Extended Scalars and Flavor (benefits of flavorful scalar sectors)

thanks to collaborators Kamila Kowalska, Daniel Litim, Martin Schmaltz, Tom Steudtner, Ivo de

Medeiros Varzielas

Gudrun Hiller, TU Dortmund





origin of mass largely open for 1st generation and strange $y/y_{\rm SM} < 560(u), 260(d), 13(s), 260(e)$ 1905.03764, 2107.02686

Scalar leptoquarks S are flavorful: $y_{ij}\bar{q}_iS\ell_j$, q,ℓ : SM quarks and leptons

Leptoquark-Yukawa matrix: $y_{ql} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_{q_1e} & \lambda_{q_1\mu} & \lambda_{q_1\tau} \\ \lambda_{q_2e} & \lambda_{q_2\mu} & \lambda_{q_2\tau} \\ \lambda_{q_3e} & \lambda_{q_3\mu} & \lambda_{q_3\tau} \end{pmatrix}$ instrumental for

tree-level explanations of *B*-anomalies: $_{1408.1627}$ $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & * & 0 \\ 0 & a & 0 \\ 0 & b & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ induces $b \rightarrow s\mu\mu$, (and dep. on rep, also $t \rightarrow c\mu\mu$), but not $b \rightarrow see$, can be engineered with flavor symmetries $_{1503.01084}$.

For charged current anomalies ' R_{D,D^*} ': $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & a \\ 0 & b & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ induces $b \to c \tau \nu_{\mu}$

The big picture



Current status:

3.2 σ discrepancy wrt SM predictions

Biljana Mitreska October 23, 2024 Implications workshop 2024

5

Instead of $y_{ij}\bar{q}_iS\ell_j$, consider a matrix of scalar fields $y\bar{\psi}_iS_{ij}\psi_j$.

In the remainder of this talk I want to review the motivation, features and benefits of matrix scalars for model building and pheno.

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4}G_{\mu\nu}G^{\mu\nu} + \bar{\psi}i \ D\psi + y\bar{\psi}_i S_{ij}\psi_j - V(S)$$

concrete CFT, with SM-features. Couplings run into UV-fixed points that are interacting – no freedom, but asymptotically safe

Template for model building.

Interlude: Beyond asymptotic freedom: pure gauge

$$\frac{d\alpha_s}{d\ln\mu} = \beta(\alpha_s) = \alpha_s^2(-B + C\alpha_s + ...), \qquad B^{\text{SM}} = 14 > 0, C^{\text{SM}} = 52$$

Fixed points: $\alpha_s^* = 0$ or $\alpha_s^* = B/C$ (must be positive to be physical)



For B > 0, $\alpha_s^* = B/C$ is IR (Banks-Zaks) For B < 0 in general gauge theories C > 0 and finite FP doesnt exist Bond, Litim '16

Beyond asymptotic freedom: Yukawas are key

Gauge-Yukawa theory Litim, Sannino '14

$$\frac{d\alpha_s}{d\ln\mu} = \beta(\alpha_s, \alpha_y) = \alpha_s^2(-B + C\alpha_s - D\alpha_y)$$
$$\frac{d\alpha_y}{d\ln\mu} = \beta(\alpha_s, \alpha_y) = \alpha_y(E\alpha_y - F\alpha_s)$$

B < 0: 2 FPs: free one $\alpha_{s,y}^* = 0$ and a fully interacting one $\alpha_y^* = \frac{F}{E} \alpha_s^*$, $\alpha_s^* = B/C'$, $-B + C' \alpha_s^* = 0$, $C' = C - D \frac{F}{E}$, $C' \le C$

Iff C' < 0 FP positive; needs sizable D Yukawa contribution



Beyond asymptotic freedom: Yukawas are key

Blue print model is flavorful Litim, Sannino '14:

 N_F vector-like fermions $\psi_{L,R}$, singlet scalar matrix S_{ij} , $i, j = 1..N_F$

$$\mathcal{L}_y = y \, \psi_{Li} S_{ij} \psi_{Rj} + h.c., \quad \alpha_y = y^2 / 16\pi^2, \quad D \propto N_F^2, C' < 0$$



Gauge-Yukawa FP UV attractive (exact proof in Veneziano limes $N_F, N_C \rightarrow \infty, N_F/N_C$ finite, tuneable, $N_F/N_C = \frac{11}{2} + \epsilon, B = -4/3\epsilon$)

Instead of $y_{ij}\bar{q}_iS\ell_j$, consider a matrix of scalar fields $y\bar{\psi}_iS_{ij}\psi_j$.

In the remainder of this talk I want to review the motivation, features and benefits of matrix scalars for model building and pheno.

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4}G_{\mu\nu}G^{\mu\nu} + \bar{\psi}i \ D\psi + y\bar{\psi}_i S_{ij}\psi_j - V(S)$$

concrete CFT, with SM-features. Couplings run into UV-fixed points that are interacting – no freedom, but asymptotically safe Template for model building.

The matrix scalar S_{ij} , i.e. N_F^2 complex scalar singlets, is instrumental because it affects the gauge-coupling RG with $\propto N_F^2$.

LiSa Litim, Sannino 1406.2337: Asymptotic Safety guaranteed in Veneziano-limit in SM-like setting (gauge-yukawa-scalar theory)

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4}G_{\mu\nu}G^{\mu\nu} + \bar{\psi}i \ \ D\psi + y\bar{\psi}_i S_{ij}\psi_j - V(S)$$

two main catches for pheno/model building:

A) Can the SM emerge at low energies from a CFT? Yes. at 2-loop, Bond et al 1702.01727 $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{SM} + y \bar{\psi}_i S_{ij} \psi_j - V(S)$

framework has predictivity, stability, no poles (from the electroweak scale upwards) "UV complete"

Concrete SM-extensions obtained and analyzed by Bond et al '17 1702.01727 Demand for higher-order beta-functions with analytical dependence on N_F and reps. \rightarrow ARGES tool 2012.12955 Availability of weakly interacting UV fixed points $\alpha_3^* = 0$ (left), $\alpha_2^* = 0$ (mid), fully interacting (right) with VLFs $\psi(R_3, R_2, 0)$ 1702.01727



Due to flavor symmetry, they are long-lived; LHC: dijet searches, R-hadrons, LLP searches

Beyond AF: matching



all the way up to the Planck scale



Its intruiging that the SM is so near-critical when it comes to vacuum stability.

Vacuum Stability



2401.08811

Obs.	Value	$\alpha_{\lambda} > 0$		$\alpha_{\lambda, {\rm eff}} > 0$	
PDG 2024 :					
$M_h/{\sf GeV}$	125.20(11)	127.97	$+25.2\sigma$	127.85	$+24.0\sigma$
$M^{\sigma}_t/{ m GeV}$	172.4(7)	171.04	-1.9σ	171.10	-1.9σ
$M_t^{\sf MC}/{\sf GeV}$	172.57(29)		-5.3σ		-5.1σ
$m_t/{ m GeV}$	$162.5(^{+2.1}_{-1.5})$	161.3	$-$ 0.8 σ	161.4	$-$ 0.7 σ
$\alpha_s^{(5)}(M_Z)$	0.1180(9)	0.1215	$+ 3.9\sigma$	0.1213	$+ 3.7\sigma$
CMS [?]:					
$M_t/{\sf GeV}$	170.5(8)	169.25	-1.6σ	169.31	-1.5σ
$\alpha_s^{(5)}(M_Z)$	$0.1135(^{+21}_{-17})$	0.1167	$+ 1.5\sigma$	0.1165	$+ 1.4\sigma$

 $M_t - \alpha_s$ correlations matter. M_h not relevant currently to decide fate of SM. More precise M_t needed (factor ≥ 2 (≤ 300 MeV) great)

LiSa Litim, Sannino 1406.2337: Asymptotic Safety guaranteed in SM-like setting (gauge-yukawa-scalar theory)

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4}G_{\mu\nu}G^{\mu\nu} + \bar{\psi}i \ \ D\psi + y\bar{\psi}_i S_{ij}\psi_j - V(S)$$

two main catches for pheno/model building: B) new tool for flavorful model building: S_{ij} matrix scalar

application: g - 2 of electron and muon 1910.14062 ; flavor-protection "no LFV", nor flavor non-universality needed (unique explanation)

novel multi-lepton signatures at LHC: $\psi\bar{\psi}$ -production, $\psi_e^- \rightarrow S_{e\mu}\mu^- \rightarrow e^-\mu^+\mu^-$ looks LFV but isnt 2011.12964

Consider vector-like leptons with mixed Yukawas for Δa_{μ} : $\kappa \overline{L}H\psi$

Giudice, Wise, Ligeti, ..



problems: Δa_e unaccounted, $Z\ell\ell$ bounds and LFV (fermions mix) does not work

Straight-forward explanation with enlarged BSM-flavor sector: VLLs and scalar singlets with Higgs portal as in Planck-safe frameworks:



Flavorful scalar sector from asymptotic safety instrumental – $\kappa' E_i S_{ij} \psi_j$ no LFV constraints due to flavor symmetry $SU(3) \times SU(3)$.

VLFs affect the link between fermion mass and Higgs (125)-Yukawa: $y/y_{\rm SM} < 560(u), 260(d), 13(s), 260(e)$ 2008.08606, 2410.08272 1905.03764, 2107.02686



origin of mass largely open for 1st generation and strange

model building with Planck safety



right plots: TeV-BSM parameters with Planck features and red banana: g - 2, V^+ : universal groundstate, V^- : vacuum singles out electrons– spontaneous breakdown of universality 1910.14062

Lagrangian encodes flavor symmetry-links between SM and BSM $\kappa \bar{L}H\psi + \kappa' ES\psi + \delta S^{\dagger}SH^{\dagger}H$

 $\kappa \overline{L}H\psi = \kappa \overline{L}_i H\psi_i$, $\kappa' ES\psi = \kappa' E_i S_{ij}\psi_j$ lepton flavor is conserved!

Gives rise to quasi -LFV signatures – low SM background 2011.12964



VLL pair-production, the cascade decays $\psi_i \to S_{ij}^* \ell_j^- \to \ell_i^- \ell_j^+ \ell_j^-$. e.g. $\psi_e \to S_{ej}^* \ell_j^- \to \ell_i^- \ell_j^+ e^-$.

Multi-leptons at the LHC



confronting models to re-interpreted CMS search with at least 4 light leptons (4L) 1905.10853



 L_T distributions in the singlet (left) and the doublet model (right) for SM background processes in our simulation (green shaded area) and for the different benchmark masses of vector-like fermions and new scalars (yellow circles in Fig. ??). The observables are shown for an integrated luminosity of 77.4 fb⁻¹ and subsequent detector simulation. Also shown are CMS data [?] (black points), including the range covered up to 1σ (hatched area), see text for details.

New SM null test observables emply flavor features $\psi_i \rightarrow S_{ij}^* \, \ell_j^- \rightarrow \ell_i^- \, \ell_j^+ \, \ell_j^-$. to suppress BGD. Best for HL-LHC

Di- and trilepton invariant mass distributions $m_{2\ell}$, $m_{2\ell}$ -diff, $m_{3\ell}$, and $m_{3\ell}$ -diff for the singlet model for different benchmark masses

of the VLLs and the BSM scalars at a luminosity of 150 fb^{-1} and $\sqrt{s} = 13 \text{ TeV}$ and 3000 fb^{-1} and $\sqrt{s} = 14 \text{ TeV}$.

Multi-leptons at the LHC



Multi-leptons at the LHC



- Take home message: new, concrete & testable directions from formal QFT for BSM model building beyond EFTs.
- Genuine new scalar sector: the S_{ij} matrix field; offers different ground states 2008.08606 and leads to novel flavorful signatures at the LHC "only LFV-like" 2011.12964
- Can explain g 2, flavor anomalies in beauty and charm 1910.14062, 2109.06201, 2210.16330, and stabilize the SM vaccum 2207.07737, 2305.18520, 2401.08811
- Planck safety requires "no poles, no instabilities" up to Planck scale; works with or without 2207.07737 the new wonder tool S_{ij}
- Stay tuned

What does it take to achieve stability in SM?

Minimal fix: the gauge portal: add VLFs. It works with charged under only QCD, $SU(2)_L$ and $U(1)_Y$ 2207.07737 Dont add too little too late

Systematic study of Higgs-portal $\delta H^{\dagger}HS^{\dagger}S$ with Higgs coupling predictions for HL-LHC and FCC 2401.08811

Bottom-Up with UV-Safety

red: poles, blue: stable