

SM Higgs boson results from CMS





The primary target of the Higgs boson physics

Determination of the Higgs boson properties and their connection with ElectroWeak Symmetry Breaking





The primary target of the Higgs boson physics

'More profound questions could be asked'

Why is the electroweak interaction so much stronger than gravity?

- Are there new particles close to the mass of the Higgs boson?
- Is the Higgs boson elementary or made of other particles?
- Are there anomalies in the interactions of the Higgs boson with the *W* and *Z* bosons?

Why is there more matter than antimatter in the Universe?

- Are there charge-parity violating Higgs decays?
- Are there anomalies in the Higgs self-coupling that would imply a strong first-order early-Universe electroweak phase transition?
- Are there multiple Higgs sectors?

G. P. Salam, L. Wang, G. Zanderighi : Nature 607, 41-47 (2022)

Determination of the Higgs boson properties and their connection with ElectroWeak Symmetry Breaking



What is dark matter?

- Can the Higgs boson provide a portal to dark matter or a dark sector?
- Is the Higgs lifetime consistent with the Standard Model?
- Are there new decay modes of the Higgs boson?

What is the origin of the vast range of quark and lepton masses in the Standard Model?

- Are there modified interactions to the Higgs boson and known particles?
- Does the Higgs boson decay into pairs of quarks or leptons with distinct flavours (for example, $H \rightarrow \mu^+ \tau^-$)?

What is the origin of the early **Universe inflation?**

• Any imprint in cosmological observations?







The Higgs boson mass : "is not"vs."is"

boson mass is free input parameter of the theory

The Higgs boson mass measurement is not a test of the SM but the "m_H-dependent" SM observables:

- Higgs boson observables : couplings, branching ratios, width • Electroweak observables : mass of the W boson, mass of the top quark, effective weak
- mixing angle,

scales and ultimately with the vacuum stability

- The Higgs boson mass is not a prediction of the theory but the Higgs
- Higgs boson mass is an important¹⁾ ingredient in SM predictions of many

The Higgs boson mass value is connected to the Fermi and the Planck



m_H and effects on EWK observables

 $-c_8 \,\mathrm{dH} \,\mathrm{dt} + c_9 \,\mathrm{dh} \,\mathrm{dt} - c_{10} \,\mathrm{d\alpha}_8 + c_{11} \,\mathrm{dZ},$

Significant one loop corrections growing like the logarithm of m_H.



Approximated parametrisation for the mass of W boson (m_W) : $M_{\rm W} = M_{\rm W}^0 - c_1 \,\mathrm{dH} - c_2 \,\mathrm{dH}^2 + c_3 \,\mathrm{dH}^4 + c_4 (\mathrm{dh} - 1) - c_5 \,\mathrm{d\alpha} + c_6 \,\mathrm{dt} - c_7 \,\mathrm{dt}^2$





m_H and effects on EWK observables

 $-c_8 \,\mathrm{dH} \,\mathrm{dt} + c_9 \,\mathrm{dh} \,\mathrm{dt} - c_{10} \,\mathrm{d\alpha}_8 + c_{11} \,\mathrm{dZ},$

Significant one loop corrections growing like the logarithm of m_H.



Approximated parametrisation for the mass of W boson (m_W) : $M_{\rm W} = M_{\rm W}^0 - c_1 \,\mathrm{dH} - c_2 \,\mathrm{dH}^2 + c_3 \,\mathrm{dH}^4 + c_4 (\mathrm{dh} - 1) - c_5 \,\mathrm{d\alpha} + c_6 \,\mathrm{dt} - c_7 \,\mathrm{dt}^2$





The Higgs boson mass measurement PLB 805 (2020) 135425 The concept oversimplified

"Mass Peaks"

using high resolution channels $(4\ell + \gamma \gamma)$









The Higgs boson mass measurement PLB 805 (2020) 135425 The concept oversimplified

"Mass Peaks"







The Higgs boson mass measurement

4*l* Run2 results, **best single channel** measurement at LHC !



Expecting to go below 30 MeV at HL-LHC

Improvements due to: x20 more luminosity, the new tracker with less material, the stability of the HGCal, the improvements to the barrel calorimeters, and the pileup suppression provided by the new MTD.





Precision 120 MeV (0.09%)

Precision fully driven by statistics.



Higgs boson width



The direct measurements it is extremely hard! In addition, the total width is the sum of all the partial widths, on the contrary of LEP, at LHC only $\sigma x BR$ can be measured.

A crucial parameter for BSM searches, in SM $c\tau_{\rm H} = 48$ fm, small width $\Gamma_{\rm H} = 4.1$ MeV

We have long experience with heavy EW bosons (W and Z). However, their width is $\Gamma_H \sim 2 \text{ GeV}$!







From off-shell production



l scans of $\Gamma_{\rm H}$. Left plot: Results of d) and expected (dashed) li LHCDays 2024 - Split - Roberto Salerno - 11

Idea

'ndirect measurement

t-Wigner scheme is escribe the inclusive Higgs boson production

. but ...

strict to VV decay channel shell contribution above high Higgs virtuality), it propagators compensate on is enhanced.



Higgs boson width measurement

Off-shell



Measured Higgs boson width of **[H=3.0**^{+2.0}/_{-1.7} MeV

arXiv:2409.13663 submitted to PRD

On-shell











Higgs boson couplings

Many signal strength modifiers¹⁾ measured



decay channels

1) μ scale cross sections and branching fractions relative to the SM

Nature 607 (2022) 60







Higgs boson couplings

Many signal strength modifiers¹⁾ measured **5 main** production channels and **5 main** decay channels are observed



1) μ scale cross sections and branching fractions relative to the SM



decay channels



Higgs boson couplings vs. mass

Remarkable agreement with the predictions of the BEH mechanism over 3 orders of magnitude of mass!

~5% precision on k_V Observation (>5 σ) of coupling with 3rd gen. Evidence (>3 σ) of coupling with 2nd gen.

Coupling modifier k_j : parameterisation of inclusive production and decay rates e.g. $k_j^2 = \sigma / \sigma_{SM}$

Nature 607 (2022) 60











Higgs boson couplings vs. mass

Remarkable agreement with the predictions of the BEH mechanism over 3 orders of magnitude of mass!

~5% precision on k_V Observation (>5 σ) of coupling with 3rd gen. Evidence (>3 σ) of coupling with 2nd gen.

...and Higgs-charm coupling ?

Coupling modifier k_j : parameterisation of inclusive production and decay rates e.g. $k_j^2 = \sigma / \sigma_{SM}$

Nature 607 (2022) 60











H→cc decay

The main channel to probe Higgs- charm coupling (BR in SM: 2.8%) VH(cc): great improvements in the last few years

- Tag leptonically decaying W/Z boson
- Combine both resolved and boosted analyses
- Novel deep-learning (Graph Neural Network based) for charm tagging



PRL 131 (2023) 041801





H→cc decay

The main channel to probe Higgs coupling to c quarks (BR in SM: 2.8%) **Probe y_c in the production side with associated production**



Theoretical uncertainties on cH signal	38%
Theoretical uncertainties on resonant background	59%
Experimental uncertainties on yields	27%
Experimental uncertainties on mass shapes	negligible
Luminosity uncertainties	negligible

 $|k_c| < 38.8 (|k_c| < 72.5)$







Couplings from Higgs pt Combination

Combining 5 different analyses, differential measurements are obtained in finer bins, and with less model-dependence.

or also the branching ratios (stronger)







CMS-PAS-HIG-23-013

Interpreted in terms of b- and c-quark couplings considering only the p_T shape (weaker)

assuming a coupling dependence of the BRs







EFT interpretation

Assume that the New Physics that could be observed via a **new resonance** that will not be in the kinematical reach of LHC ($E > E_{LHC}$) Low-energy effects of New Physics can modify the interactions of the Higgs bosons via modifications of the SM processes

New Physics is parametrised via additional effective couplings

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{SMEFT}} = \mathcal{L}_{\text{SM}} + \sum_{d,j} \frac{c_j^{(d)}}{\Lambda^{d-4}} \mathcal{Q}_j^{(d)}$$









EFT interpretation from Higgs pt

2D constraints for pairs of CP-even and CP-odd operators coupling

Operator	Wilson coefficient	Example process	(TeV
$H^{\dagger}HG^{a}_{\mu u}G^{a\mu u}$	c_{HG}	$g \rightarrow \cdots H$	95% CL)
$H^{\dagger}H ilde{G}^{a}_{\mu u}G^{a\mu u}$	$ ilde{c}_{HG}$	g	∕ c _i / ((
$H^{\dagger}HB_{\mu u}B^{\mu u}$	c_{HB}	$q \xrightarrow{Z } q \xrightarrow{Z } q \xrightarrow{Z } q$	" <
$H^{\dagger}H ilde{B}_{\mu u}B^{\mu u}$	$ ilde{c}_{HB}$	$q \xrightarrow{Z \leq} q \qquad H \qquad \searrow Z$	1
$H^{\dagger}HW^{i}_{\mu u}W^{i\mu u}$	c_{HW}	$\begin{array}{c} q \qquad \qquad$	
$H^{\dagger}H ilde{W}^{i}_{\mu u}W^{i\mu u}$	$ ilde{c}_{HW}$	$q \xrightarrow{W \leq} q \xrightarrow{H} \qquad \qquad$	1(
$H^{\dagger}\sigma^{i}HW^{i}_{\mu u}B^{i\mu u}$	c_{HWB}	$\begin{array}{c} q \longrightarrow q \\ \gamma \searrow \\ - \bullet \\ H \\ \end{array}$	
$H^{\dagger}\sigma^{i}H ilde{W}^{i}_{\mu u}B^{i\mu u}$	$ ilde{c}_{HWB}$	$q \xrightarrow{Z \leq} q \xrightarrow{H} \leq_Z$	10

Operators and relative Wilson coefficients that provided larger constrains



General EFT interpretation

It requires simultaneous constraints from a global set of measurements. $H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$, tt, ttX, WW, Wy, Z \rightarrow \nu \nu, and inclusive jet production are used. In addition the electroweak precision observables (EWPO) at LEP and SLC are also included

Individual constraints on 64 WCs and constraints on 42 linear combinations of WCs, are obtained.









CMS Run 3 results

The new frontier

Inclusive and differential Higgs boson cross sections at $\sqrt{s} = 13.6$ TeV

Alessandro Tarabini on behalf of the CMS collaboration (ETH Zürich, IPA)





We have them, they are great ... but ... you will see later this week

2024 LHC Days 30/09/2024



Projections of H couplings

We have collected **10%** and have analyzed only 5% of the expected final LHC + HL-LHC integrated luminosity, yet we have already achieved magnificent results







Projections of H couplings



We have collected **10%** and have analyzed only 5% of the expected final LHC + HL-LHC integrated luminosity, yet we have already achieved magnificent results



hopes & wishes



Many beyond SM scenarios predict only %-level deviations from SM !

 \rightarrow Harsher experimental conditions require upgrades of our detectors









Looking ahead

by the use of deep learning algorithm more accurate, enabling improvemen **CMS** Simulation Preliminary c jet rejection tī events, $p_T > 20 \text{ GeV}$, $|\eta| < 2.4$, $\epsilon_b = 70\%$ c jet rejection 100 udsg jet rejection Run 2 Run 1 80 x29 x19 60 x6.1 x3.3 40 x1.0 x5.0 x3.2 20 x1.8 x1.0 CSVv1 CSVv2 DeepCSV DeepJet **PNET** Used in shown analyses

Since Run 1, there have been significant improvements on all fronts, some further enhanced ast projections.



F. Gianotti's talk at ICHEP 2022





Closing remarks

We face a period of unprecedented possibilities in particle physics.

With the Higgs boson discovery new conceptual questions are defined. A fundamental scalar? A self-interacting particle? ...

Additionally other **major discoveries** have occurred at the LHC so far:

- The establishment of three as the total number of fermion families.
- The observation of the Higgs boson Yukawa coupling with the 3rd family of fermions
- The non-observation of SUSY (e.g a model that could solve Hierarchy, Unification, and \bullet Dark Matter problems in one go)

The future will be all profoundly interesting, whether or not the results will be in agreement with SM predictions and Higgs boson physics will provide a reach set of results



