

A novel method to improve displaced vertex searches

An extended version o[f the arXiv:2405.1](https://arxiv.org/abs/2405.16993)6993 preprint

Attilio Santocchia

14th Workshop of the LLP Community

Tokyo – 04.07.2024

Introduction vertex, or the number of events detected are then used are then used to look for any excess with respect to \sqrt{N} predictions. For exclusive searches, more complex topologies are tested, and the DV is associated, and the DV i with other leptons, jets or missing transverse energy. Topologies where the DV is not directly is not directly is not directly in the DV is not

- □ Starting point is all the analysis published by CMS and ATLAS in run1 and run2 on dimuon displaced vertex searches and the determined on the track end of the track end of the other hand, the detectable because the decay products are neutral are also studied. Most of the time, however, analysis published by CMS and ATLAS in run1 and
- **n** There are 5 papers published by CMS and 4 papers by ATLAS
- \Box All of them preselect events using standard kinematics and quality cuts AND few specific cuts on variables useful to discriminate displaced vertices
- \Box The variables used are:
	- o Muon impact parameter and its significance (d_0) for the first and second muon)
	- o Distance of the Displaced Vertex (DV) to the primary vertex (PV) in the transverse plane and its significance (L_{xy} and $L_{xy}/\sigma_{L_{xy}}$)
	- o Angle between the PV-DV direction and the dimuon system direction in the transverse plane (in the plot is shown only the 3D angle ϕ_{3D}

Introduction

- ^q Starting point is all the analysis published by CMS and ATLAS in run1 and run2 on dimuon displaced vertex searches
- ^q There are 5 papers published by CMS and 4 papers by ATLAS
- \Box All of them preselect events using standard kinematics and quality cuts AND few specific cuts on variables useful to discriminate displaced vertices

Table 1: CMS and ATLAS muon inclusive searches $(d_0, \sigma_{d_0}, d_{xy}$ and $\sigma_{d_{xyz}}$ are measured in mm). 2D in the table indicates that the ϕ_{3D} angle is used in the transverse plane.

 $A_{\rm eff}$ the selection of the muon pair, a secondary vertex finding algorithm may be run. If the run.

- **The purpose of this work is to evaluate if what has been done so far by** ATLAS and CMS could be improved and the key question becomes:
- **Q** Given a narrow resonance which decay to a $\mu^+ \mu^-$ pair with a specific lifetime…
- **q** What is the minimal cross-section (times the branching ratio to $\mu^+ \mu^-$) σ_D which may produce a discovery at the LHC run 2?

- **The purpose of this work is to evaluate if what has been done so far by** ATLAS and CMS could be improved and the key question becomes:
- **Q** Given a narrow resonance which decay to a $\mu^+ \mu^-$ pair with a specific lifetime…
- **Q** What is the minimal cross-section (times the branching ratio to $\mu^+ \mu^-$) $\sigma_{\rm D}$ which may produce a discovery at the LHC run 2?

The general idea is to look for displaced vertices

- **q** To define the discovery cross-section σ_{D} I need several ingredients...
- ^q A basic detector simulation: DELPHES with the standard CMS card
- ^q A vertex finding algorithm: the DELPHES external package TrackCovariance developed by Franco Bedeschi
- ^q Integrated Luminosity 140 fb-1 (full run2 luminosity)
- **Q** Base selection cuts:
	- o At least 2 muons (opposite sign) with $p_T > 30(10)$ GeV for the most (second) energetic muon
	- o $|\eta|$ < 2.4 for both muons
	- o Relative charge isolation $\frac{\sum p_T}{n\mu}$ p_T^{μ} $\frac{\rho_T}{\mu}$ < 0.3 where the sum is extended to all tracks in a cone with $\Delta R = \sqrt{\Delta \phi^2 + \Delta \eta^2} < 0.3$

- **q** To define the discovery cross-section σ_{D} I need several ingredients...
- ^q Discovery criterion: observed effect should have the equivalent of a five standard-deviation discrepancy with the Standard Model
- ^q A model which allow to produce signal events of a BSM resonance which decay to a muon pair and allow to select several decay length: PHYTIA model for a Z' resonance
- ^q A Standard Model generator to produce background events
	- o PYTHIA has been used to produce Drell-Yan events $pp \to \gamma/Z^0 \to \mu\mu$, di-Top events $pp \to \gamma$ $t\bar{t}$ and Single-Top (s-channel and t-channel) $pp \rightarrow qt$
	- o MADGRAPH has been used to produce tW events $pp \rightarrow tW$
- **q** The last ingredient which allows to calculate σ_{D} as a function of the LLP mean lifetime $\langle \tau \rangle$ is then given by the equation

$$
\tau = \frac{L}{c\beta\gamma} = \frac{mL_{xyz}}{[\vec{p}]}
$$

Final receipt for σ_{D}

q The discovery cross section σ_D is finally defined as

significance =
$$
\frac{N_S - N_B}{\sqrt{N_B}} = \frac{N_{Z'}}{\sqrt{N_B}} = \frac{\mathcal{L}\varepsilon_D \sigma_D}{\sqrt{N_B}} = 5 \implies \sigma_D = \frac{5\sqrt{N_B}}{\mathcal{L}\varepsilon_D}
$$

- **q** Where the factor 5 is the common 5 σ discovery condition and ε_D is the selection efficiency obtained for the BSM particle
- ^q Example in figure: the SM dimuon mass distribution is shown in the range [150,1200] GeV
- ^q No DV specific cuts have been used (only the base selection cuts)
- \Box Four Z' with different masses and very short lifetime $(Z'$ is produced at the PV) have been added using the calculated σ_D

Final recipe for σ_{D}

- ^q Six discriminant variables (see distribution in backup) have been chosen to select a DV event together with the base selection cuts.
- ^q Each variable has been studied in a wide range of value:
	- o $|d_0| > [0.010, 0.100]$ mm
	- o $L_{xy} > [0,2]$ mm
	- o $L_{xyz} > [0,2]$ mm
	- o $|d_0|/\sigma_{d_0} > [0.5, 20]$
	- o $L_{xy}/\sigma_{L_{xy}} > [0.5, 20]$
	- o $L_{xyz}/\sigma_{L_{xyz}} > [0.5,20]$
- **p** For a given Z' mass point and selection cut, the number of SM events N_R is obtained from the integral of the dimuon invariant mass distribution in the range $[m - 2\Gamma, m + 2\Gamma]$

- Five Z' mass points have been generated: 200, 400, 600, 800 and 1000 GeV
- **□** For each mass points, 40 different lifetime have been generated from \sim 10⁻²⁶ to \sim 10⁻¹² seconds which corresponds to a mean decay length $c\tau$ from \sim 10⁻¹⁸ to \sim 10⁻³ m
- \Box For each mass and lifetime points, the width of the resonance has been measured, fitting with a gaussian the peak of the reconstructed distribution
- **q** The width is constant for $\langle \tau \rangle > 10^{-25}$ s: the dimuon invariant mass resolution is bigger then the real Z' width
- ^q The width varies from 3.8 GeV for $m_{Z'} = 200$ GeV up to 54.4 GeV for $m_{z'} = 1$ TeV for $\langle \tau \rangle > 10^{25}$ s

Results: d_0 optimization example

- **Q** For each mass points and cut type, a similar plot has been produced (total 5 mass point \times 6 cut types = 30 plots)
- ^q The area above each curve represents the combination of Zʹ parameters that may lead to a discovery at LHC
- \Box The area below indicates a combination of Zʹ parameters which gives too few events to allow for a discovery using the 5σ criterion

Results: all plot together for a 400 GeV Z'

- \Box For each mass points, a similar plot has been produced (total 5 mass point)
- **q** There are 60 curve in this plot (6 cut type times 10 cut values)
- ^q The area above each curve represents the combination of Zʹ parameters that may lead to a discovery at LHC
- \Box The area below indicates a combination of Zʹ parameters which gives too few events to allow for a discovery using the 5σ criterion
- \Box The colored points indicate the best combination to use for searching a 400 GeV resonance

- **Q** Best discovery condition for all 5 mass points
- **Q** Comparison with the σ_D using the $6th$ paper (CMS 3) in the table which use:
	- o $|d_0| > 0.1$ mm
- ^q In principle this choice looks like the best one among the 9 papers analyzed

Result

- ^q This approach imply the perfect knowledge of the SM background distribution
- **p** It's an ideal situation...
- □ But we know that all these variables are not well modelled by our simulation, and we can't rely on MC events to extract the SM background
- □ The only reasonable approach is to rely on DATA only
- □ And we have to deal with a lot of systematics effects...

- □ Both ATLAS and CMS focused on improving DV searches far from the PV (trying to cover distances up to few meters)
- ^q PROs:
	- o no SM backgrounds… a few events could mean a discovery!
- ^q CONs:
	- o Tracking efficiency drops far from the PV
	- o New specific Trigger needed
- **□** But what about DVs nearby PV (order up to few mm)?
- ^q PROs:
	- o No Trigger or Tracking efficiency problems
	- o All tools and algos developed for standard physics could be used
	- o No ad-hoc searches published up-to-now
- ^q CONs
	- o SM background is not negligible

- **q** The new proposed approach will use the ϕ_{3D} angle
- **q For SM events above the** *b* **quark mass, all** vertices reconstructed from a dimuon pair will coincide with the PV
- **□** The vectors Δ_{3D} and $\vec{p}_{\mu\mu}$ will be largely uncorrelated and the displacement of the DV wrt the PV will be due only to detectors effect
- **q** The distribution of the variable $\cos \phi_{3D}$ will be symmetrical around 0
- **q** The plot shows the cos ϕ_{3D} and the inverted distribution (each bin is swapped with the symmetric bin around cos ϕ_{3D} =0) for SM events. The ratio equal to 1 means the distribution is fully symmetric.

- \Box For any particle produced at the PV that decays in a muon pair and the DV is far from the PV, the cos ϕ_{3D} distribution will be completely different
- \Box In an ideal 2 body-decay, the direction of the Δ_{3D} and $\vec{p}_{\mu\mu}$ will be identical, ϕ_{3D} = 0 and $\cos \phi_{3D} = 1$
- **a** The top figure show a Z' with $\langle \tau \rangle = 4.2 \cdot 10^{-27}$ s and $c(\tau) = 1.3 \cdot 10^{-18}$ m. The dimuon vertex coincide with the PV and the situation is identical to SM particles
- **q** The bottom figure show a Z' with $\langle \tau \rangle = 2.6$. 10^{-13} s and $c(\tau)$ = 78 μ m. The distribution now is strongly peaked at 1 and the symmetry is lost.

- The dimuon invariant mass distribution could be therefore divided in 2 different distributions (**P**lus and **M**inus distributions):
	- o The P-distribution $c_{\phi} < \cos \phi_{3D} < 1$
	- o The M-distribution $-1 < \cos \phi_{3D} < -c_a$
- **□** Where c_{ϕ} is any value in the range c_{ϕ} ∈ $\left[0,1\right]$
- \Box For SM events the 2 distributions will be identical (within statistical fluctuations)
- ^q For a BSM resonance which decay to a muon pair far from the PV, most of the events will populate the P-distribution
- **u** Example: a 400 GeV Z' with a $c(\tau) = 1.4$ mm and using $c_{\phi} = 0.90$ will populate the P-distribution with 72% of the events (only 28% will populate the M-distribution)

Example: a 400 GeV Z'

- **p** Dimuon mass distribution for SM and Z' events
- \Box Cuts:
	- o $c_{\phi} = 0.90$
	- o $|d_0|/\sigma_{d_0} > 1$
- Black is SM (P- and Mdistributions superimposed)
- **a** RED is the Z' , $c\langle \tau \rangle$ = 1.4 mm added on top of the SM
- $\sigma_p = 2.1$ fb
- **Q** Assuming a gaussian signal and using a profile likelihood ratio as a statistical test the local significance is 5.12

- □ Same full study performed on standard dimuon invariant mass distribution
- **□** Same 6 variables, same cut values plus 6 different c_{ϕ} values in the range [0,0.98]
- **□** Same procedure to extract the discovery cross section σ_D
- \Box Full scan for all possible combinations to extract the best combination (cut type/cut value) for different BSM masses and lifetimes.
- ^q Example: in the range up to 1 mm the P/M approach is comparable to the ideal one

^q PROs

- ^q P- and M-distributions directly from DATA and no MC needed to search DVs
- ^q Almost all systematic effects cancel out because both P- and M- distributions will be affected at the same level
	- o Alignment could produce an overall difference in the P-/M-distributions and it's the only major systematic effect which has to be studied carefully
- ^q CONs
- ^q …
-

Conclusions

- □ Searches of LLPs are widely used at LHC experiments for BSM particles discovery.
- ^q A careful study is needed to fully exploit tracker detector potentialities for a DV within few mm from the PV
- □ Fast (like the one presented today) and full-simulation studies could give several hints on how to optimize these searches…
- \Box However, also full simulation for the variables typically used for such searches are far from being perfect and a robust search will have to be based on data only
- ^q A new approach fully based on data has been proposed which allows reducing at a minimum the systematic uncertainties while keeping at best the capability to detect a BSM resonance which decays nearby the PV.
- ^q This new approach, presented today for a dimuon displaced vertex, could be used for any process where a displaced vertex could be measured.

Reference of the 9 papers on displaced muon searches

[7] CMS Search in Leptonic Channels for Heavy Resonances Decaying to Long-Lived Neutral Particles [\[arXiv:1211.](http://arxiv.org/abs/1211.2472)2472]

[8] CMS Search for long-lived particles that decay into final states containing two electrons or two muons in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{\sqrt{s}} = \$ 8 TeV $\sqrt{\sqrt{arXiv:1411.6977}}$

[9] ATLAS Search for long-lived particles in final states with displaced dimuon vertices in \$pp\$ collisions at \$\sqrt{s}=\$ 13 TeV with the ATLAS dete[ctor \[arXiv:1808.0](file:///%5BarXiv/1808.03057%5D.%20s%20=%2013)3057]

[10] ATLAS Search for displaced vertices of oppositely charged leptons from decays of long-lived particles in \$pp\$ collisions at \$\sqrt {s}\$ =13 TeV with the ATLAS dete[ctor \[arXiv:1907.1](https://arxiv.org/abs/1907.10037)0037]

[11] ATLAS Search for long-lived, massive particles in events with a displaced vertex and a muon with large impact parameter in \$pp\$ collisions at \$\sqrt{s} = 13\$ TeV with the ATLAS detector

[\[arXiv:2003.11](http://arxiv.org/abs/2003.11956)956]

[12] CMS Search for long-lived particles decaying to leptons with large impact parameter in proton-proton collisions at \$\sqrt{s}\$ = 13 [TeV \[arXiv:2110.0](https://arxiv.org/abs/2110.04809)4809]

[13] CMS Search for long-lived particles decaying into muon pairs in proton-proton collisions at \$ \sqrt{s} \$ = 13 TeV collected with a dedicated high-rate data str[eam \[arXiv:2112.1](http://arxiv.org/abs/2112.13769)3769]

[14] CMS Search for long-lived particles decaying to a pair of muons in proton-proton collisions at $$ \sqrt{s} $ = 13 TeV [arXiv:2205.08582]$ $$ \sqrt{s} $ = 13 TeV [arXiv:2205.08582]$ $$ \sqrt{s} $ = 13 TeV [arXiv:2205.08582]$

[15] ATLAS Search for heavy neutral leptons in decays of \$W\$ bosons produced in 13 TeV \$pp\$ collisions using prompt and displaced signatures with the ATLAS dete[ctor \[arXiv: 2305.0](http://arxiv.org/abs/2305.02005)2005]

d_0 and d_0/σ_{d_0} Distribution

$L_{\overline{xy}}$ and $L_{\overline{xy}}/\sigma_{L_{\overline{xy}}}$ Distribution

$L_{\scriptstyle{xyz}}$ and $L_{\scriptstyle{xyz}}/\sigma_{L_{\scriptstyle{xyz}}}$ Distribution

