RF Feedback



H. Damerau

CERN



Advanced Accelerator Physics

13 November 2024

Outline

- Introduction
- Direct RF feedback
 - Globally reduce a cavity impedance
- Long delay feedback
 - Reduce impedance at revolution frequency harmonics
- Global feedback
 - Detect and fight the effect of an instability
 - Time and frequency domain
- Summary

Introduction

Why feedback?

- Open loop system subject to
 - \rightarrow Imperfections
 - → Perturbations
- → Feed output back to input → correction



\rightarrow New system with new dynamics

- Control parameters of system
- Make naturally unstable system stable again

Why **RF** feedback?



Image current of beam induces voltage surrounding structure

- → RF cavities particularly affected due to intentionally large impedance
- → Longitudinal instabilities
- → Degradation of longitudinal beam quality

How to improve?

→ **RF** feedback

Tree of RF feedback systems



Onion model of RF feedback





Cavity parameters

• The resonance of a cavity can be understood as simple parallel resonant circuit described by *R*, *L*, *C*



→ Resonant circuit can also be described by R, R/Q, ω_o or any other set of three parameters

Objective of local impedance reduction

- Induced voltage in cavity may cause
 - 1. Dephasing of total cavity voltage
 - 2. Longitudinal instability

9

- → **Reduce beam induced voltage**
- \rightarrow Reduce cavity impedance experienced by the beam



- ✓ Beam induced voltage reduced:
- Power for given voltage increased:
- $R/(R+R_{\rm shunt})$ $(R+R_{\rm shunt})/R \rightarrow$

- Use amplifier to counteract beam induced voltage
- → Decrease only apparent impedance experienced by beam



- Use amplifier to counteract beam induced voltage
- → Decrease only apparent impedance experienced by beam



- Gap signal, V: Beam and generator contributions
- Drive signal, *V*_{drive}: Pure generator
- → **Compare** drive signal (no beam) with gap (beam and generator)
- → Amplify inverted difference

- Use amplifier to counteract beam induced voltage
- → Decrease only apparent impedance experienced by beam



- → Feedback parameterized by
 - Open loop gain, G
 - Total loop delay, $\tau \rightarrow$ frequency dependent phase shift

Issue with delay

• Dephasing due to physical delay



- Delay is natural enemy of every feedback system
 - \rightarrow Propagation delay in cables and electronics
 - \rightarrow Latency of conversion and signal processing
- → Phase rotation of complex signal: $e^{-i(\omega-\omega_0)\tau} = e^{-i\Delta\omega\tau}$

- Use amplifier to counteract beam induced voltage
- → Decrease only apparent impedance experienced by beam



 \rightarrow Total current in cavity ($V_{\text{drive}} = \mathbf{o}$):

$$I_{\rm t}(\omega) = I_{\rm b}(\omega) + I_{\rm g}(\omega)$$
$$I_{\rm g}(\omega) = -V_{\rm t}(\omega)Ge^{-i\Delta\omega\tau}$$

Impedance with direct feedback

- Total cavity voltage: $V_{\rm t}(\omega) = \frac{I_{\rm b}(\omega)Z(\omega)}{1 + Z(\omega)Ge^{-i\Delta\omega\tau}}$
- Impedance with feedback:
- \rightarrow Differential change of cavity, dV_t voltage for beam induced current, dI_b

Stability with feedback

- Dephasing due to loop delay at $\Delta \omega_{\tau}$ $\Delta \phi_{\tau} = \Delta \omega_{\tau} \cdot \tau$
- Which dephasing results in unity absolute loop gain?

Open loop:
$$G[Z(\Delta\omega_{\tau})] = G \frac{R}{2Q \frac{\Delta\phi_{\tau}}{\omega_{0}\tau}} = 1$$

 $\Delta\phi_{\tau} = G \frac{R}{Q} \frac{\omega_{0}\tau}{2}$

• Phase margin defined as

$$\Delta\phi_{\rm m} = \pi - \frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{\Delta\phi_{\tau}}{2} = \frac{\pi}{2} - G\frac{R}{Q}\frac{\omega_0\tau}{2}$$

Stability with feedback

- $\rightarrow \text{ Phase margin:} \qquad \Delta \phi_{\rm m} = \frac{\pi}{2} \Delta \phi_{\tau} = \frac{\pi}{2} G \frac{R}{Q} \frac{\omega_0 \tau}{2}$ $\Delta \phi_{\rm max} = \frac{\pi}{4}$
- Conventional stability limit defined for
- → Maximum stable gain:

$$G_{\max} = \frac{\pi}{2} \frac{1}{R/Q} \frac{1}{\omega_0 \tau}$$

Impedance with feedback



Example: direct feedback lab experiment

- Coaxial cavity, $f_o \approx 57 \text{ MHz}$
- 'Power' amplifier: ~10 mW



- \rightarrow No risk of damage
- → Usually more: Tens to hundreds of kilowatts





Example: 10 MHz RF system in CERN PS

600





3 MHz

standard amplifier

upgraded amplifier

More feedback gain

600

- Feedback gain of 24 dB
- → Equivalent impedance, $Z_{\rm fb}(\omega)$ reduced by more than order of magnitude
- \rightarrow Impedance for amplifier remains unchanged, $Z(\omega)$



10 MHz

standard amplifier

upgraded amplifier

Example: CERN PS 10 MHz cavity feedback

- 10 + 1 ferrite loaded cavities, tunable from 2.8...10 MHz
- Two resonators excited in parallel by one amplifier



Modelling a real cavity – time domain

• Time domain response of cavity and amplifier



→ Comparing with measured response to beam excitation



- → No instantaneous damping due to inherent delay
 - → Filling time significantly reduced with feedback

Limitations of direct feedback

• Contributions to maximum feedback gain

$$G_{\max} = \frac{\pi}{2} \frac{1}{R/Q} \frac{1}{\omega_0 \tau} \text{ using } Q = \frac{\omega_0}{\Delta \omega_{-3dB}}$$
$$= \frac{\pi}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{R} \cdot \frac{1}{\Delta \omega_{-3dB}} \cdot \frac{1}{\tau}$$

- 1. Decrease shunt impedance \rightarrow not a good idea
- 2. Reduction of delay has physical limits
 - → How close can amplifier be to cavity?
 - → Minimum delay of feedback chain?
- 3. Decrease bandwidth
 - → Reduce bandwidth of feedback chain instead of cavity?

Feedback with delay



Feedback with delay

Why?

- → Loop delay cannot be made short: amplifier not close enough to cavity
- → Cavity to be damped has large bandwidth
- → Need impedance reduction beyond stability limit of direct feedback

How?

- → Cleverly use the properties of the beam spectrum
- → Profit from of slow synchrotron motion

Longitudinal beam spectrum

Longitudinal beam spectrum

Circular accelerator

 \rightarrow Beam signal periodic with revolution frequency: ω_{rev}



Longitudinal beam spectrum

- Longitudinally unstable bunches may perform oscillations
 - → **Synchrotron frequency** is basic periodicity:



→ Adds sidebands at ω_{rev} harmonics: $\omega = n\omega_{rev} \pm m\omega_S$ → Sidebands usually close to ω_{rev} harmonic since $\omega_S \ll \omega_{rev}$

Ws

Beam spectrum

\rightarrow Beam can only induce voltage at frequencies

 $\omega = n\omega_{\rm rev} \pm m\omega_S$

 \rightarrow Relevant frequencies from RF point of view

 $\omega = \omega_{\rm RF} \pm n\omega_{\rm rev} \pm m\omega_S$

- \rightarrow Feedback only needs to damp these frequency components
- → Can one profit from this property for RF feedback beyond conventional stability limit?



Periodic filters

• Transfer function periodic in frequency

 $H(\omega) = H(\omega \mod \omega_0)$

- Niche application in communication technology
 - → Who wants to listen to multiple radio stations at the same time?
- Very useful for circular accelerators thanks to properties of beam spectrum
- → How to build such filters?

• Add signal with itself, but delay by a fixed delay, τ



• Addition (maxima) or subtraction (minima)



• Add signal with itself, but delay by a fixed delay, τ

$$x(t) = e^{i\omega t}$$

$$y(t) = x(t) + x(t - \tau)$$

= $e^{i\omega t} + e^{i\omega(t-\tau)}$
= $e^{i\omega t} (1 + e^{-i\omega \tau})$

Addition (maxima) or subtraction (minima)



→ Filter to remove (notch) revolution frequency harmonics

• Delay output signal by τ and add to input signal



• Addition (maxima) or subtraction (minima)

$$y(t) = x(t) + y(t - \tau)$$



• Delay output signal by τ and add to input signal

$$y(t) = x(t) + y(t - \tau)$$



1

• Ansatz:
$$y(t) = ae^{i\omega t}$$
 $y(t) = e^{i\omega t} \cdot \frac{1}{1 - e^{i\omega \tau}}$

• Addition (maxima) or subtraction (minima)



→ Remove everything but revolution frequency harmonics

35

Feedback with periodic filters

1-turn delay feedback

- 1. Comb filter to extract revolution frequency harmonics
- 2. Delay to complete physical delay of cables and signal processing to 1 revolution period



1-turn delay feedback

- 1. Comb filter to extract revolution frequency harmonics
- 2. Delay to complete physical delay of cables and signal processing to 1 revolution period



1-turn delay feedback

- 1. Comb filter to extract revolution frequency harmonics
- 2. Delay to complete physical delay of cables and signal processing to 1 revolution period



Cavity transfer function with 1-turn delay FB⁴

→ Transfer function with comb filter

$$\rightarrow Z_{1tfb}(\omega) = \frac{Z(\omega)}{1 + Z(\omega)GH(\omega)}e^{-i\Delta\omega\tau}$$



- → Impedance between revolution frequency harmonics
 - → Not excited by beam, but potential issue for stability
- → Total delay very critical

Example: long delay feedback lab experiment⁴¹

- 1-turn delay feedback around 57 MHz resonator
 - \rightarrow Analogue comb filter with ~2.5 km optical fiber delay

 \rightarrow Accelerator with $f_{rev} \approx 76 \text{ kHz} (2\pi R \approx 4 \text{ km circumference})$





Example: 1-turn delay in CERN PS

• Combination of direct and 1-turn delay feedback





Example: 1-turn delay in CERN PS

 \rightarrow Reduce cavity impedance beyond stability limit of wide-band FB





→ Important additional impedance reduction

→ Clever usage of beam periodicity in circular accelerator

Multi-harmonic feedback

Treat each harmonic independently

- Separate feedback loop by harmonic
 - → Full flexibility of individual loop parameters
- → Empowered by processing power of modern digital hardware





Example: Damping of wide-band cavity

- Multi-harmonic feedback reduces beam induced voltage
- First 12 revolution frequency harmonics damped



Global feedback



Global RF feedback

1. Detect derivation of beam

- \rightarrow Transverse: position offset
- → Longitudinal: phase offset



- 2. Signal processing to filter relevant information
- 3. Amplify and apply correction
 - \rightarrow Drive dedicated kicker
 - \rightarrow Drive accelerating cavities as longitudinal kickers

Longitudinal oscillation of bunches

- Longitudinally unstable beam, but driving source unknown
- Each bunch oscillation, but not with the same phase

Bunches oscillating (dipole, $2\pi \cdot 10/21$ phase advance)



Time	domain	Frequency domain	
• Measure ph	ase of each bunch	• Measure spectral component corresponding to mode	
$\rightarrow \text{Apply kick t} \\ \text{back to refe}$	to bring phase rence position	→ Apply kick to remove that spectral component	
→ "Buncl	h-by-bunch"	\rightarrow "Mode-by-mode"	

Time domain: Bunch-by-bunch feedback

50

• Intuitive: Measure oscillation of each bunch and correct



→ Multiple feedbacks in time domain multiplex
→ Flexible control (gain/phase) for each bunch

Example: Bunch-by-bunch RF feedback

 Multi-bunch feedback developed for electron storage rings: Used at Advanced Light Source (ALS) at LBNL, PEP at SLAC, DA\u00f6NE at INFN-LNF, etc.



Frequency domain: Mode-by-Mode

- Less intuitive: Suppress components in beam spectrum
- Fixed phase advance from bunchto-bunch creates sideband at *nω*_{rev}

 $\omega = n\omega_{\rm rev} \pm m\omega_S$

$$2\pi \cdot 10/21$$
 phase advance: $n = 10, m = 1$



Frequency domain: Mode-by-Mode

- Less intuitive: Suppress components in beam spectrum
- Fixed phase advance from bunchto-bunch creates sideband at n\omega_{rev}



 $\omega = n\omega_{\rm rev} \pm m\omega_S$

- No sidebands at $+/-\omega_{\rm S}$
 - \rightarrow Dipole oscillations removed
- No sidebands at +/-2 ω_s

 \rightarrow Quadrupole oscillations removed



Mode-by-Mode feedback

- 1. Filter synchrotron frequency side-bands
- 2. Inject correction to remove them

 \rightarrow Stable beam



- → Multiple feedbacks in parallel
- \rightarrow Optimum parameters (phase, gain) for each harmonic of ω_{rev}

Example: CERN PS coupled-bunch feedback⁵

- Mode-by-mode dipole feedback
- 10 parallel processing chains

→ stabilize beam for LHC



⁵⁵

Summary

1. Direct RF feedback

 \rightarrow Globally reduce cavity impedance

- 2. Long delay feedback
 - \rightarrow Reduce impedance at revolution frequency harmonics
- 3. Global feedback

 \rightarrow Just fix problems of (sometimes) not understood origin

- Chose feedback most appropriate to your problem

 → Prefer inner layers of feedback onion
 → Combination of different RF feedback types
- Delay is principal enemy of almost every RF feedback
 → Keep it short, you cannot beat causality!



A big Thank You

to all colleagues providing support, material and feedback

Maria-Elena Angoletta, Philippe Baudrenghien, Thomas Bohl, Giorgia Favia, Javier Galindo, Wolfgang Höfle, Erk Jensen, Piotr Kowina, John Molendijk, Damien Perrelet, Fumihiko Tamura, Frank Tecker, Dmitry Teytelman, Daniel Valuch, Christine Völlinger, Manfred Wendt and many more...

Thank you very much for your attention!

References

- D. Boussard, Design of a Ring RF System, CERN SL/91-2 (RFS, rev.), 1991, http://cds.cern.ch/record/1023436/files/CM-P00065157.pdf
- R. Garoby, Low Level RF Building Blocks, CERN-1992-003, 1991, http://cds.cern.ch/record/225609/files/CM-P00059483.pdf
- R. Garoby, Beam Loading in RF Cavities, Lect. Notes Phys. 400 (1992) 509-541, http://cds.cern.ch/record/220916/files/CM-P00059475.pdf
- F. Pedersen, RF Cavity Feedback, CERN/PS 92-59 (RF), 1992, https://cds.cern.ch/record/244817/files/CM-P00059367.pdf
- D. Boussard, Beam Loading, CERN-95-06, 1995, http://cds.cern.ch/record/302480/files/p415.pdf
- D. Teytelman et al., Architecture and Technology of 500 Msample/s Feedback Systems for Control of Coupled-Bunch Instabilies, ICALEPS'99, 1999, p. 252, <u>http://www.elettra.trieste.it/ICALEPCS99/proceedings/papers/wc1002.pdf</u>
- P. Baudrenghien, Low-level RF Systems for Synchrotrons: part I: The Lowintensity Case, CERN 2005-003, <u>http://cds.cern.ch/record/865920/files/p146.pdf</u>
- P. Baudrenghien, Low-level RF Systems for Synchrotrons : part II: High Intensity. Compensation of the beam induced effects, CERN 2005-003, <u>http://cds.cern.ch/record/702662/files/p175.pdf</u>
- P. Baudrenghien, Low-level RF Part I: Longitudinal Dynamics and Beam-Based Loops in Synchrotrons, CERN-2011-007, 2011, <u>http://cds.cern.ch/record/1415913/files/p341.pdf</u>

Direct RF feedback on cavity

- You know the driving impedance → RF cavity
- You can be close to the cavity

	Advantages	Disadvantages	
•	Shunt impedance reduction of cavity resonance Robust performance does not	 Local feedback Amplifier must be close to cavity 	
•	depend on beam parameters	• Feedback system per cavity	
•	Excellent transient response		

1-turn delay/multi-harmonic feedback

- You know the driving impedance → RF cavity
- You cannot be close to the cavity

Advantages		Disadvantages		
•	Shunt impedance reduction of cavity resonance at revolution frequency harmonics	•	Low bandwidth, slow response to transient effects	
•	Used in combination with direct feedback	•	Feedback system per cavity	

Global feedback

- You do not know the source of the problem
- You observe and analyse the effect of an instability

Advantages		Disadvantages		
•	Globally reduced consequence of instability	•	Treats consequence, not cause of a problem	
•	One feedback sufficient to control instability	•	Narrow range of application Dedicated longitudinal kicker	



Application of global corrections

- Local feedbacks → Act on individual RF stations
- **Global** feedbacks → Act on all RF stations simultaneously



→ RF distribution to compensate time of flight between stations
 → All RF stations applying correction in unison

Frequency and wavelength ranges

