# Search for $B^0_s \to \mu \mu$ and $B^0_d \to \mu \mu$ at the CMS experiment

Christoph Nägeli PSI & ETH

August 30, 2011

### Overview

#### Introduction

#### Analysis

Event Selection Variables Isolation Normalization channel Control sample Background estimation

#### Results

Upper limits Outlook

# Introduction

- Decay is highly suppressed in SM.
  - effective FCNC, helicity suppressed.
  - SM expectation

 $egin{split} {\cal B}(B^0_s o \mu\mu) &= (3.2 \pm 0.2) imes 10^{-9} \ {\cal B}(B^0_d o \mu\mu) &= (1.0 \pm 0.1) imes 10^{-10} \end{split}$ 

- CMS can constrain BSM directly and indirectly
  - MSSM:  $\mathcal{B} \propto (\tan \beta)^6$
  - ▶ Cabibbo-enhancement  $(|V_{ts}| > |V_{td}|)$  of  $B_s^0 \to \mu\mu$  over  $B_d^0 \to \mu\mu$  only in MFV models.
  - $\mathcal{B}$  could also be smaller than SM prediction.
  - ightarrow Constraints on parameter region
  - $\rightarrow$  Sensitivity to extended Higgs boson sectors





# CMS Detector



Component	Characteristics	resolutions	
Pixel	3/2 Si layers	$\delta_z \approx 20 \ \mu \text{m}, \ \delta_\phi \approx 10 \ \mu \text{m}$	
Tracker	10/12 Si strips	$\delta(p_{\perp})/p_{\perp} \approx 1\%$	
ECAL	PbWO <sub>4</sub>	$\delta E/E \approx 3\%/\sqrt{E} \oplus 0.5\%$	
HCAL (B)	Brass / Sc, $> 7.2\lambda$	$\delta E/E \approx 100\sqrt{E}\%$	
HCAL (F)	Fe/Quartz	$\delta(slashE_T) \approx 0.98 \sqrt{\sum E_T}$	
Magnet	3.8 T solenoid		
Muons	DT / CSC + RPC	$\delta(p_{\perp})/p_{\perp} \approx 10\%$ (STA)	

# Analysis overview

- Signal signature
  - two muons from one decay vertex
  - dimuon mass around
     (5.2002 + 0.0000)

 $m_{B^0_s} = (5.3663 \pm 0.0006) \,\, {
m GeV}$ 

Background composition



- two independent semileptonic B decays
- one semileptonic (B) decay and one misidentified hadron
- rare single B decays (peaking and non-peaking)
- Most powerful variables
  - Isolation of B candidate
  - good vertex fit
  - small pointing angle
  - ▶ high flight length significance  $\ell_{3d}/\sigma_{3d}$
  - *d<sub>ca</sub>* of closest track near SV



• Measure the branching fraction  $B^0_{s(d)} \rightarrow \mu \mu$ 

$$\mathcal{B}(B^0_s \to \mu\mu) = \frac{N(B^0_s \to \mu\mu)}{N(B^0_s)} = \frac{N(B^0_s \to \mu\mu)}{f_s \sigma_b \mathcal{L}} = \frac{N^{\rm obs}(B^0_s \to \mu\mu)}{\varepsilon^{B^0_s} f_s \sigma_b \mathcal{L}}$$

• Measure the branching fraction  $B^0_{s(d)} \rightarrow \mu \mu$ 

$$\mathcal{B}(B^0_s \to \mu\mu) = \frac{\mathcal{N}(B^0_s \to \mu\mu)}{\mathcal{N}(B^0_s)} = \frac{\mathcal{N}(B^0_s \to \mu\mu)}{f_s \sigma_b \mathcal{L}} = \frac{\mathcal{N}^{\rm obs}(B^0_s \to \mu\mu)}{\varepsilon^{B^0_s} f_s \sigma_b \mathcal{L}}$$

 Replace σ<sub>b</sub>L using a well measured branching fraction with similar signal topology

▶ Measure the branching fraction  $B^0_{s(d)} \rightarrow \mu\mu$  using a normalization channel

$$\mathcal{B}(B^0_s \to \mu\mu; 95\%C.L.) = \frac{\mathcal{N}(n_{obs}, n_B)}{\mathcal{N}(B^{\pm} \to J/\psi K^{\pm})} \frac{f_u}{f_s} \frac{\varepsilon^{B^{\pm}}}{\varepsilon^{B^0_s}} \mathcal{B}(B^{\pm} \to J/\psi(\mu^+\mu^-) K^{\pm})$$

▶ Measure the branching fraction  $B^0_{s(d)} \rightarrow \mu \mu$  using a normalization channel

$$\mathcal{B}(B^0_s \to \mu\mu; 95\%C.L.) = \frac{N(n_{obs}, n_B)}{N(B^{\pm} \to J/\psi K^{\pm})} \frac{f_u}{f_s} \frac{\varepsilon^{B^{\pm}}}{\varepsilon^{B^0_s}} \mathcal{B}(B^{\pm} \to J/\psi(\mu^+\mu^-)K^{\pm})$$

•  $B^{\pm} \rightarrow J/\psi K^{\pm}$  has similar decay topology and is well measured.

▶ Measure the branching fraction  $B^0_{s(d)} \rightarrow \mu\mu$  using a normalization channel

$$\mathcal{B}(B^0_s \to \mu\mu; 95\%C.L.) = \frac{\mathcal{N}(n_{obs}, n_B)}{\mathcal{N}(B^{\pm} \to J/\psi K^{\pm})} \frac{f_u}{f_s} \frac{\varepsilon^{B^{\pm}}}{\varepsilon^{B^0_s}} \mathcal{B}(B^{\pm} \to J/\psi(\mu^+\mu^-) K^{\pm})$$

- $B^{\pm} \rightarrow J/\psi K^{\pm}$  has similar decay topology and is well measured.
- Systematics on efficiencies affect the signal and normalization channel in similar way, hence should largely cancel.

# Event selection

- Vertexing pairs of muons and fill in histogram
- Blind analysis: Everything of the analysis was set before looking at the number of entries in the signal region.
  - $\Rightarrow~$  No signal candidates were reconstructed in the mass range  $5.2\,GeV < m_{\mu\mu} < 5.45\,GeV$ 
    - Avoid bias
    - Avoid overtuning
  - Tradition in field
- Sideband was used to study the background in data.



• pointing angle 
$$\alpha(\vec{P}_B, \vec{SV} - \vec{PV})$$
.



• pointing angle 
$$\alpha(\vec{P}_B, \vec{SV} - \vec{PV})$$
.

▶ flight length in three-dimensional space  $(\ell_{3d})$  and its error  $(\sigma_{3d})$ .



• pointing angle 
$$\alpha(\vec{P}_B, \vec{SV} - \vec{PV})$$
.

- ▶ flight length in three-dimensional space  $(\ell_{3d})$  and its error  $(\sigma_{3d})$ .
- $\chi^2$  of secondary vertex fit.



• pointing angle 
$$\alpha(\vec{P}_B, \vec{SV} - \vec{PV})$$
.

- ▶ flight length in three-dimensional space (ℓ<sub>3d</sub>) and its error (σ<sub>3d</sub>).
- $\chi^2$  of secondary vertex fit.
- Isolation (see next slide).







#### Isolation

Isolation (1) defined as

$$I = rac{p_\perp(B_s^0)}{p_\perp(B_s^0) + \sum_{\mathrm{trk}} p_\perp},$$



where the sum over tracks in cone around  $\vec{p}_B$  with track

- not part of the  $B_s^0$  candidate
- ▶ from same PV as the B<sup>0</sup><sub>s</sub> candidate or close to secondary vertex.

Normalization channel:  $B^{\pm} \rightarrow J/\psi(\mu^+\mu^-)K^{\pm}$ 

Combine two muons with a track to form candidates.

Compare MC simulation with data.



Control Sample:  $B_s^0 \to J/\psi(\mu^+\mu^-)\phi(K^+K^-)$ 

Recall master formula

$$\mathcal{B}(B_s^0 \to \mu\mu; 95\%C.L.) = \frac{N(n_{obs}, n_B)}{N(B^{\pm} \to J/\psi K^{\pm})} \frac{f_u}{f_s} \frac{\varepsilon^{B^{\pm}}}{\varepsilon^{B_s^0}} \mathcal{B}(B^{\pm} \to J/\psi(\mu^+\mu^-)K^{\pm})$$

• Measure  $B_s^0 \rightarrow J/\psi \phi$  to validate exclusive  $B_s^0$  decay.



### Background estimation

- Background in signal window estimated from sidebands by linear interpolation.
- Investigate shape of background (by loosening cuts) and get uncertainty for linear interpolation.



# $B \rightarrow \mu \mu$ results

Variable	$B_s^0 \rightarrow \mu \mu$ Barrel	$B_d^0 \rightarrow \mu \mu$ Barrel	$B_s^0 \rightarrow \mu \mu$ Endcap	$B_d^0 \rightarrow \mu \mu Endcap$
$N_{\rm signal}^{\rm exp}$	$0.80\pm0.16$	$0.065 \pm 0.011$	$0.36\pm0.16$	$0.025 \pm 0.004$
$N_{\rm bg}^{\rm exp}$	$0.60\pm0.35$	$0.40\pm0.23$	$0.80\pm0.40$	$0.53\pm0.27$
$N_{\rm peak}^{\rm exp}$	$0.071\pm0.020$	$0.245\pm0.056$	$0.044\pm0.011$	$0.158\pm0.039$
$N_{\rm s+b}^{\rm exp}$	$1.471 \pm 0.385$	$0.71\pm0.24$	$1.204\pm0.431$	$0.713 \pm 0.273$
Nobs	2	0	1	1

#### Expectations and observations





# Upper limits

SM values

$$egin{aligned} \mathcal{B}(B^0_s o \mu\mu) &= (3.2 \pm 0.2) imes 10^{-9} \ \mathcal{B}(B^0_d o \mu\mu) &= (1.0 \pm 0.1) imes 10^{-10} \end{aligned}$$

 $\blacktriangleright$  upper limits with  $\rm CL_{s}$ 

$$\begin{array}{lll} \mathcal{B}(B^0_s \to \mu \mu) &< & 1.9 \times 10^{-8} & (95\% \, \mathrm{C.L.}) \\ \mathcal{B}(B^0_d \to \mu \mu) &< & 4.6 \times 10^{-9} & (95\% \, \mathrm{C.L.}) \end{array}$$

• Expected upper limits for our measurement of  $B^0_s 
ightarrow \mu\mu$ 

$$\begin{array}{ll} {\rm bkg \ only:} & (1.45^{+0.52}_{-0.48})\times 10^{-8} \\ {\rm SM:} & (1.88^{+0.67}_{-0.77})\times 10^{-8} \end{array}$$

p values for background only

$$B_s^0 o \mu\mu: 0.11 (= 1.20\sigma)$$
  $B_d^0 o \mu\mu: 0.40 (= 0.27\sigma)$ 

CMS+LHCb combination

$$\mathcal{B}(B^0_s 
ightarrow \mu\mu) < 1.1 imes 10^{-8}$$

# Outlook

#### Luminosity increases



- More advanced analysis. Switch from 'Cut & Count' to MVA.
- Improvements within analysis

# Candidate



# Thank you for your attention