

Software Design in the Many-Cores era

A. Gheata, S. Hageböck CERN, EP-SFT

CERN School of Computing 2024





Lecture III

Understanding, and Debugging a Complex Multithreaded Application



Outline of This Lecture

The Goals:

- Understand the relation between performance and correctness
- Master the strategies to be able to analyse, debug and profile^{*}
 a complex parallel application

Before running the application:

1) Elements of static code analysis: Clang

If something goes wrong:

Three logical steps

2) Understanding and debugging a multithreaded application with **GDB**

Now that it works, how fast is it?

3) Elements of high-level profiling: **igprof**^{*} basic principles

* covered by the **Benchmarking and Profiling** lecture



Performance and Correctness

- Correctness comes first: if your program is buggy, unreliable, unpredictable, no performance consideration makes sense (at all)
- Performance is then crucial*: algorithms translate to real machine code, running on real hardware with its own features (CPUs, memory hierarchy, accelerators)

A high-quality test suite must be part of every software tool

"Make it work, make it right, make it fast"

*For many areas of scientific computing at least



Performance and Correctness

- Correctness and performance: tightly correlated
- Correctness checked quickly and extensively → runtime/memory improvements validated more easily
 - Be in condition to label "changes" in the final results as "acceptable", "expected" or "in the wrong direction"
 - Pandora's box: what is the "right" result? The one we had before? The new one? The "reference" one? Not trivial at all!
 - Use a grain of salt, be in control of what happens!



Features of A Good Testsuite

- It's easy to run
 - One single command runs all tests
 - Tests can be selected, e.g. with regular expressions
- It's automatically ran
 - N times per day, or
 - Continuously check new code committed by developers
- Results are easy to interpret
 - E.g. Published on the web
 - Easy to track down problem, e.g. "test # 1206 failed with this output"



Testing and parallel execution

- Test: minimal program aiming to stress a particular feature of the code
- Parallel code: no predictable order of operations possible
- The "same" test, execution pattern can be "different"
- Solution: properly designed tests
 - E.g. Maximising contention to "challenge" stability of the software



Reproducibility

- E.g. two subsequent runs of the program produce the same histograms, identical bin by bin
- Simple for small setups
- Can be tricky with 5M lines, ~100 shared libraries
- Performance optimisations can lead to variations in final result (e.g. migration of entries to neighbouring bins)
 - Fundamental to remove all sorts of "noise"
- Non reproducibility in the sequential case: absence of control on the system
 - E.g. uninitialised variables, sloppy seeding of random generations, bogus memory access



Attitude Towards Testing

- Aim to test-driven development: write tests before code
 - Test features individually one by one
 - Use often asserts as watchdogs in complex code, to catch problems early
- For each bug reported/found: create a reproducing test, add it to the suite, fix it.
 - If it's not reproduced the bug does not exist!
- Don't live with broken windows: follow up each failure
 - Assume it always points to a serious problem
- Time invested in writing tests is strategic
 - It always rewards

If a software tool or one of its functionalities is not tested always assume it does not work





Elements of Static Code Analysis



Static Code Analysis

- Idea: embed static analysis in testing suites
- The procedure of analysing the source code before compiling and running to automatically find bugs
 - Rise (yet other) fences to protect against mistakes and bugs
 - Easily pluggable in big projects' build infrastructures
 - E.g. code blocks never executed because of faulty logic in if statements, thread unsafe constructs, etc.
- Several tools available, commercial and open source
 - Reference on the market: Coverity
 - Open source: Clang Static Analyzer





LLVM and Clang

LLVM

- Free and open source
- A compiler infrastructure
- Frontend [C++,C,...] → Optimizer → Backend [x86, CUDA, ...]
- http://llvm.org

Clang

- LLVM frontend for C,C++ and Objective-C
- A possible alternative to GCC in some respects
- A lot of users e.g. Apple, Intel (OpenCL)
- http://clang.llvm.org

Very powerful technology: e.g. C++ interpreter built on LLVM & Clang, Cling

http://root.cern.ch/drupal/content/cling





http://clang-analyzer.llvm.org/

Clang Static Analyser

The static analyser is part of the clang frontend

It offers the possibility to examine the program code on two levels:

Analysis of the Abstract Syntax Tree (AST)

Symbolic Execution:

Every possible path through the program is explored and validated

• A battery of checks already included: uninitialized access, dead stores, dereferencing null, invalid malloc calls, ...

- User-defined can be added
- HTML report created automatically, detailed annotations of the source
- scanbuild tool: automatically replace the calls to the compiler in a makefile

To fire static analysis: scan-build make







Analysis for Thread Unsafety

Clang Static Analyzer: Custom checks can be added in form of a plugin written in C++

Checks for thread unsafety were developed by the LHC experiments

- Used in production for Q/A of experiments software
- Useful in general!

Some examples:

- Non const global/local statics
- Use of mutable keyword
- Use of const_cast to remove constness
- Other removals of constness (e.g. explicit cast)

Note the importance of const correctness

• . . .



Web Reports: an Example





Understanding and Debugging - GDB



Debugging



Suppose something is wrong with your application:

- It nicely terminates but yields wrong results (worst case scenario!)
- It crashes
- It runs forever occupying several CPUs
- It hangs forever with no CPU usage (e.g. a deadlock)

Effective debugging strategies and tools are the solution

The same techniques are also handy not only in case of problems

- Suppose that the overall behavior of a very complex application (~MLOC) is to be understood
 - E.g. CMS/Atlas/LHCb/Alice reconstruction

Debugging Strategies

Write and use programs without bugs?

- There is *no such thing*, except in totally trivial cases
- All programs have and will have bugs

If possible, try not to introduce bugs in the first place!

Immediate to everybody: sometimes it's enough!

Debug printouts as 'poor man's solution':



- Hard (impossible) to add printouts in 3rd party libraries
- Distract the user from focussing on the debugging itself



HACK, SLASH, REVERT

MONKEYUSER.COM

School of Computing

Hard to use in a parallel program, encourage Heisenbugs influencing timing behaviour

Or better: Use a debugger like GDB



***** GDB: The GNU Project Debugger

- Free and open source, available on every Linux box
- GDB is an interactive command line tool which can "see":
 - Within a program during its execution
 - A posteriori, what a program was doing when it crashed
- Works with applications written in C and C++ (among other languages)
- No recompilation needed (although debugging symbols can be handy)
- Stop the execution at some specified point
 - Execute line by line, stepping into functions if needed
- Examine what is happening: e.g. print variable content
- Thread aware: e.g. Stop threads, switch among them ...

http://www.gnu.org/software/gdb/





Reminder: A Program in Memory

- Text Segment: code to be executed.
- Initialized Data Segment: global variables initialized by the programmer.
- Uninitialized Data Segment: This segment contains uninitialized global variables.
- **The stack**: The stack is a collection of stack frames. It grows whenever a new function is called. "Thread private".
- The heap: Dynamic memory (e.g. requested with "new").



An Example



#include <iostream>

```
g++ –o myExample
void display(int x, int *xp) {
                                                 myExample.cpp -g
  std::cout << "In display():\n"</pre>
            << " o value of x is " << x
            << ", address of x is " << &x <<std::endl
            << " o xp points to " << xp
            << " which holds " << *xp <<std::endl; }
int main() {
  int a = 42;
  int *ap = \&a;
  std::cout << "In main():\n"</pre>
            << " o value of a is "<< a
            << ", address of a is " << &a << std::endl
            << " o ap points to " << ap
            << " which holds " << *ap << std::endl;
  display(a, ap);
  return 0; }
```

To fire gdb: gdb myexecutable





```
$ qdb myExample
   [ ... Some output ... ]
vo
   (qdb) run
   Starting program: /Users/<whoever>/gdb/myExample
   Reading symbols for shared libraries
  +++.... done
  In main():
   o value of a is 5, address of a is 0x7fff5fbff744
   o ap points to 0x7fff5fbff744 which holds 5
in
   In display():
   o value of x is 5, address of x is 0x7fff5fbff71c
   o xp points to 0x7fff5fbff744 which holds 5
   Program exited normally.
               << " which holds " << *ap << std::endl;
 display(a, ap);
 return 0; }
```

To fire gdb: gdb myexecutable

Break Points





- So far so good you could have done this already without GDB!
- But, GDB allows you to stop the execution of the application at a certain line or function with break points:

```
(gdb) break 12
Breakpoint 1 at 0x100000c60: file myExample.cpp, line 12.
(gdb) run
Starting program: /Users/<whoever>/gdb/myExample
Breakpoint 1, main () at myExample: 12
12 int *ap = &a;
(gdb)
```

The break could have been introduced when a certain function is invoked:

→break <function name> ("break display" in our case)

CERN School of Computing 2024

Impossible to do with printouts ©

And Now?



You can dump the stack with where:

(gdb) where

```
#0 display (x=42, xp=0x7fff5fbff7c4) at myExample:6
```

```
#1 0x0000000100000d2c in main () at myExample.cpp:14
```



See some of the surrounding code with *list*:



Interlude: Debugging Symbols

The compiler does not automatically bring the names of the symbols in the executables and libraries, the machine does not need them!

Humans do: include debugging symbols in the compiled binaries.

Names of variables, functions, classes, namespaces, …

Debugging symbols, 3 facts to remember:

- Do not slow down the program!
- Do not increase its memory footprint!
- Do make binaries bigger (more disk space needed)!

With GCC: g++ [...] –g



Navigating Program Execution

To "navigate" the program execution you can use:

- step: continue running until control reaches new line. "Step into" functions
- **next:** like step but functions are executed without stopping
- finish: continue until end of current stack frame
- return <expression>: prematurely exit the stack returning expression.
- break: show break points list
 - disable <n>: disable break point n
 - enable <n>: enable break point n
 - **delete** <n>: delete break point n
- info threads: show threads
- thread <n>: step into thread n





The Print Statement

```
1 #include "time.h"
                                   print allows you to inspect the
2 #include <iostream>
3 int main() {
                                   value of a variable.
  int t = clock();
4
 std::cout << t << std::endl;</pre>
5
6
  return 0;
7 }
      (qdb) break 5
      Breakpoint 1 at 0x100000d50: file ex12 2.cpp, line 5.
      (qdb) run
      Starting program: /Users/danilopiparo/gdb/ex12 2
      Reading symbols for shared libraries
      ++.... done
      Breakpoint 1, main () at ex12 2.cpp:5
      5
         std::cout << t << std::endl;</pre>
      (gdb) print t
      $1 = 6637
      (qdb) next
      6637
      6
                   return 0;
```



Interlude 3: Machine Code with GDB

Food For Thought

```
double myFloor(double x) {
  const int xi = int(x);
  return x < 0 ? xi -1 : xi;
}
int main() {
  myFloor(-3.14);
}</pre>
```

- Looking at the assembly is the only way to understand what the compiler actually did
- GDB allows to do that easily with disass
- More targeted than Unix objdump



Interlude 3: Machine Code with GDB



GDB And Threads







- GDB allows to inspect the behaviour of the threads of a process
 - info threads: display running threads
 - thread <n>: step into a thread

```
#include <thread>
#include <thread>
#include <vector>
#include <chrono>
void sleep() {
  std::this_thread::sleep_for(std::chrono::seconds(100)); };
  int main() {
   std::vector<std::thread> myThreads;
   for (int i=0; i<2; i++) myThreads.emplace_back(std::thread(sleep));
   // Line 11
   for (auto& t : myThreads) t.join();
}</pre>
```



\$ gdb ./threadsSleep [... some output ...] Reading symbols from /home/dpiparo/CSC/Examples/threadsSleep...done. (gdb) break 11

SW Design in the Many-Cores Era

Set a break point at line 11





\$ gdb ./threadsSleep [... some output ...] Reading symbols from /home/dpiparo/CSC/Examples/threadsSleep...done. (gdb) break 11 Breakpoint 1 at 0x400a8f: file threadsSleep.cpp, line 11. (gdb) run Starting program: /home/dpiparo/CSC/Examples/threadsSleep [Thread debugging using libthread_db enabled] Using host libthread_db library "/lib/x86_64-linux-gnu/libthread_db.so.1". [New Thread 0x7ffff6fe7700 (LWP 4440)] [New Thread 0x7ffff67e6700 (LWP 4441)] Breakpoint 1, main () at threadsSleep.cpp:12 12 for (auto& t : myThreads)



- Run the application
- GDB informs us that 2 threads were spawned
- The breakpoint is reached

CERN School of Computing

\$ gdb ./threadsSleep

[... some output ...]

Reading symbols from /home/dpiparo/CSC/Examples/threadsSleep...done.

- Get info about threads
- GDB prints the threads ids and which function is being executed
- The * identifies the thread where the break point was successful
- By default GDB freezes all threads simultaneously at a breakpoint
 - "Take a snapshot of the execution status"

```
(gdb) info threads
Id Target Id Frame
3 Thread 0x7ffff67e6700 (LWP 4441) "threadsSleep" 0x00007ffff76b252d in nanosleep () at
```

```
../sysdeps/unix/syscall-template.S:82
```

```
2 Thread 0x7ffff6fe7700 (LWP 4440) "threadsSleep" 0x00007ffff76b252d in nanosleep () at
```

```
../sysdeps/unix/syscall-template.S:82
```

```
* 1 Thread 0x7ffff7fd4740 (LWP 4437) "threadsSleep" main () at threadsSleep.cpp:14
```





\$ gdb ./threadsSleep [... some output ...] Reading symbols from /home/dpiparo/CSC/Examples/threadsSleep...done. (gdb) b 11 Breakpoint 1 at 0x400a8f: file threadsSleep.cpp, line 11. (gdb) run Starting program: /home/dpiparo/CSC/Examples/threadsSleep [Thread debugging using libthread_db enabled] Using host libthread_db library "/lib/x86_64-linux-gnu/libthread_db.so.1". [New Thread 0x7ffff6fe7700 (LWP 4440)] [New Thread 0x7ffff67e6700 (LWP 4441)]

- Suppose we are interested in thread 2, let's switch to it
- GDB informs us we are now in thread 2
- The cryptic messages are due to the fact that we compiled our exe with debugging symbols, not all the components it depends on!

Thread 0x7ffff7fd4740 (LWP 4437) "threadsSleep" main () at threadsSleep.cpp:12

(gdb) thread 2

[Switching to thread 2 (Thread 0x7ffff6fe7700 (LWP 4440))]

- #0 0x00007ffff76b252d in nanosleep () at ../sysdeps/unix/syscall-template.S:82
- 82 ../sysdeps/unix/syscall-template.S: No such file or directory.



```
$ gdb ./threadsSleep
[ ... some output ... ]
Reading symbols from /home/dpiparo/CSC/Examples/threadsSleep...done.
(qdb) b 11
Breakpoint 1 at 0x400a8f: file threadsSleep.cpp, line 11.
(qdb) run
Starting program: /home/dpiparo/CSC/Examples/threadsSleep
[Thread debugging using libthread db enabled]
Using host libthread db library "/lib/x86 64-linux-gnu/libthread db.so.1".
[New Thread 0x7ffff6fe7700 (LWP 4440)]
[New Thread 0x7ffff67e6700 (LWP 4441)]
Breakpoint 1, main () at threadsSleep.cpp:12
         for (auto& t : myThreads)
14
(qdb) info threads
Id Target Id
                        Frame
 3
      Thread 0x7ffff67e6700 (LWP 4441) "threadsSleep" 0x00007ffff76b252d in nanosleep () at
../sysdeps/unix/syscall-template.S:82
      Thread 0x7ffff6fe7700 (LWP 4440) "threadsSleep" 0x00007ffff76b252d in nanosleep () at
 2
../sys
• 1

    Now let's print the stack of thread number 2!

(qdb)
[Switc]
#0 0x
82
(adb) where
#0 0x00007ffff76b252d in nanosleep () at ../sysdeps/unix/syscall-template.S:82
   0x000000000400caf in sleep() () at /usr/include/c++/4.8/thread:279
#1
#2
   0x00007ffff7b87a10 in ?? () from /usr/lib/x86 64-linux-qnu/libstdc++.so.6
#3
   0x00007ffff76aae9a in start thread (arg=0x7ffff6fe7700) at pthread create.c:308
   0x00007ffff73d7ccd in clone () at ../sysdeps/unix/sysv/linux/x86 64/clone.S:112
#4
#5
   0x00000000000000000 in ?? ()
(qdb)
```

GDB And Threads







- By default GDB stops all threads simultaneously if a breakpoint is reached (so called "stop mode")
- It allows also to stop the thread where the breakpoint was reached and let the others proceed ("non-stop mode")
 - De facto the user can bend the runtime behaviour of the application to her needs!

```
# Enable the async interface.
set target-async 1
# Pagination breaks non-stop.
set pagination off
# Finally, turn it on [off]!
set non-stop on [off]
```

Commands to switch between stop and non-stop modes within the gdb prompt



More GDB (Black) Magic

Suppose your program behaves in a weird way now.

You can "attach" gdb to a running process (e.g. 300% CPU since minutes...)

gdb <PID>

Impossible to do with printouts ©

To get your pid: ps aux | grep <Program name>

Suppose your program crashed after hours of running, leaving you with no plots, but a core dump.

- You can resume it as it was at the moment of the crash
- gdb program core-file



Helgrind and DRD

- Another pair of tools useful for debugging parallel programs
- Part of the Valgrind suite
- Allow to catch thread errors at runtime
 - valgrind --tool=helgrind ./myProgram



- Difference between DRD and Helgrind: detection algorithms
- Downside: false positives ☺
- Complementary tools: address and thread sanitiser offered by CLANG and GCC compiler suites.









High Level Profiling





A Simple Question

Q: Why should we strive for software performance, correctness, efficiency, ultimately throughput?

For Money!





From "The Wolf of Wall Street"



Code Optimisation

- When dealing with large software projects, **performance measurement is daily business**
 - Especially for multithreaded applications: parallel Vs serial case, performance of different configurations of the parallel applications ...
- The identification of the hotspots (and their removal) is worth an enormous amount of resources
 - But don't optimise before you know "what"!
- A plethora of tools available, covering all quantities related to performance
 - open source: perf, valgrind, igprof ... or not: Intel VTune, Apple Instruments, ...
 - Using several methods: stack sampling, HW performance counters, …
- Profilers can extract precious data allowing to do optimisations:
 - What are the symbols that have the longest runtime?
 - What are the symbols that allocate the most memory?



The Golden Rule of Optimisation

Don't develop theories, measure your program!



It is a capital mistake to theorize before one has data. Insensibly one begins to twist facts to suit theories, instead of theories to suit facts. Sherlock Holmes



Take Away Messages

Dealing with a parallel application is complex:

Use procedures to **rise fences to protect against mistakes**, like static analysis to find bugs in an automatic way

Embed such tools in the build infrastructure of your SW

Use tools to inspect, manipulate their behaviour at runtime, like GDB

Become familiar with them, multithreaded programs are tough to debug

Use tools to measure performance, do not speculate

- Start from simple yet powerful tools like perf, igprof
- Choose more complex ones to dive into the details