Conference on Collider, Dark Matter, and Neutrino Physics Mitchell Institute, Texas A&M University May 23-26, 2024

A flavor of Belle II

with some recent results

Angelo Di Canto (on behalf of Belle II)





A flavorful experiment

- Belle II is a multipurpose detector at the SuperKEKB asymmetric e+e- collider, located at KEK in Tsukuba, Japan
- Latest in a series of experiments operating near the Y(4S) resonance. Aims to collect 50x larger samples than its predecessor Belle



• Core physics program is precision measurements and search for rare processes in weak decays of bottom mesons, but unique capabilities also in charm, τ , dark sector, hadron spectroscopy, soft QCD

[Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys. 2019 123C01, 2207.06307]

The detector



 $He(50\%):C_2H_6(50\%),$ small cells, long lever arm, fast electronics

Particle Identification

Time-of-Propagation counter (iTOP, barrel), Proximity focusing Aerogel Cherenkov Ring Imaging detector (forward)

Status

- During Run 1, collected ~427 fb⁻¹ of good data, of which:
 - ~364 fb⁻¹ taken at $\sqrt{s} \approx 10.58$ GeV, corresponding to the mass of the Y(4S), which dominantly decays to $B\bar{B}$
 - ~43 fb⁻¹ taken 60 MeV below the Y(4S) peak, for continuum qq
 background studies
 - ~20 fb⁻¹ taken around 10.75 GeV, for exotic hadron searches
- Sample size equivalent to BaBar's and to ~50% of Belle's



Updated on 2024/05/24 12:05 JST

- Run 2 just started after 1.5 years of shutdown (LS1)
 - Accelerator upgraded to mitigate beam instabilities and increase luminosity
 - Detector upgraded too with fully instrumented 2nd layer of pixel detector

Physics output

- Production of physics results with Run 1 data at full steam
 - Many competitive or world-leading/unique



- Belle II sensitivity and reach per unit data significantly superior to predecessors in most areas
- I'll show today some recent examples that (in my opinion) confirm the unique potential of the Belle II physics program

Non-BB physics

τ-lepton as beyond-SM probe

- Unique laboratory to study weak interaction: being third-generation makes it particularly sensitive to beyond-SM
- Example: any observation of lepton-flavor violation in $\tau \rightarrow 3\mu$, $\tau \rightarrow \mu\gamma$, $\tau \rightarrow \ell\phi$ etc. would Data $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{BelleII} \left(\textbf{Preliminary} \right) \\ \tau^{\pm} {\rightarrow} \mu^{\pm} \mu^{\mp} \mu^{\pm} \end{array}$ ιµll indicate new phe Signal

1.65

1.70

 $\int \mathcal{L} \, dt = 424 \, \text{fb}^{-1}$

 $q\overline{q}$

1.75

1.85

1.80

- New Belle II resta consistent with Events expectation
 - World-best lir
 - Competition CMS (<2.9×1







a longstanding discrepancy with the SM prediction: $a_{\mu}(exp) - a_{\mu}(SM) \sim 25 \times 10^{-10}$



- SM prediction dominated by contribution from the hadron vacuum polarization (HVP) amplitudes, which can be constrained with cross-section measurements of $e^+e^- \rightarrow hadrons$
- Discrepancies between different measurements of e+e-→hadrons, and between calculations based on dispersion relations and lattice QCD, demand additional experimental inputs
 - Belle II can help clarifying the picture with precise measurements of $e^+e^- \rightarrow hadrons$ in a wide range of energies using events with initial-state radiation

Cross section of $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$

- $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$ is the second-largest contribution (~7%) to the HPV term in a_μ
 - Most relevant is the cross section below 1 GeV, around the ω resonance
- Belle II measurement is 5-10% higher than previous results
 - If used to predict the HPV contribution, it would reduce the tension with the $a_{\mu}(exp)$ by ~10%





BB physics

Time-dependent CP violation





$$A_{CP} = \frac{\Gamma(\bar{B}^{0}(\Delta t) \to f_{CP}) - \Gamma(B^{0}(\Delta t) \to f_{CP})}{\Gamma(\bar{B}^{0}(\Delta t) \to f_{CP}) + \Gamma(B^{0}(\Delta t) \to f_{CP})}$$
$$= S_{CP} \sin(\Delta m \Delta t) - C_{CP} \cos(\Delta m \Delta t)$$

 $S_{CP} = \sin 2\beta \text{ in } B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_S^0$

- Flagship measurement of the *B* factories, fully exploiting the quantum entanglement of the two *B* mesons
- Relies on ability to identify (tag) the flavor of the other *B* in the event and excellent vertex resolution



- The first CP violation analyses in Belle II relied on a category-based algorithm with performance similar to previous B factories
- New algorithm based on graph neural networks (GFIaT) increases the effective sample size by ~18%
 - Corresponding to ~8% improved statistical precision on $S_{CP} = \sin 2\beta$ in $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_{S^0}$ decays
- Now being used by all analyses, and being implemented for Belle data with similar performance improvements



CP violation in suppressed decays

- Gluonic-penguin modes are suppressed in the SM
- Comparison of $\sin 2\beta_{eff}$ with the reference from $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_{S^0}$ probes the presence of new amplitudes in loops
- Reached better sensitivity per unit data compared to predecessors in a few modes (while still using the categorybased flavor tagger)

$$B^0 \rightarrow K_{\rm S}^0 \pi^0$$

[PRL 131 (2023) 111803]



$$B^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 \phi$$

[PRD 108 (2023) 072012]









Anomalies in $b \rightarrow s$ transitions?



- Consistent pattern of deviations in $b \rightarrow s\mu^+\mu^-$ transitions appeared at LHCb several years ago
- Predictions have large hadronic uncertainties (e.g., from cc̄ loops) and, since they appeared, little progress has been made in understanding them

A cleaner probe: $b \rightarrow sv\bar{v}$

Well known in SM: no cc̄ loops, short-distance dominated

 $BF_{SM}(B^+ \rightarrow K^+ v \bar{v}) = (5.6 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-6}$

- Very sensitive to beyond-SM enhancements and complementary to $b \rightarrow s/+/-$
- Experimentally challenging
 - No peak two neutrinos leads to no good kinematic constraint
- Only accessible at *e*+*e* colliders
- Upper limits provided by BaBar and Belle, exploiting the reconstruction of the other B in the event in a hadronic or semileptonic final state



Diagrams for short distance contributions (long distance: 10% of the total branching fraction)

$B^+ \rightarrow K^+ v \bar{v}$ at Belle II

• Two (largely) statistical independent methods



 Many systematic studies with data-driven corrections and checks from control samples

[2311.14647] (to appear in PRD)

Inclusive-tag analysis - Strategy

- Preselect events where missing momentum and signal kaon well reconstructed
- Two boosted decision trees to suppress background:
 - BDT₁: 12 inclusive event-topology variables
 - BDT₂: 35 variables; trained on events with BDT₁>0.9, which corresponds to a signal (background) efficiency of 34% (1.5%)
- Determine signal from fit to BDT₂ in bins of dineutrino mass-squared (q²)
- Validated with several control samples in data





$B^+ \rightarrow K^+ v \bar{v} - \text{Results}$



 $\mu_{\rm ITA} = 5.4 \pm 1.0 ({\rm stat}) \pm 1.1 ({\rm syst})$

 $\mu_{\rm HTA} = 2.2^{+1.8}_{-1.7} (\rm{stat})^{+1.6}_{-1.1} (\rm{syst})$

 μ = measured BF / SM BF

[2311.14647] (to appear in PRD)

$B^+ \rightarrow K^+ v \bar{v} - \text{Results}$



First evidence (3.5 σ). Consistent with SM at 2.7 σ

• Exciting result. To be followed by Belle ITA, Belle II semileptonictag analysis, and the investigation of more $b \rightarrow sv\bar{v}$ modes

Closing summary

- Belle II Run 1 results confirm the importance of a flavor-physics program in e⁺e⁻ collisions at the Y(4S) energy
 - Still catching up to previous-generation sample size...
 - ...but already achieved competitive and world-leading/unique measurements
- Looking forward to successful data-taking in Run 2 to enter the "10³⁵ era" and fully exploit Belle II potential in the next decade



Backup slides

SuperKEKB "nano beams"

- SuperKEKB uses much smaller interaction region and larger beam currents than KEKB to reach higher luminosities
 - Nano-beams concept realized with super-conducting final focus quadrupoles (P. Raimondi) could deliver up to 20× more luminosity than at KEKB
 - Beam currents up to 1.5× KEKB's
- So far, record peak luminosity of 4.7×10³⁴ cm⁻²s⁻¹ (~2.5x KEKB's record)
 - Will need to upgrade machine in next few years to reach design goal of 6.5×10³⁵ cm⁻²s⁻¹



Dimensions of luminous region at Belle II are 10/0.2/250 µm (x/y/z) compared to 100/1/6'000 µm at Belle. Ultimately, *y* size expected to be decreased to ~60 nm

[2311.14647]

Inclusive-tag analysis - Validation

- ITA signal efficiency validated using signal embedding in events with a reconstructed $B^+ \rightarrow K^+ J/\psi(\rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-)$ decays
 - Remove muons from reconstructed objects to mimic neutrinos and replace K⁺ kinematics from simulated signal events to match signal topology (both in data and simulation)
- Control backgrounds using

٠

. . .

- Off-resonance data for continuum
- Pion-enriched sideband for misidentified decays
- Combinations of K^+ with other charged particles in the event for $B \rightarrow D(\rightarrow K^+X)\ell^-v$ modes
- $B^+ \rightarrow K^+K_SK_S$ for $B^+ \rightarrow K^+K_LK_L$ contamination (most signal-like background decay)



• Closure test with full measurement of $BF(B^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ K^0)$

$B^+ \rightarrow K^+ v \bar{v} - Systematics$

TABLE I. Sources of systematic uncertainty in the ITA, corresponding correction factors (if any), their treatment in the fit, their size, and their impact on the uncertainty of the signal strength μ . The uncertainty type can be "Global", corresponding to a global normalization factor common to all SR bins, or "Shape", corresponding to a bin-dependent uncertainty. Each source is described by one or more nuisance parameters (see the text for more details). The impact on the signal strength uncertainty from σ_{μ} is estimated by excluding the source from the minimization and subtracting in quadrature the resulting uncertainty from the uncertainty of the nominal fit.

Source	Correction	Uncertainty type, parameters	Uncertainty size	Impact on σ_{μ}
Normalization of $B\overline{B}$ background		Global, 2	50%	0.90
Normalization of continuum background		Global, 5	50%	0.10
Leading B -decay branching fractions		Shape, 5	O(1%)	0.22
Branching fraction for $B^+ \to K^+ K^0_{\rm L} K^0_{\rm L}$	q^2 dependent $O(100\%)$	Shape, 1	20%	0.49
p-wave component for $B^+ \to K^+ K^0_{\rm S} K^0_{\rm L}$	q^2 dependent $O(100\%)$	Shape, 1	30%	0.02
Branching fraction for $B \to D^{**}$		Shape, 1	50%	0.42
Branching fraction for $B^+ \to K^+ n \bar{n}$	q^2 dependent $O(100\%)$	Shape, 1	100%	0.20
Branching fraction for $D \to K^0_{\rm L} X$	+30%	Shape, 1	10%	0.14
Continuum-background modeling, BDT _c	Multivariate $O(10\%)$	Shape, 1	100% of correction	0.01
Integrated luminosity		Global, 1	1%	< 0.01
Number of $B\overline{B}$		Global, 1	1.5%	0.02
Off-resonance sample normalization		Global, 1	5%	0.05
Track-finding efficiency		Shape, 1	0.3%	0.20
Signal-kaon PID	p, θ dependent $O(10 - 100\%)$	Shape, 7	O(1%)	0.07
Photon energy		Shape, 1	0.5%	0.08
Hadronic energy	-10%	Shape, 1	10%	0.37
$K_{\rm L}^0$ efficiency in ECL	-17%	Shape, 1	8%	0.22
Signal SM form-factors	q^2 dependent $O(1\%)$	Shape, 3	O(1%)	0.02
Global signal efficiency		Global, 1	3%	0.03
Simulated-sample size		Shape, 156	O(1%)	0.52