ML4Jets 2024 Nov 6

1 <https://arxiv.org/abs/2408.09343>

Large-Scale Pretraining and Finetuning for Efficient Jet Classification *Zihan Zhao*, Farouk Mokhtar, Raghav Kansal, Billy Li, Javier Duarte

Intro to SSL strategies

To learn useful features from the data itself without using labels

As opposed to supervised learning, which is limited by the availability of labeled data, self-supervised approaches can learn from vast unlabeled data (2304.12210)

2108.04253

2401.13537 2 https://indico.cern.ch/event/1386125/contributions/6083379/

Necessity of SSL in LHC Physics

- Simulations don't model the data perfectly: need a way to directly train on data
- It will be even harder and more computationally expensive to produce high-quality simulations for High Luminosity LHC (1803.04165)

First Goal of the Project

• To show that we can leverage SSL to learn powerful, generic, and transferable

features directly from vast unlabeled data.

Current workflow using only Supervised Learning

Workflow incorporating SSL

Toward Foundation Model

Towards Foundation Model in HEP

Contrastive Learning: Symmetry Augmentation

Dillon, Kasieczka, Olischlager Plehn, Sorrenson, Vogel, 2108.04253

Masked Particle Type Prediction

Kishimoto, Morinaga, Saito Tanaka, 2312.06909

Masked Particle Modeling

Contrastive Learning: Re-Simulation

Harris, MK, Krupa, Maier, Woodward, 2403.07066

Supervised Pre-training and Joint Optimization

Supervised Classification and Generation

Vigl, Hartman, Heinrich, 2401.13536

Mikuni, Nachman 2404.16091

Credit: This slide is copied from [Michael Kagan's talk](https://indico.cern.ch/event/1459124/contributions/6150087/) in the FM Mini Workshop in October 2024

Next Token Predictoin

Birk, Hallin, Kasieczka, 2403.05618

Katel, Li, Zhao, et al. <https://indico.cern.ch/event/1386125/contributions/6083379/>

J-JEPA

https://indico.cern.ch/event/1386125/contributions/6139666/

Large-Scale Fine-Grained Classification

Li, Li, et al. 2405.12972

Towards Foundation Model in HEP

Contrastive Learning: Symmetry Augmentation

Dillon, Kasieczka, Olischlager Plehn, Sorrenson, Vogel, 2108.04253

Masked Particle Type Prediction

Kishimoto, Morinaga, Saito Tanaka, 2312.06909

Contrastive Learning: Re-Simulation

Harris, MK, Krupa, Maier, Woodward, 2403.07066

Supervised Pre-trai and Joint Optimization

Credit: This slide is copied from [Michael Kagan's talk](https://indico.cern.ch/event/1459124/contributions/6150087/) in the FM Mini Workshop in October 2024

Vigl, Hartman, Heinrich, 2401.13536

Mikuni, Nachman 2404.16091

https://indico.cern.ch/event/1386125/contributions/6139666/

Primary Goal of the Project

• Focus on studying the effect of **scaling up** the sizes of pretraining datasets on the

performance of foundation model.

Outline

- Toward Foundation Model in HEP
- Goals of the Project
- Intro to JetCLR
- Transfer Learning: from JetClass to Top Tagging
- Scaling up pretraining dataset size
- Some technical details
	- Classification head for finetuning: MLP vs Linear Projection
	- Techniques to speed up training
- Ongoing and Future work

Intro to JetCLR

$$
\mathcal{L}_i = -\log \frac{e^{s(z_i, z'_i)/\tau}}{\sum_{j \neq i \in \text{batch}} \left[e^{s(z_i, z_j)/\tau} + e^{s(z_i, z'_j)/\tau} \right]}
$$

[2108.04253](https://arxiv.org/abs/2108.04253)

Augmentations

- Started with a simple Transformer encoder
-

Transformer Encoder **Particle Transformer**

• Working on switching to more advanced architectures such as Particle Transformer

Model Architecture for encoder

1706.03762 2202.03772

Datasets

JetClass for unlabeled pretraining, Top Tagging for labeled finetuning

Top Tagging Dataset 2202.03772 1902.09914

Metrics

Rejection: inverse of background rejection (FPR) at 50% signal efficiency (TPR) Accuracy: correctly predicted / total number of samples

background reiection

Significance: In a background dominant dataset, how much background you can reject while letting in a certain fraction of signal samples (the more the better)

 1.0

• The averages and standard deviations over 5 trainings are shown in solid lines and

uncertainty bands, respectively

Pretraining on JetClass and fine-tuning on Top Tagging

The pre-trained model requires significantly fewer samples to achieve high accuracy and rejection rate: higher data efficiency

The pre-trained model converges much faster: higher computational efficiency

Pretraining on JetClass and fine-tuning on Top Tagging

• The averages and standard deviations over 5 trainings are shown in solid lines and

uncertainty bands, respectively

•

Scaling up pretraining dataset size By scaling up the pretraining dataset, the model demonstrated enhanced performance and faster convergence: both data and computational efficiency improve as we use larger datasets for pretraining

Rejection: inverse of background rejection at 50% signal efficiency

Classification head for finetuning: MLP vs Linear Projection

Using an MLP with activation helps converge faster, but no significant improvement in performance

Techniques to speed up training Steps we took to ensure the model finished pretraining within a reasonable amount of time

- Removed unnecessary CPU-GPU synchronizations, especially read-out from GPU for recording losses
- Modified the default model dimensions to be multiples of 8 to make use of CUDA matrix multiplication kernels more efficiently
- Fused point-wise operations into a single CUDA kernel when computing the contrastive loss.
- Utilized the Automatic Mixed Precision (AMP) package
	- Measures to mitigate the numerical instability caused by using AMP in backup.

Conclusion

• **Enhanced data efficiency—**requiring fewer labeled training samples to achieve

• **Greater computational efficiency—**enabling the model to converge significantly

- Through large-scale pretraining followed by finetuning, our SSL approach has demonstrated
	- superior performance compared to the fully supervised approach.
	- faster than its fully supervised counterpart.
	- **• Both efficiencies increase as the pretraining dataset size increases.**
- understanding of the potential of SSL for scientific discovery.

• This paves the way for the use of unlabeled data in HEP and contributes to a better

Ongoing and Future work

• Ongoing work

 \bullet …

• Study the effectiveness of more advanced architectures like the ParticleTransformer as the backbone

- encoder
- Pretrain on JetClass v2, an even larger dataset
- Evaluate on different SSL strategies beyond JetCLR
- Explore other physically motivated augmentations
	- Pairing the two jets from dijet events
	- Using two subjets clustered with smaller radii
	- Using tracks and clusters as two views of the same jet

• This work is supported by the National Science Foundation under award number 2117997 (A3D3 Institute), Research Corporation For Science Advancement, the

Support Thank you for listening!

- Alfred P. Sloan Foundation, and the U.S. Department of Energy
- This work was performed using the National Research Platform Nautilus HyperCluster supported by NSF

Back Up

Proton beams

Collision event

Collision point

 \ddotsc \ddotsc

Outgoing particles: tracks www.www.www.com electromagnetic energy. hadron energy

LHC and Jet Tagging

Measures to mitigate the numerical instability caused by using AMP

- Monitor loss and gradient values regularly with tensorboard
- Gradient clipping with a maximum norm of 0.1
- Set the ϵ parameter to 10^(−4) in the Adam optimizer.
- Manually run certain parts of the code in full precision

Pretraining on JetClass and fine-tuning on Top Tagging

26

The pre-trained model shows a much clearer separation between signal and background

Trained from scratch and the set of the Pre-trained

The pre-trained model shows a much clearer separation between signal and background

Trained from scratch

Pre-trained

Pretraining on JetClass and fine-tuning on Top Tagging

Despite limited data, the pre-trained model achieves higher accuracy and converges faster Pretraining on JetClass and fine-tuning on Top Tagging

- A linear layer was added to the encoder for fine-tuning.
- Blue curve was pre-trained on 1% of the JetClass dataset (1 Million jets) with SimCLR
- Red curve was trained from scratch
- Both models share the same hyperparameters
- Both models are trained with 100k jets (1/12 of the Top Tagging Dataset)

Accuracies of two trials trained with 1000 labeled samples

The CMS detector coordinate system

 $\eta \equiv -\ln \left| \tan \left(\frac{\theta}{2} \right) \right|$

https://tikz.net/axis3d_cms/

Details of the Top Tagging Dataset

$$
p_{T,j}=55
$$

For the signal only, we further require a matched parton-level top to be within $\Delta R = 0.8$, and all top decay partons to be within $\Delta R = 0.8$ of the jet axis as well. No matching is performed for the QCD jets. We also require the jet to have $|\eta_j| < 2$. The constituents are extracted through the Delphes energy-flow algorithm, and the 4-momenta of the leading 200 constituents are stored. For jets with less than 200 constituents we simply add zero-vectors.

The top signal and mixed quark-gluon background jets are produced with using Pythia8 [25] with its default tune for a center-of-mass energy of 14 TeV and ignoring multiple interactions and pile-up. For a simplified detector simulation we use Delphes [26] with the default ATLAS detector card. This accounts for the curved trajectory of the charged particles, assuming a magnetic field of $2T$ and a radius of 1.15 m as well as how the tracking efficiency and momentum smearing changes with η . The fat jet is then defined through the anti- k_T algorithm [27] in Fast Jet [28] with $R = 0.8$. We only consider the leading jet in each event and require

> $p_{T,j}=550\, \dots\, 650\,\,{\rm GeV}$. (1)

Details of the JetClass Dataset

Simulation setup. Jets in this dataset are simulated with standard Monte Carlo event generators used by LHC experiments. The production and decay of the top quarks and the W , Z and Higgs bosons are generated with MAD-GRAPH5_aMC@NLO (Alwall et al., 2014). We use PYTHIA (Sjöstrand et al., 2015) to evolve the produced particles, i.e., performing parton showering and hadronization, and produce the final outgoing particles¹. To be close to realistic jets reconstructed at the ATLAS or CMS experiment, detector effects are simulated with DELPHES (de Favereau et al., 2014) using the CMS detector configuration provided in DELPHES. In addition, the impact parameters of electrically charged particles are smeared to match the resolution of the CMS tracking detector (CMS Collaboration, 2014). Jets are clustered from DELPHES E-Flow objects with the anti k_T algorithm (Cacciari et al., 2008; 2012) using a distance parameter $R = 0.8$. Only jets with transverse momentum in 500–1000 GeV and pseudorapidity $|\eta| < 2$ are considered. For signal jets, only the "high-quality" ones that fully contain the decay products of initial particles are included².

2202.03772

Are the features correlated? Training on Top Tagging

