### Fermilab **ENERGY** Office of Science



# Searching for Heavy Neutral Leptons with muon detectors in the CMS experiment

Martin Kwok (Fermilab)

<u>LLP2024 - Tokyo</u> 4 July, 2024



### **Search for Heavy Neutral Lepton**

- Non-zero SM Neutrino mass needs an explanation!
  - HNL enables see-saw mechanism
  - Connected to other unsolved problems (Baryon asymmetry, DM candidate, Anomalous g-2 [1],[2],[3],[4],[5])
- HNL that decays in the CMS muon system can lead to hadronic shower
  - Ideal to probe lower mass (<10GeV) / longer lifetime O(1m) parameter space
  - Consider a single HNL Type-1 See-saw model



# **Muon Detector Shower (MDS)**

- Teaching a particle detector new tricks
- LLP decays hadronically in the muon system: Shower is detected as multiple hits in either the CSC or DT chambers
- Steel between muon stations can act as absorbers in a sampling calorimeter
  - Shielding of 12-27 interaction length (Background suppression factor ~107)

۳ ۳

5

3

2

Wheel 0

Solenoid magnet

HCAL

ECAL

Wheel 1

MB3

Wheel 2

RB3

RB2



#### SM particles seen at CMS



44.3

40.4



1.4 27.7°

1.5 25.2

1.6 22.8° 1.7 20.7° 1.8 18.8° 1.9 17.0°

2.0 15.4

2.2 12.6° 2.3 11.5°

2.4 10.4°

2.5 9.4

3.0 5.7



### **Muon Detector Shower (MDS)**

- Sensitive to LLP with longer  $c\tau \sim O(1-10m)$
- Good efficiency in both barrel and end-cap

12

0.8

0.6

0.4

0.2

200

300

DT cluster efficiency



 $H \rightarrow S \rightarrow d\bar{d}$  decay,  $c\tau = 1 - 10 m$ 





# of the LLP

**Muon Detector Shower (MDS)** 

- Sensitive to "anything" (quarks, electrons, photons, taus) except muons!
- Independent of LLP mass!



Cluster efficiency can be well parametrized by the hadronic energy and EM energy

#### EXO-20-015



### **Searching long-lived HNL with MDS**



# **Analysis Strategy**

- Simple event topology:
  - Prompt lepton + single MDS cluster
- Consider all decay modes of the HNL
  - No penalty of signals due to W/Z branching ratios





# **Analysis Strategy**

- Simple event topology:
  - Prompt lepton + single MDS cluster
- Consider all decay modes of the HNL
  - No penalty of signals due to W/Z branching ratios





# **Analysis Strategy**

- Simple event topology:
  - Prompt lepton + single MDS cluster
- Consider all decay modes of the HNL
  - No penalty of signals due to W/Z branching ratios





#### **Cluster side**

- Improve S/B ratio
- Veto SM objects that can make cluster
- Reject OOT clusters
- Cluster size  $(N_{hit})$  as main discrimination



### **Cluster selection**

- Reject punchthrough jets:
  - Veto clusters matched to jets ( $\Delta R < 0.4$ )
- Reject muon bremsstrahlung shower:
  - Veto clusters matched to muons (ΔR < 0.8)</li>
- CSC:
  - Veto clusters with RecHits in ME-1/1, ME-1/2
  - Veto clusters that are matched to RE1/2 hits
  - Veto clusters that are matched to MB1segments or RB1 hits
  - $-5 \text{ ns} < t_{cls} < 12 \text{ ns}$
- DT:
  - Veto clusters with > 1 RecHit in MB1 and in adjacent wheel
  - Veto region with no instrumentation (DT chimney)
  - $BX_{cls} = 0$





# **ABCD** background estimation

- After cluster selections, background clusters and leptons are uncorrelated
  - Use ABCD method with  $N_{hits}$  and  $\Delta \phi_{lep}$
  - Signals are back-to-back with cluster with large  $N_{hits}$
- Use Out-of-Time(OOT) and in-time large  $\Delta\phi(cls,{\rm MET})$  region as validation of ABCD method region

#### **HNL** signals





Backgrounds



# **ABCD** background estimation

- After cluster selections, background clusters and leptons are uncorrelated
  - Use ABCD method with  $N_{hits}$  and  $\Delta \phi_{lep}$
  - Signals are back-to-back with cluster with large  $N_{hits}$
- Use Out-of-Time(OOT) and in-time large  $\Delta\phi(cls, {\rm MET})$  region as validation of ABCD method region



#### In-time CR



#### OOT CR

#### **CSC** clusters

### **Closure test result**

- Good agreement for closure tests both in-time/OOT validation regions
- Repeated this test with relaxed cluster selections in W+Jet MC
  - Also obtained good agreement (with limited statistics)

						~
Event category	Validation region	А	В	C	D	D (pred.)
Muon, DT-MB2	OOT	9	6924	944	0	$1.2\pm0.4$
Muon, DT-MB3/MB4	OOT	11	593	86	1	$1.6\pm0.5$
Muon, CSC	OOT	103	31074	4044	9	$13.4\pm1.3$
Electron, DT	OOT	14	3301	366	2	$1.6\pm0.4$
Electron, CSC	OOT	33	13774	1647	2	$4.0\pm0.7$
Muon, DT-MB2	In time	10	5087	467	2	$0.9\pm0.3$
Muon, DT-MB3/MB4	In time	9	785	107	2	$1.2\pm0.4$
Muon, CSC	In time	31	7445	532	1	$2.2\pm0.4$
Electron, DT	In time	8	2446	220	0	$0.7\pm0.3$



# $Z \to \mu \mu$ background in muon channel

- In rare cases,  $Z \to \mu\mu$  could create MDS +  $\mu$  topology if one of the muons are not reconstructed
- To estimate this background, we
  - invert the most stringent veto to define a CR
  - measure CR-to-SR transfer factor (T.F.) using  $t\bar{t}$  events





# $Z \to \mu \mu$ background in muon channel

- In rare cases,  $Z \to \mu \mu$  could create MDS +  $\mu$  topology if one of the muons are not reconstructed
- To estimate this background, we
  - invert the most stringent veto to define a CR
  - measure CR-to-SR transfer factor (T.F.) using  $t\overline{t}$  events
- Validated with MC and data with smaller cluster sizes



$$W + J \operatorname{CR} Z \rightarrow \mu \mu \operatorname{CR} \operatorname{T.F.} Z \rightarrow \mu \mu \operatorname{SR}$$

Region	$N_D^{\rm CR}$	$\lambda_{ m ABCD\ bkg,D}^{ m CR}$	$\lambda_{Z  o \mu \mu, D}^{CR}$	ζ	$\lambda^{SR}_{ ext{Z} ightarrow \mu\mu, ext{D}}$
CSC	129	$45\pm2$	$84\pm12$	$(4.8 \pm 1.3)\%$	$3.9\pm1.2$
DT-MB2	35	$12.2\pm1.5$	$\textbf{22.8} \pm \textbf{6.1}$	$(36 \pm 31)\%$	$8.2\pm7.4$
DT-MB3/MB4	6	$2.9\pm0.7$	$3.1\pm2.6$	$(2 \pm 1)\%$	$0.06\pm0.06$

Zmumu Bkg in CR x T.F = Zmumu Bkg in SR



# **Systematics uncertainties**

- Background unc. dominated by statistical unc. of ABCD method
  - And uncertainty of T.F. for muon channel
- Uncertainty of cluster properties measured with tag-and-probe method in  $Z \rightarrow \mu\mu$  brems
  - Validates the clusters in signal simulation





**莽** Fermilab

### Result

- No significant excess observed
  - ~1 sigma fluctuation in electron channel
- Proceed to set limits on HNL coupling v.s. mass plane









# **Limits on Majorana HNL**

- Flavour independence:
  - MDS works for well for HNL mixings with e/mu/taus
  - Limits are different largely due to trigger acceptance





# **Limits on Majorana HNL**

- Flavour independence:
  - MDS works for well for HNL mixings with e/mu/taus
  - Limits are different largely due to trigger acceptance
- Probes low-mass/small coupling parameter space
  - Most stringent limits around 2 3 GeV



# **Mixed-HNL coupling**

• Flavour independence opens up mixed coupling interpretation

$$f_{\ell} = \frac{|V_{\ell N}|^2}{|V_{eN}|^2 + |V_{\mu N}|^2 + |V_{\tau N}|^2}$$

- Constrains the sum of relative couplings to 1
- Selected several benchmark at the edge of our sensitivity



 $c\tau = 1m$ 

 $m_N = 1.5 \,\,{\rm GeV}$ 

3.5 E 3.0 5

2.5

2.0

1.5

1.0

0.5



#### **Can we do better in Run 3?**





### **Muon Shower Triggers**

- Many CMS run 2 LLP analysis do NOT have a dedicated LLP trigger
  - Major CMS Run 3 effort
- New dedicated trigger object implemented at L1 and HLT
  - MDS object available at HLT!





#### Event display in 2022 data



#### Overview MDS trigger (HMT) logic



### **Muon Shower Triggers for HNL**

- HNL search was less trigger limited due to the presence of a clean lepton except for hadronic  $\tau$ !
- New trigger allow us to trigger on the MDS +  $\ell = e/\mu/\tau_h\,$  at HLT
  - MDS suppresses the rate
  - Very loose cut on the associated objects
  - Enable us to probe **long-lived** hadronic- $\tau$  channel
- Deployed in 2024 run!

#### HNL : MDS + $e/\mu/\tau$



- Single Lepton trigger thresholds:
  - Muon: ~25 GeV
  - Electron: ~30 GeV
  - $\tau_h$  : >100 GeV



### Summary

- Muon Detector Shower(MDS) is a power new tool
  - Search with Run-2 data improves previous CMS limits ~2.3x at around 1-3 GeV
  - New triggers enable us to probe hadronic tau channel with MDS
- Stay tuned for Run 3 results!



### Thank you!

