Search for $B_s \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^- \gamma$ with Photon Conversions at LHCb

Raphael van Laak, Lesya Shchutska, Yunxuan Song

EPFL

11.09.2024



Theoretical Motivation

- Flavor Changing Neutral Currents (FCNC) such as b→ sµ⁺µ⁻ are forbidden at tree level in the Standard Model (SM).
- Experimental data of the Branching Ratios (BR) of these decays show deviation from SM predictions. (See e.g. [2212.10497].)
- Measurements of these decays can lead to insights of beyond the SM physics.
- The channel $B_s \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^- \gamma$ adds a QED vertex, lowering the BR, but this is compensated for by the lifting of the chiral suppression.
- SM predictions of BR in ranges of $q^2 \equiv m(\mu^+\mu^-)^2$ [1708.02649]:
 - BR $(B_s \to \mu^+ \mu^- \gamma) = (8.3 \pm 1.3) \cdot 10^{-9}, \ q^2 \in [0.04, 8.64] \, \text{GeV}^2/c^4$
 - ► BR $(B_s \to \mu^+ \mu^- \gamma) = (8.9 \pm 1.0) \cdot 10^{-10}$, $q^2 \in [15.84, 28.27] \, \text{GeV}^2/c^4$



Search for $B_s \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^- \gamma$ with Photon Conversions

Previous Study

- A study dedicated to $B_s \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^- \gamma$ with the full final state being reconstructed has been performed at LHCb [2404.03375].
 - Run 2 data (2016–2018); $\mathcal{L} = 5.4 \, {\rm fb}^{-1}$, at $\sqrt{s} = 13 \, {\rm TeV}$.
 - Full range of $q^2 \in [4m_{\mu}^2, m_{B_s}^2]$.
 - Photons observed directly in calorimeter.
- No statistically significant signal was found, leading to limits at 95% C.L.

BR
$$(B_s \to \mu^+ \mu^- \gamma) < 2.8 \cdot 10^{-8}$$
 (1)



Credit: [2404.03375]

LHCb

LHCb is designed as a single arm forward spectrometer (2 < η < 5), to focus on D and B mesons.



LHCb during Run 1 and Run 2. Credit: The LHCb Collaboration [10.1088/1748-0221/3/08/S08005]

This Study: $B_s \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^- (\gamma \rightarrow e^+ e^-)$

- Instead of looking for photons directly in the calorimeter, we can also look for the channel through converted photons γ → e⁺e⁻ in VELO.
 - This provides us with a direction of photon momentum (and thus vertex reconstruction) at the cost of lower yield.
- We use the same Run 2 (2016–2018) dataset with $\mathcal{L} = 5.4 \, \mathrm{fb}^{-1}$, with long track electrons.



Photon Conversion in VELO

- There is no magnetic field in VELO.
- After photon conversion, electrons move in the same direction, but leave double energy deposit (encoded in VeloCharge).
- Using a single electron only is possible due to this unique signature.
- This unique signature also limits probability of misidentification of electrons.
- Therefore, we study the $B_s \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^- \gamma$ channel by looking for the signature $\mu^+ \mu^- e^{\pm}$.



11.09.2024

6/18

Selection

- Standard B-physics trigger selections for leptonic final states.
- Selecting $\mu^+\mu^-e^\pm$ candidates in reconstruction.
- Selection based on [2404.03375]:
 - ▶ μ : $p_{\rm T} > 250 \,{
 m MeV}/c$, $m(\mu\mu) \notin [2880, 3920] \,{
 m MeV}/c^2$, good track quality
 - ► B_s : $p_T > 500 \text{ MeV}/c$, $\Delta m \equiv |M(\mu\mu e) m_{B_s}| < 1500 \text{ MeV}/c^2$, good track quality.
- Remaining selection:
 - Muon ID probability > 0.2, $p_{\rm T}(e) > 500 \,{\rm MeV}/c$, ${\rm VeloCharge}(e) > 1.5$.



 $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Conceptually:} \\ \mbox{Median ADC} = 40 \Leftrightarrow \mbox{VeloCharge} = 1 \\ \mbox{Median ADC} = 60 \Leftrightarrow \mbox{VeloCharge} = 1.5 \end{array}$

Mass Correction: HOP Parameter

- Because we only reconstruct one electron, we are missing part of the momentum. The HOP parameter can correct for that.
- Take the decay $B \rightarrow Y_h X_e$, with X_e all the electrons, and Y_h the remaining particles:
 - Transverse component of the final state is zero $P_t = 0$, $t \equiv \text{transverse}$ to DoF(B).
 - When electrons are not observed, $\mathbf{P}(X_e)$ becomes smaller.
 - Therefore

$$\alpha_{\rm HOP} \equiv \frac{P_{\rm t}(Y_h)}{P_{\rm t}(X_e)} \neq 1.$$
(2)

▶ We can then correct for the missing electron energy $\mathbf{P}(X_e) \rightarrow \alpha_{\mathrm{HOP}} \mathbf{P}(X_e)$



11.09.2024

HOP Parameter: Distribution

- The HOP parameter increases the resolution of $M(\mu\mu e)$ in Monte Carlo (MC), and brings the average closer to $m_{B_s} = 5367 \text{ MeV}/c^2$.
- The distribution can be fitted with a Double-Sided Crystal Ball, which has a resolution of $\sigma \approx 150 \,\mathrm{MeV}/c^2$.



Classification BDT

- Classification BDT can be used to reduce combinatorial background (BG)
- Signal proxy: truth matched MC of the decay $B_s \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^- \gamma$ (full q^2 range).
- Background proxy: data with $M(\mu\mu e) > 5500 \text{ MeV}/c^2$ (cf. $m_{B_s} = 5366.77 \text{ MeV}/c^2$).
 - ▶ Bp_ENDVERTEX_CHI2: *B_s* vertex goodness of fit.



Branching Ratio Upper Limit: Calculation

• A branching ratio upper limit can be found by fitting toy data with an Exponential + signal shape of a Double-Sided Crystal Ball.



Branching Ratio Upper Limit: Result

- This gives us a number of expected events N_{exp} for a 95% C.L., from which we can find the expected BR upper limit.
- Total number of expected observed events

$$N_{\rm exp}(B_s \to \mu^+ \mu^- \gamma) = \mathcal{L}\sigma(pp \to B^{\pm}) \frac{f_s}{f_u} BR(B_s \to \mu^+ \mu^- \gamma)\epsilon, \tag{3}$$

with $\sigma(pp \rightarrow B^{\pm}) =$ 86.6 μb [1710.04921] and $f_s/f_u =$ 0.244 [2103.06810] and ϵ the efficiency.

• We can find an upper limit for the BR expected sensitivity

BR
$$(B_s \to \mu^+ \mu^- \gamma) < 1.15 \cdot 10^{-7}$$
 (4)

Conclusions

- Our sensitivity of $\mathcal{O}(10^{-7})$ is an order of magnitude worse than the results found by [2404.03375] ($\mathcal{O}(10^{-8})$).
 - Efficiency with which MC models photon conversion still needs to be validated so this number is still preliminary.
 - Including also upstream electrons could improve efficiency further.
- However, using the HOP correction appears to be a promising technique to study this channel and similar rare decays with just a single reconstructed electron.

Backup slides

Theoretical Motivation [Backup]

• The operators O_i that describe the dynamics of the $B_s \to \mu^+ \mu^- \gamma$ decay appear in the effective Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{eff}} = \frac{4G_{\text{F}}}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{2} (\lambda_{u}C - i\mathcal{O}_{i}^{u} + \lambda_{c}C - i\mathcal{O}_{i}^{c}) - \lambda - t \sum_{i=3}^{6} C_{i}\mathcal{O}_{i} - \lambda - t \sum_{i=7}^{10} (C_{i}\mathcal{O}_{i} + C_{i}^{\prime}\mathcal{O}_{i}^{\prime}) \right)$$
(5)

with $\lambda_i = V_{is}^* V_{ib}$ and C_i the Wilson coefficients giving the coupling strength of each operator.

• Diagrams contributing to $B_s \to \mu^+ \mu^- \gamma$ ($igodoldsymbol{\Theta} = \mathcal{O}_{9,10}^{(\prime)}, \ igodoldsymbol{\Theta} = \mathcal{O}_{1,2}^{(\prime)}$):



Search for $B_s \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^- \gamma$ with Photon Conversions

Classification BDT: Features [Backup]

- Signal proxy: truth matched MC of the decay $B_s \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^- \gamma$ (full q^2 range).
- Background proxy: data with $M(\mu\mu e) > 5500 \,\mathrm{MeV}/c^2$ (cf. $m_{B_s} = 5366.77 \,\mathrm{MeV}/c^2$).



Search for $B_s \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^- \gamma$ with Photon Conversions

11.09.2024

Classification BDT: Performance [Backup]

 \bullet Selecting only data where ${\rm BDT}>0.95,$ which maximizes the Punzi figure of merit

$$FoM(BDT_{cut}) = \frac{\epsilon_{sig}}{3/2 + \sqrt{N_{data}\epsilon_{BG}}}$$
(6)

with $\epsilon_{sig}(\epsilon_{BG})$ the efficiency of signal (background) for the cut $BDT > BDT_{cut}$ and N_{data} the number of events in data within the signal region.



Branching Ratio Upper Limit [Backup]

- $\bullet\,$ Fix also the double-sided CB yield and evaluate the likelihood ${\rm L}$ of the fit.
- Then we find the yield $N_{
 m sig}$, where

$$\int_0^{N_{\rm sig}} \operatorname{Ld(yield)} / \int_0^\infty \operatorname{Ld(yield)} = 0.95.$$
(7)

