# <span id="page-0-0"></span>QCD (for colliders) Lecture 1: Introduction

Gavin Salam

#### University of Oxford All Souls College and Department of Physics

2024 European School of High-Energy Physics Peebles, Scotland, September 2024

# <span id="page-1-0"></span>QUANTUM CHROMODYNAMICS

The theory of quarks, gluons and their interactions

It's central to all modern colliders. (And QCD is what we're made of)

- ▶ Quarks (and anti-quarks): they come in 3 colours
- ▶ Gluons: a bit like photons in QED But there are 8 of them, and they're colour charged
- And a coupling,  $\alpha_s$ , that's not so small and runs fast At LHC, in the range  $0.08$ ( $@$  5 TeV) to  $\mathcal{O}(1)$ ( $@$  0.5 GeV)

# I'll try to give you a feel for:

# How QCD works

How theorists handle QCD at high-energy colliders

How you can work with QCD at high-energy colliders

### **A proton-proton collision: INITIAL STATE**



**proton proton**



*(actual final-state multiplicity ~ several hundred hadrons)*

# 3 Signal and background models

The ggF and VBF production modes for  $H \to WW^*$  are modelled at next-to-leading order (NLO) in the strong coupling  $\alpha_S$  with the Powheg MC generator [22–25], interfaced with PYTHIA8 [26] (version 8.165) for the parton shower, hadronisation, and underlying event. The CT10 [27] PDF set is used and the parameters of the PyTHIA8 generator controlling the modelling of the parton shower and the underlying event are those corresponding to the AU2 set [28]. The Higgs boson mass set in the generation is 125.0 GeV, which is close to the measured value. The Powheg ggF model takes into account finite quark masses and a running-width Breit–Wigner distribution that includes electroweak corrections at NLO [29]. To improve the modelling of the Higgs boson  $p<sub>T</sub>$  distribution, a reweighting scheme is applied to reproduce the prediction of the next-to-next-to-leading-order (NNLO) and next-to-next-to-leading-logarithm (NNLL) dynamic-scale calculation given by the HRes 2.1 program [30]. Events with  $\geq$  2 jets are further reweighted to reproduce the  $p_T^H$  spectrum predicted by the NLO Powheg simulation of Higgs boson production in association with two jets  $(H + 2$  jets) [31]. Interference with continuum *WW* production [32, 33] has a negligible impact on this analysis due to the transverse-mass selection criteria described in Section 4 and is not included in the signal model.

Jets are reconstructed from topological clusters of calorimeter cells  $[50-52]$  using the anti- $k_t$  algorithm with a radius parameter of  $R = 0.4$  [53]. Jet energies are corrected for the effects of calorimeter non-



**That whole paragraph was just for the red part of this distribution (the Higgs signal).** 

Complexity of modelling each of the backgrounds is comparable

Lagrangian  $+$  colour

Quarks — 3 colours:  $\psi_{\bm a}=$  $\sqrt{ }$  $\overline{1}$  $\psi_1$  $\psi_2$  $\psi_3$ 

Quark part of Lagrangian:

$$
\mathcal{L}_{q} = \bar{\psi}_{a}(i\gamma^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}\delta_{ab} - g_{s}\gamma^{\mu}t_{ab}^{C}\mathcal{A}_{\mu}^{C} - m)\psi_{b}
$$

 $\setminus$  $\overline{1}$ 

 $SU(3)$  local gauge symmetry  $\leftrightarrow$  8  $(=3^2-1)$  generators  $t^1_{ab} \dots t^8_{ab}$ corresponding to 8 gluons  $\mathcal{A}^1_\mu \dots \mathcal{A}^8_\mu.$ 

A representation is:  $t^A = \frac{1}{2}$  $\frac{1}{2}\lambda^A$ ,

$$
\lambda^1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \ \lambda^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i & 0 \\ i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \ \lambda^3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \ \lambda^4 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},
$$

$$
\lambda^5 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -i \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ i & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \ \lambda^6 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \ \lambda^7 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -i \\ 0 & i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \ \lambda^8 = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{-2}{\sqrt{3}} \end{pmatrix},
$$

Field tensor:  $F_{\mu\nu}^A=\partial_\mu {\cal A}_\nu^A-\partial_\nu {\cal A}_\nu^A-g_s\, f_{ABC}{\cal A}_\mu^B{\cal A}_\nu^C\qquad \ \ [t^A,t^B]=i f_{ABC}\, t^C$ 

 $f_{ABC}$  are structure constants of  $SU(3)$  (antisymmetric in all indices —  $SU(2)$  equivalent was  $\epsilon^{ABC}$ ). Needed for gauge invariance of gluon part of Lagrangian:

 ${\cal L}_{G} = - \frac{1}{4}$  $rac{1}{4}F_A^{\mu\nu}$  $^{\mu\nu}_{A}$ F<sup>A $\mu\nu$ </sup>

# <span id="page-10-0"></span>Two main approaches to solving it

- ▶ Numerical solution with discretized space time (lattice)
- $\triangleright$  Perturbation theory: assumption that coupling is small

Also: effective theories

- <span id="page-11-0"></span>▶ Put all the quark and gluon fields of QCD on a 4D-lattice NB: with imaginary time
- Figure out which field configurations are most likely (by Monte Carlo sampling).
- ▶ You've solved QCD



image credits: [fdecomite \[Flickr\]](http://www.flickr.com/photos/fdecomite/2615572026/)

### Lattice hadron masses

Lattice QCD is great at calculation static properties of a single hadron.

E.g. the hadron mass spectrum



Durr et al '08

How big a lattice do you need for an LHC collision @ 14 TeV?

$$
\frac{\text{Lattice spacing:}}{14 \text{ TeV}} \sim 10^{-5} \text{ fm}
$$

#### Lattice extent:

- ▶ non-perturbative dynamics for quark/hadron near rest takes place on timescale  $t\sim\frac{1}{0.5\text{ GeV}}\sim 0.4\text{ fm}/c$
- ▶ But quarks at LHC have effective boost factor  $\sim 10^4$
- ▶ So lattice extent should be  $\sim$  4000 fm

 $\overline{\rm Total:}$  need  $\sim$  4  $\times$   $10^8$  lattice units in each direction, or 3  $\times$   $10^{34}$  nodes total. Plus clever tricks to deal with high particle multiplicity, imaginary v. real time, etc. <span id="page-14-0"></span>Relies on idea of order-by-order expansion small coupling,  $\alpha_{s} \ll 1$ 



Interaction vertices of Feynman rules:



These expressions are fairly complex, so you really don't want to have to deal with too many orders of them! i.e.  $\alpha_s$  had better be small...



A gluon emission repaints the quark colour. A gluon itself carries colour and anti-colour.

# What does "ggg" Feynman rule mean?







A gluon emission also repaints the gluon colours. Because a gluon carries colour + anti-colour, it emits  $\sim$ twice as strongly as a quark (just has colour)

[QCD lecture 1](#page-0-0) (p. 18) [Basic methods](#page-10-0) [Perturbation theory](#page-14-0)

# Quick guide to colour algebra

$$
\text{Tr}(t^{A}t^{B}) = T_{R}\delta^{AB}, \quad T_{R} = \frac{1}{2} \qquad \text{for } \delta \text{ for } \delta
$$

 $N_c \equiv$  number of colours = 3 for QCD

[QCD lecture 1](#page-0-0) (p. 19)  $-$ [Basic methods](#page-10-0) [Perturbation theory](#page-14-0)

# How big is the coupling?

All couplings run (QED, QCD, EW), i.e. they depend on the momentum scale  $(Q^2)$  of your process.

The QCD coupling,  $\alpha_{\sf s}(Q^2)$ , runs fast:

$$
Q^2\frac{\partial \alpha_s}{\partial Q^2} = \beta(\alpha_s)\,,\qquad \beta(\alpha_s) = -\alpha_s^2(b_0+b_1\alpha_s+b_2\alpha_s^2+\ldots)\,,
$$

$$
b_0 = \frac{11C_A - 2n_f}{12\pi}, \qquad b_1 = \frac{17C_A^2 - 5C_An_f - 3C_Fn_f}{24\pi^2} = \frac{153 - 19n_f}{24\pi^2}
$$

Note sign: Asymptotic Freedom, due to gluon to self-interaction 2004 Nobel prize: Gross, Politzer & Wilczek

 $\triangleright$  At high scales Q, coupling becomes small ➥quarks and gluons are almost free, interactions are weak  $\triangleright$  At low scales, coupling becomes strong  $\rightarrow$ quarks and gluons interact strongly — confined into hadrons Perturbation theory fails. [QCD lecture 1](#page-0-0) (p. 20) [Basic methods](#page-10-0) [Perturbation theory](#page-14-0)

# Running coupling (cont.)

Solve 
$$
Q^2 \frac{\partial \alpha_s}{\partial Q^2} = -b_0 \alpha_s^2 \implies \alpha_s(Q^2) = \frac{\alpha_s(Q_0^2)}{1 + b_0 \alpha_s(Q_0^2) \ln \frac{Q^2}{Q_0^2}} = \frac{1}{b_0 \ln \frac{Q^2}{\Lambda^2}}
$$

 $\Lambda \simeq 0.2$  GeV (aka  $\Lambda_{QCD}$ ) is the fundamental scale of QCD, at which coupling blows up.

- ▶ Λ sets the scale for hadron masses (NB: Λ not unambiguously defined wrt higher orders)
- ▶ Perturbative calculations valid for scales  $Q \gg \Lambda$ .



[QCD lecture 1](#page-0-0) (p. 21)  $\Box$ [Basic methods](#page-10-0) [Perturbation theory](#page-14-0)



Current world average is

 $\alpha_s(m_Z) = 0.1180 \pm 0.0009$ 

The world average has been stable over many years, but be aware of what goes into it:  $\triangleright$  most determinations with small uncertainties

 $(\lesssim 0.001)$  are systematics dominated

▶ some determinations (not shown on left) are in tension ( $\sim 4\sigma$ ) with world average



Question of how best to determine  $\alpha_{\bm{s}}$  is an active research topic spanning many subfields of QCD!



# QCD perturbation theory (PT) & LHC?



 $QCD$  perturbation theory  $(PT)$  & LHC? ▶ Higgs, SM and searches at colliders probe scales  $Q \sim p_t \sim 50$  GeV – 5 TeV The coupling certainly is small there!

> But we're colliding protons,  $m_p \simeq 0.94$  GeV The coupling is large!

When we look at QCD events (this one is interpreted as  $e^+e^- \rightarrow Z \rightarrow q\bar{q}$ ), we see:

- $\blacktriangleright$  hadrons (PT doesn't hold for them)
- $\triangleright$  lots of them so we can't say 1 quark/gluon  $\sim$  1 hadron, and we limit ourselves to 1 or 2 orders of PT.



# Neither lattice QCD nor perturbative QCD can offer a full solution to using QCD at colliders

What the community has settled on is perturbative QCD inputs  $+$  non-perturbative *modelling/factorisation* 

Rest of this lecture: take a simple environment ( $e^+e^- \rightarrow$  hadrons) and see how PT allows us to understand why QCD events look the way they do.

Next lectures: dealing with incoming protons, jets, modern predictive tools

<span id="page-23-0"></span>[QCD lecture 1](#page-0-0) (p. 24)  $e^+e^- \rightarrow q\bar{q}$ [Soft-collinear emission](#page-23-0)

Soft gluon amplitude

Start with 
$$
\gamma^* \rightarrow q\bar{q}
$$
:

$$
\mathcal{M}_{q\bar{q}} = -\bar{u}(p_1) i e_q \gamma_\mu v(p_2)
$$



Emit a gluon:

$$
\mathcal{M}_{q\bar{q}g} = \bar{u}(p_1)ig_s \notin t^A \frac{i}{p_1 + k}ie_q \gamma_\mu v(p_2) \qquad \text{for } k, \varepsilon \text{ and } p_1
$$
\n
$$
- \bar{u}(p_1)ie_q \gamma_\mu \frac{i}{p_2 + k}ig_s \notin t^A v(p_2) \qquad \text{for } k, \varepsilon \text{ and } k, \varepsilon
$$

Make gluon  $\mathit{soft} \equiv k \ll p_{1,2}$ ; ignore terms suppressed by powers of k:

$$
\mathcal{M}_{q\bar{q}g} \simeq \bar{u}(p_1)ie_q\gamma_\mu t^A v(p_2) g_s\left(\frac{p_1.\epsilon}{p_1.k} - \frac{p_2.\epsilon}{p_2.k}\right) \qquad \begin{vmatrix} \not p v(p) = 0, \\ \not p k + k p = 2p.k \end{vmatrix}
$$

[QCD lecture 1](#page-0-0) (p. 25)  $e^+e^- \rightarrow q\bar{q}$ [Soft-collinear emission](#page-23-0)

Squared amplitude

 $\sim$ 

$$
|M_{q\bar{q}g}^2| \simeq \sum_{A,pol} \left| \bar{u}(p_1) i e_q \gamma_\mu t^A v(p_2) g_s \left( \frac{p_1 \cdot \epsilon}{p_1 \cdot k} - \frac{p_2 \cdot \epsilon}{p_2 \cdot k} \right) \right|^2
$$
  
=  $-|M_{q\bar{q}}^2|C_F g_s^2 \left( \frac{p_1}{p_1 \cdot k} - \frac{p_2}{p_2 \cdot k} \right)^2 = |M_{q\bar{q}}^2|C_F g_s^2 \frac{2p_1 \cdot p_2}{(p_1 \cdot k)(p_2 \cdot k)}$ 

Include phase space:

$$
d\Phi_{q\bar{q}g}|M_{q\bar{q}g}^2| \simeq (d\Phi_{q\bar{q}}|M_{q\bar{q}}^2|) \frac{d^3\vec{k}}{2E(2\pi)^3} C_F g_s^2 \frac{2p_1.p_2}{(p_1.k)(p_2.k)}
$$

Note property of factorisation into hard  $q\bar{q}$  piece and soft-gluon emission piece,  $dS$ .

$$
dS = EdE \, d\cos\theta \, \frac{d\phi}{2\pi} \cdot \frac{2\alpha_s C_F}{\pi} \frac{2p_1 \cdot p_2}{(2p_1 \cdot k)(2p_2 \cdot k)} \qquad \theta \equiv \theta_{p_1 k} \qquad \phi = \text{azimuth}
$$



Take squared matrix element and rewrite in terms of  $E, \theta$ ,

$$
\frac{2p_1.p_2}{(2p_1.k)(2p_2.k)} = \frac{1}{E^2(1-\cos^2\theta)}
$$

So final expression for soft gluon emission is

$$
dS = \frac{2\alpha_s C_F}{\pi} \frac{dE}{E} \frac{d\theta}{\sin\theta} \frac{d\phi}{2\pi}
$$

### NB:

- ▶ It diverges for  $E \rightarrow 0$  infrared (or soft) divergence
- $▶$  It diverges for  $\theta \rightarrow 0$  and  $\theta \rightarrow \pi$  collinear divergence

Soft, collinear divergences derived here in specific context of  $e^+e^-\to q\bar{q}$ But they are a very general property of QCD

Real-virtual cancellations: total X-sctn

Total cross section: sum of all real and virtual diagrams

<span id="page-26-0"></span>[QCD lecture 1](#page-0-0) (p. 27)

e  $^+e^-\to q\bar{q}$ [Total X-sct](#page-26-0)



Total cross section must be *finite*. If real part has divergent integration, so must virtual part. The state of the conservation of probability)

$$
\sigma_{tot} = \sigma_{q\bar{q}} \left( 1 + \frac{2\alpha_s C_F}{\pi} \int \frac{dE}{E} \int \frac{d\theta}{\sin \theta} R(E/Q, \theta) - \frac{2\alpha_s C_F}{\pi} \int \frac{dE}{E} \int \frac{d\theta}{\sin \theta} V(E/Q, \theta) \right)
$$

▶  $R(E/Q, \theta)$  parametrises real matrix element for hard emissions,  $E \sim Q$ .  $\blacktriangleright$   $V(E/Q, \theta)$  parametrises virtual corrections for all momenta.

Total X-section (cont.)

$$
\sigma_{tot} = \sigma_{q\bar{q}} \left( 1 + \frac{2\alpha_s C_F}{\pi} \int \frac{dE}{E} \int \frac{d\theta}{\sin \theta} \left( R(E/Q, \theta) - V(E/Q, \theta) \right) \right)
$$

- ▶ From calculation:  $\lim_{E\to 0} R(E/Q, \theta) = 1$ .
- ▶ For every divergence  $R(E/Q, \theta)$  and  $V(E/Q, \theta)$  should cancel:

$$
\lim_{E \to 0} (R - V) = 0, \qquad \lim_{\theta \to 0, \pi} (R - V) = 0
$$

Result:

- ▶ corrections to  $\sigma_{tot}$  come from hard  $(E \sim Q)$ , large-angle gluons
- ▶ Soft gluons don't matter:
	- ▶ Physics reason: soft gluons emitted on long timescale  $\sim 1/(E\theta^2)$  relative to collision  $(1/Q)$  — cannot influence cross section.
	- ▶ Transition to hadrons also occurs on long time scale  $(\sim 1/\Lambda)$  and can also be ignored.

► Correct renorm. scale for  $\alpha_s$ :  $\mu \sim Q$  — perturbation theory valid.

Dependence of total cross section on only hard gluons is reflected in 'good behaviour' of perturbation series:

$$
\sigma_{\text{tot}} = \sigma_{q\bar{q}} \left( 1 + 1.045 \frac{\alpha_{s}(Q)}{\pi} + 0.94 \left( \frac{\alpha_{s}(Q)}{\pi} \right)^{2} - 15 \left( \frac{\alpha_{s}(Q)}{\pi} \right)^{3} + \cdots \right)
$$

(Coefficients given for  $Q = M_Z$ )

# <span id="page-29-0"></span>Let's look at more "exclusive" quantities — structure of final state

Let's try and integrate emission probability to get the mean number of gluons emitted off a a quark with energy  $\sim Q$ :

$$
\langle N_g \rangle \simeq \frac{2\alpha_s C_F}{\pi} \int^Q \frac{dE}{E} \int^{\pi/2} \frac{d\theta}{\theta} \Theta(E\theta > Q_0)
$$

This diverges unless we cut the integral off for transverse momenta  $(k_t \simeq E\theta)$  below some non-perturbative threshold,  $Q_0 \sim \Lambda_{QCD}$ . On the grounds that perturbation no longer applies for  $k_t \sim \Lambda_{QCD}$ Language of quarks and gluons becomes meaningless

With this cutoff, result is:

$$
\langle N_g \rangle \simeq \frac{\alpha_{\rm s} C_F}{\pi} \ln^2 \frac{Q}{Q_0} + \mathcal{O}\left(\alpha_{\rm s} \ln Q\right)
$$

[QCD lecture 1](#page-0-0) (p. 32)  $e^+e^- \rightarrow q\bar{q}$ [How many gluons are emitted?](#page-29-0)

Suppose we take  $Q_0 = \Lambda_{QCD}$ , how big is the result? Let's use  $\alpha_s = \alpha_s(Q) = 1/(2b \ln Q/\Lambda)$ [Actually, over most of integration range this is optimistically small]

$$
\langle N_g \rangle \simeq \frac{\alpha_{\rm s} C_F}{\pi} \ln^2 \frac{Q}{\Lambda_{QCD}} \rightarrow \frac{C_F}{2b\pi} \ln \frac{Q}{\Lambda_{QCD}}
$$

NB: given form for  $\alpha_{\sf s}$ , this is actually  $\sim 1/\alpha_{\sf s}$ 

Put in some numbers:  $Q = 100$  GeV,  $\Lambda_{QCD} \simeq 0.2$  GeV,  $C_F = 4/3$ ,  $b \simeq 0.6$ ,

 $\longrightarrow \langle N_{\varrho} \rangle \simeq 2.2$ 

Perturbation theory assumes that first-order term,  $\sim \alpha_s$  should be  $\ll 1$ . But the final result is  $\sim 1/\alpha_s > 1$ ... Is perturbation theory completely useless?

# Given this failure of first-order perturbation theory, two possible avenues.

- 1. Continue calculating the next order(s) and see what happens
- 2. Try to see if there exist other observables for which perturbation theory is better behaved



- ▶ Same divergence structures, regardless of where gluon is emitted from
- All that changes is the colour factor ( $C_F = 4/3$  v.  $C_A = 3$ )
- Expect low-order structure  $(\alpha_s \ln^2 Q)$  to be replicated at each new order



## Picturing a QCD event



Giving a pattern of hadrons that "remembers" the gluon branching Hadrons mostly produced at small angle wrt  $q\bar{q}$  directions or with low energy [QCD lecture 1](#page-0-0) (p. 36)  $e^+e^- \rightarrow q\bar{q}$  $-\mathsf{How}$  many gluons are emitted?

# Gluon v. hadron multiplicity

It turns out you can calculate the gluon multiplicity analytically, by summing all orders  $(n)$  of perturbation theory:

$$
\langle N_g \rangle \sim \sum_n \frac{1}{(n!)^2} \left( \frac{C_A}{\pi b} \ln \frac{Q}{\Lambda} \right)^n
$$

$$
\sim \exp \sqrt{\frac{4C_A}{\pi b} \ln \frac{Q}{\Lambda}}
$$

Compare to data for hadron multiplicity √  $(Q \equiv \sqrt{s})$ Including some other higher-order terms

and fitting overall normalisation

Agreement is amazing!



charged hadron multiplicity in  $e^+e^-$  events adapted from ESW

# <span id="page-36-0"></span>It's great that putting together all orders of gluon emission works so well!

This, together with a "hadronisation model", is part of what's contained in Monte Carlo event generators like Pythia, Herwig & Sherpa.

But are there things that we can calculate about the final state using just one or two orders perturbation theory?

For an observable's distribution to be calculable in [fixed-order] perturbation theory, the observable should be infra-red safe, i.e. insensitive to the emission of soft or collinear gluons. In particular if  $\vec{p}_i$  is any momentum occurring in its definition, it must be invariant under the branching

$$
\vec{p_i} \rightarrow \vec{p_j} + \vec{p_k}
$$

whenever  $\vec{p}_i$  and  $\vec{p}_k$  are parallel [collinear] or one of them is small [infrared]. [QCD and Collider Physics (Ellis, Stirling & Webber)]

### **Examples**

[QCD lecture 1](#page-0-0) (p. 38)

[Infrared and Collinear safety](#page-36-0)

e  $^+e^-\to q\bar{q}$ 

- $\triangleright$  Multiplicity of gluons is *not* IRC safe [modified by soft/collinear splitting]
- **Energy of hardest particle is** *not* **IRC safe** [modified by collinear splitting]
- **E** Energy flow into a cone is IRC safe [soft emissions don't change energy flow collinear emissions don't change its direction]

[QCD lecture 1](#page-0-0) (p. 39) e  $^+e^-\to q\bar{q}$ -[Infrared and Collinear safety](#page-36-0)

# Sterman-Weinberg jets

### The original (finite) jet definition

An event has 2 jets if at least a fraction  $(1 - \epsilon)$  of event energy is contained in two cones of half-angle  $\delta$ .



$$
\sigma_{2-jet} = \sigma_{q\bar{q}} \left( 1 + \frac{2\alpha_s C_F}{\pi} \int \frac{dE}{E} \frac{d\theta}{\sin \theta} \left( R \left( \frac{E}{Q}, \theta \right) \times \times \left( 1 - \Theta \left( \frac{E}{Q} - \epsilon \right) \Theta(\theta - \delta) \right) - V \left( \frac{E}{Q}, \theta \right) \right) \right)
$$

- **▶** For small E or small  $\theta$  this is just like total cross section full cancellation of divergences between real and virtual terms.
- **•** For large E and large  $\theta$  a *finite piece* of real emission cross section is *cut* out.
- ▶ Overall final contribution dominated by scales  $\sim Q$  cross section is perturbatively calculation.



### Near 'perfect' 2-jet event

2 well-collimated jets of particles. Nearly all energy contained in two cones.

Cross section for this to occur is

 $\sigma_{\rm 2-jet} = \sigma_{\it q\bar{q}} (1 - c_{\rm 1} \alpha_{\sf s} + c_{\rm 2} \alpha_{\sf s}^2 + \ldots)$ 

where  $c_1$ ,  $c_2$  all  $\sim$  1.







### How many jets?

- ▶ Most of energy contained in 3 (fairly) collimated cones
- $\triangleright$  Cross section for this to happen is

 $\sigma_{\rm 3-jet} = \sigma_{\scriptstyle{\cal q} \bar{\scriptstyle{\cal q}}} (c'_{\rm 1} \alpha_{\scriptstyle{\sf s}} + c'_{\rm 2} \alpha_{\scriptstyle{\sf s}}^2 + \ldots)$ 

where the coefficients are all  $\mathcal{O}(1)$ 

Cross section for extra gluon diverges Cross section for extra jet is small,  $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_{\mathrm{s}})$ 

> NB: Sterman-Weinberg procedure gets complex for multi-jet events. 4th lecture will discuss modern approaches for defining jets.

- <span id="page-41-0"></span> $\triangleright$  QCD at colliders mixes weak and strong coupling
- $\triangleright$  No calculation technique is rigorous over that whole domain
- $\blacktriangleright$  Gluon emission repaints a quark's colour
- $\blacktriangleright$  That implies that gluons carry colour too
- $\triangleright$  Quarks emit gluons, which emit other gluons: this gives characteristic "shower" structure of QCD events, and is the basis of *Monte Carlo* simulations
- $\blacktriangleright$  To use perturbation theory one must measure quantities that are insensitive to the (divergent) soft & collinear splittings, like *jets*.