The EIC Charlotte Van Hulse University of Alcalá

22nd STFC Nuclear Physics Summer School University of Durham 11–24 August 2024

**Comunidad
de Madrid**

On the menu

- EIC machine: overview
- ePIC: the first EIC detector
- Why an EIC?
	- Nucleon spin
	- Multi-dimensional nucleon structure
	- Saturation
	- Hadronisation

 \mathcal{O}

- Based on RHIC:
	- use existing hadron storage ring energy: 41–275 GeV
	- add electron storage ring in RHIC tunnel energy: 5–18 GeV

 $\rightarrow \sqrt{s} = 29 - 141$ GeV

- Based on RHIC:
	- use existing hadron storage ring energy: 41–275 GeV
	- add electron storage ring in RHIC tunnel energy: 5–18 GeV

 $\rightarrow \sqrt{s} = 29 - 141$ GeV

 \vec{e} + \vec{p}^{\uparrow} , \vec{d}^{\uparrow} , \overrightarrow{He} ⃗↑ • $\vec{e} + \vec{p}^{\uparrow}$, d^{\uparrow} , He^{\downarrow} , unpolarised ions up to U

~ 70% polarisation

- Based on RHIC:
	- use existing hadron storage ring energy: 41–275 GeV
	- add electron storage ring in RHIC tunnel energy: 5–18 GeV

 $\rightarrow \sqrt{s} = 29 - 141$ GeV

- \vec{e} + \vec{p}^{\uparrow} , \vec{d}^{\uparrow} , \overrightarrow{He} ⃗↑ • $\vec{e} + \vec{p}^{\uparrow}$, d^{\uparrow} , He^{\downarrow} , unpolarised ions up to U
	- ~ 70% polarisation
- $\mathscr{L} = 10^{33-34}$ cm⁻² s⁻¹
	- \leftrightarrow \mathcal{L}_{int} = 10 100 fb⁻¹/year

Luminosity for eA similar within factor 2–3

Luminosity and centre-of-mass energy: ep collisions

- ~ 70% polarisation
- $\mathscr{L} = 10^{33-34}$ cm⁻² s⁻¹

 \leftrightarrow \mathscr{L}_{int} = 10 – 100 fb⁻¹/year

- Based on RHIC:
	- use exiting hadron storage ring energy: 41–275 GeV
	- add electron storage ring in RHIC tunnel energy: 5–18 GeV

 $\rightarrow \sqrt{s} = 29 - 141$ GeV (per nucleon)

$$
\bullet \ \vec{e} + \vec{p}^\uparrow, \vec{d}^\uparrow, \overrightarrow{He}^\uparrow
$$

• ion beam: proton to Uranium

- ~ 70% polarisation
- $\mathscr{L} = 10^{33-34}$ cm⁻² s⁻¹

 \leftrightarrow \mathscr{L}_{int} = 10 – 100 fb⁻¹/year

- Based on RHIC:
	- use exiting hadron storage ring energy: 41–275 GeV
	- add electron storage ring in RHIC tunnel energy: 5–18 GeV

 $\rightarrow \sqrt{s} = 29 - 141$ GeV (per nucleon)

$$
\bullet \ \vec{e} + \vec{p}^\uparrow, \vec{d}^\uparrow, \overrightarrow{He}^\uparrow
$$

• ion beam: proton to Uranium

The electron-proton/ion collider (ePIC) detector

6

9.5 m

The electron-proton/ion collider (ePIC) detector

+ far forward

The electron-proton/ion collider (ePIC) detector

+ far forward

-
-
-
-
-
-

• Backward EMCAL: high-precision PbWO4 + Si sensors

- Backward EMCAL: high-precision PbWO4 + Si sensors
- Barrel EMCAL: 3D imaging with MAPS and sampling Pb/ scintillating fibres with Si sensors

- Backward EMCAL: Backward EMCAL:
high-precision PbWO₄ + Si sensors
- Barrel EMCAL: Barrel EMCAL: 3D imaging with MAPS and sampling Pb/ 3D imaging with MAPS and sampling Pb/ scintillating fibres with Si sensors scintillating fibres with Si sensors
- Forward EMCAL: finely segmented W powder/scintillating fibres

steel/scintillator sandwich as tail catcher

• Backward HCAL:

• Barrel HCAL: Fe/scintillator sandwich: detection of neutrals

• Backward HCAL:

- Barrel HCAL: Fe/scintillator sandwich: detection of neutrals • Barrel HCAL: Fe/scintillator sandwich: detection of neutrals
- Forward HCAL: W/scintillator sandwich longitudinally segmented, high granularity: good E resolution

HRPPD photosenors, include TOF

HRPPD photosenors, include TOF

spherical mirrors

spherical mirrors

10

Far-backward region

Far-backward region

Far-forward region

Far-forward region

Timeline

-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
- -
	-
	-
	-
	-
	-
	-
	-
	- -
-
- -
	-
-
- -
- -
- -
	-
	- -
	-
- -
	- -
	-
- -
	-

Nucleon spin structure

Nucleon spin structure

constitution of provided at α in information. $\overline{}$ Nucleon multi-dimensional structure

Nucleon spin structure

constitution of provided at α in information. $\overline{}$ Nucleon multi-dimensional structure

Nucleon spin structure

constitution of provided at α in information. $\overline{}$ Nucleon multi-dimensional structure

 $e^{-}(k)$

 $e^{-}(k)$

 $I^2 = -q^2$ appropriate and the electron mass throughout. $Q^2 = -q^2$

 $e^{-}(k)$

K Highly virtual photon: $Q^2\gg 1$ GeV 2 *provides hard* scale of process

$$
Q^2 = -q^2
$$

 $e^{-}(k)$

K Highly virtual photon: $Q^2\gg 1$ GeV 2 *provides hard* scale of process

$$
Q^2 = -q^2
$$

$$
x_B = \frac{Q^2}{2P \cdot q}
$$

 \overrightarrow{e} ^(k)

 $\vec{p}(P)$

K Highly virtual photon: $Q^2\gg 1$ GeV 2 *provides hard* scale of process

$$
Q^2 = -q^2
$$

$$
x_B = \frac{Q^2}{2P \cdot q}
$$

K Highly virtual photon: $Q^2\gg 1$ GeV 2 *provides hard* scale of process

$$
Q^2 = -q^2
$$

$$
x_B = \frac{Q^2}{2P \cdot q}
$$

X Kinematic coverage at the EIC [Poster by S Maple]

16

Nucleon spin structure

- longitudinally polarised proton Iongitudinally polarised proto
- longitudinally polarised e± beam longitudinally polarised e[±] beam
- count… count…

Helicity structure of the nucleon e nucleon

- longitudinally polarised proton Iongitudinally polarised proto
- longitudinally polarised e± beam longitudinally polarised e[±] beam
- count… count…

• flip proton spin and count...

Helicity structure of the nucleon e nucleon

- longitudinally polarised proton Iongitudinally polarised proto
- longitudinally polarised e± beam longitudinally polarised e[±] beam
- count… count…

• flip proton spin and count...

Helicity structure of the nucleon e nucleon

- longitudinally polarised proton Iongitudinally polarised proto
- longitudinally polarised e± beam longitudinally polarised e[±] beam
- count… count…

• flip proton spin and count...

Helicity structure of the nucleon e nucleon

parton fractional longitudinal momentum: XB

helicity parton distribution function (PDF)

$$
\begin{array}{c}\n\stackrel{\Leftarrow}{\to} \\
\stackrel{\Leftarrow}{\to} \\
\stackrel{\nearrow}{\to} \\
\stackrel{\
$$

ence scale *Q* ²

 $\overline{1}$

10^{-2} 10^{-1} Helicity structure of the nucleon: existing *measurements ^x*α*^k (*¹ [−] *^x)*β*^k (*¹ ⁺ γ*kx)* x^{α_k} (1 + Θ ^k A S₁kx) \textbf{CICIV} \textbf{U} when \textbf{SIC} at the measured value of \textbf{Z}_{Xg} ^p $f(x) = \frac{1}{2}$ **Fig. 3.** The spin-dependent structure function *xg*^p ¹ at the measured values of *^Q* ² as *.* (100001 $\Delta f_k(x) = \eta_k$ *.* (10) GeV (red squares) are compared to the
SMC results at 190 GeV (green crosses)
to bottom indicate the systematic un- $\frac{1}{2}$ as $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ a function of *x*. The COMPASS data at 200 GeV (red squares) are compared to the compared to the square to the square to the square to the square square to the comparison of *x*. The COMPASS data at 200 GeV (re $\int_0^1 x^{\alpha_k} (1-x)^{\beta_k} (1+\gamma_k x) dx$ a function of *x*. The COMPASS data at 200 GeV (red squares) are compared to the

results at 160 GeV (blue circles) and to the SMC results at 160 GeV (blue circles) and to the SMC re for $Q^2 > 1$ (GeV/c)². The bands from top to bottom indicate the systematic uncertainties for SMC 190 GeV, COMPASS 200 GeV and COMPASS 160 GeV. (Coloured certainties for SMC 190 GeV, COMPASS 200 GeV and COMPASS 160 GeV. (Coloured results at 160 GeV (blue circles) and to the SMC results at 190 GeV (green crosses)

version online.

Phys. Lett. B 753 (2016) 18
 $\begin{array}{c|c}\n\hline\n-\pi & \pi = 0.0036 \\
\hline\n\pi & \pi = 0.0036 \\
\hline\n\pi & \pi = 0.0045\n\end{array}$ $\frac{8}{2}$ **EVEL B 7 88 (EVR) 18**
 COLORED 188 (i = 0)
 $\frac{1}{x}$ EMC $\frac{1}{x}$ EMC $\frac{1}{x}$ EMC $\frac{1}{x}$ B $\frac{1}{x}$ B $\frac{1}{x}$ B $\frac{1}{x}$ B $\frac{1}{x}$ $x=0.0036$ $(i = \mathcal{D})$ 0.7 0.7 $x=0.0045$ 12 \mathbf{L} $x=0.0055$ 12.1 $x=0.007$ $x=0.007$ $10¹$ $x = 0.0090$ $x=0.009$ $\frac{3}{5}$ $\frac{2}{5}$ $\frac{3}{5}$ $x=0.035$ $x=0.049$ *gfit* $7 \t(i = 1)$ \bigcap $x=0.077$ more and more parton-parton splittings \overline{a} *x*=0.12 more and more parton-parton splittings $\left[\begin{array}{ccc} \Delta-\Delta O\oplus O\Delta & \varphi\Delta & \varphi\Delta & \Delta-\Delta O\oplus O\Delta & \varphi\Delta & \varphi\Delta & * & * & * & * & * & * & * \ \Delta-\Delta O\oplus O\Delta & \varphi\Delta & \varphi\Delta & * & * & * & * & * & * \end{array}\right]$ Only σ_i and σ_i as the α increases as the α increases as the α increases as α in α in ╶╶╣╸╱╬┰╝╌╶╝ - - G2소 - - 수 - G2 - C2 - 수 **key prediction of pQCD** $\frac{644}{1}$
 $\frac{10^{2}10^{2}}{10^{2}}$
 $\frac{10^{2}10}{10^{2}}$

 Q^2 for various values of *x* with all COMPASS data in red (full circles: $\overrightarrow{400}$ **Fig. 4.** World data on the spin-dependent structure function g_1^p as a function of ϵ for various values of *x*, which an commisso add in four (rain enclose ϵ of ϵ *Q* ² for various values of *x* with all COMPASS data in red (full circles: 160 GeV, full squares: 200 GeV . The lines represent the Ω^2 dependence for each value of *x*, as

ence scale Q_0^2 as follows:

¹ [−] *^Nⁿ ^gdata*

 λ

*Nn*σ*ⁱ*

In order to keep the parameters within their physical ranges, In order to keep the parameters within their physical ranges, iction g_1^p as a function of the polarised PDFs are calculated at every iteration of the fit I (full circles: 460 GeV, full and required to satisfy the positivity conditions $|\Delta q(x) + \Delta \bar{q}(x)| \le$ = ¹ *(*GeV*/c)*² [29,30], which

^q(x) + *^q*¯*(x)* and |"*g(x)*| ≤ *^g(x)* at *^Q* ²

$$
\frac{\mathcal{N}_n g_{1,i}^{data}}{\sqrt{n\sigma_i}}\Bigg)^2 + \left(\frac{1-\mathcal{N}_n}{\delta \mathcal{N}_n}\right)^2 + \chi_{\text{positivity}}^2.
$$
\n(11)

 \overline{a} statistical uncertainties of the data are taken inties of the data $\begin{array}{rcl}\n\star \bullet & \star = 0.29 \\
\star & \star = 0.29 \\
\hline\n\begin{array}{ccc}\n\star \bullet & \star = 0.29 \\
\star \bullet & \star = 0.44\n\end{array}\n\end{array}$ to vary taking into account the normalisation uncertainties δ*Nn*. α_{S} are latter and if the unavariable and if they are estimated as $\alpha_{\text{S}}(x, \mathcal{Q}_{\text{a}})$ and they are est of the $\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\Delta}}$ beam and target points. λ are λ -0.14 are found to be consistent with α in α found to be consistent with α $\frac{10^{2}}{10^{2}}$ for the E155 proton data where the ShdrR lisation is higher, albeit Q^2 (GeV²/ c^2) compatible with the value quoted in Ref. [16]. taken into account in inties $\delta \mathcal{N}_n$.
Iratic sums Only statistical unties of the data are taken into account in to va_{rboundary $d\alpha_1$ (α_2 (β_1 ^o)²)² is normalisation uncertainties $\delta\mathcal{N}_n$.} If the $u y_1(u, y)$ dable, they are estimated as quadratic sums of the uncertainties of the uncertainties of the $d\ln Q$ 2the beam and target poldrisations. The fitted normalisations are found to be consistent with unity, except $dg_1(x, Q_{\text{able, they are estimated as quadratic sums}^{q_1(x, Q_{\text{able, they are estimated as quadratic sums}})$ $\frac{d}{d} \ln Q^2$ *dable*, they are estimated a
 $\frac{d}{d} \ln Q^2$ the beam and target polar

¹ and *^g*^N

ndicate the systematic un-
MPASS 160 GeV. (Coloured Here, $\Delta f_k(x)$ $(k = S, 3, 8, g)$ represents $\Delta q^S(x)$, $\Delta q_3(x)$, $\Delta q_8(x)$ and WIPASS 160 GeV. (Coloured $\Delta g(x)$ and η_k is the first moment of $\Delta f_k(x)$ at the reference scale. Soments of Δq_3 and Δq_8 are fixed at any scale by the $\begin{array}{c|c} \mathsf{R}^{\mathsf{S}} & \mathsf{bar} \end{array}$ bar $\begin{array}{c} \mathsf{bar} \times \mathsf{R}^{\mathsf{S}} \\ \mathsf{bar} \times \mathsf{L} \end{array}$ (F + D) and (3F − D), respectively, assum- $\frac{1}{2}$ in $\frac{1}{2}$ in $\frac{1}{2}$ in $\frac{1}{2}$ in $\frac{1}{2}$ flavour symmetries. The impact of releasing $\sqrt{\frac{1}{\pi}}$ is included in the systematic \bullet compass 160 GeV and \bullet **uncertaints** \uparrow **uncertaints** \uparrow **with** \uparrow \uparrow **are fixed to zero for the two non-** \mathbf{s} singlet distributions as the set of \mathbf{s} spin \sim 30% Aney are poorly constrained and not needed $\text{tr}\left[\text{tr}\left(\text{tr}\right(\text{tr}\right)\right)\right)\right)\right)\right)\right)\right)\right$ mined to 3*.0225* [28] and the uncertainty from the introduced bias is included in the final uncertainty. This from the introduced bias is included in the final uncertainty. This leaves for **parameters** in the fitted parton distributions. The $\text{leave} \xrightarrow{\text{fr}} \text{for}$ of the fit consists of three terms, quark spin $\sim 30\%$ <u>range</u>

ence scale *Q* ²

10^{-2} 10^{-1} Helicity structure of the nucleon: existing *measurements ^x*α*^k (*¹ [−] *^x)*β*^k (*¹ ⁺ γ*kx)* x^{α_k} (1 + Θ ^k A S₁kx) \textbf{CICIV} \textbf{U} when \textbf{SIC} at the measured value of \textbf{Z}_{Xg} ^p $f(x) = \frac{1}{2}$ **Fig. 3.** The spin-dependent structure function *xg*^p ¹ at the measured values of *^Q* ² as *.* (100001 $\Delta f_k(x) = \eta_k$ *.* (10) GeV (red squares) are compared to the
SMC results at 190 GeV (green crosses)
to bottom indicate the systematic un- $\frac{1}{2}$ as $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ a function of *x*. The COMPASS data at 200 GeV (red squares) are compared to the compared to the square to the square to the square to the square square to the comparison of *x*. The COMPASS data at 200 GeV (re $\int_0^1 x^{\alpha_k} (1-x)^{\beta_k} (1+\gamma_k x) dx$ a function of *x*. The COMPASS data at 200 GeV (red squares) are compared to the

results at 160 GeV (blue circles) and to the SMC results at 160 GeV (blue circles) and to the SMC re for $Q^2 > 1$ (GeV/c)². The bands from top to bottom indicate the systematic uncertainties for SMC 190 GeV, COMPASS 200 GeV and COMPASS 160 GeV. (Coloured certainties for SMC 190 GeV, COMPASS 200 GeV and COMPASS 160 GeV. (Coloured Phys. Lett. B 753 (2016) 18 results at 160 GeV (blue circles) and to the SMC results at 190 GeV (green crosses)

version online.
 EXECUTE: B 753 (2016) 18
 CODE: The X=0.0036 (i = 0)
 CODE: The X=0.0045 $\frac{8}{2}$ **QCD toolbox** $x=0.0036$ $(i = \mathcal{D})$ 0.7 0.7 $x=0.0045$ 12 \mathbf{L} $x=0.0055$ 12.1 $x=0.007$ $x=0.007$ $10¹$ $x = 0.0090$ $x=0.009$ $\frac{3}{5}$ $\frac{2}{5}$ $\frac{3}{5}$ $x=0.035$ $x=0.049$ *gfit* $7 \t(i = 1)$ \bigcap $x=0.077$ more and more parton-parton splittings \overline{a} *x*=0.12 more and more parton-parton splittings $\left[\begin{array}{ccc} \Delta-\Delta O\oplus O\Delta & \varphi\Delta & \varphi\Delta & \Delta-\Delta O\oplus O\Delta & \varphi\Delta & \varphi\Delta & * & * & * & * & * & * & * \ \Delta-\Delta O\oplus O\Delta & \varphi\Delta & \varphi\Delta & * & * & * & * & * & * \end{array}\right]$ Only σ_i and σ_i as the α increases as the α increases as the α increases as α in α in - \$2000\$\$ – – €22 → € - - G2소 - - 수 - G2 - C2 - 수 **key prediction of pQCD** $\frac{644}{1}$
 $\frac{10^{2}10^{2}}{10^{2}}$
 $\frac{10^{2}10}{10^{2}}$

 Q^2 for various values of *x* with all COMPASS data in red (full circles: $\overrightarrow{400}$ **Fig. 4.** World data on the spin-dependent structure function g_1^p as a function of ϵ for various values of *x*, which an commisso add in four (rain enclose ϵ of ϵ *Q* ² for various values of *x* with all COMPASS data in red (full circles: 160 GeV, full squares: 200 GeV . The lines represent the Ω^2 dependence for each value of *x*, as

 $\overline{1}$ ¹ and *^g*^N ence scale Q_0^2 as follows:

ndicate the systematic un-
MPASS 160 GeV. (Coloured Here, $\Delta f_k(x)$ $(k = S, 3, 8, g)$ represents $\Delta q^S(x)$, $\Delta q_3(x)$, $\Delta q_8(x)$ and WIPASS 160 GeV. (Coloured $\Delta g(x)$ and η_k is the first moment of $\Delta f_k(x)$ at the reference δ cale **by the moments of** Δq_3 **and** Δq_8 **are** $\begin{array}{c|c|c|c} \hline \text{#} & \text{bar} & \text{invariants (F + D) and (3F - D), respectively, assuming the following conditions.} \hline \end{array}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ in $\frac{1}{2}$ in $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ flavour symmetries. The impact of releasing $\sqrt{\frac{q}{q}}$ is it is interest and included in the systematic \bullet compass 160 GeV \parallel \parallel \bullet **QUATK** are fixed to zero for the two non-Singlet $\begin{bmatrix} \text{S} \\ \text{S} \end{bmatrix}$ are poorly constrained and not needed $\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}$ a. The exponent β_{g} , which is not well deterata, is fixed to 3.0225 [28] and the uncertainty from the introduced bias is included in the final uncertainty. This from the introduced bias is included in the final uncertainty. This leaves **11 for the fitted parton distributions.** The $\frac{1}{2}$ expression for $\frac{1}{2}$ \mathbb{G} g quark **scale.** Soments of Δq_3 and Δq_8 are fixed at any scale by the of the fit consists of three terms, quark spin $\sim 30\%$ **v**

¹ [−] *^Nⁿ ^gdata*

 λ

*Nn*σ*ⁱ*

In order to keep the parameters within their physical ranges, In order to keep the parameters within their physical ranges, iction g_1^p as a function of the polarised PDFs are calculated at every iteration of the fit I (full circles: 460 GeV, full and required to satisfy the positivity conditions $|\Delta q(x) + \Delta \bar{q}(x)| \le$ *^q(x)* + *^q*¯*(x)* and |"*g(x)*| ≤ *^g(x)* at *^Q* ² = ¹ *(*GeV*/c)*² [29,30], which

$$
\frac{\mathcal{N}_n g_{1,i}^{data}}{\sqrt{n\sigma_i}}\Bigg)^2 + \left(\frac{1-\mathcal{N}_n}{\delta \mathcal{N}_n}\right)^2 + \chi_{\text{positivity}}^2.
$$
\n(11)

 \overline{a} statistical uncertainties of the data are taken inties of the data $\begin{array}{rcl}\n\star \bullet & \star = 0.29 \\
\star & \star = 0.29 \\
\hline\n\begin{array}{ccc}\n\star \bullet & \star = 0.29 \\
\star \bullet & \star = 0.44\n\end{array}\n\end{array}$ \star **i** \star \star =0.41 **b** to va $I \circ \Lambda$ \sim Λ ^o Λ α_{S} are latter and if the unavariable and if they are estimated as $\alpha_{\text{S}}(x, \mathcal{Q}_{\text{a}})$ and they are est of the $\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\Delta}}$ beam and target points. λ are λ -0.14 are found to be consistent with α in α found to be consistent with α $\frac{10^{2}}{10^{2}}$ for the E155 proton data where the ShdrR lisation is higher, albeit Q^2 (GeV²/ c^2) compatible with the value quoted in Ref. [16]. taken into account in inties $\delta \mathcal{N}_n$.
Iratic sums Only statistical unties of the data are taken into account in to va_{rboundary $d\alpha_1$ (α_2 (β_1 ^o)²)² is normalisation uncertainties $\delta\mathcal{N}_n$.} If the $u y_1(u, y)$ dable, they are estimated as quadratic sums of the uncertainties of the uncertainties of the $d\ln Q$ 2the beam and target poldrisations. The fitted normalisations are found to be consistent with unity, except $dg_1(x, Q_{\text{able, they are estimated as quadratic sums}^{q_1(x, Q_{\text{able, they are estimated as quadratic sums}})$ $\frac{d}{d} \ln Q^2$ *dable*, they are estimated a
 $\frac{d}{d} \ln Q^2$ the beam and target polar

ence scale *Q* ²

 $\overline{1}$

10^{-2} 10^{-1} Helicity structure of the nucleon: existing *measurements ^x*α*^k (*¹ [−] *^x)*β*^k (*¹ ⁺ γ*kx)* x^{α_k} (1 + Θ ^k A S₁kx) \textbf{CICIV} \textbf{U} when \textbf{SIC} at the measured value of \textbf{Z}_{Xg} ^p $f(x) = \frac{1}{2}$ **Fig. 3.** The spin-dependent structure function *xg*^p ¹ at the measured values of *^Q* ² as *.* (100001 $\Delta f_k(x) = \eta_k$ *.* (10) GeV (red squares) are compared to the
SMC results at 190 GeV (green crosses)
to bottom indicate the systematic un- $\frac{1}{2}$ as $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ a function of *x*. The COMPASS data at 200 GeV (red squares) are compared to the compared to the square to the square to the square to the square square to the comparison of *x*. The COMPASS data at 200 GeV (re $\int_0^1 x^{\alpha_k} (1-x)^{\beta_k} (1+\gamma_k x) dx$ a function of *x*. The COMPASS data at 200 GeV (red squares) are compared to the

 \overline{a}

 \bigcap

results at 160 GeV (blue circles) and to the SMC results at 160 GeV (blue circles) and to the SMC re for $Q^2 > 1$ (GeV/c)². The bands from top to bottom indicate the systematic uncertainties for SMC 190 GeV, COMPASS 200 GeV and COMPASS 160 GeV. (Coloured certainties for SMC 190 GeV, COMPASS 200 GeV and COMPASS 160 GeV. (Coloured Phys. Lett. B 753 (2016) 18 results at 160 GeV (blue circles) and to the SMC results at 190 GeV (green crosses)

version online.
 EXECUTE: B 753 (2016) 18
 CODE: The X=0.0036 (i = 0)
 CODE: The X=0.0045 $\frac{8}{2}$ **QCD toolbox** $x=0.0036$ $(i = \mathcal{D})$ 0.7 0.7 $x=0.0045$ 12 \mathbf{L} $x=0.0055$ 12.1 $x=0.007$ $x=0.007$ $10¹$ $x = 0.0090$ $x=0.009$ $\frac{3}{5}$ $\frac{2}{5}$ $\frac{3}{5}$ $x=0.035$ $x=0.049$ $7 \t(i = 1)$ $x=0.077$ more and more parton-parton splittings *x*=0.12 $\begin{array}{l|ccccccccccccccccccc|}\hline & & & & & & & \multicolumn{2}{c|}{\Delta} & & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{$ $\left[\begin{array}{ccc} \Delta-\Delta O\oplus O\Delta & \varphi\Delta & \varphi\Delta & \Delta-\Delta O\oplus O\Delta & \varphi\Delta & \varphi\Delta & * & * & * & * & * & * & * \ \Delta-\Delta O\oplus O\Delta & \varphi\Delta & \varphi\Delta & * & * & * & * & * & * \end{array}\right]$ Only σ_i and σ_i as the α increases as the α increases as the α increases as α in α in - \$2000\$\$ - - G2소 - - 수 - G2 - C2 - 수 **key prediction of pQCD** $\frac{644}{1}$
 $\frac{10^{2}10^{2}}{10^{2}}$
 $\frac{10^{2}10}{10^{2}}$

 Q^2 for various values of *x* with all COMPASS data in red (full circles: $\overrightarrow{400}$ **Fig. 4.** World data on the spin-dependent structure function g_1^p as a function of ϵ for various values of *x*, which an commisso add in four (rain enclose ϵ of ϵ *Q* ² for various values of *x* with all COMPASS data in red (full circles: 160 GeV, full squares: 200 GeV . The lines represent the Ω^2 dependence for each value of *x*, as

gfit

¹ and *^g*^N ence scale Q_0^2 as follows:

ndicate the systematic un-
MPASS 160 GeV. (Coloured Here, $\Delta f_k(x)$ $(k = S, 3, 8, g)$ represents $\Delta q^S(x)$, $\Delta q_3(x)$, $\Delta q_8(x)$ and WIPASS 160 GeV. (Coloured $\Delta g(x)$ and η_k is the first moment of $\Delta f_k(x)$ at the reference δ cale **by the moments of** Δq_3 **and** Δq_8 **are** $\begin{array}{c|c|c|c} \hline \text{#} & \text{bar} & \text{invariants (F + D) and (3F - D), respectively, assuming the following conditions.} \hline \end{array}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ in $\frac{1}{2}$ in $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ flavour symmetries. The impact of releasing $\sqrt{\frac{q}{q}}$ is it is interest and included in the systematic \bullet compass 160 GeV \parallel \parallel \bullet **QUATK** are fixed to zero for the two non-Singlet $\begin{bmatrix} \text{S} \\ \text{S} \end{bmatrix}$ are poorly constrained and not needed $\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}$ a. The exponent β_{g} , which is not well deterata, is fixed to 3.0225 [28] and the uncertainty from the introduced bias is included in the final uncertainty. This from the introduced bias is included in the final uncertainty. This leaves **11 for the fitted parton distributions.** The leave frequency of the fit \mathbb{G} g quark **scale.** Soments of Δq_3 and Δq_8 are fixed at any scale by the of the fit consists of three terms, quark spin $\sim 30\%$ **v**

In order to keep the parameters within their physical ranges, In order to keep the parameters within their physical ranges, iction g_1^p as a function of the polarised PDFs are calculated at every iteration of the fit I (full circles: 460 GeV, full and required to satisfy the positivity conditions $|\Delta q(x) + \Delta \bar{q}(x)| \le$ *^q(x)* + *^q*¯*(x)* and |"*g(x)*| ≤ *^g(x)* at *^Q* ² = ¹ *(*GeV*/c)*² [29,30], which

$$
\chi^{2} = \sum_{n=1}^{N_{exp}} \left[\sum_{j=1}^{N_{exp}} \left(\frac{g_{1}^{fit} - \mathcal{N}_{n} g_{1,i}^{data}}{\mathcal{N}_{n} \sigma_{i}} \right)^{2} + \left(\frac{1 - \mathcal{N}_{n}}{\delta \mathcal{N}_{n}} \right)^{2} \right] + \chi_{positivity}^{2}.
$$
\n(11)

 \overline{a} statistical uncertainties of the data are taken inties of the data $\begin{array}{rcl}\n\star \bullet & \star = 0.29 \\
\star & \star = 0.29 \\
\hline\n\begin{array}{ccc}\n\star \bullet & \star = 0.29 \\
\star \bullet & \star = 0.44\n\end{array}\n\end{array}$ \star **i** \star \star =0.41 **b** to va $I \circ \Lambda$ \sim Λ ^o Λ α_{S} are latter and if the unavariable and if they are estimated as $\alpha_{\text{S}}(x, \mathcal{Q}_{\text{a}})$ and they are est of the $\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\Delta}}$ beam and target points. λ are λ -0.14 are found to be consistent with α in α found to be consistent with α $\frac{10^{2}}{10^{2}}$ for the E155 proton data where the ShdrR lisation is higher, albeit Q^2 (GeV²/ c^2) compatible with the value quoted in Ref. [16]. taken into account in inties $\delta \mathcal{N}_n$.
Iratic sums Only statistical unties of the data are taken into account in to va_{rboundary $d\alpha_1$ (α_2 (β_1 ^o)²)² is normalisation uncertainties $\delta\mathcal{N}_n$.} If the $u y_1(u, y)$ dable, they are estimated as quadratic sums of the uncertainties of the uncertainties of the $d\ln Q$ 2the beam and target poldrisations. The fitted normalisations are found to be consistent with unity, except $dg_1(x, Q_{\text{able, they are estimated as quadratic sums}^{q_1(x, Q_{\text{able, they are estimated as quadratic sums}})$ $\frac{d}{d} \ln Q^2$ *dable*, they are estimated a
 $\frac{d}{d} \ln Q^2$ the beam and target polar

Helicity structure of the nucleon: existing measurements **Fig. 3.** The spin-dependent structure function *xg*^p ¹ at the measured values of *^Q* ² as a function of *x*. The COMPASS data at 200 GeV (red squares) are compared to the *<u>k</u> ^x*α*^k (*¹ [−] *^x)*β*^k (*¹ ⁺ γ*kx)* ! ¹ ⁰ *^x*α*^k (*¹ [−] *^x)*β*^k (*¹ ⁺ γ*kx)*d*^x .* (10)

Helicity structure of the nucleon: existing measurements **Fig. 3.** The spin-dependent structure function *xg*^p ¹ at the measured values of *^Q* ² as a function of *x*. The COMPASS data at 200 GeV (red squares) are compared to the *<u>k</u> ^x*α*^k (*¹ [−] *^x)*β*^k (*¹ ⁺ γ*kx)* ! ¹ ⁰ *^x*α*^k (*¹ [−] *^x)*β*^k (*¹ ⁺ γ*kx)*d*^x .* (10)

for the \longrightarrow \Box gluon spin

75

 -2

 \vert

Gluon helicity distribution at the EIC

75

 -2

 \vert

Gluon helicity distribution at the EIC

scaling violation from $g_1(x,Q^2)$
Highly virtual photon: provides hard scale of process $Q^2 \gg 1$ GeV²

$$
Q^2 = -q^2
$$

$$
x_B = \frac{Q^2}{2P \cdot q}
$$

Highly virtual photon: provides hard scale of process $Q^2 \gg 1$ GeV²

$$
Q^2 = -q^2
$$

$$
x_B = \frac{Q^2}{2P \cdot q}
$$

Detect a hadron!

Highly virtual photon: provides hard scale of process $Q^2 \gg 1$ GeV²

$$
Q^2 = -q^2
$$

$$
x_B = \frac{Q^2}{2P \cdot q}
$$

parton distribution function $PDF(x_R)$

Detect a hadron!

Highly virtual photon: provides hard scale of process $Q^2 \gg 1$ GeV²

fragmentation function $FF(z)$

parton distribution function $PDF(x_R)$

$$
Q^{2} = -q^{2}
$$

$$
x_{B} = \frac{Q^{2}}{2P \cdot q}
$$

$$
z \stackrel{\text{lab}}{=} \frac{E_{h}}{E_{\gamma*}}
$$

Highly virtual photon: provides hard scale of process $Q^2 \gg 1$ GeV²

$$
Q^{2} = -q^{2}
$$

$$
x_{B} = \frac{Q^{2}}{2P \cdot q}
$$

$$
z \stackrel{\text{lab}}{=} \frac{E_{h}}{E_{\gamma*}}
$$

parton distribution function $PDF(x_{\mathcal{B}}, \mathcal{Q}^2)$ parton distribution function $PDF(X_B, Q^2)$

Highly virtual photon: provides hard scale of process $Q^2 \gg 1$ GeV²

$$
Q^{2} = -q^{2}
$$

$$
x_{B} = \frac{Q^{2}}{2P \cdot q}
$$

$$
z \stackrel{\text{lab}}{=} \frac{E_{h}}{E_{\gamma*}}
$$

parton distribution function $PDF(x_{\mathcal{B}}, \mathcal{Q}^2)$ parton distribution function $PDF(X_B, Q^2)$

Sea-quark helicity distributions

Sea-quark helicity distributions Experimentally, clean access in semi-inclusive DIS to the helicity distributions is provided

$$
A_{\parallel}^{h}(x_{B}, Q^{2}, z) = \frac{1}{P_{e} P_{p}} \frac{\frac{\frac{\overrightarrow{N}h}{\overrightarrow{M}}}{\frac{\overrightarrow{N}h}{\overrightarrow{L}} - \frac{\overrightarrow{N}h}{\overrightarrow{N}}}}{\frac{\frac{\overrightarrow{N}h}{\overrightarrow{N}}}{\frac{\overrightarrow{N}h}{\overrightarrow{L}} + \frac{\overrightarrow{N}h}{\overrightarrow{L}}}}(x_{B}, Q^{2}, z)
$$

= $D(y) A_{1}^{h}(x_{B}, Q^{2}, z)$

, *z*) (2)

Sea-quark helicity distributions Experimentally, clean access in semi-inclusive DIS to the helicity distributions is provided

where *N^h* ((*xB*, *Q*² , *z*) *z*(*z*) *z*) *z*(*z*) *z* Semi-inclusive measurements → access to sea-quark spin

$$
A_{\parallel}^{h}(x_{B}, Q^{2}, z) = \frac{1}{P_{e} P_{p}} \frac{\frac{\frac{1}{N^{h}}}{\frac{1}{N^{h}}}-\frac{\frac{K}{N^{h}}}{\frac{K}{N^{h}}}}{\frac{\frac{1}{N^{h}}}{\frac{1}{N^{h}}}+\frac{N^{h}}{\frac{K}{N^{h}}}}}
$$
\n
$$
= D(y) A_{1}^{h}(x_{B}, Q^{2}, z)
$$
\n
$$
\propto \sum_{q} e_{q}^{2} \left(\Delta q \otimes w_{1} D_{1}^{q\to h} \right)
$$
\nrements

, *z*) (2)

Sea-quark helicity distributior states

Sea-quark helicity distributior states

CVH et al., NIM A 1056 (2023) 168563

Why an EIC?

-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
- -
	-
	-
	-
	-
	-
	-
	-
	- -
-
- -
	-
-
- -
- -
- -
	-
	- -
	-
- -
	- -
	-
- -
	-

constitution of provided at α in information. $\overline{}$ Nucleon multi-dimensional structure

Wigner distributions *W*(*x,* \overline{k} k_T , \overline{b} $b_\perp)$

 \overline{k} k_T , \overline{b} $b_\perp)$

 \overline{k} k_T , \overline{b} $b_\perp)$

 \overline{k} k_T , \overline{b} $b_\perp)$

26

 \overline{k} k_T , \overline{b} $b_\perp)$

0*.*5

- 1*.*0 1*.*5 2*.*0 2*.*5 3*.*0
- 0*.*5

$$
Q^2 = -q^2
$$

$$
x_B = \frac{Q^2}{2P \cdot q}
$$

$$
Q^2 = -q^2
$$

$$
x_B = \frac{Q^2}{2P \cdot q}
$$

parton distribution function $PDF(x_R)$

$$
Q^{2} = -q^{2}
$$

$$
x_{B} = \frac{Q^{2}}{2P \cdot q}
$$

$$
z \stackrel{\text{lab}}{=} \frac{E_{h}}{E_{\gamma*}}
$$

fragmentation function $FF(z)$

parton distribution function $PDF(x_R)$

Transverse-momentum-dependent (TMD) parton distribution function $PDF(x_B, k_1)$

$$
Q^{2} = -q^{2}
$$

$$
x_{B} = \frac{Q^{2}}{2P \cdot q}
$$

$$
z \stackrel{\text{lab}}{=} \frac{E_{h}}{E_{\gamma*}}
$$

Transverse-momentum-dependent (TMD) fragmentation function $FF(z, p₁)$

$$
Q^{2} = -q^{2}
$$

$$
x_{B} = \frac{Q^{2}}{2P \cdot q}
$$

$$
z \stackrel{\text{lab}}{=} \frac{E_{h}}{E_{\gamma*}}
$$

$$
Q^{2} = -q^{2}
$$

$$
x_{B} = \frac{Q^{2}}{2P \cdot q}
$$

$$
z \stackrel{\text{lab}}{=} \frac{E_{h}}{E_{\gamma*}}
$$

$$
Q^{2} = -q^{2}
$$

$$
x_{B} = \frac{Q^{2}}{2P \cdot q}
$$

$$
z \stackrel{\text{lab}}{=} \frac{E_{h}}{E_{\gamma*}}
$$

$$
Q^{2} = -q^{2}
$$

$$
x_{B} = \frac{Q^{2}}{2P \cdot q}
$$

$$
z \stackrel{\text{lab}}{=} \frac{E_{h}}{E_{\gamma*}}
$$

$$
Q^{2} = -q^{2}
$$

$$
x_{B} = \frac{Q^{2}}{2P \cdot q}
$$

$$
z \stackrel{\text{lab}}{=} \frac{E_{h}}{E_{\gamma*}}
$$

quark polarisation

nucleon polarisation nucleon polarisation

Transverse momentum dependent parton distribution functions

survive integration over parton transverse momentum

quark polarisation

nucleon polarisation nucleon polarisation

Transverse momentum dependent parton distribution functions

Transverse momentum dependent parton distri $f^a(x, k_T^2; Q^2)$ ctions

correlations

spin-spin

spin-momentum correlations

$$
\sigma^h(\phi, \phi_S) = \sigma^h_{UU} \left\{ 1 + 2\langle \cos(\phi) \rangle^h_{UU} \cos(\phi) + 2\langle \cos(2\phi) \rangle^h_{UU} \cos(2\phi) \right.\n+ \lambda_l 2\langle \sin(\phi) \rangle^h_{LU} \sin(\phi) \n+ S_L \left[2\langle \sin(\phi) \rangle^h_{UL} \sin(\phi) + 2\langle \sin(2\phi) \rangle^h_{UL} \sin(2\phi) \right.\n+ \lambda_l \left(2\langle \cos(0\phi) \rangle^h_{LL} \cos(0\phi) + 2\langle \cos(\phi) \rangle^h_{LL} \cos(\phi) \right) \n+ S_T \left[2\langle \sin(\phi - \phi_S) \rangle^h_{UT} \sin(\phi - \phi_S) + 2\langle \sin(\phi + \phi_S) \rangle^h_{UT} \sin(\phi + 2\langle \sin(3\phi - \phi_S) \rangle^h_{UT} \sin(3\phi - \phi_S) + 2\langle \sin(\phi_S) \rangle^h_{UT} \sin(\phi_S) \right.\n+ 2\langle \sin(2\phi - \phi_S) \rangle^h_{UT} \sin(2\phi - \phi_S) \n+ \lambda_l \left(2\langle \cos(\phi - \phi_S) \rangle^h_{LT} \cos(\phi - \phi_S) \right.\n+ 2\langle \cos(\phi_S) \rangle^h_{LT} \cos(\phi_S) + 2\langle \cos(2\phi - \phi_S) \rangle^h_{LT} \cos(2\phi - \phi_S) \right) \right\}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned} \left\langle \rho \right\rangle_{UU} \cos(\phi) + 2 \langle \cos(2\phi) \rangle_{UU}^h \cos(2\phi) \\ \left(\phi\right) \\ \sin(\phi) + 2 \langle \sin(2\phi) \rangle_{UL}^h \sin(2\phi) \\ \cos(0\phi) + 2 \langle \cos(\phi) \rangle_{LL}^h \cos(\phi) \Big) \end{aligned}
$$

$$
\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} \sin(\phi - \phi_S) + 2\langle \sin(\phi + \phi_S) \rangle_{UT}^h \sin(\phi + \phi_S)
$$

$$
\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} \sin(3\phi - \phi_S) + 2\langle \sin(\phi_S) \rangle_{UT}^h \sin(\phi_S)
$$

Semi-inclusive DIS cross section

target polarisation

$$
\begin{aligned} \left\{1+2\langle\cos(\phi)\rangle_{UU}^h\,\cos(\phi)+2\langle\cos(2\phi)\rangle_{UU}^h\,\cos(2\phi)\\ \langle\sin(\phi)\rangle_{LU}^h\,\sin(\phi)\\ 2\langle\sin(\phi)\rangle_{UL}^h\,\sin(\phi)+2\langle\sin(2\phi)\rangle_{UL}^h\,\sin(2\phi) \end{aligned}\right.
$$

$$
{}_{LL}^{h} cos(0\phi) + 2\langle cos(\phi) \rangle_{LL}^{h} cos(\phi) \Big]
$$

$$
\phi_{S} \rangle \rangle_{UT}^{h} sin(\phi - \phi_{S}) + 2\langle sin(\phi + \phi_{S}) \rangle_{UT}^{h} sin(\phi + \phi_{S})
$$

$$
\frac{h}{UT}\sin(3\phi - \phi_S) + 2\langle\sin(\phi_S)\rangle_{UT}^h\sin(\phi_S)
$$

$$
T \sin(2\phi - \phi_S)
$$

$$
\psi_{z}^h = \cos(\phi - \phi_S)
$$

$$
+ 2\langle\cos(\phi_S)\rangle_{LT}^h \cos(\phi_S) + 2\langle\cos(2\phi - \phi_S)\rangle_{LT}^h \cos(2\phi - \phi_S)\Big]\Big\}
$$

Semi-inclusive DIS cross section

 $2\langle \sin(\phi + \phi_S)\rangle_{UT}^h = \epsilon F_{UT}^{\sin(\phi + \phi_S)}$

Azimuthal amplitudes related to structure functions F_{XY} :

Azimuthal amplitudes related to structure functions F_{XY} :

Azimuthal amplitudes related to structure functions F_{XY} :

Ξ

quark polarisation

Azimuthal amplitudes related to structure functions F_{XY} :

not necessarily lead to a more accurate description of the underlying physics, because it is a more accurate t

hadron polarisation polarisation hadron

U

quark polarisation

Azimuthal amplitudes related to structure functions F_{XY} :

hadron polarisation polarisation hadron

ᄅ

quark polarisation

Azimuthal amplitudes related to structure functions F_{XY} :

hadron polarisation polarisation DOI pad

Azimuthal amplitudes related to structure functions F_{XY} :

Validity of TMD description

Consistent results for TMD and CT3 in overlap region

Spin-independent TMD PDFs at EIC

Fit: A. Bacchetta et al., JHEP 06 (2017) 081, JHEP 06 (2019) 051 (erratum)

EIC uncertainties dominated by assumed 3% point-to-point uncorrelated uncertainty 3% scale uncertainty

Theory uncertainties dominated by TMD evolution.

Spin-independent TMD PDFs at EIC

Fit: A. Bacchetta et al., JHEP 06 (2017) 081, JHEP 06 (2019) 051 (erratum)

EIC uncertainties dominated by assumed 3% point-to-point uncorrelated uncertainty 3% scale uncertainty

Theory uncertainties dominated by TMD evolution.

 $A_{UT} = \frac{1}{\langle |S_T|\rangle} \frac{N^{\uparrow}(\phi, \phi_S) - N^{\downarrow}(\phi, \phi_S)}{N^{\uparrow}(\phi, \phi_S) + N^{\downarrow}(\phi, \phi_S)}$

 $A_{UT} = \frac{1}{\langle |S_T| \rangle} \frac{N^{\uparrow}(\phi, \phi_S) - N^{\downarrow}(\phi, \phi_S)}{N^{\uparrow}(\phi, \phi_S) + N^{\downarrow}(\phi, \phi_S)}$

 \sim sin($\phi - \phi_{S}$) ∑ *q* e_q^2 $\frac{2}{q}$ \mathscr{C} $\left| f \right\rangle$

$\frac{d^2-9(x, k_1) \times D_1^q(z, p_1)}{1}$

 $A_{UT} = \frac{1}{\langle |S_T| \rangle} \frac{N^{\uparrow}(\phi, \phi_S) - N^{\downarrow}(\phi, \phi_S)}{N^{\uparrow}(\phi, \phi_S) + N^{\downarrow}(\phi, \phi_S)}$

 \sim sin($\phi - \phi_{S}$) ∑ *q* e_q^2 $\frac{2}{q}$ \mathscr{C} $\left| f \right\rangle$ $\frac{d^2-9(x, k_1) \times D_1^q(z, p_1)}{1}$

³⁴ : transversity 34

f ⊥,*q* ¹*^T* (*x*, *k*⊥) : Sivers function

$$
A_{UT} = \frac{1}{\langle |S_T| \rangle} \frac{N^{\uparrow}(\phi, \phi_S) - N^{\downarrow}(\phi, \phi_S)}{N^{\uparrow}(\phi, \phi_S) + N^{\downarrow}(\phi, \phi_S)}
$$

$$
\sim \sin(\phi - \phi_S) \sum_q e_q^2 \mathcal{C} \left[f_{1T}^{\perp,q}(x, k_\perp) \times D_1^q(z, p_\perp) \right]
$$

f ⊥,*q* ¹*^T* (*x*, *k*⊥) : Sivers function

 D_1^q $\eta^{q}(z,p_{\perp})$: spin-independent fragmentation function

$$
A_{UT} = \frac{1}{\langle |S_T| \rangle} \frac{N^{\uparrow}(\phi, \phi_S) - N^{\downarrow}(\phi, \phi_S)}{N^{\uparrow}(\phi, \phi_S) + N^{\downarrow}(\phi, \phi_S)}
$$

$$
\sim \sin(\phi - \phi_S) \sum_{q} \left[e_q^2 \right] \mathcal{C} \left[f_{1T}^{\perp,q}(x, k_{\perp}) \times D_1^q(z, p_{\perp}) \right]
$$

f ⊥,*q* ¹*^T* (*x*, *k*⊥) : Sivers function

 D_1^q $\eta^{q}(z,p_{\perp})$: spin-independent fragmentation function

- Sivers function:
	- requires non-zero orbital angular momentum
	- \cdot final-state interactions \rightarrow azimuthal asymmetries
-
-
-
-
-
-

- Sivers function:
	- requires non-zero orbital angular momentum
	- \cdot final-state interactions \rightarrow azimuthal asymmetries

- Sivers function:
- requires non-zero orbital angular momentum
- \cdot final-state interactions \rightarrow azimuthal asymmetries

- Sivers function:
- requires non-zero orbital angular momentum
- \cdot final-state interactions \rightarrow azimuthal asymmetries

- Sivers function:
- requires non-zero orbital angular momentum
- \cdot final-state interactions \rightarrow azimuthal asymmetries

- π^+ :
- positive -> non-zero orbital angular momentum
- π[−]:
- consistent with zero $\rightarrow u$ and d quark cancelation

Sivers function

M. Anselmino et al., JHEP **04** (2017) 046

Sivers amplitude and Q2

Figure 1: Example of the expected evolution e↵ects from [13] for the Sivers asymmetry at an intermediate *x*, *z* and *PT* value, as a function of *Q* for three collision Decrease of asymmetry with increasing $Q^2 \rightarrow$ need high precision (<1%) to measure asymmetry at high Q^2

Impact of EIC on Sivers TMD PDFs

R. Seidl, A. Vladimirov et al., NIM A **1055** (2023) 168458

Impact of EIC on Sivers TMD PDFs

R. Seidl, A. Vladimirov et al., NIM A **1055** (2023) 168458

Gluon TMDs

- In contrast to quark TMDs, gluon TMDs are almost unknown
- Accessible through production of dijets, high-P_T hadron pairs, quarkonia

The various dimensions of the nucleon structure

The various dimensions of the nucleon structure

- x=average longitudinal momentum fraction
- 2ξ=longitudinal momentum transfer
- t=squared momentum transfer to hadron
- experimental access to t and ξ
- in general: no experimental access to x

What are generalised parton distributions (GPDs)?

GPDs are probability amplitudes

Four parton helicity-conserving twist-2 GPDs

- x=average longitudinal momentum fraction
- 2ξ=longitudinal momentum transfer
- t=squared momentum transfer to hadron
- experimental access to t and ξ
- in general: no experimental access to x

 $\tilde{H}_T(x,\xi,$

 $\tilde{E}_T(x,\xi,t)$

• for spin-1/2 hadron:

What are generalised parton distributions (GPDs)?

GPDs are probability amplitudes

GPD H GPDs H+E

What GPDs tell us about the nucleon $t \triangle$ DI to tall ite beyond the real time computations, in the contract computations, in the contract computations, in the computations, i gluons, they provide a unifying they provide a unifying theoretical f ahout the n structure discussed. Figure 2011 Transverse Momentum Distributions – 3D!

M. Burkardt, PRD 92 ('00) 071503 mentum transfer. A transfer many process in the many process in F *e*+*p* collisions, the relevant hard scale is *Q*² Int. J. Mod Phys. A **18** ('03) 173

 $\overline{}$ impact-parameter dependent distributions: probobility to find probability to find parton (x,b_T)

 \overline{A} spin, and parton distributions can depend on GPDs

to convert the distributions of \overline{F} respectively. \vert transform for $\xi = 0$

collider measurements are our only source of ton di: • 3D parton distributions

GPD H GPDs H+E

verse position *b^T* in the proton. • pressure distributions

What GPDs tell us about the nucleon $t \triangle$ DI to tall ite beyond the real time computations, in the contract computations, in the contract computations, in the computations, i gluons, they provide a unifying they provide a unifying theoretical f ahout the n structure discussed. Figure 2011 Transverse Momentum Distributions – 3D!

M. Burkardt, PRD 92 ('00) 071503 mentum transfer. A transfer many process in the many process in F *e*+*p* collisions, the relevant hard scale is *Q*² Int. J. Mod Phys. A **18** ('03) 173

 $\overline{}$ impact-parameter dependent distributions: probobility to find probability to find parton (x,b_T)

 \overline{A} spin, and parton distributions can depend on GPDs

collider measurements are our only source of ton di: • 3D parton distributions

gravitational form factors

pressure distributions Fourier transform

to convert the distributions of \overline{F} respectively. \vert transform for $\xi = 0$

verse position *b^T* in the proton. • pressure distributions

What GPDs tell us about the nucleon $t \triangle$ DI to tall ite beyond the real time computations, in the contract computations, in the contract computations, in the computations, i gluons, they provide a unifying they provide a unifying theoretical f ahout the n structure discussed. Figure 2011 Transverse Momentum Distributions – 3D!

M. Burkardt, PRD 92 ('00) 071503 mentum transfer. A transfer many process in the many process in F *e*+*p* collisions, the relevant hard scale is *Q*² Int. J. Mod Phys. A **18** ('03) 173

 \overline{A}

collider measurements are our only source of ton di: • 3D parton distributions

spin, and parton distributions can depend on GPDs

 $\overline{}$ impact-parameter dependent distributions: probobility to find probability to find parton (x,b_T)

to convert the distributions of \overline{F} respectively. \vert transform for $\xi = 0$

(a) (b)

GPD H GPDs H+E

… and its spin

longitudinally polarised nucleon

Experimental access to GPDs

Deeply virtual Compton scattering (DVCS) Hard scale=large $Q^2 = -q^2$

Deeply virtual Compton scattering (DVCS) Hard scale=large $Q^2 = -q^2$

CLAS – PRC 80 ('09) 035206; PRL 87 ('01) 182002; 100 ('08) 162002

COMPASS – arXiv:1702.06315

JLab Hall A Collaboration – PRL 99 ('07) 242501; PRC 92 ('15) 055202; Nat. Com. **8** ('17) 1408

HERMES – JHEP 10 ('12) 042; PLB 704 ('11) 15; NPB 842 ('11) 265

H1 – PLB 681 ('09) 391; 659 ('07) 796; EPJ C 44 ('05) 1

ZEUS – PLB 573 (2003) 46; JHEP 05 ('09) 108

Deeply virtual Compton scattering (DVCS) Hard scale=large $Q^2 = -q^2$

CLAS – PRC 80 ('09) 035206; PRL 87 ('01) 182002; 100 ('08) 162002

COMPASS – arXiv:1702.06315

JLab Hall A Collaboration – PRL 99 ('07) 242501; PRC 92 ('15) 055202; Nat. Com. **8** ('17) 1408

HERMES – JHEP 10 ('12) 042; PLB 704 ('11) 15; NPB 842 ('11) 265

H1 – PLB 681 ('09) 391; 659 ('07) 796; EPJ C 44 ('05) 1

ZEUS – PLB 573 (2003) 46; JHEP 05 ('09) 108

Deeply virtual Compton scattering (DVCS) Hard scale=large $Q^2 = -q^2$

Hard exclusive meson production Hard scale=large Q²

CLAS – PRC 80 ('09) 035206; PRL 87 ('01) 182002; 100 ('08) 162002

COMPASS – arXiv:1702.06315

JLab Hall A Collaboration – PRL 99 ('07) 242501; PRC 92 ('15) 055202; Nat. Com. **8** ('17) 1408

HERMES – JHEP 10 ('12) 042; PLB 704 ('11) 15; NPB 842 ('11) 265

H1 – PLB 681 ('09) 391; 659 ('07) 796; EPJ C 44 ('05) 1

ZEUS – PLB 573 (2003) 46; JHEP 05 ('09) 108

CLAS – PRC 95 ('17) 035207; 95 (2017) 035202 COMPASS – PLB 731 ('14) 19; NPB 915 ('17) 454 JLab Hall A Collaboration – PRC 83 ('11) 025201 HERMES – EPJ C 74 ('14) 3110; 75 ('15) 600; 77 ('17) 378

Deeply virtual Compton scattering (DVCS) Hard scale=large $Q^2 = -q^2$

CLAS – PRC 80 ('09) 035206; PRL 87 ('01) 182002; 100 ('08) 162002

COMPASS – arXiv:1702.06315

JLab Hall A Collaboration – PRL 99 ('07) 242501; PRC 92 ('15) 055202; Nat. Com. **8** ('17) 1408

HERMES – JHEP 10 ('12) 042; PLB 704 ('11) 15; NPB 842 ('11) 265

H1 – PLB 681 ('09) 391; 659 ('07) 796; EPJ C 44 ('05) 1

ZEUS – PLB 573 (2003) 46; JHEP 05 ('09) 108

Deeply virtual Compton scattering (DVCS) Hard scale=large $Q^2 = -q^2$

CLAS – PRC 80 ('09) 035206; PRL 87 ('01) 182002; 100 ('08) 162002

COMPASS – arXiv:1702.06315

JLab Hall A Collaboration – PRL 99 ('07) 242501; PRC 92 ('15) 055202; Nat. Com. **8** ('17) 1408

HERMES – JHEP 10 ('12) 042; PLB 704 ('11) 15; NPB 842 ('11) 265

H1 – PLB 681 ('09) 391; 659 ('07) 796; EPJ C 44 ('05) 1

ZEUS – PLB 573 (2003) 46; JHEP 05 ('09) 108

Deeply virtual Compton scattering (DVCS) Hard scale=large $Q^2 = -q^2$

CLAS – PRC 80 ('09) 035206; PRL 87 ('01) 182002; 100 ('08) 162002

COMPASS – arXiv:1702.06315

JLab Hall A Collaboration – PRL 99 ('07) 242501; PRC 92 ('15) 055202; Nat. Com. **8** ('17) 1408

HERMES – JHEP 10 ('12) 042; PLB 704 ('11) 15; NPB 842 ('11) 265

H1 – PLB 681 ('09) 391; 659 ('07) 796; EPJ C 44 ('05) 1

ZEUS – PLB 573 (2003) 46; JHEP 05 ('09) 108

Exclusive meson photoproduction

Exclusive measurements on p with the EIC *xP_{<i>z***}**

 $\lfloor b \rfloor$

Figure 3.8: Left: Projected DVCS cross-section measurements as a function of the momentum transfer *t* for

Exclusive measurements on p with the EIC *xP_{<i>b*}</sub>

Why an EIC?

Spin-independent parton distributions

Spin-independent parton distributions

Gluon splitting and recombination

 $ln(1/x)$

 $x \approx Q^2/W^2$

Gluon splitting and recombination

saturation are denoted by straight solid lines for simplicity. The straight solid lines for simplicity. The simplicity of simplicity $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})$ Figure 3.5: The non-linear small-*x* evolution of a hadronic or nuclear wave functions. All partons

 $ln(1/x)$

 $x \approx Q^2/W^2$

The Oomph factor

The Oomph factor

Oomph factor: A^{1/3} enhancement of saturation effect

What object are we probing?

What object are we probing?

What object are we probing?

Coherent interaction: interaction with target as a whole. ∼ target remains in same quantum state.

What object are we probing?

Coherent interaction: interaction with target as a whole. ∼ target remains in same quantum state.

Incoherent interaction: interaction with constituents inside target.

∼ target does not remain in same quantum state. Ex.: target dissociation, excitation

What object are we probing? V

Coherent interaction: interaction with target as a whole. ∼ target remains in same quantum state. Sonerent interaction. Interaction

< target remains in same quantum

> ∼ target does not remain in same quantum state. Ex.: target dissociation, excitation $\mathsf{L} \mathsf{X}$. Let $\mathsf{G} \mathsf{X}$ and $\mathsf{G} \mathsf{X}$ and $\mathsf{G} \mathsf{X}$ are $\mathsf{G} \mathsf{X}$ and $\mathsf{G} \mathsf{X$

Incoherent interaction: interaction with constituents inside target.

Diffractive measurements at the EIC

p p

e

e

GPDs

 \overline{z}

 $\frac{1}{2}$ $\overline{}$

t

Exclusive meson production

t

 γ

ر $\binom{\varphi}{\pi}$

p p

e

 $\overline{}$

e

 \mathbb{R}^2

 $\frac{6}{9}$

t

Exclusive measurements on nuclear targets with the EIC

Di-hadron production and jets in eA

• Complementarity region covered by dihadron and jet production

Why an EIC?

-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
- -
	-
	-
	-
	-
	-
	-
	-
	- -
-
- -
	-
-
- -
- -
- -
	-
	- -
	-
- -
	- -
	-
- -
	-

Hadronisation COOPER q meson REA -9 .
mm \mathfrak{B} Banyon meson

Probing space-time evolution of hadronisation

- Energy loss of parton by medium-induced gluon radiation
- Energy loss of (pre-)hadrons
- absorption
- rescattering (small)
- Partonic and hadronic processes: different signature
	- probe space-time evolution of hadron formation
- PDFs modified by nuclear medium

Multiplicity ratios

Multiplicity ratios:

$$
R_A^h = \frac{\left(\frac{N^h}{N_{DIS}}\right)_A}{\left(\frac{N^h}{N_{DIS}}\right)_D}
$$

Ratios \rightarrow approximate cancelation of

- QED radiative effects
- limited detector acceptance and resolution

At highest z: hadronic absorption

HERMES, Eur. Phys. J. A **47** (2011) 113

Summary

EIC with ePIC can address various aspects of the nucleon and nuclear structure through:

• Precise inclusive and semi-inclusive (spin-dependent) DIS measurements via high-resolution EM calorimeters.

-
- Measurements for 3D (spin-dependent) tomography in momentum space provided by good Cherenkov-based and TOF AC-LGAD hadron PID detectors and tracking.
- Exclusive measurements on protons, using the far-forward detector system.
- Diffractive and exclusive measurements with coherent/incoherent separation via very precise EM calorimeters and far-forward detector system.
- of hadron formation.

• Measurements on a large variety of nuclei: probe gluon saturation and study the space-time evolution