

# Gravitational Waves from Hidden Sectors

Particle Production in the Early Universe — CERN, Sept. 9-13, 2024

Eric Madge (IFT-UAM/CSIC)

based on: Breitbach et al., JCAP **07** (2019) 007 Banerjee et al., PRD **104** (2021) 5 Madge et al., SciPost Phys. **12** (2022) 5, 171 Madge et al., JHEP **10** (2023) 171 Caprini et al. (LISA CosWG), arXiv:2403.03723 [astro-ph.CO]

# GWs from Hidden Sectors

#### cosmological sources

inflation





phase transitions



cosmic strings







# GWs from Hidden Sectors

### cosmological sources

inflation



bosonic instabilities thermal fluctuations





astrophysics binary mergers



exotic objects



### phase transitions



### cosmic strings



### domain walls



# GWs from Hidden Sectors

### cosmological sources

inflation



### bosonic instabilities thermal fluctuations



astrophysics binary mergers



### exotic objects



### phase transitions



### cosmic strings



### domain walls



### SGWB from Primordial Sources

### peak frequency

set by characteristic scale  $f_*^{-1}$  at production:  $f_*^{-1} \lesssim H_*^{-1}$  $f_0 \sim \frac{a_0}{a_*} H_* \frac{f_*}{H_*}$   $\sim 0.1 \,\mathrm{mHz} \left(\frac{T_*}{1 \,\mathrm{TeV}}\right) \left(\frac{f_*}{H_*}\right)$ 

### SGWB from Primordial Sources

### peak frequency

set by characteristic scale  $f_*^{-1}$  at production:  $f_*^{-1} \lesssim H_*^{-1}$  $\int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{$ 

### peak amplitude

1. Cosmological Phase Transition

- 2. Bosonic Instabilities
  - 3. Conclusions

- thermal corrections typically restore spontaneously broken symmetries at high temperatures
  - $\implies$  symmetry breaking phase transition
- can be crossover or first-order



- thermal corrections typically restore spontaneously broken symmetries at high temperatures
  - $\implies$  symmetry breaking phase transition
- can be crossover or first-order



- thermal corrections typically restore spontaneously broken symmetries at high temperatures
  - $\implies$  symmetry breaking phase transition
- can be crossover or first-order

### GW production:

1. vacuum bubble collisions





- thermal corrections typically restore spontaneously broken symmetries at high temperatures
  - $\implies$  symmetry breaking phase transition
- can be crossover or first-order



### GW production:

- 1. vacuum bubble collisions
- 2. sound waves collisions



- thermal corrections typically restore spontaneously broken symmetries at high temperatures
  - $\implies$  symmetry breaking phase transition
- can be crossover or first-order



### GW production:

- 1. vacuum bubble collisions
- 2. sound waves collisions
- 3. turbulence and vortical motion



Gravitational Wave spectrum obtained from numerical simulations and analytical arguments, expressed in terms of few parameters:



Gravitational Wave spectrum obtained from numerical simulations and analytical arguments, expressed in terms of few parameters:

strength/
energy budget

$$\alpha = \frac{\rho_{\rm vac}}{\rho_{\rm rad}^*} \simeq \frac{\Delta V_{\rm eff}}{\rho_{\rm rad}^*}$$



$$\begin{array}{ll} \mbox{critical action:} & S_{\rm crit} = \frac{1}{T} \int \! {\rm d}^3 x \left[ \frac{1}{2} (\nabla \phi)^2 + V_{\rm eff}(\phi,T) \right] \\ \mbox{nucleation rate:} & \Gamma(T) = A(T) \, \exp \Big[ - S_{\rm crit}(T) \Big] \\ \end{array}$$

Gravitational Wave spectrum obtained from numerical simulations and analytical arguments, expressed in terms of few parameters:

strength/ energy budget characteristic scale  $\beta = \dot{\Gamma}/\Gamma$ 

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= \frac{\rho_{\rm vac}}{\rho_{\rm rad}^*} \simeq \frac{\Delta V_{\rm eff}}{\rho_{\rm rad}^*} \\ \frac{\beta}{H_*} &= \left[ T \frac{dS_{\rm crit}}{{\rm d}T} \right]_{T=T_*} \end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{array}{ll} \mbox{critical action:} & S_{\rm crit} = \frac{1}{T} \int \! {\rm d}^3 x \left[ \frac{1}{2} (\nabla \phi)^2 + V_{\rm eff}(\phi,T) \right] \\ \mbox{nucleation rate:} & \Gamma(T) = A(T) \, \exp \left[ - S_{\rm crit}(T) \right] \end{array}$$

Gravitational Wave spectrum obtained from numerical simulations and analytical arguments, expressed in terms of few parameters:

strength/ energy budget characteristic scale  $\beta = \dot{\Gamma}/\Gamma$ transition

temperature

$$\begin{split} \alpha &= \frac{\rho_{\text{vac}}}{\rho_{\text{rad}}^*} \simeq \frac{\Delta V_{\text{eff}}}{\rho_{\text{rad}}^*} \\ \frac{\beta}{H_*} &= \left[ T \frac{dS_{\text{crit}}}{dT} \right]_{T=T_*} \\ T_* &\simeq T_n \quad \left( \Gamma(T_n) \simeq [H(T_n)]^4 \right) \end{split}$$



$$\begin{array}{ll} \mbox{critical action:} & S_{\rm crit} = \frac{1}{T} \int \! {\rm d}^3 x \left[ \frac{1}{2} (\nabla \phi)^2 + V_{\rm eff}(\phi,T) \right] \\ \mbox{nucleation rate:} & \Gamma(T) = A(T) \, \exp \left[ - S_{\rm crit}(T) \right] \\ \end{array}$$

Gravitational Wave spectrum obtained from numerical simulations and analytical arguments, expressed in terms of few parameters:

strength/ energy budget characteristic scale  $\beta = \dot{\Gamma}/\Gamma$ transition temperature  $\alpha = \frac{\rho_{\text{vac}}}{\rho_{\text{rad}}^*} \simeq \frac{\Delta V_{\text{eff}}}{\rho_{\text{rad}}^*}$  $\frac{\beta}{H_*} = \left[T \frac{dS_{\text{crit}}}{dT}\right]_{T=T_*}$  $T_* \simeq T_n \quad \left(\Gamma(T_n) \simeq [H(T_n)]^4\right)$ 

also: bubble dynamics:

wall velocity, efficiency factors, etc.



$$\begin{array}{ll} \mbox{critical action:} & S_{\rm crit} = \frac{1}{T} \int \! {\rm d}^3 x \left[ \frac{1}{2} (\nabla \phi)^2 + V_{\rm eff}(\phi,T) \right] \\ \mbox{nucleation rate:} & \Gamma(T) = A(T) \, \exp \left[ - S_{\rm crit}(T) \right] \end{array}$$

### Decoupled Hidden Sectors

 ${\rm I\!\!P}$  sub-MeV hidden sectors contribute to the effective number of neutrino species  $N_{\rm eff}$ 

$$\rho_{\rm rad} = \frac{\pi^2}{30} \sum_i g_i T_i^4 = \left[ 1 + \frac{7}{8} \left( \frac{4}{11} \right)^{\frac{4}{3}} N_{\rm eff} \right] \rho_{\gamma}$$

95% CL SM: 3.046 BBN  $2.95^{+0.56}_{-0.52}$ CMI $+H_0$ Planck - 1807.06209 2.5 3.0 3.5  $N_{\rm eff}$ 

**p** at  $T \lesssim \text{MeV}$ :

additional relativistic DOFs in thermal equilibrium with photons are excluded

### Decoupled Hidden Sectors

 ${\rm I\!\!P}$  sub-MeV hidden sectors contribute to the effective number of neutrino species  $N_{\rm eff}$ 

$$\rho_{\rm rad} = \frac{\pi^2}{30} \sum_{i} g_i T_i^4 = \left[ 1 + \frac{7}{8} \left( \frac{4}{11} \right)^{\frac{4}{3}} N_{\rm eff} \right] \rho_{\gamma}$$

95% CL SM: 3.046 BBN  $H_0$ Planck - 1807.06209 2.5 3.0 3.5  $N_{\rm eff}$ 

**p** at  $T \lesssim \text{MeV}$ :

additional relativistic DOFs in thermal equilibrium with photons are excluded

⇒ sub-MeV hidden sector must be colder than SM

# Hidden Sector Cosmology

#### completely decoupled



# Hidden Sector Cosmology

### completely decoupled





6 / 16

### Phase Transitions in Secluded Hidden Sectors

Breitbach et al. (JCAP, 2019) Fairbairn et al. (JHEP, 2019)

temperature ratio: 
$$\xi_h \equiv \frac{T_h}{T_\gamma}$$
  
•  $\alpha \simeq \alpha_h \xi_h^4$   $\alpha_h \equiv [\alpha]_{\xi_h=1}$   
•  $f_{\text{peak}}^0 \sim T_{n,\gamma} = \frac{T_{n,h}}{\xi_h}$   
•  $\frac{\beta}{H} \xi_h$ -independent

### Phase Transitions in Secluded Hidden Sectors

Breitbach et al. (JCAP, 2019) Fairbairn et al. (JHEP, 2019)



Sensitivity



8 / 16

### Parameter Reconstruction

Caprini et al. arXiv:2403.03723 [astro-ph.Co] Lewicki et al. (JHEP, 2022); Ellis et al. (JHEP, 2023) SGWBinner: Caprini et al. (JCAP, 2019); Flauger et al. (JCAP, 2021)



9 / 16

# **Bosonic Instabilities**

1. Cosmological Phase Transition

- 2. Bosonic Instabilities
  - 3. Conclusions

Machado et al. (JHEP 2019, PRD 2020) Ratzinger et al. (SciPost Phys. 2021)

Misaligment Mechanism

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L} \supset \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\mu} \phi \partial^{\mu} \phi - V(\phi) \\ \implies \qquad \ddot{\phi} + 3H \dot{\phi} + m_a^2 \phi = 0 \end{split}$$

1.  $H \gg m_a$ : axion pinned by Hubble friction.



Machado et al. (JHEP 2019, PRD 2020) Ratzinger et al. (SciPost Phys. 2021)

Misaligment Mechanism

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L} \supset \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\mu} \phi \partial^{\mu} \phi - V(\phi) \\ \implies \qquad \ddot{\phi} + 3H \dot{\phi} + m_a^2 \phi = 0 \end{split}$$

H ≫ m<sub>a</sub>: axion pinned by Hubble friction.
 H ~ m<sub>a</sub>: axion starts to roll



Machado et al. (JHEP 2019, PRD 2020) Ratzinger et al. (SciPost Phys. 2021)

Misaligment Mechanism

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L} \supset \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\mu} \phi \partial^{\mu} \phi - V(\phi) \\ \implies \qquad \ddot{\phi} + 3H \dot{\phi} + m_a^2 \phi = 0 \end{split}$$



- 1.  $H \gg m_a$ : axion pinned by Hubble friction.
- 2.  $H \sim m_a$ : axion starts to roll
- 3.  $H \ll m_a$ : axion oscillates

Machado et al. (JHEP 2019, PRD 2020) Ratzinger et al. (SciPost Phys. 2021)

Misaligment Mechanism + coupling to dark photon

$$\mathcal{L} \supset \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\mu} \phi \partial^{\mu} \phi - V(\phi) - \frac{1}{4} X_{\mu\nu} X^{\mu\nu} - \frac{\alpha}{4} \frac{\phi}{f_a} X_{\mu\nu} \tilde{X}^{\mu\nu}$$
$$\implies \qquad \ddot{\phi} + 3H\dot{\phi} + m_a^2 \phi = -\frac{\alpha}{f_a} \langle X_{\mu\nu} \tilde{X}^{\mu\nu} \rangle$$

e.g. to deplete axion abundance Agrawal et al. (JHEP, 2018) Kitajima et al. (PLB 2018) or for dark-photon dark-matter Dror et al. (PRD 2019) Co et al. (PRD 2019) Bastero-Gil et al. (JCAP 2019) Agrawal et al. (PLB 2019)



- 1.  $H \gg m_a$ : axion pinned by Hubble friction.
- 2.  $H \sim m_a$ : axion starts to roll
- 3.  $H \ll m_a$ : axion oscillates

 $\Rightarrow$  dark photon production during phase 2 (and 3)

Machado et al. (JHEP 2019, PRD 2020) Ratzinger et al. (SciPost Phys. 2021)

Misaligment Mechanism + coupling to dark photon

$$\mathcal{L} \supset \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\mu} \phi \partial^{\mu} \phi - V(\phi) - \frac{1}{4} X_{\mu\nu} X^{\mu\nu} - \frac{\alpha}{4} \frac{\phi}{f_a} X_{\mu\nu} \tilde{X}^{\mu\nu}$$
$$\implies \qquad \ddot{\phi} + 3H\dot{\phi} + m_a^2 \phi = -\frac{\alpha}{f_a} \langle X_{\mu\nu} \tilde{X}^{\mu\nu} \rangle$$

e.g. to deplete axion abundance Agrawal et al. (JHEP, 2018) Kitajima et al. (PLB 2018) or for dark-photon dark-matter Dror et al. (PRD 2019) Co et al. (PRD 2019) Bastero-Gil et al. (JCAP 2019) Agrawal et al. (PLB 2019)



- 1.  $H \gg m_a$ : axion pinned by Hubble friction.
- 2.  $H \sim m_a$ : axion starts to roll
- 3.  $H \ll m_a$ : axion oscillates
  - $\Rightarrow \quad dark \text{ photon production during phase 2 (and 3)}$  $\Rightarrow \quad gravitational wave \text{ emission from dark photons}$

dark photon EoM: 
$$X_{\pm}''(\tau,k) + \omega_{\pm}^2(k)X_{\pm}(\tau,k) = 0$$
  $\omega_{\pm}^2(k) = \left(k^2 \mp k \frac{\alpha \phi'(\tau)}{f_a}\right)$ 

 $\blacktriangleright$  modes with  $k < \left|\frac{\alpha \phi'(\tau)}{f_a}\right|$  experience tachyonic instability in one helicity

dark photon EoM: 
$$X_{\pm}''(\tau,k) + \omega_{\pm}^2(k)X_{\pm}(\tau,k) = 0$$
  $\omega_{\pm}^2(k) = \left(k^2 \mp k \frac{\alpha \phi'(\tau)}{f_a}\right)$ 

▶ largest growth for 
$$\tilde{k} = \left|\frac{\alpha \phi'(\tau)}{2 f_a}\right|$$
 with  $\left|\omega^2(\tilde{k})\right| = \tilde{k}^2$ 

dark photon EoM: 
$$X_{\pm}''(\tau,k) + \omega_{\pm}^2(k)X_{\pm}(\tau,k) = 0$$
  $\omega_{\pm}^2(k) = \left(k^2 \mp k \frac{\alpha \phi'(\tau)}{f_a}\right)$ 

▶ largest growth for 
$$\tilde{k} = \left|\frac{\alpha \phi'(\tau)}{2 f_a}\right|$$
 with  $\left|\omega^2(\tilde{k})\right| = \tilde{k}^2$ 

 ${\ensuremath{\,{\rm \rho}}}$  for oscillating axion:  ${\ensuremath{\tilde{k}}} \sim a^{-1/2}$ 

dark photon EoM: 
$$X_{\pm}''(\tau,k) + \omega_{\pm}^2(k)X_{\pm}(\tau,k) = 0$$
  $\omega_{\pm}^2(k) = \left(k^2 \mp k \frac{\alpha \phi'(\tau)}{f_a}\right)$ 

▶ largest growth for 
$$\tilde{k} = \left|\frac{\alpha \phi'(\tau)}{2f_a}\right|$$
 with  $\left|\omega^2(\tilde{k})\right| = \tilde{k}^2$ 

 ${\rm \emph{p}}$  for oscillating axion:  $\tilde{k}\sim a^{-1/2}$ 

 $\Longrightarrow\sim$  energy transfer stops

dark photon EoM: 
$$X_{\pm}''(\tau,k) + \omega_{\pm}^2(k)X_{\pm}(\tau,k) = 0$$
  $\omega_{\pm}^2(k) = \left(k^2 \mp k \frac{\alpha \phi'(\tau)}{f_a}\right)$ 

modes with  $k < \left|\frac{\alpha \phi'(\tau)}{f_a}\right|$  experience tachyonic instability in one helicity

largest growth for 
$$\tilde{k} = \left|\frac{\alpha \phi'(\tau)}{2f_a}\right|$$
 with  $\left|\omega^2(\tilde{k})\right| = \tilde{k}^2$ 

 ${\rm \emph{p}}$  for oscillating axion:  $\tilde{k}\sim a^{-1/2}$ 

 $\implies$   $\sim$  energy transfer stops



1

### dark photon spectrum:

- first tachyonic helicity dominates

11 / 16

<sup>[</sup>Machado et al. (JHEP 2019)]

# Gravitational Wave Spectrum

GWs generated at  $t_*$  around the time when the tachyonic band closes:

peak frequency:

$$f_{\rm peak} \sim 2\,\frac{\tilde{k}_*}{a_0} \sim 4\,{\rm nHz}\, \left(\frac{\alpha\,\theta}{100}\right)^{\!\!\frac{2}{3}} \!\!\left(\frac{m_a}{10^{-15}\,{\rm eV}}\right)^{\!\!\frac{1}{2}}$$

### Gravitational Wave Spectrum

GWs generated at  $t_*$  around the time when the tachyonic band closes:

peak frequency:

$$f_{\rm peak}\sim 2\,\frac{\tilde{k}_*}{a_0}\sim 4\,{\rm nHz}\left(\frac{\alpha\,\theta}{100}\right)^{\!\!\frac{2}{3}}\!\!\left(\frac{m_a}{10^{-15}\,{\rm eV}}\right)^{\!\!\frac{1}{2}}$$

### peak amplitude:

$$\Omega_{\rm GW}^{\rm peak} \sim \frac{\left(\rho_X^* / f_{\rm peak}^*\right)^2}{\rho_c \ M_{\rm pl}^2} \left(\frac{a_*}{a_0}\right)^4 \sim 10^{-7} \left(\frac{f_a}{M_{\rm pl}}\right)^4 \left(100 \ \frac{\theta^2}{\alpha}\right)^{\frac{4}{3}}$$

### Gravitational Wave Spectrum

GWs generated at  $t_*$  around the time when the tachyonic band closes:

peak frequency:
$$f_{\text{peak}} \sim 2 \frac{\tilde{k}_{*}}{a_{0}} \sim 4 \text{ nHz} \left(\frac{\alpha \theta}{100}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}} \left(\frac{m_{a}}{10^{-15} \text{ eV}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$peak \text{ amplitude:}$$

$$\Omega_{\text{GW}}^{\text{peak}} \sim \frac{\left(\rho_{X}^{*}/f_{\text{peak}}^{*}\right)^{2}}{\rho_{c} M_{\text{pl}}^{2}} \left(\frac{a_{*}}{a_{0}}\right)^{4} \sim 10^{-7} \left(\frac{f_{a}}{M_{\text{pl}}}\right)^{4} \left(100 \frac{\theta^{2}}{\alpha}\right)^{\frac{4}{3}}$$

$$10^{-10}$$

$$\frac{\theta = 1, \alpha = 100}{m_{a} = 0.01 \text{ eV}}$$

$$\frac{\theta = 1, \alpha = 100}{m_{a} = 0.01 \text{ eV}}$$

$$\frac{\theta = 1, \alpha = 100}{m_{a} = 0.01 \text{ eV}}$$

$$\frac{\theta = 1, \alpha = 100}{m_{a} = 0.01 \text{ eV}}$$

$$\frac{\theta = 1, \alpha = 100}{m_{a} = 0.01 \text{ eV}}$$

$$\frac{\theta = 1, \alpha = 100}{m_{a} = 0.01 \text{ eV}}$$

$$\frac{\theta = 1, \alpha = 100}{m_{a} = 0.01 \text{ eV}}$$

$$\frac{\theta = 1, \alpha = 100}{m_{a} = 0.01 \text{ eV}}$$

$$\frac{\theta = 1, \alpha = 100}{m_{a} = 0.01 \text{ eV}}$$

$$\frac{\theta = 1, \alpha = 100}{m_{a} = 0.01 \text{ eV}}$$

$$\frac{\theta = 1, \alpha = 100}{m_{a} = 0.01 \text{ eV}}$$

$$\frac{\theta = 1, \alpha = 100}{m_{a} = 0.01 \text{ eV}}$$

$$\frac{\theta = 1, \alpha = 100}{m_{a} = 0.01 \text{ eV}}$$

$$\frac{\theta = 1, \alpha = 100}{m_{a} = 0.01 \text{ eV}}$$

$$\frac{\theta = 1, \alpha = 100}{m_{a} = 0.01 \text{ eV}}$$

$$\frac{\theta = 1, \alpha = 100}{m_{a} = 0.01 \text{ eV}}$$

$$\frac{\theta = 1, \alpha = 100}{m_{a} = 0.01 \text{ eV}}$$

$$\frac{\theta = 1, \alpha = 100}{m_{a} = 0.01 \text{ eV}}$$

$$\frac{\theta = 1, \alpha = 100}{m_{a} = 0.01 \text{ eV}}$$

$$\frac{\theta = 1, \alpha = 100}{m_{a} = 0.01 \text{ eV}}$$

$$\frac{\theta = 1, \alpha = 100}{m_{a} = 0.01 \text{ eV}}$$

$$\frac{\theta = 1, \alpha = 100}{m_{a} = 0.01 \text{ eV}}$$

$$\frac{\theta = 1, \alpha = 100}{m_{a} = 0.01 \text{ eV}}$$

$$\frac{\theta = 1, \alpha = 100}{m_{a} = 0.01 \text{ eV}}$$

$$\frac{\theta = 1, \alpha = 100}{m_{a} = 0.01 \text{ eV}}$$

$$\frac{\theta = 1, \alpha = 100}{m_{a} = 0.01 \text{ eV}}$$

$$\frac{\theta = 1, \alpha = 100}{m_{a} = 0.01 \text{ eV}}$$

$$\frac{\theta = 1, \alpha = 100}{m_{a} = 0.01 \text{ eV}}$$

$$\frac{\theta = 1, \alpha = 100}{m_{a} = 0.01 \text{ eV}}$$

$$\frac{\theta = 1, \alpha = 100}{m_{a} = 0.01 \text{ eV}}$$

$$\frac{\theta = 1, \alpha = 10}{m_{a} = 0.01 \text{ eV}}$$

$$\frac{\theta = 1, \alpha = 10}{m_{a} = 0.01 \text{ eV}}$$

$$\frac{\theta = 1, \alpha = 10}{m_{a} = 0.01 \text{ eV}}$$

$$\frac{\theta = 1, \alpha = 10}{m_{a} = 0.01 \text{ eV}}$$

$$\frac{\theta = 1, \alpha = 10}{m_{a} = 0.01 \text{ eV}}$$

$$\frac{\theta = 1, \alpha = 0.01$$

**spectral shape from lattice:** [Ratzinger, Schwaller, Stefanek (SciPost Phys. 2022)]

$$\Omega_{\rm GW} = \Omega_{\rm GW}^{\rm peak} \, \mathcal{S}(f/f_{\rm peak}), \qquad \mathcal{S}(x) = x^{0.73} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 + x^{4.2} \right) \right]^{\frac{-4.96 - 0.73}{4.2}}$$

12 / 16

# Parameter Constraints



 $[{\sf Ratzinger \ et \ al. \ (SciPost \ Phys., \ 2022)}] \quad \textit{$m$ (eV)$}$ 

# Parameter Constraints



13 / 16

misalignment + large initial velocity  $\Longrightarrow$  DM abundance and GW amplitude set by  $\dot{\phi}_0$ 

 $\blacktriangleright$  complex scalar P with  $V(P) \sim |P|^4$  and initial displacement  $|P| \gg f_a$ 



- $\blacktriangleright$  complex scalar P with  $V(P) \sim |P|^4$  and initial displacement  $|P| \gg f_a$
- **p** high-dim. PQ breaking:  $\Delta V_{PQ} \propto P^n + h.c. \implies$  angular motion



- $\blacktriangleright$  complex scalar P with  $V(P) \sim |P|^4$  and initial displacement  $|P| \gg f_a$
- **p** high-dim. PQ breaking:  $\Delta V_{PQ} \propto P^n + h.c. \implies$  angular motion
- **P**Q restored as |P| decreases  $\implies$  circular motion



- $\blacktriangleright$  complex scalar P with  $V(P) \sim |P|^4$  and initial displacement  $|P| \gg f_a$
- **p** high-dim. PQ breaking:  $\Delta V_{PQ} \propto P^n + h.c. \implies$  angular motion
- **P**Q restored as |P| decreases  $\implies$  circular motion
- ⇒ dark photon and graviational wave production



- ▶ high-dim. PQ breaking:  $\Delta V_{PQ} \propto P^n + h.c. \implies$  angular motion





1.  $\mu_H^2 > 0$ 



1.  $\mu_H^2 > 0$ **2**.  $\mu_H^2 < 0$ 



1.  $\mu_H^2 > 0$ **2**.  $\mu_H^2 < 0$ 

3. reheating

Relaxion  $V(H,\phi) = \underbrace{\left(\Lambda^2 - g\Lambda\phi\right)}_{\left(H\right)^2} |H|^2 + \lambda |H|^4 - cg\Lambda^3\phi - \Lambda_{\rm br}^4 \frac{|H|^2}{v_H^2} \cos\frac{\phi}{f_\phi}$  $\mu_{H}^{2}(\phi)$  $\mathbf{2}$ 

- 1.  $\mu_H^2 > 0$
- **2**.  $\mu_H^2 < 0$
- 3. reheating
- EWPT 4.

Relaxion  $V(H,\phi) = \underbrace{\left(\Lambda^2 - g\Lambda\phi\right)}_{H^2} |H|^2 + \lambda |H|^4 - cg\Lambda^3\phi - \Lambda_{\rm br}^4 \frac{|H|^2}{v_H^2} \cos\frac{\phi}{f_\phi}$  $\mu_H^2(\phi)$  $\mathbf{2}$ 

- 1.  $\mu_H^2 > 0$
- **2**.  $\mu_H^2 < 0$
- 3. reheating
- EWPT 4.

Relaxion Graham et al. (PRL, 2015)  $V(H,\phi) = \left(\Lambda^2 - g\Lambda\phi\right) |H|^2 + \lambda |H|^4 - cg\Lambda^3\phi - \Lambda_{\rm br}^4 \frac{|H|^2}{v_H^2} \cos\frac{\phi}{f_\phi}$  $\mu_H^2(\phi)$ (4)(2) $10^{-12}$   $\xi = 25$ 1.  $\mu_H^2 > 0$ 5th force + stellar cooling **2**.  $\mu_H^2 < 0$  $\stackrel{0}{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\theta}}}_{is}^{i\theta} 10^{-15}$ 3. reheating SKA (5 yr) 4. EWPT  $10^{-21}$ SKA (20 yr)  $\square \mu \text{Ares}$  $10^{-24}$  $10^{-12}$  $10^{-10}$  $10^{-8}$  $10^{-6}$  $10^{-2}$  $10^{-4}$  $10^{0}$  $m_{\phi} \, [eV]$ [Banerjee et al. (PRD, 2021] 15 / 16

# Conclusions

1. Cosmological Phase Transition

- 2. Bosonic Instabilities
  - 3. Conclusions

### Conclusion

Hidden sectors can generate GWs in several ways

The hidden sector can be decoupled

 $\implies$  GW spectrum suppressed:  $f_{\text{peak}}^0 \sim \xi_h, \quad \alpha \sim \xi_h^4$ 

ALPs coupled to dark photons can produce SGWB via tachyonic instability

### Thank you for your attention!



# Gravitational Waves from Hidden Sectors

Particle Production in the Early Universe — CERN, Sept. 9-13, 2024

Eric Madge (IFT-UAM/CSIC)



# Hidden Sector Benchmark Models

### Singlet Scalars:

 $\ensuremath{\,{\rm P}}$  2 real scalars S and A

$$\blacktriangleright$$
  $\langle S \rangle = v_S \,, \ \langle A \rangle = 0, \ A \ Z_2 \text{-odd}$ 



### Dark Photon:

- complex SM singlet scalar
- **p** charged under dark  $U(1)_D$



### Hidden Sector Phase Transition Detectability



# Audible Axion GW Spectrum



### Dark Photon Production in Kinetic Misalignment

$$X_{\pm}'' + \left(k^2 \mp k \frac{\alpha \phi'}{S}\right) X_{\pm} = 0$$

$$\implies \tilde{k} = \frac{\alpha \phi}{2S} \sim \begin{cases} \text{const.}, & S > f_{\phi} \\ a^{-2}, & S = f_{\phi} \end{cases}$$

- X production becomes efficient at  $a = a_{\star}$  when  $\tilde{k} > a_{\star}H_{\star}$
- backreaction on axion motion delayed until a = a<sub>GW</sub> by X mode growth time



### Gravitational Wave Spectrum in Kinetic Misalignment

 $\implies$  similar spectral shape as before, but with different parametric dependence:

GW emitted around a = a<sub>GW</sub> when X modes have grown

$$\Longrightarrow f_{\rm peak} \propto \alpha \sqrt{\frac{m_{S,0}}{f_{\phi}}} \frac{S_i}{M_{\rm Pl}}$$

amplitude set by axion kinetic energy

$$\Longrightarrow \Omega_{\rm GW}^{\rm peak} \propto \frac{S_i^4}{M_{\rm Pl}^4}$$



### Relaxion Evolution

$$\mathcal{L} \supset -\frac{\alpha}{4} \frac{\phi}{f_{\phi}} X_{\mu\nu} \tilde{X}^{\mu\nu} \qquad \Longrightarrow \qquad \ddot{\phi} + 3H\dot{\phi} - \frac{\Lambda_{\rm br}^4}{f_{\phi}} + \frac{\alpha}{f_{\phi}} \frac{\langle \tilde{X}_{\mu\nu} X^{\mu\nu} \rangle}{4 \, a^4} = 0$$

 $\blacktriangleright$  initially:  $\langle \tilde{X}X \rangle$  negligible  $\Longrightarrow \dot{\phi} \sim t$ 

• dark photon friction kicks in when  $\frac{\alpha}{4a^4} \langle \tilde{X}X \rangle \sim \Lambda_{\rm br}^4$   $\implies$  define time of particle production:  $\frac{\langle \tilde{X}X \rangle}{4a^4} \Big|_{t_{\rm pp}} = \frac{\Lambda_{\rm br}^4}{\alpha}$ 

relaxion reaches terminal velocity:  $\dot{\phi} = \xi H f_{\phi}/\alpha$  $\frown \mathcal{O}(10-100)$ 

relaxion stops when barriers reappear



### Dark Photon Production from Relaxion

dark photon EoM: 
$$X_{\pm}''(\tau,k) + \left(k^2 \mp k \frac{\alpha \, \phi'(\tau)}{f_{\phi}}\right) X_{\pm}(\tau,k) = 0$$

- $\textbf{P} \ \phi' > 0 \Longrightarrow \text{ only '+' helicity experiences} \\ \text{tachyonic instability}$
- energy predominantly transferred to most tachyonic mode:  $k = \frac{\alpha \phi'}{2f_{\phi}} = \frac{\xi a H}{2}$
- after exiting the tachyonic band:  $X(k,\tau) \propto \cos(k\tau)/\sqrt{2k}$

$$\implies \quad X_+(k,\tau) \sim k^{-9/2} \cos(k\tau - \xi) \quad \text{for } k > \frac{\xi}{2\tau}$$



### Relaxion Gravitational Wave Spectrum

 $\blacksquare$  IR:  $f \ll f_{\text{peak}}$  $|\mathbf{q}| \sim |\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}| \sim k_{\text{peak}}^X$  $\Omega_{\rm GW}(f) \sim \Omega_{\rm GW}^{\rm peak} \, \xi^2 \, \frac{f^3}{f_{\rm peak}^3}$  $\blacktriangleright$  peak:  $f \sim f_{peak}$  $|\mathbf{q}| \sim |\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}| \sim k_{\mathsf{peak}}^X$  $\Omega_{\rm GW}(f_{\rm peak}) = \Omega_{\rm GW}^{\rm peak}$  $\blacksquare$  UV:  $f \gg f_{\text{peak}}$  $|\mathbf{q}| \sim k_{\text{peak}}^X, \ |\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}| \sim k$  $\Omega_{\rm GW}(f) \sim \Omega_{\rm GW}^{\rm peak} \ \frac{f_{\rm peak}^4}{_{\rm F4}}$ 

