

Particle Astrophysics

SUSAN CARTWRIGHT UNIVERSITY OF SHEFFIELD

2

Dark matter

THE ASTROPHYSICAL EVIDENCE THE CANDIDATES WIMPS AXIONS

3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1

Astrophysical evidence for dark 3 matter

Dark matter candidates

GHP: Gauge Hierarchy Problem NPFP: New Physics Flavour Problem

5

6

fermion boson \diagup \diagdown

WIMPs

Weakly Interacting Massive Particles are a prediction of many extensions to the Standard Model

 \blacktriangleright they tend to arise from discrete quantum numbers, e.g. R-parity, introduced to prevent undesirable features such as rapid proton decay.

A nice feature of WIMPs is that if their annihilation cross section is of the typical weak scale, they naturally freeze out to give the "right" relic density

WIMPs in SUSY

Supersymmetry solves the GHP by cancelling the quadratic terms in the Higgs self-energy.

- A discrete quantum number, R-parity, is introduced to prevent rapid proton decay.
- ightest supersymmetric
particle, usually the lightest neutralino $\tilde{\chi}_1$, $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \vdots \end{bmatrix}$ particle, usually the lightest neutralino $\tilde{\chi}_1$, $\frac{3}{5}$ absolutely stable.
	- itself.
	- \triangleright The annihilation cross section is spin least in minimal SUSY.

Detection of WIMPs

WIMPs in the Galactic halo are gravitationally bound to the Galaxy and are therefore moving at a few hundred km/s. **Experimental Signature is a low-energy filtrational signature is a low-energy for a low-therefore moving at a few hundred km/s.

Therefore the experimental signature is a low-energy much more efficiently to nuclei than to ECTION OF WIMPS**

Social the Galactic halo are gravitationally bound to the Galaxy

the therefore moving at a few hundred km/s.

Herefore moving the recording are are produced the produced into the electrons.

Since they

- \blacktriangleright Their only possible interaction is elastic scattering.
- Since they are massive they will transfer energy much more efficiently to nuclei than to electrons.
- tens of keV) nuclear recoil.
	- \triangleright The main backgrounds at these low energies are radioactive decays, either intrinsic to the material or cosmogenic.
	- able to distinguish electron and nuclear recoils is a big advantage.

8

9

10

Detection methods

Possible methods of detection:

- \blacktriangleright The recoiling nucleus ionises neighbouring atoms, and you collect (usually) the electrons.
- **Scintillation**
	- \blacktriangleright The recoiling nucleus excites neighbouring atoms, and they de-excite by scintillation.
- \blacktriangleright Heat
	- You detect the extra energy deposited in your target.

Technologies:

- **Ionisation The most sensitive detectors tend** to read out two signals, to aid in rejecting electron recoils
	- Semiconductor bolometers (Ge, Si) read out ionisation and heat (phonons)
	- \triangleright Scintillating bolometers (CaWO₄) read out light and heat
	- Noble liquid TPCs (LXe, LAr) read out light and ionisation
	- \triangleright Bubble chambers (C_3F_8) read out heat and sound (for α rejection)

Typical result

The recoil energy of a nucleus of mass M hit by a WIMP of mass m is

$$
E=\frac{\mu^2 v^2}{M}(1-\cos\theta),
$$

- For $m \ll M$, $E \propto m^2/M$, so lighter WIMPs give lawer energy (potentially undetectable)
recoils.
• For $m \gg M$, E is essentially independent of $m = \frac{1}{2} \cdot 10^{-47}$
and the event rate will depend on the lower energy (potentially undetectable) recoils.
- and the event rate will depend on the

Axions

The strong interaction is observed to conserve CP to very high precision, but in the Standard Model there is no reason for it to do so. $\frac{19}{03/2024}$
 $\frac{1}{11}$
 19/03

19/03

19/03

11

11

ong interaction is observed to conserve CP to very high precision,

the Standard Model there is no reason for it to do so.

10 and the pseudo-Nambu-Goldstone boson of this field.

10 and the ps The strong interaction is observed to conserve CP to very high precision,
The strong interaction is observed to conserve CP to very high precision,
but in the Standard Model there is no reason for it to do so.

In exion mo **Axions**

The strong interaction is observed to conserve CP to very high precision,

but in the Standard Model there is no reason for it to do so.
 Exion models introduce a new global symmetry which is spontaneously

br **CONTRANS SET ASSES ARE ASSESS ARE ASSESSED ASSESS** are conserved to conserve CP to very high precision, in the Standard Model there is no reason for it to do so.
 EXAMS models introduce a new global symmetry which is s

- broken, dynamically relaxing the CP violating parameter to near zero.
	-

produced thermally.

13

to photons in the microwave range.

- \blacktriangleright These can be searched for by immersing a resonant cavity in a strong
magnetic field.
• Axions with masses corresponding to the magnetic field.
	- resonant frequency of the cavity would $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{3}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{3}{2}$ \frac produce a small excess power, which is picked up by extremely sensitive **the contract of the contr** electronics.

Graham et al., Annu. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 65 (2015) 485

 \triangleright The experiment has to scan over the mass range by adjusting its resonant frequency, which makes it very slow.

Limits on axions ¹⁴

So far the only and the state of the sta experiments sensitive $\overline{}$ $\overline{}$ the mass range

appropriate for dark

matter are the

microwave cavity

experiments, known

as **haloscopes**.

athen the state of t appropriate for dark matter are the microwave cavity experiments, known as haloscopes.

Other experiments tend 10⁻¹⁶ to be sensitive either to $\frac{1}{10^{-17}}$ high masses or to large $_{10^{-18}}$ coupling constants.

Summary

Astrophysical evidence indicates that dark matter makes up about 84% of the matter in the Universe, is effectively stable, does not interact strongly or electromagnetically, and was non-relativistic at the time of structure formation.

No Standard Model particle satisfies these criteria. However, many extensions to the Standard Model do predict something with appropriate properties.

The two most widely studied dark matter candidates are WIMPs (especially SUSY WIMPs) and axions.

There are experimental searches ongoing for both of these, but no success so far.