m}) C_{\epsilon,\mathbb{I}}^{(m)}.$$

Stochasticity

 $\frac{d}{d\Lambda}$

Coupled to higher powers of J

$$\mathcal{Z}[J_{\Lambda}] = \int \mathcal{D}\delta_{\Lambda}^{(1)} \mathcal{P}[\delta_{\Lambda}^{(1)}] \exp\left(\sum_{m} \left\{\frac{1}{m!} \int_{\boldsymbol{x}} \left[(J_{\Lambda}(\boldsymbol{x}))^{m} \sum_{O} C_{O}^{(m)}(\Lambda') O[\delta_{\Lambda}^{(1)}](\boldsymbol{x}) \right] + \zeta^{(m)}[J_{\Lambda}, \delta_{\Lambda}^{(1)}] \right\} \right)$$

Shell corrections

Stochasticity

Examples (shot-noise terms):

The delta² bias generates the whole tower of stochastic parameters!!!

$$\frac{dP_{\epsilon,1}}{d\Lambda} = -2\left[b_{\delta^{2}}(\Lambda)\right]^{2}\left[2P_{L}(\Lambda)\right]\frac{d\sigma_{\Lambda}^{2}}{d\Lambda}$$

$$\frac{dB_{\epsilon,1}}{d\Lambda} = -2P_{\epsilon,\delta}^{*}\left[b_{\delta^{2}}(\Lambda)\right]^{2}\left[2P_{L}(\Lambda)\right]\frac{d\sigma_{\Lambda}^{2}}{d\Lambda} - \left\{b_{\delta^{2}}(\Lambda)\right]^{3}\left[P_{L}(\Lambda)\right]^{2}\frac{d\sigma_{\Lambda}^{2}}{d\Lambda}$$

$$\int_{0}^{\frac{1}{p_{d}}} \int_{0}^{\frac{1}{p_{d}}} \int_{0}^{\frac{1}$$

Stochasticity

Examples (shot-noise terms):

The delta² bias generates the whole tower of stochastic parameters!!!



Stochasticity

Conclusion: very simple expression for how general terms in the partition function couple to each other

$$\frac{d}{d\Lambda}C_O^{(m)}(\Lambda) \propto -\left[P_{\rm L}(\Lambda)\right]^{p-1} \frac{d\sigma_{\Lambda}^2}{d\Lambda} \sum_{O_1,O_2,\ldots,O_m} s_{O_1O_2\ldots,O_m}^O C_{O_1}^{(i_1)}(\Lambda) \ldots C_{O_p}^{(i_p)}(\Lambda)$$

Simple diagrammatic interpretation









Part IV - Final remarks

How to relate the renormalization schemes?

N-point function renormalized bias (Assassi, Baumann, Green, Zaldarriaga) Finite cutoff bias (This work)



How to relate the renormalization schemes?



How to relate the renormalization schemes?

N-point function renormalized bias (Assassi, Baumann, Green, Zaldarriaga) Finite cutoff bias (This work)

 $\lim_{\Lambda \to 0; \ k/\Lambda \ \text{fixed}} \langle O[\delta_{\Lambda}^{(1)}](\boldsymbol{k}) \llbracket O' \rrbracket (\boldsymbol{k}') \rangle = \lim_{\Lambda \to 0; \ k/\Lambda \ \text{fixed}} \langle O[\delta_{\Lambda}^{(1)}](\boldsymbol{k}) O'[\delta_{\Lambda}^{(1)}](\boldsymbol{k}') \rangle$



Conclusion: Why you should care

- Additional cross-check for EFT inference;
- Systematic renormalization of bias/stochastic parameters (including PNG);
- Self-consistent renormalization for P(k), B(k1,k2,k3), ... Also field level
- (Unambiguously) Define Priors for EFT analysis in $\Lambda
 ightarrow 0$
- Absorb cutoff dependence in the counter-terms keeping also sub-leading contributions;
- More information? Connection to other fields is manifest, like phase transitions, critical exponents, etc (TBD)

Thanks a lot!

Why just not taking $\Lambda ightarrow \infty$?

$$egin{aligned} & \delta^{(1)}_{\Lambda'}(m{k}) = \delta^{(1)}_{\Lambda}(m{k}) + \delta^{(1)}_{ ext{shell}}(m{k}) & \Lambda = \Lambda' - \lambda \ & \mathcal{Z}[J_{\Lambda}] = \int \mathcal{D}\delta^{(1)}_{\Lambda} \mathcal{P}[\delta^{(1)}_{\Lambda}] \exp\left(\int_{m{k}} J_{\Lambda}(m{k}) \left[\sum_{O} b^{\Lambda'}_{O} O[\delta^{(1)}_{\Lambda}](-m{k})
ight] \end{aligned}$$

Continuum of the theory is determined by taking $\Lambda' \to \infty$ This determines local terms to be added to the action that will cancel out UV dependence (the counter-terms)

In Wilson-Polchinski we integrate modes up to the cutoff of the theory $k_{
m NL}$,

Renormalization scale $\Lambda_* < k_{
m NL}$

Logs in QFT

Logs in QFT: Arise when we have a hierarchy of scales

$$\lim_{E \to \infty} \Gamma(E, m) = E^d \Gamma(1, \frac{m}{E}) \times O\left[\ln\left(\frac{E}{m}\right) \right]$$

Approach 2) Direct from the RGE

$$p_0^2 \frac{d}{dp_0^2} \tilde{V}(p^2) = 0$$

$$p_0^2 \frac{d e_{\rm eff}}{d p_0^2} = \frac{e_{\rm eff}^3}{24 \pi^2}$$

$$e_{\text{eff}}^2(p^2) = \frac{e_R^2}{1 - \frac{e_R^2}{12\pi^2} \ln \frac{p^2}{p_0^2}}$$

$$\begin{split} & \underset{p}{\underbrace{\sum}} + \underbrace{\sum}_{p} \underbrace{p}_{p} + \underbrace{p}_{p} \underbrace{p}_{p} + \underbrace{p}_{p} \underbrace{p}_{p} \underbrace{p}_{p} + \cdots \\ & \tilde{V}(p^{2}) = \frac{e_{R}^{2}}{p^{2}} \left[1 + \frac{e_{R}^{2}}{12\pi^{2}} \ln \frac{p^{2}}{p^{2}_{0}} + \left(\frac{e_{R}^{2}}{12\pi^{2}} \ln \frac{p^{2}}{p^{2}_{0}} \right)^{2} + \cdots \right] = \frac{1}{p^{2}} \left[\frac{e_{R}^{2}}{1 - \frac{e_{R}^{2}}{12\pi^{2}} \ln \frac{p^{2}}{p^{2}_{0}}} \right] \\ & e_{\text{eff}}^{2} \left(p^{2} \right) = \frac{e_{R}^{2}}{1 - \frac{e_{R}^{2}}{12\pi^{2}} \ln \frac{p^{2}}{p^{2}_{0}}} \end{split}$$

Extracted from Schwartz's and Weinberg's books

The n-point function renormalized bias (Assassi, Baumann, Green, Zaldarriaga, 2014)

Intuition: Define the bias parameter of order "n" as the large-scale limit of "n+1"-point functions

Example 1: Define the linear bias in the large-scale limit of P(k):

$$b_{\delta} = \lim_{k \to 0} \frac{\langle \delta_g \delta \rangle}{\langle \delta \delta \rangle}$$

Example 2: Define the 2nd-order bias parameters in the large-scale limit of B(k1,k2,k3)

More formally:

$$\begin{split} \langle \delta^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{k}_1) \cdots \delta^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{k}_m) [\![O]\!](\boldsymbol{k}) \rangle & \stackrel{k_i \to 0}{\longrightarrow} \langle \delta^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{k}_1) \cdots \delta^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{k}_m) O[\delta](\boldsymbol{k}) \rangle_{\mathrm{LO}} \\ \\ & \mathsf{Example:} \\ [\![\delta^2]\!] = \delta^2 - \sigma_\infty^2 \left(1 + \frac{68}{21} \delta + \frac{8126}{2205} \delta^2 + \frac{254}{2205} \mathcal{G}_2 \right) \end{split}$$

Differences between renormalization schemes

	N-point renormalization	Finite Λ			
In practice, one has to:	Subtract all UV-dep part	Nothing to subtract. Bias params run and we know how			
Potential to:	Error-prone, missing finite contributions	Extra sanity-checks			
$\left<(\delta)^{(1)}(\delta^2)^{(3)} ight> \qquad \qquad$	Completely removed by c.t., but missing sub-leading $k^2 P_{\rm L}(k) \int_{p} p^{-2} P_{\rm L}(p)$	Finite: $4b^{\Lambda}_{\delta}b^{\Lambda}_{\delta^2} \int_p F_2(\boldsymbol{p}, \boldsymbol{k} - \boldsymbol{p})P^{\Lambda}_{\mathrm{L}}(p)P^{\Lambda}_{\mathrm{L}}(k)$			
$\left<((\delta^2)^{(2)}(\delta^2)^{(2)} ight>$	Subtracted to the stochastic term but missing sub-leading $\frac{k^2 \int_{p} p^{-2} [P_{\rm L}(p)]^2}{k^2 \int_{p} p^{-2} [P_{\rm L}(p)]^2}$	Finite and contributes to the stochastic running: $2 (b_{\delta^2}^{\Lambda})^2 \int_{\boldsymbol{p}} P_{\mathrm{L}}^{\Lambda}(p) P_{\mathrm{L}}^{\Lambda}(\boldsymbol{k}-\boldsymbol{p})$			
		1			

Logs in LSS

$$\Delta_{1-loop}^2 = \left(\frac{k}{k_{NL}}\right)^{3+n} + \left(\frac{k}{k_{NL}}\right)^{2(3+n)} \left[\alpha(n) + \tilde{\alpha}(n) \ln\left(\frac{k}{k_{NL}}\right)\right]$$

n	-2	-3/2	-1	-1/2	0	1/2	1	3/2	2	5/2	3
α_{13}	$\frac{5\pi^2}{112}$	$\frac{992\pi}{6,615}$	• • •	$-\frac{416\pi}{8,085}$	$-\frac{\pi^2}{336}$	••••	• • • •		$-\frac{\pi^2}{168}$		
α_{22}	$\frac{75\pi^2}{784}$	-0.232		.698	$\frac{29\pi^2}{784}$				$\frac{\pi^2}{392}$		
$\tilde{\alpha}_{13}$	0	0	$\frac{61}{315}$	0	0	0	$-\frac{4}{105}$	0	0	0	$\frac{20}{1,323}$
$\tilde{\alpha}_{22}$	0	0	0	0	0	$-\frac{9}{98}$	0	$\frac{31}{16,464}$	0	$-\frac{359}{26,880}$	0
α	1.38	.239		.537	.336				0336		
\tilde{lpha}	0	0	.194	0	0	0918	.0381	00188	0	0134	.0151

Pajer+Zaldarriaga, 2013

The shell Henrique Rubira $\Lambda = \Lambda' - \lambda$ Consider a very thin shell with width: $\delta^{(1)}_{\Lambda'}(\boldsymbol{k}) = \delta^{(1)}_{\Lambda}(\boldsymbol{k}) + \delta^{(1)}_{\mathrm{shell}}(\boldsymbol{k})$ expansion Idea: Integrate out the shell! (Wilson formalism) $\mathcal{Z}[J_{\Lambda}] = \int \mathcal{D}\delta_{\Lambda}^{(1)} \mathcal{P}[\delta_{\Lambda}^{(1)}] \int \mathcal{D}\delta_{\text{shell}}^{(1)} \mathcal{P}[\delta_{\text{shell}}^{(1)}]$ (2.7) $\times \exp\left(\int_{\boldsymbol{k}} J_{\Lambda}(\boldsymbol{k}) \left[\sum_{\alpha} b_{O}^{\Lambda'} O[\delta_{\Lambda}^{(1)} + \delta_{\text{shell}}^{(1)}](-\boldsymbol{k})\right] + \frac{1}{2} P_{\epsilon}^{\Lambda'} \int_{\boldsymbol{k}} J_{\Lambda}(\boldsymbol{k}) J_{\Lambda}(-\boldsymbol{k}) + \mathcal{O}[J_{\Lambda}^{2} \delta_{\Lambda}^{(1)}, \ J_{\Lambda}^{3}]\right)$

 Expand the operators in terms of the number of shell fields and integrate those out!

2)

 $O^{(n)}[\delta^{(1)}_{\Lambda} + \delta^{(1)}_{\text{shell}}] = O^{(n)}[\delta^{(1)}_{\Lambda}] + O^{(n),(1)_{\text{shell}}}[\delta^{(1)}_{\Lambda}, \delta^{(1)}_{\text{shell}}] + O^{(n),(2)_{\text{shell}}}[\delta^{(1)}_{\Lambda}, \delta^{(1)}_{\text{shell}}] + \dots + O^{(n),(n-1)_{\text{shell}}}[\delta^{(1)}_{\Lambda}, \delta^{(1)}_{\text{shell}}] + O^{(n)}[\delta^{(1)}_{\text{shell}}],$ (2.8)

Integrate the shells

$$\mathcal{S}_{O}^{2}[\delta_{\Lambda}^{(1)}] = \sum_{n \ge 2} \int \mathcal{D}\delta_{\text{shell}}^{(1)} \mathcal{P}[\delta_{\text{shell}}^{(1)}] O^{(n),(2)_{\text{shell}}}[\delta_{\Lambda}^{(1)}, \delta_{\text{shell}}^{(1)}](\boldsymbol{k})$$

$$\mathcal{S}_{OO'}^{11}[\delta_{\Lambda}^{(1)}](\boldsymbol{k}, \boldsymbol{k}') = \sum_{n,n'\ge 1} \int \mathcal{D}\delta_{\text{shell}}^{(1)} \mathcal{P}[\delta_{\text{shell}}^{(1)}] O^{(n),(1)_{\text{shell}}}[\delta_{\Lambda}^{(1)}, \delta_{\text{shell}}^{(1)}](\boldsymbol{k}) O'^{(n'),(1)_{\text{shell}}}[\delta_{\Lambda}^{(1)}, \delta_{\text{shell}}^{(1)}](\boldsymbol{k})$$

Solutions

- 1) Neglect fourth-order+ bias;
- 2) Neglect *the running* of fourth-order+ bias;
- 3) Ansatz for higher-order bias running.

Wilson-Polchinski RG-equations

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{db_{\delta}}{d\Lambda} &= -\left[\frac{68}{21}b_{\delta^2} + 3b_{\delta^3}^* - \frac{4}{3}b_{\mathcal{G}_2\delta}^*\right]\frac{d\sigma_{\Lambda}^2}{d\Lambda},\\ \frac{db_{\delta^2}}{d\Lambda} &= -\left[\frac{8126}{2205}b_{\delta^2} + \frac{17}{7}b_{\delta^3}^* - \frac{376}{105}b_{\mathcal{G}_2\delta}^* + b_{n=4}^{*(\delta^2)}\right]\frac{d\sigma_{\Lambda}^2}{d\Lambda},\\ \frac{db_{\mathcal{G}_2}}{d\Lambda} &= -\left[\frac{254}{2205}b_{\delta^2} + \frac{116}{105}b_{\mathcal{G}_2\delta}^* + b_{n=4}^{*(\mathcal{G}_2)}\right]\frac{d\sigma_{\Lambda}^2}{d\Lambda}.\end{aligned}$$

- **Conclusions:**
- 1) Neglecting source affects a result;

 $b_{n=3+4}^{(O)}(\sigma^2) = b_{n=3+4}^{*(O)} e^{-c^{(O)}(\sigma^2 - \sigma_*^2)}$

2) Evolving source does not affect the result!



EFTofLSS via Wilson Polchinski

 $\left. \frac{\partial \mathcal{Z}}{\partial J_{\Lambda} \dots \partial J_{\Lambda}} \right|_{J_{\Lambda} = 0}$

 $\phi_{\rm SPT}^i \equiv K_{\rm SPT\,j}^i \,\phi_{\rm in}^j + \frac{1}{2} K_{\rm SPT\,jk}^i \,\phi_{\rm in}^j \phi_{\rm in}^k + \cdots$

(based on Carroll, Leichenauer, Pollack, 13)

$$Z[J] = \int \mathcal{D}\phi_{\rm in} \, \exp\left(S_0[\phi_{\rm in}] + J_i \phi^i[\phi_{\rm in}]\right) \quad \text{with} \qquad S_0[\phi_{\rm in}] = -\frac{1}{2} \phi^i [P(\Lambda)^{-1}]_{ij} \phi^j$$

We use

Since
$$\langle \phi^{i_1} \cdots \phi^{i_n} \rangle = \int \mathcal{D}\phi_{\mathrm{in}} \ \phi^{i_1}[\phi_{\mathrm{in}}] \cdots \phi^{i_n}[\phi_{\mathrm{in}}] e^{S_0[\phi_{\mathrm{in}}]}$$

Advantages:

- Path integral formulation
- Systematic generation EFT structure (coefficients are closed under RG flow)

1

- Keeps small (yet-perturbative) modes in the theory

$$\frac{d}{d\Lambda}K^{j_1\cdots j_n}_{i_1\cdots i_m} = -\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{dP^{\,ij}}{d\Lambda}K^{j_1\cdots j_n}_{iji_1\cdots i_m} + \frac{dP^{\,ij}}{d\Lambda}\sum_{k=0}^m\sum_{l=0}^n\binom{m}{k}\binom{n}{l}K^{j_1\cdots j_l}_{ii_1\cdots i_k}K^{j_{l+1}\cdots j_n}_{ji_{k+1}\cdots i_m}\right)$$

Historical overview and frameworks

- Dim Reg, scale transformations and applications to QED: Stueckelberg, Petermann, Gell-Mann, Low ~1953
- **RG in condensed matter**: Kadanoff, 1966
- RG in the continuum, derivation of RG equations and critical phenomena: Callan and Symanzik 1970, Kenneth Wilson, 1970/71 (Nobel Prize 1982)
- **RG via path integrals**: Polchinski, 1984

Framework 1 (a la Wilson/Polchinski):

$$\Lambda \frac{d}{d\Lambda} Z[J] = 0$$

Sliding cutoff, integrate out modes between cutoffs

 $\Lambda \to \Lambda'$

Framework 2:

Sliding renormalization conditions (e.g. Dim Reg), no UV regulator

$$\frac{\partial g}{\partial \ln \mu} = \beta(g)$$

More practical for computations