	_
water water and a share and a share and a share a s	
when the share when the second of the second	

Fime Series Anomaly Detection: Overview and New Trends

Paul Boniol Inria, ENS, PSL University paul.boniol@inria.fr

Innin





~_~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	~ <u>_</u>
······	
	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
	~
	~~~
	\sim
when any and the second and the second s	
	When the second state was a second state of the second state of th
	Warden and a second and a secon
	Manual and a for the state of the second sec

I. Introduction

What is a time series? What is an anomaly?

Introduction: *Time series are Everywhere*

Energy Production



Edf.fr: tinyurl.com/yc7x5xje

Astrophysics



Virgo: https://www.virgo-gw.eu/

Medicine



tinyurl.com/39dx2us4

Volcanology



tinyurl.com/ybcttmfz

Introduction: Time series are Everywhere











• Time series T (example : number of taxi passengers in New York City)



• Time series T (example : number of taxi passengers in New York City)





 Anomaly: rare point or sequence (of a given length) potentially non-desired





ML4Jets 2024 | 04/11/2024 | 13



ML4Jets 2024 | 04/11/2024 | 14









1000 1050 1100 1150

50







II. Time Series Anomaly Detection
How does it work?
ML4Jets 2024 04/11/2024 22















Anomaly Detection methods: *A taxonomy* By domains [5] ...



Anomaly Detection methods: *A taxonomy* By domains [5] ...

RobustPCA [101] Eros-SVMs [74] k-Means [151] XGBoosting [34] KNN [110] NetworkSVM [160] MS-SVDD [149] sequenceMiner [23] AOSVM [48]	SR [112] DWT-MLEAD [134] I-HMM [127] U-GMM-HMM [68]
RUSBoost [54] OC-KFD [114] PhaseSpace-SVM [85] NoveltySVR [86]	Signal Analysis SmartSifter [152] LaserDBN [100]
Random Black Forest [165] Classic ML SLADE-TS [141] Hybrid K-Means [140] PCA [121] S-SVM [11] Random Forest Regressor [165] Normalizity	Online DWT- MLEAD [133] FFT [111] GLA [84] Stochastic EM-HMM [105] Learning EDBN [107]
SLADE-MTS [142] PCC [121] Hybrid KNN [124] LSTA	MultiHMM[78] HSMM[129] CxDBN[137]
HBOS [47] LSTM STOMP [164] DeepLSTM [31] SSA [155] VAE-O	GAN [98] DAF [117] LAMP [166] FuzzyDNBC [136]
Series2Graph[16] DeepNAP[72] LSTM-VAE[106] M	AD CAN[77] 0 14 [135] HMAD [49]
GrammarViz[120]TwoFinger [90]CoalESN [99]Torsk [60]KnorrSeq2 [102]Left STAMPi [156]STORN [123]Deep I	AD-GAN [7] OmniAnomaly [125] Learning PAD [33] DeepAnT [94] S-H-ESD [62]
TSBitmap [144]DADS [119]MSCRED [159]OceanWNN [143]MultiHHOT SAX [70]DissimilarityAlgo [6]RADM [40]SR-CNN [112]TAno	ITM [146] Telemanom [64] LSTM-AD [89] FAST-MCD [115] SH-ESD+ [138] OGAN [8] VELC [158] MA [18] EWMA [65] SARIMA [52]
NorM[14]Data MiningMoteESN[30]NumentMTAD-GAT[161]MTAD-GAT[161]Nument	AE [117] Bagel [79] Kalman Filter [52] aHTM [3] HealthESN [32] ANODE [96] Kalman Filter [52]
BoehmerGraph [13] VALMOD [82] PST [128]	MGDD [126] Statistics PCI[157]
TARZAN[71] MERLIN [97] STAMP [156] MCOD [73] IJ OF [108] D AD [171] Isolation Fores	CBLOF[59]ARMA [18]pEWMA [25]MedianMethod [10]
NormA-SJ[15] ILOF [108] DAD [154] LOCI/aLOCI [103] Subsequence	e IF [83] Subsequence LOF [22] EWMA-STR [162] Holt-Winter's [1]
NormA-smpl[15] SurpriseEncoding[26] IF-LOF[36] Outlier I	Detection COPOD[80] ARIMA[65] DSPOT[122] RePAD[76]
SCRIMP++ [163]Ensemble GI [43]Hybrid IsolationForest [91]COF [130]BLOF [59]	DBStream [55]LOF [22]DILOF [95]AMD Segmentation [153]Holt's [65]

[5] Sebastian Schmidl, Phillip Wenig, and Thorsten Papenbrock. 2022. Anomaly detection in time series: a comprehensive evaluation. Proc. ML4Jets 2024 | 04/11/2024 | 31 VLDB Endow. 15, 9 (May 2022), 1779–1797.

By inputs...

Time series anomaly detection methods



By inputs...



By inputs...














Time series anomaly detection methods































ML4Jets 2024 | 04/11/2024 | 56



ML4Jets 2024 | 04/11/2024 | 57

Series2Graph: From time series to a graph

Graph G_{ℓ_G} [9]:

Given a data series T, and an input length ℓ_G , we build a graph $G_{\ell_G}(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{E})$ for which:



For a given subsequence $T_{i,\ell}$ and its corresponding path $P_{th} = \langle N^{(i)}, N^{(i+1)}, ..., N^{(i+\ell)} \rangle$, we define the normality score as follows: $Norm(P_{th}) = \sum_{j=i}^{i+\ell-1} \frac{w(N^{(j)}, N^{(j+1)}) \deg(N^{(j)} - 1)}{\ell}$

$$Norm\left(P_{th}(T_{j,\ell+2})\right) \ll Norm\left(P_{th}(T_{i,\ell+2})\right)$$

Series2Graph: Computation Steps



Series2Graph: An Example



Series2Graph: *An interactive tool*

GraphAn: S2G User interface [10]



Compute Embedding

Projection (sum variance: 0.989)

Compute Graph

Graph mean score: 130.509



Original time series



ML4Jets 2024 | 04/11/2024 | 61



Anomaly Detection methods: *A taxonomy*

By time...





	IV. AU
and an angle and an angle and and and an angle and and and and and and and and and an and an angle and an angle And an angle and an angle and and an angle and	
	How
an a	
the second and a second with the second and the sec	

IV. Automated Solutions

How to pick automatically the best method?

Automated Solution: No one-size-fits-all

Motivation:

 No one-size-fits-all model: How can we automatically identify the best anomaly detector given a time series? Detection accuracy (VUS-PR) for 6 anomaly detectors across different datasets in TSB-UAD [14]



(a) Model Selection:

Selecting the best anomaly detector from a predefined candidate model set.

- (a.1) Internal Evaluation
- (a.2) Meta-learning-based



(a) Model Selection:

Selecting the best anomaly detector from a predefined candidate model set.

- (a.1) Internal Evaluation
- (a.2) Meta-learning-based

(b) Model Generation:

Creating an entirely new model for the given time series based on the candidate mode set

- (b.1) Ensembling-based
- (b.2) Pseudo-label-based



(a) Model Selection:

Selecting the best anomaly detector from a predefined candidate model set.

- (a.1) Internal Evaluation
- (a.2) Meta-learning-based

(b) Model Generation:

Creating an entirely new model for the given time series based on the candidate mode set

- (b.1) Ensembling-based
- (b.2) Pseudo-label-based





	-
~ <u></u>	
<u></u>	
	····
	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
where the second water and the second s	
<b>ੑੑੑੑ</b> ੑੑੑੑੑੑੑੑੑੑੑੑੑੑੑੑੑੑੑੑੑੑੑੑੑੑੑੑੑੑੑੑ	
	and the second sec
	the second s
	and a second and a second second second
mannen marten franking man the hold war and the	and a state of the

### IV. MSAD

Model Selection for Anomaly Detection

## MSAD: Ensembling versus Model Selection

Ensembling is proposed as a mitigation strategy to the previous limitation [17]


## MSAD: Ensembling versus Model Selection

Ensembling is proposed as a mitigation strategy to the previous limitation [17]



## MSAD: Ensembling versus Model Selection

Ensembling is proposed as a mitigation strategy to the previous limitation [17]

... But is problematic in terms of execution time



# MSAD: Ensembling versus Model Selection

Ensembling is proposed as a mitigation strategy to the previous limitation [17]

... But is problematic in terms of execution time

Model Selection (MS) is a solution to reduce the execution time



#### MSAD: Ensembling versus Model Selection Oracle 0.8 Ensembling is proposed as a mitigation strategy to the VUS-PR 0.6 previous limitation [17] 0.4 ... But is problematic in terms of execution time 0.2 0.0 Model Selection (MS) is a solution to reduce the Forest oracle OCSVM ANOFINS Foresti STM CUN 1805 PCA V^K NormA 1. boly execution time Detection time (sec): $10^{-1}$ $10^{-5}$ $10^{-5}$ $10^{-5}$ $10^{-5}$ $10^{-5}$ $10^{-5}$ $10^{-5}$ The best possible achievable performances (Oracle) is motivating ANOFUS POIT FOREST HBOS MR HOTESHI NOTTO CSUMPCA STM OF CNIA AF

ML4Jets 2024 | 04/11/2024 | 76

#### MSAD: Ensembling versus Model Selection Oracle 0.6 РЧ previous limitation (Aggarwal, C., C., et al. SIGKDD 2015) $D_1$ D2 **D3** $D_1$ **D2** D3... ... $D_1$ $TS_1$ $TS_1$ 0 0 1 0.5 0.7 0.9 $TS_2$ 0 0 $TS_2$ 1 0.6 0.4 0.7 D2 . zle TS₃ 0 1 0 $TS_3$ 0.5 0.8 0.6 $D_3$ ... ••• Performance Candidate ^{motiv}Time Series Label For Training Model Set Matrix Time series classification methods could be a solution COLE HB

ML4Jets 2024 | 04/11/2024 | 77

## MSAD: Classification for Model Selection

Research Questions (RQs)

- 1. What is the best approach:
  - 1. Individual Detectors
  - 2. Average Ensembling (Avg Ens)
  - 3. Model Selection (MS)
- 2. What is the best input: Time Series Features OR Raw Values?
- 3. What-if model selection is tested on completely new datasets?

(a) Time series T

**Step 1**: Acquiring Labeled Time Series

We use the TSB-UAD benchmark [14],

on which we know in advance which

detector is the best for each time series.



Step 2: Segmentation

We segment the time series into equal

length subsequences.

Each subsequence is assigned to the

same label (best detector)



#### Step 3: Prediction

We train a time series classification method to predict which detector is the best (using the labels from TSB-UAD).





#### Step 4: Selection

We pick the most selected detector for all the subsequences of a time series.



**Step 5**: Anomaly Score Computation

We finally compute the anomaly score

using the selected detector.

We conduct our experimental evaluation on the TSB-UAD benchmark :

We conduct our experimental evaluation on the TSB-UAD benchmark :

16 time series classification methods:



We conduct our experimental evaluation on the TSB-UAD benchmark :

16 time series classification methods:



With 8 segmentation window lengths:



Random split (70/30) of TSB-UAD benchmark between train and test

**Raw values** is the best input compared to time series **features** Ο



 $\circ$  The window length influence is different based on the type of methods



- MS outperforms the Individual detectors and the Avg Ens in terms of accuracy
- MS outperforms Avg Ens in terms of execution time



- MS outperforms the Individual detectors and the Avg Ens in terms of accuracy
- MS outperforms Avg Ens in terms of execution time



- MS outperforms the Individual detectors and the Avg Ens in terms of accuracy
- MS outperforms Avg Ens in terms of execution time
- Potential improvement in terms of classification



- MS outperforms the Individual detectors and the Avg Ens in terms of accuracy
- MS outperforms Avg Ens in terms of execution time
- Potential improvement in terms of classification
- Potential improvement in terms of ranking detectors









Training Set



Out-of-distribution testing: How well a model handles unfamiliar data? (a) Avg VUS-PR for all dataset



Out-of-distribution testing: How well a model handles unfamiliar data? (a) Avg VUS-PR for all dataset





Avg Ens is generally safer in terms of accuracy for new datasets



and the second and the second and the second and the second s	
	_
	•
	-
	•
	•
	•
	•
	•
	•
	-
	•
	•
	•
	•
	•
	•
	-
	•

## V. Conclusion

Research Directions

Ensembling is still better for out-of-distribution cases



- Ensembling is still better for out-of-distribution cases
  - Combining Model Selection and Ensembling



- Ensembling is still better for out-of-distribution cases
  - Combining Model Selection and Ensembling



- Ensembling is still better for out-of-distribution cases
  - Combining Model Selection and Ensembling
- Ensembling has a strong impact on execution time



- Ensembling is still better for out-of-distribution cases
  - Combining Model Selection and Ensembling
- Ensembling has a strong impact on execution time
  - Trade-off between execution time and accuracy in the selection process



- Ensembling is still better for out-of-distribution cases
  - Combining Model Selection and Ensembling
- Ensembling has a strong impact on execution time
  - Trade-off between execution time and accuracy in the selection process
- Adding a new detector require training from scratch the pipeline

#### Classification-based


# Conclusion: Research Directions

- Ensembling is still better for out-of-distribution cases
  - Combining Model Selection and Ensembling
- Ensembling has a strong impact on execution time
  - Trade-off between execution time and accuracy in the selection process
- Adding a new detector require training from scratch the pipeline
  - Improving modularity (regression-based model selection)



### Regression-based

## References

- 1. N. Laptev, S. Amizadeh, and Y. Billawala. 2015. S5 A Labeled Anomaly Detection Dataset, version 1.0(16M).
- 2. Markus Thill, Wolfgang Konen, and Thomas Bäck. 2020. MGAB: The Mackey-Glass Anomaly Benchmark.
- 3. Pawel Benecki, Szymon Piechaczek, Daniel Kostrzewa, and Jakub Nalepa. 2021. **Detecting Anomalies in Spacecraft Telemetry Using Evolutionary Thresholding and LSTMs**. In Proceedings of the Genetic and Evolutionary Computation Conference Companion (Lille, France) (GECCO '21)
- 4. Scott David Greenwald. 1990. Improved detection and classification of arrhythmias in noise-corrupted electrocardiograms using contextual information. Thesis. Massachusetts Institute of Technology.
- 5. Sebastian Schmidl, Phillip Wenig, and Thorsten Papenbrock. 2022. Anomaly detection in time series: a comprehensive evaluation. Proc. VLDB Endow. 15, 9 (May 2022), 1779–1797.
- 6. L. Lacasa et al., From time series to complex networks: The visibility graph, PNAS, 2008
- 7. M. Small et al. Transforming Time seires into Complex Networks, Complex Sciences (2009)
- 8. M. Small et al. Transforming Time seires into Complex Networks, Complex Sciences (2009)
- 9. Paul Boniol and Themis Palpanas. 2020. Series2Graph: graph-based subsequence anomaly detection for time series. Proc. VLDB Endow. 13, 12 (August 2020), 1821–1834.
- 10. Paul Boniol, Themis Palpanas, Mohammed Meftah, and Emmanuel Remy. 2020. GraphAn: graph-based subsequence anomaly detection. Proc. VLDB Endow. 13, 12 (August 2020), 2941–2944
- 11. Schneider, J., Wenig, P. & Papenbrock, T. Distributed detection of sequential anomalies in univariate time series. The VLDB Journal 30, 579–602 (2021).
- 12. Wenig, P., Papenbrock, T. (2024). Series2Graph++: Distributed Detection of Correlation Anomalies in Multivariate Time Series. In: Wrembel, R., Chiusano, S., Kotsis, G., Tjoa, A.M., Khalil, I. (eds) Big Data Analytics and Knowledge Discovery. DaWaK 2024
- 13. Paul Boniol and Themis Palpanas. 2020. Series2Graph: graph-based subsequence anomaly detection for time series. Proc. VLDB Endow. 13, 12 (August 2020), 1821–1834.
- 14. John Paparrizos, et al. 2022. TSB-UAD: An End-to-End Benchmark Suite for Univariate Time-Series Anomaly Detection. Proc. VLDB Endow. 15, 8
- 15. Mononito Goswami, Cristian Challu, Laurent Callot, Lenon Minorics, Andrey Kan. 2023. Unsupervised Model Selection for Time-series Anomaly Detection. In Proceedings of the International Conference on Learning Representations.
- 16. Lei Cao, Yizhou Yan, Yu Wang, Samuel Madden, Elke A Rundensteiner. 2023. Autood: Automatic outlier detection. Proceedings of the ACM on Management of Data, 1(1): 1-27. ACM, New York, NY, USA.
- 17. Charu C. Aggarwal and Saket Sathe. 2015. Theoretical Foundations and Algorithms for Outlier Ensembles. SIGKDD Explor. Newsl. 17, 1

# People that contributed to this presentation



**Prof. Themis Palpanas** *Université Paris Cité; IUF* 



Mohammed Meftah EDF R&D

**Prof.** Panos Trahanias



Prof. John Paprrizos

Ohio State University



Prof. Michael Franklin University of Chicago

**ICS-FORTH** 



Emmanouil Sylligardos

Ecole Normale Supérieure

Qinghua Liu Ohio State University

And many others...

ML4Jets 2024 | 04/11/2024 | 111

# Thank you for attending!