Jet energy scale and resolution of jets with ParticleNet $p_{\rm T}$ regression using data collected by the CMS experiment for partial Run 3

Matteo Malucchi

on behalf of the CMS collaboration

ETH zürich

ML4Jets

07/11/2024



Jet $p_{\rm T}$ Regression in CMS

ETH zürich



Jet \mathbf{p}_{T} **regression** aims at estimating the truth-level jet p_{T} while at the same time improving the p_{T} resolution



DNN-based b-jet energy regression used in multiple H→bb analyses in Run 2 (e.g. HH4b Phys. Rev. Lett. 129, 081802, VHbb Phys. Rev. D 109, 092011)

Phys. Rev. D 101, 056019

ParticleNet (**PNet**), a Graph Neural Network that performs jet-tagging and flavour aware jet $p_{\rm T}$ regression and jet energy resolution estimation

arXiv:2202.03772

UParT, a Particle Transformer model performing similar tasks to PNet (see <u>Uttiva's talk</u> for more details)



Jet Reconstruction in CMS





<u> IINST 12 P10003</u>

- The following results are shown for jets composed of **Particle Flow** (PF) candidates reconstructed by combining various sub-detectors information
- The jets are clustered using the **anti**- $k_{\rm T}$ algorithm with R = 0.4



<u>JINST 15 P09018</u>

- The **Pileup Per Particle Identification** (PUPPI) algorithm is applied to **mitigate the pileup** (PU)
 - Instead of removing charged particles originating from PU as the Charged Hadron Subtraction (CHS) algorithm, PUPPI derives per-particle weights used to scale the 4-momenta before clustering



Matteo Malucchi

PNet p_{T} regression calibration - ML4Jets

ParticleNet Architecture







PNet $p_{\rm T}$ regression calibration - ML4Jets

ParticleNet Tasks



PartilceNet performs multiple tasks:

- Jet flavour classification
 - Identification of b, c, uds, g, τ_h , e, μ

• Jet energy regression

- Correct the **raw jet** $p_{\rm T}$ to the **truth-level jet** $p_{\rm T}$
- Prediction of the ratio between the truth-level jet p_{T} and the raw jet p_{T}
- Factorized contribution to account for neutrinos
- Jet energy resolution
 - Estimate the 84% and 16% quantiles of the jet resolution distribution

Jet Energy Corrections

- ETH zürich
- Jet Energy Scale calibration is presented, based on data collected in pp collisions at \sqrt{s} = 13.6 TeV by the CMS experiment in 2022 and 2023
- Jets are calibrated sequentially with what are referred to as **Jet Energy Corrections (JEC)**:
 - Detector response correction from simulation (Response Correction)
 - Residual correction for differences between data and detector simulation (**Relative and Absolute Residual Corrections**)
- JEC for PNet p_{T} regression:
 - Dedicated Response Correction has been derived
 - \circ Performance of the existing Residual Corrections have been assessed
 - Final efforts for the complete calibration of the PNet $p_{\rm T}$ regression are in progress, to potentially make this new baseline in CMS for Run 3



Response Correction - Experimental Techniques



- The correction in simulation is derived by matching reconstructed and particle-level jets by requiring $\Delta R = \sqrt{\Delta \eta^2 + \Delta \varphi^2} < 0.2$
- Two different definitions of generator-level jets are used:
 - Particle-level jets are clustered from visible particles, referred to as particle (ptcl) jets. These jets are used as default when comparing to reconstructed (reco) jets
 - When comparing the $p_{\rm T}$ of the reconstructed jets after applying the PNet $p_{\rm T}$ regression that account for the presence of neutrinos, the particle-level jets include also **generator-level neutrinos**
- The PNet training and evaluation is performed using only jets with $p_{T}^{raw} > 15 \text{ GeV}$ for now
 - To avoid biasing the corrections, only jets with $p_{T}^{\text{ptcl}} > 50 \text{ GeV}$ are considered
 - \circ ~ The evaluation threshold will be lowered for the next reprocessing
- Correction compute using QCD multijet simulated samples dominated by light quark and gluon jets

Response Correction - Derivation





PNet-regressed $p_{\rm T}$ jets show a response distribution which peaks closer to 1 and is narrower compared to the raw $p_{\rm T}$ response

Response Correction from Simulation (2022)





- Jet response for PNet-regressed p_T shows significant improvement compared to the nominal jet response across the entire detector phase-space
 - PNet-regressed p_T shows stable response in the barrel ($|\eta| < 1.3$), changes within ~ 2% compared to 8% for nominal jet response
 - Stronger momentum dependence in endcaps and forward regions w.r.t. barrel



Response Correction from Simulation (2023)

- **ETH**zürich
- CMS

- PNet-regressed p_{T} shows better response compared to the nominal jet response
- Observed ~ 5% difference in jet response compared to 2022 in the barrel region and ~ 20-30% difference in the endcaps



PNet $p_{\rm T}$ regression calibration - ML4Jets

Response Correction - Closure (2023)*

- The median jet energy response in simulation, after jets are corrected for JES, is shown
- The level of residual non-closure after the application of the Response corrections for PNet-regressed $p_{\rm T}$ is within **0.1%** for $|\eta^{\rm reco}| < 2.4$
- Outside tracker acceptance ($|\eta^{\text{reco}}| > 2.4$), the non-closure is within **1.5%**, which is better than the nominal jet response for which it reaches 4%



* The closure is similar for 2022 and 2023 simulations

Matteo Malucchi

PNet p_{T} regression calibration - ML4Jets

ETH zürich

Response Resolution (2023)



After the application of the response correction, PNet $p_{\rm T}$ regression improves the jet $p_{\rm T}$ response resolution of ~15% w.r.t. the standard jet energy corrected $p_{\rm T}$



Residual Corrections - Closure

- **Relative (** η **-dependent) residual correction:** measured as a function of $|\eta|$ in **dijet** events with a reference jet in the barrel region ($|\eta| < 1.3$)
- Absolute (*p*_T-dependent) residual correction: determined in the barrel from Z+jet, γ+jet, and multijet events, using the Z boson, photon, or multijet recoil as a reference
- Residual corrections are not recomputed for PNet-regressed p_T jets, but the standard residual corrections are applied on data and a closure test is performed
- The residual non-closure of the relative corrections is provided in a η-p_T jet heat map. An additional term is also included in quadrature to account for the non-closure originated by the absolute correction.
- The level of non-closure is approximately up to 2-5% (2-8%) for PNet-regressed $p_{\rm T}$ without (with) neutrinos in the barrel and up to 10-20% in the endcap





13

Summary and outlook



- First simulation based response correction for PNet-regressed $p_{\rm T}$
- PNet $p_{\rm T}$ regression **improves resolution by ~15%** w.r.t. the standard jet energy corrected $p_{\rm T}$
- Closure test was perform for jets with PNet-regressed $p_{\rm T}$ for the residual corrections
 - No additional significant disagreement introduced by the regression

Outlook

- Lower the p_{T} threshold for the evaluation of PNet to compute the corrections for low- p_{T} jets
- Recompute the Residual Corrections for PNet-regressed $p_{\rm T}$
- Compute the Jet Energy Resolution (JER) correction
 - \circ Smear jet energy in simulation to match that in data
- Make PNet-regressed $p_{\rm T}$ the new baseline in CMS for Run 3



ParticleNet - EdgeConv Operation

ETH zürich



Node features **x**:

- $\Delta \eta$
- $\Delta \phi$
- Energy
- Impact parameter
- ...

EdgeConv operation

Function of learnable parameters $\mathbf{e}'_n = \bar{\phi}^e(\mathbf{x}_{rn}, \mathbf{x}_{rn} - \mathbf{x}_{sn})$ Edge features Sender node Receiver node



Matteo Malucchi

07/11/2024

ParticleNet - Information Flow





ParticleNet Loss



The loss function of PNet is composed of multiple terms, each corresponding to a different task:



Jet $p_{\rm T}$ response resolution



