

Annual Meeting of the Swiss Physical Society 2024, ETH Zürich

#### Radiative corrections and Monte Carlo tools for low-energy $e^+e^-$ experiments MCMULE's contributions to the Muon g-2 puzzle

Sophie Kollatzsch

Paul Scherrer Institut & University of Zürich

11 September 2024

Sophie Kollatzsch, 11.09.24 - p.1/13





#### the present situation in Muon g-2



<sup>[</sup>white paper 20] [BMW 20] [CMD-3 23]

) PSI 🕼 Universität

#### what is the problem with the theory prediction?



a) data driven (dispersion relation)  $\rightarrow$  does rely on other experiments

$$a_{\mu}^{\mathsf{HVP}} \sim \int \mathrm{d}s \, K(s) \, \sigma_{e^+e^- \to \, \mathsf{hadrons}}(s)$$

- K(s) known kernel function
- b) lattice QCD

- figure from [A. Denig]
- $\implies$  disagreement between the two methods! the problem is bigger than g-2 !

#### $e^+e^- ightarrow$ hadrons experiments at a few GeV

figure from [1511.04473]



 $R(s) = \frac{\sigma_{e^+e^- \to \text{hadrons}}(s)}{\sigma_{e^+e^- \to \mu^+\mu^-}(s)}$ 

experiments need to cover huge range of sa) scan experiments: vary s manually (eg. CMD)



b) radiative return experiments: vary *s* via photon emission (eg. KLOE)

Sophie Kollatzsch, 11.09.24 - p.4/13

measuring hadrons: how theorists can help

what we want

,

what we actually want







measuring hadrons: how theorists can help

what we want









what we need Monte Carlo codes !

 $\text{important processes}: \ e^+e^- \to e^+e^-(\gamma) \quad e^+e^- \to \mu^+\mu^-(\gamma) \quad e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-(\gamma) \\ \xrightarrow{\text{Sophie Kollatzsch, 11.09.24 - p.5/13}}$ 

## facing theory challenge: RadioMonteCarLow 2

ongoing community effort for better Monte Carlo codes at  $s \sim (\text{few GeV})^2$ 

- inspired by similar effort in 2009 [0912.0749]
- improve SM precision at low energy & provide input for g-2 puzzle

g-2 disclaimer: see [official talk at 7th g-2 Plenary Workshop]

- study the importance of different contributions in realistic-ish environments
- start of comparisons of codes in Phase I
- today MCMULE's contributions & lessons learned

#### radiomontecarlow2.gitlab.io

Members during Phase I:

PSI Universität

Riccardo Aliberti, Paolo Beltrame, Ettore Budassi, Carlo M. Carloni Calame, Gilberto Colangelo, Lorenzo Cotrozzi, Achim Denig, Anna Driutti, Tim Engel, Lois Flower, Andrea Gurgone, Martin Hoferichter, Fedor Ignatov, Sophie Kollatzsch, Bastian Kubis, Andrzej Kupsc, Fabian Lange, Alberto Lusiani, Guido Montagna, Stefan E. Müller, Oreste Nicrosini, Jérémy Paltrinieri, Pau Petit Rosàs, Fulvio Piccinini, Alan Price, Lorenzo Punzi, Marco Rocco, Kay Schönwald, Olga Shekhovtsova, Andrzej Siódmok, Adrian Signer, Giovanni Stagnitto, Peter Stoffer, Thomas Teubner, William J. Torres Bobadilla, Francesco P. Ucci, Yannick Ulrich, Graziano Venanzoni

Sophie Kollatzsch, 11.09.24 - p.6/13



Monte Carlo for Muons and other leptons

- integrator for fixed-order QED up to NNLO for  $ee \rightarrow XX$  and others such as  $\ell p \rightarrow \ell p$
- electroweak effects at NLO for selected processes
- builds upon methods developed for higher-order QCD calculations  $\rightarrow$  adapted to QED with massive fermions

MCMULE

highlight for  $e^+e^- \rightarrow hadrons$ 

PSI Diversität

- $e^+e^- \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-$  with  $\ell \in \{e,\mu\}$  at NNLO
- $e^+e^- \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-\gamma$  with  $\ell \in \{e,\mu\}$  at NLO



Monte Carlo for Muons and other leptons

- integrator for fixed-order QED up to NNLO for ee → XX and others such as ℓp → ℓp
- electroweak effects at NLO for selected processes
- builds upon methods developed for higher-order QCD calculations  $\rightarrow$  adapted to QED with massive fermions



highlight for  $e^+e^- \rightarrow hadrons$ 

PSI Universität

- $e^+e^- \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-$  with  $\ell \in \{e,\mu\}$  at NNLO
- $e^+e^- \to \ell^+\ell^-\gamma$  with  $\ell \in \{e,\mu\}$  at NLO

### **NNLO** calculations



#### challenges to overcome

- divergent phase space integration
- $\Rightarrow \mathsf{FKS}^{\ell}$  subtraction
  - numerical instabilities in phase space integration
- $\Rightarrow$  next-to-soft stabilisation
  - virtual amplitudes with  $m \neq 0$
- $\Rightarrow$  OpenLoops (one-loop) massification (two-loop)

#### fixed order vs. approx. higher orders I

 $e^+e^- 
ightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$  @ CMD-like  $(\sqrt{s}=700 {
m MeV})$  (more details radiomontecarlow2.gitlab.io/plots/CMD/mm)



up to 10 % NLO effects due to large logarithms!  $\rightarrow$  resummation via parton shower (PS), or NNLO?

Sophie Kollatzsch, 11.09.24 - p.9/13

Driversität

#### fixed order vs. approx. higher orders I

 $e^+e^- 
ightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$  @ CMD-like  $(\sqrt{s}=700 {
m MeV})$  (more details radiomontecarlow2.gitlab.io/plots/CMD/mm)



### fixed order vs. approx. higher orders I

 $e^+e^- 
ightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$  @ CMD-like  $(\sqrt{s}=700 {
m MeV})$  (more details radiomontecarlow2.gitlab.io/plots/CMD/mm)



 $\implies$  multiphoton effects ([NLO  $\oplus$  PS from BabaYaga@NLO]) needed but seems to be dominated by one extra photon

Sophie Kollatzsch, 11.09.24 - p.9/13

### fixed order vs. approx. higher orders I

 $e^+e^- 
ightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$  @ CMD-like  $(\sqrt{s}=700 {
m MeV})$  (more details radiomontecarlow2.gitlab.io/plots/CMD/mm)



 $\implies$  multiphoton effects ([NLO  $\oplus$  PS from BabaYaga@NLO]) needed but seems to be dominated by one extra photon

Sophie Kollatzsch, 11.09.24 - p.9/13

 $e^+e^- 
ightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$  @ CMD-like  $(\sqrt{s}=700{
m MeV})$  (more details radiomontecarlow2.gitlab.io/plots/CMD/mm)



- fixed order NLO (blue dashed line): BabaYaga@NLO = McMule
- McMule's NNLO ≈ BabaYaga@NLO's and KKMC's higher order effects
- higher order effects dominated by one extra emission

DSI DSI Zürich

#### accuracy requirements for $2 \rightarrow 3$

 $e^+e^- 
ightarrow \mu^+\mu^-\gamma$  @ KLOE-like ( $\sqrt{s} = 1 {
m GeV}$ ) (more details radiomontecarlow2.gitlab.io/plots/KLOE-LA/mm/)



NLO corrections large  $\implies$  we need NNLO for  $2 \rightarrow 3$  !



g-2 issues are involved

- exciting  $e^+e^- \rightarrow$  hadrons developments ahead!
- comparison of Monte Carlo codes & effects included ongoing

nie Hollatzsch, 11.09.24 – p.12/13

McMule goals for 2025+

- NNLO for  $ee 
  ightarrow \mu \mu \gamma$
- approximate higher orders
- NNLO initial state radiation for  $ee 
  ightarrow \pi \pi \gamma$





f.I.t.r.: F.Hagelstein (Mainz), A.Coutinho (IFIC), N.Schalch (Bern), L.Naterop (Zurich & PSI), S.Kollatzsch (Zurich & PSI), A.Signer (Zurich & PSI), M.Rocco (PSI), T.Engel (Freiburg), V.Sharkovska (Zurich & PSI), Y.Ulrich (Bern), A.Gurgone (Pavia) not pictured: P.Banerjee (IIT Guwahati), D.Moreno (PSI), D.Radic (Zurich & PSI), S.Gündogdu (Zurich & PSI)



# MCMULE mule-tools.gitlab.io

Monte Carlo for  ${\sf MU}{\sf ons}$  and other  ${\sf LEptons}\ ({\tt mule-tools.gitlab.io})$ 

PSI

d

- integrator (generator WIP) for fixed-order QED up to NNLO
- use QCD methods:  $FKS^{\ell}$  subtraction with massive fermions

$$\underbrace{\int d\Phi_{\gamma} \int d\Phi_{\gamma}}_{\text{ivergent and complicated}} = \underbrace{\int d\Phi_{\gamma} \left( \int \int d\Phi_{\gamma} - \int d\Phi_{\gamma} \right)_{\text{complicated but finite}} + \underbrace{\int d\Phi_{\gamma}}_{\text{divergent but easy}}$$

- challenge virtual amplitudes with  $m \neq 0 \implies$  massification
- challenge numerical instabilities  $\implies$  next-to-soft stabilisation



Monte Carlo for MUons and other LEptons (mule-tools.gitlab.io)

PSI

- integrator (generator WIP) for fixed-order QED up to NNLO
- use QCD methods:  $\mathsf{FKS}^\ell$  subtraction with massive fermions
- challenge virtual amplitudes with  $m \neq 0 \implies$  massification

$$\mathcal{A}(m) = \left(\prod_{j} \sqrt{Z(m)}\right) \times S \times \mathcal{A}(m=0) + \mathcal{O}(m)$$

• challenge numerical instabilities  $\implies$  next-to-soft stabilisation

### $\mathrm{MCMULE} \text{ in } 3 \text{min}$

Monte Carlo for MUons and other  $\ensuremath{\texttt{LEptons}}\xspace$  (mule-tools.gitlab.io)

- integrator (generator WIP) for fixed-order QED up to NNLO
- use QCD methods:  $\mathsf{FKS}^\ell$  subtraction with massive fermions
- challenge virtual amplitudes with  $m \neq 0 \implies$  massification
- challenge numerical instabilities  $\implies$  next-to-soft stabilisation

