Latest Results from Alpha Magnetic Spectrometer (AMS)

#### on the International Space Station (ISS)

AMS

Oct. 4, 2024

LHC Days in Split

Weiwei Xu / SDU, SDIAT

#### **AMS on the Space Station**

Provides precision, long-duration measurements of charged cosmic rays to study the Origin of the Cosmos, the physics of Dark Matter and Antimatter

Charged cosmic rays have mass. They are absorbed by the 100 km of Earth's atmosphere (10m of water). The properties  $(\pm Z, P)$  of charged cosmic rays cannot be studied on the ground.



To measure cosmic ray charge and momentum requires a magnetic spectrometer in space



#### Alpha Magnetic Spectrometer experiment (AMS) on the Space Station



#### AMS is a space version of a precision detector used in accelerators

#### Transition Radiation Detector (TRD) identify e<sup>+</sup>, e<sup>-</sup>



Silicon Tracker measure Z, P



Ring Imaging Cerenkov (RICH) measure Z, E





Upper TOF measure Z, E



Magnet identify  $\pm Z$ , P



Anticoincidence Counters (ACC) reject particles from the side



#### The AMS detectors provide independent information on cosmic rays





With high accuracy, AMS measures Momentum (P, GeV/c) Charge (Z) Rigidity (R=P/Z, GV) Energy (E, GeV/A) Flux (signals/(s sr m<sup>2</sup> GeV)) for all the charged cosmic rays, e+, e-, p, and p, and the nuclei in the Periodic Table

Ρ

Fe

He

γ

Ο

 $e^+$ 

#### AMS 2011-2026

#### **Continuous data-taking**



#### AMS 2026-2030+

#### New 4+4m<sup>2</sup> Silicon Tracker Planes Acceptance increased to 300%





#### Latest Results on cosmic elementary particles: e+, e-, p, and p



#### AMS positron flux measurement Low-energy positrons come from cosmic ray collisions High-energy positrons must come from a new source



The positron flux is the sum of low-energy part from cosmic ray collisions plus a high-energy part from pulsars or dark matter with a cutoff energy



#### **Positron spectrum to 2030**



By 2030, AMS will ensure that the high energy positron spectrum drops off quickly in the 0.2-2 TeV region and the highest energy positrons only come from cosmic ray collisions as predicted for dark matter collisions 11

#### **AMS Result on the electron spectrum**

The spectrum fits well with two power laws (*a*, *b*) and a source term like positrons



#### **Cosmic Antiprotons**



# **Cosmic Antiprotons and Positrons**

Above 60 GeV, the  $\overline{p}$  and  $e^+$  fluxes have identical rigidity dependence





#### Latest AMS Results on Cosmic Ray Nuclei



Primary cosmic rays p, He, C, O, ..., Si, ..., Fe are produced during the lifetime of stars and accelerated by supernovae.
They propagate through interstellar medium before they reach AMS.

Secondary Li, Be, B, and F nuclei in cosmic rays are produced by the collision of primary cosmic rays C, O, Ne, Mg, Si, ..., Fe with the interstellar medium.

### Primary cosmic rays have two classes Light elements He-C-O and Heavier elements Ne-Mg-Si each have their own rigidity dependence



# Secondary cosmic rays have two classes of rigidity dependence Li-Be-B and F



## Light Nuclei 2 ≤ Z ≤ 8 He-C-O primaries compared with Li-Be-B secondaries

#### Heavier Nuclei $9 \le Z \le 14$ Ne-Mg-Si primaries compared with F secondaries



#### Light and heavy nuclei each have two distinct classes

#### **Abundance of elements in the Solar System**



**O, Si, and Fe are characteristic primary cosmic rays** Li, Be, B, F, and Sc are characteristic secondary cosmic rays

#### **Further Surprising Results:**

Before AMS, taking into account the long-standing idea that C is pure primary and B is pure secondary, the (B/C) ratio has been used in models to describe cosmic ray propagation



The spectrum of carbon  $\Phi_c$  is the composition of a primary flux  $\Phi_c(P)$  identical to  $0.83x\Phi_o$  oxygen and a secondary flux  $\Phi_c(S)$  identical to  $0.70x\Phi_B$  boron



But C is NOT pure primary. Question: how to use (B/C) in cosmic ray models?

### **Even-Z nuclei and Odd-Z nuclei have** distinctly different primary and secondary composition



**Even-Z nuclei are dominated by primaries** 



Odd-Z nuclei have more secondaries than even-Z



#### **Primary and Secondary Composition of Cosmic Rays**









**Current AMS Cosmic Ray Data** 



By 2030 AMS will provide complete and accurate spectra for the 28 elements and will provide the foundation for a comprehensive theory of cosmic rays.

# **Origin of Cosmic Deuterons** (He, C, O, ...) + Interstellar Medium $\rightarrow$ (D, <sup>3</sup>He) + X **Secondaries Primaries** Helium Carbor Supernova explosion Oxygen

#### **D** and <sup>3</sup>He are both considered to be secondary cosmic rays

A. W. Strong, I. V. Moskalenko, and V. S. Ptuskin, Annu. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 57, 285 (2007) E. G. Adelberger et al., Rev. Mod. Phys. 83, 195 (2011) N. Tomassetti, Astroph. Space Sci. 342, 131 (2012)
B. Coste, L. Derome, D. Maurin, and A. Putze, A&A 539, A88 (2012) P. Blasi, Astron. Astrophys. Rev. 21, 70 (2013)
I. A. Grenier, J. H. Black and A. W. Strong, Annu. Rev. Astron. Astrophys. 53, 199 (2015) G. Johannesson et al., Astroph. J. 824, 16 (2016)

#### AMS Helium Isotopes: consistent with secondary <sup>3</sup>He



#### **AMS result on Deuterons**



**Deuterons have a significant primary component** From 5 to 20 GV, the precision deuteron flux  $\Phi_{D}$  is a composition of a primary part  $\Phi_{D}^{P}$  identical to the <sup>4</sup>He flux  $\Phi_{He}$  and a secondary part  $\Phi_{D}^{S}$ , identical to the 3He flux  $\Phi_{He}$ 



#### **AMS Results on Antimatter**

The Big Bang origin of the Universe requires matter and antimatter to be equally abundant at the very hot beginning Universe Universe

Before AMS, heavy Anti-matter has never been found in space

#### An Anti-Deuteron Candidate from ~100 million deuterons and ~10 billion protons Bending Plane



31

## **Anti-<sup>4</sup>Helium Candidate**



#### **AMS Matter and Antimatter Results**



By 2030, AMS will have additional measurement points in the study of antimatter: anti-deuterons, anti-helium, anti-carbon, and anti-oxygen.

# AMS Publications in *Physical Review Letters* 1) Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>110</u>, 141102 (2013) Editor

2)	Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>113</u> , 121101 (2014)	<b>Editors'</b>
3)	Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>113</u> , 121102 (2014)	<b>Editors'</b>
4)	Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>113</u> , 221102 (2014)	
5)	Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>114</u> , 171103 (2015)	<b>Editors'</b>
6)	Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>115</u> , 211101 (2015)	<b>Editors'</b>
7)	Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>117</u> , 091103 (2016)	
8)	Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>117</u> , 231102 (2016)	<b>Editors'</b>
9)	Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>119</u> , 251101 (2017)	
10)	Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>120</u> , 021101 (2018)	<b>Editors'</b>
11)	Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>121</u> , 051101 (2018)	
12)	Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>121</u> , 051102 (2018)	<b>Editors'</b>
13)	Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>121</u> , 051103 (2018)	
14)	Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>122</u> , 041102 (2019)	<b>Editor's</b>
15)	Phys. Rev. Lett, <u>122</u> , 101101 (2019)	
16)	Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>123</u> , 181102 (2019)	<b>Editors'</b>
17)	Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>124</u> , 211102 (2020)	<b>Editors'</b>
18)	Physics Reports <u>894</u> , 1 (2021)	
19)	Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>126</u> , 041104 (2021)	
20)	Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>126</u> , 081102 (2021)	<b>Editors'</b>
21)	Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>127</u> , 021101 (2021)	
22)	Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>127</u> , 271102 (2021)	
23)	Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>128</u> , 231102 (2022)	
24)	Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>130</u> , 161001 (2023)	<b>Editors'</b>
25)	Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>130</u> , 211002 (2023)	
26)	Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>131</u> , 151002 (2023)	
27)	Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>132</u> , 261001 (2024)	<b>Editors'</b>
28)	"Cosmic Antiprotons", submitted to Phys. Rev.	Lett.
-		

#### 7544 citations as of Oct. 3, 2024

Editors' Suggestion.	Viewpoint in <i>Physics</i> . Highlight of 2013. Ten-Year Editors' Suggestion retrospective
Editors' Suggestion Editors' Suggestion.	Featured in <i>Physics</i> .
Editors' Suggestion Editors' Suggestion	
Editors' Suggestion	
Editors' Suggestion.	Featured in <i>Physics</i> .
Editors' Suggestion	
Editor's Suggestion	
Editors' Suggestion Editors' Suggestion.	Featured in <i>Physics</i> .
Editors' Suggestion	Featured in <i>Physics</i> .
Editors' Suggestion.	Viewpoint in <i>Physics</i> . APS Press Announcement Featured on <i>Phys.org</i>
Editors' Suggestion.	Featured in <i>Physics</i> .

"Temporal Variation of Cosmic Nuclei", to be submitted to Phys. Rev. Lett. 29)



In the past hundred years, measurements of charged cosmic rays by balloons and satellites have typically had ~(30-50)% accuracy.

AMS is providing cosmic ray information with ~1% accuracy. The improvement in accuracy and energy range is providing new insights.

AMS results contradict current cosmic ray theories and require the development of a new understanding of the universe.

#### Measurement of Isotopes: Cosmic rays with same Z, different m





AMS results (~1% accuracy to multi-TeV) contradict current cosmic ray theories and require the development of a new understanding of the universe.





#### AMS Studies of the cosmic ray propagation in solar system



AMS continuously measures cosmic ray fluxes of different species (matter and antimatter), with high precision and time granularity.



AMS Elementary Particles (e+, e-, p, p, ...) in the Heliosphere over an 11-year Solar Cycle (2011-2022)



## **Relation between charge and mass**



#### **Current AMS Anti-Deuteron Results**

