Focus topic meeting "ttbar threshold"

Marcel Vos, IFIC, CSIC/UV, Valencia, Spain

February 2024

Expert team: M. Beneke (TUM, theory), F. Cornet (Case Western, theory), M. Defranchis (CERN, CMS), G. Durieux (Louvain, theory), A. Hoang (U. Vienna, theory), A. Jafari (DESY, CMS), Y. Kiyo (theory), V. Miralles (Manchester, theory), M. Moreno (IFIC, ATLAS), L. Pintucci (Trieste, ATLAS), Jürgen Reuter (DESY), R. Schwienhorst (Michigan State, ATLAS), F. Simon (KIT, e+e-), F. Zarnecki (Warsaw, e+e-)

R. Franceschini, A. Irles J. de Blas (related focus topics), P. Azzi (liaison FCCee)



1

Practical

The ECFA focus topics document is out: https://arxiv.org/abs/2401.07564

Focus topics for the ECFA study on Higgs / Top / EW factories

Juan Alcaraz Maestre¹, Juliette Alimena², John Alison³, Patrizia Azzi⁴, Paolo Azzurri⁵, Emanuele Bagnaschi^{6,7}, Timothy Barklow⁸, Matthew J. Basso⁹, Josh Bendavid¹⁰, Martin Beneke¹¹, Eli Ben-Haim¹², Mikael Berggren², Jorge de Blas¹³, Marzia Bordone⁶, Ivanka Bozovic¹⁴, Valentina Cairo⁶, Nuno Filipe Castro¹⁵, Marina Cobal¹⁶, Paula Collins⁶, Mogens Dam¹⁷, Valerio Dao⁶, Matteo Defranchis⁶, Ansgar Denner¹⁸, Stefan Dittmaier¹⁹, Gauthier Durieux²⁰, Ulrich Einhaus², Mary-Cruz Fouz1, Roberto Franceschini21, Ayres Freitas22, Frank Gaede2, Gerardo Ganis6, Pablo Goldenzweig²³, Ricardo Gonçalo^{24,25}, Rebeca Gonzalez Suarez²⁶, Loukas Gouskos²⁷, Alexander Grohsjean²⁸, Jan Hajer²⁹, Chris Hays³⁰, Sven Heinemeyer³¹, André Hoang³², Adrián Irles³³, Abideh Jafari², Karl Jakobs¹⁹, Daniel Jeans³⁴, Jernej F. Kamenik³⁵, Matthew Kenzie³⁶, Wolfgang Kilian³⁷, Markus Klute²³, Patrick Koppenburg³⁸, Sandra Kortner³⁹, Karsten Köneke¹⁹, Marcin Kucharczyk⁴⁰, Christos Leonidopoulos⁴¹, Cheng Li⁴², Zoltan Ligeti⁴³, Jenny List², Fabio Maltoni²⁰, Elisa Manoni⁴⁴, Giovanni Marchiori⁴⁵, David Marzocca⁴⁶, Andreas B. Meyer², Ken Mimasu⁴⁸, Tristan Miralles⁴⁷, Victor Miralles⁴⁹, Abdollah Mohammadi⁵⁰, Stéphane Monteil⁵¹ Gudrid Moortgat-Pick28, Zohreh Najafabadi52, María Teresa Núñez Pardo de Vera2, Fabrizio Palla5, Michael E. Peskin⁸, Fulvio Piccinini⁵³, Laura Pintucci⁵⁴, Wiesław Płaczek⁵⁵, Simon Plätzer^{56,32}, Roman Pöschl⁵⁷, Tania Robens⁵⁸, Aidan Robson⁵⁹, Philipp Roloff⁶, Nikolaos Rompotis⁶⁰, Andrej Saibel³³, André Sailer⁶, Roberto Salerno⁶¹, Matthias Schott⁶², Reinhard Schwienhorst⁶³, Felix Sefkow², Michele Selvaggi⁶, Frank Siegert⁶⁴, Frank Simon²³, Andrzej Siodmok⁵⁵, Torbjörn Sjöstrand⁶⁵, Kirill Skovpen⁶⁶, Maciej Skrzypek⁴⁰, Yotam Soreq⁶⁷, Raimund Ströhmer¹⁸, Taikan Suehara⁶⁸, Junping Tian⁶⁸, Emma Torro Pastor³³, Maria Ubiali³⁶, Luiz Vale Silva³³, Caterina Vernieri⁸, Alessandro Vicini⁶⁹ Marcel Vos³³, Aidan R. Wiederhold⁷⁰, Sarah Louise Williams³⁶, Graham Wilson⁷¹, Aleksander Filip Zarnecki⁷², Dirk Zerwas^{73,57}

There is a mailing list for this group:

https://gitlab.in2p3.fr/ecfa-study/ECFA-HiggsTopEW-Factories/-/wikis/FocusTopics/TTthresh

You may have received the announcement twice, because not everyone was on the list yet

The $t\bar{t}$ threshold scan



e+e- threshold scan

A scan of the e⁺e⁻ center-of-mass energy through the pair production threshold allows for the ultimate mass measurement (*Gusken & Kuhn '85, Peskin & Strassler '91*) Experimental studies: Martinez & Miquel, hep-ph/020735, Seidel et al., arXiv:1303.3758 **Part of the operation plan for all e+e- collider projects: Higgs & top factory!**



The threshold position is sensitive to the top quark mass, the shape to the width The normalization is sensitive to strong coupling and top quark Yukawa coupling Just measure the cross section vs. sqrt(s) shape and derive all parameters

Top quark mass



Frank Simon's seminar Snowmass top physics report

Statistical uncertainty - - - - can be made small with 1-2 years of operation

Theory uncertainty requires calculation beyond NNNLO (QCD) + NNLO (EW). Resummation is available and can be added.

Note: interpretation unambiguous, translation to MS scheme with O(10 MeV) QCD scale uncertainty, parametric uncertainty from α_s requires care, as well as EW corrections

Top quark mass to **approx. 50 MeV**, limited by theory uncertainty and to first order independent of collider design (luminosity spectrum has 2nd order effect)

Top quark width to 45 MeV \rightarrow bounds on invisible decays+SMEFT arXiv:1907.00997 Precision for $\alpha_s \sim 0.001$ and $y_t \sim 12\%$ not competitive, but good cross-checks

Focus Topic Feb. 24

Future directions

Exp: Full-simulation study to revisit and harmonize experimental systematic uncertainties
Theo: Fully differential predictions at adequate precision
Specify procedure for comparison of data and theory (i.e. treatment of ISR?)
Study width prospects in more detail (i.e. comparison LHC, interpretation in NP scenarios)
Embed top mass prospect in global EW fit environment
Find a way to make top Yukawa and strong coupling results more competitive

Theoretical and phenomenological targets

- Complete and harmonised assessment of systematic uncertainties on SM parameters extracted from the threshold scan.
- Degeneracies in a EFT analysis including only "one" energy point. How to disentangle effects combining with other (non-top-quark) measurements. Indirect constraints on top Yukawa.

MC samples needed

Basic samples available as listed in the Motivation Section, dedicated samples for threshold scan are needed.

Existing tools / examples

- ILD tt analysis https://github.com/ILDAnaSoft/ILDbench_QQbar

Contact & Further Information

- Gitlab wiki: https://gitlab.in2p3.fr/ecfa-study/ECFA-HiggsTopEW-Factories/-/wikis/ FocusTopics/TTthresh
- Sign up for egroup: ECFA-WHF-FT-TTthres@cern.ch via http://simba3.web.cern.ch/simba3/ SelfSubscription.aspx?groupName=ecfa-whf-ft-ttthres
- and/or email the conveners of ECFA WG1 GLOBal group: mailto:ecfa-whf-wg1-glob-conveners@cern.ch

Focus Topic Feb. 24

Towards a standard sample

WHIZARD sample in preparation by M.V. (with help from J. Reuter, J. Tian)

 $e+e- \rightarrow 6$ fermions (bb, 2 charged leptons, 2 neutrinos)

- Mostly e+e- \rightarrow tt \rightarrow WbWb, with all W decays, but see next slide
- Using SM_CKM (leading order, no threshold enhancement)

With luminosity spectrum and ISR

- ILC 350, also FCCee is possible
- Polarization is possible?

11 -11 1.725000000E+02 1.725000000E+02 -1 -1 -1 -1 3 1
7.4445392535E-04 1.3433748188E-05 1.0000000000E+00 1
<pre>kgenerator version="3.1.4">WHIZARD</pre>
xsecinfo neve="10000" totxsec="7.4445392535E-04" />
doi: 10.100</th
kevent>
147 1 1.000000000E+00 3.4499999996E+02 -1.000000000E+00 1.1780000000E-01
11 -9 0 0 0 0 0.000000000E+00 0.00000000E+00 1.7250000000E+02 1.7250000000E+02 0.000000000E+00 0.000000000E+00 9.000000000E+00
-11 -9 0 0 0 0 0.0000000000E+00 0.000000000E+00 -1.7250000000E+02 1.7250000000E+02 0.000000000E+00 0.000000000E+00 9.000000000E+00
11 2 1 2 0 0 0.0000000000E+00 0.00000000E+00 1.7249999996E+02 1.7249999996E+02 0.0000000000E+00 0.000000000E+00 9.000000000E+00
-11 2 1 2 0 0 0.0000000000E+00 0.00000000E+00 -1.7249999999E+02 1.7249999999E+02 0.000000000E+00 0.000000000E+00 9.000000000E+00
11 -1 3 0 0 0 2.0845224664E-18 -3.5864870827E-18 1.7249999996E+02 1.7249999996E+02 5.1100927662E-04 0.0000000000E+00 9.000000000E+00
-11 -1 4 0 0 0 4.4784651592E-11 -9.2536895478E-11 -1.7249999999E+02 1.7250000000E+02 5.1098791857E-04 0.0000000000E+00 9.000000000E+00
22 1 3 0 0 0 -2.0844242199E-18 3.5862840720E-18 5.0849668854E-19 4.1790939994E-18 0.000000000E+00 0.00000000E+00 9.000000000E+00
22 1 4 0 0 0 -4.4784651592E-11 9.2536895478E-11 1.4514972623E-10 1.7786844877E-10 0.0000000000E+00 0.00000000E+00 9.000000000E+00
13 2 5 6 0 0 1.1235558615E+01 -1.3523220158E+01 1.4833737471E+01 2.3003369931E+01 -3.3717478809E-07 0.0000000000E+00 9.000000000E+00
-13 2 5 6 0 0 -1.7754951862E+01 3.3867081191E+01 1.6676514299E+01 4.1717186304E+01 1.2615925365E-06 0.000000000E+00 9.000000000E+00
14 2 5 6 0 0 3.3779281573E+01 -2.8776514815E+01 1.0964769923E+01 4.5709450313E+01 6.7434957617E-07 0.000000000E+00 9.00000000E+00
-14 2 5 6 0 0 3.6755202780E+01 9.9755192092E+01 -1.9502094063E+01 1.0808503575E+02 -1.3486991523E-06 0.000000000E+00 9.000000000E+00
5 2 5 6 501 0 -4.8694112043E+01 -9.4108577314E+01 -2.7841900146E+01 1.0963736715E+02 4.2000000000E+00 0.000000000E+00 9.000000000E+00
-5 2 5 6 0 501 -1.5320979063E+01 2.7860390045E+00 4.8689724837E+00 1.6847590505E+01 4.2000000000E+00 0.000000000E+00 9.000000000E+00
14 1 11 0 0 0 3.3177407184E+01 -2.8263779006E+01 1.0769401227E+01 4.4895005891E+01 6.7434957617E-07 0.0000000000E+00 9.000000000E+00
-14 1 12 0 0 0 3.6100303854E+01 9.7977768403E+01 -1.9154608551E+01 1.0615919210E+02 0.000000000E+00 0.00000000E+00 9.000000000E+00

Hadronization handled by Pythia (volunteers for Pythia variations?)

Simulation to be requested in ILD, FCC concepts, etc.

Threshold scan MC samples



Signal vs. background

We need a working definition of **signal** and **background** between experiment and theory

Single top



(note: single top is considered signal in WbWb calculations)

Also e+e- \rightarrow ZH, Z \rightarrow W+W-, H \rightarrow bb (or vice versa) is part of e+e- \rightarrow WbWb

600 Clear backgrounds: 6f without b-jets and 2f & 4f backgrounds 400 See: Martin Beneke, this meeting, for what's included in the prediction: երը 200 0 50 100 150 n mbb 9

ເມລາບຣາ.ນດວາເມານ.ຮວ

Focus Topic Feb. 24

Experimental systematic uncertainties

Is the acceptance constant vs. sqrt(s) over the range of the threshold scan?

 Realistic selection requires one/two b-tags and isolated leptons, with "near-complete polar angle coverage" (https://arxiv.org/pdf/1307.8102.pdf + CLIC 380 https://arxiv.org/pdf/1807.02441.pdf)

Is the b-tagging efficiency constant? Or can we calibrate it in-situ?

 Double-tag method, ATLAS (https://atlas.web.cern.ch/Atlas/GROUPS/PHYSICS/PAPERS/TOPQ-2023-21/), LEP (https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ex/0509008), or ILD (https://arxiv.org/pdf/2306.11413.pdf)

No reconstruction?

- Required by measurement of A_{FB}, but not needed (or desirable) for cross section





Above the threshold: a broad precision programme

To be discussed in another meeting



Summary

Threshold scan signal MC samples are in development:

- WHIZARD six-fermion (with single top, Higgs, etc.) pure leading order in pole mass scheme

- Samples with more advanced model, including threshold enhancement, could be produced in the future

We need volunteers to analyze these

Plenty of related activities are still looking for personpower

Practical:

Register on the mailing list if you haven't done so yet.

Step up the frequency of these meetings (and possibly move to another day)