

Collimation Settings Strategy and Updated Loss Maps Matrix

Frederik Van der Veken *on behalf of the collimation team* MPP #243 01/03/2024

LHC Cycle in 2024

	E [GeV]	Optics	ß* 1/5 [m]	ß* 2 [m]	ß* 8 [m]	X 1 [µrad] V	X 5 [µrad] H	X 2 [µrad] V	X 8 [µrad] H →V
Injection	450	1	11	10	10	170	170	170	-170
Ramp	450-680 0	1-20	$11 \rightarrow 2$	10	$10 \rightarrow 2$	170 → 160	170 → 160	170 → 200	-170 → -200
Flat Top	6800	20	2	10	2	160	160	200	-200
Squeeze + LHCb Rotation	6800	20-22	$2 \rightarrow 1.2$	10	2	160	160	200	$\begin{array}{l} \text{H: -200} \rightarrow 0 \\ \text{V: } 0 \rightarrow 200 \end{array}$
Tune Change	6800	22	1.2	10	2	160	160	200	200
Adjust	6800	22	1.2	10	2	160	160	200	200
Large Levelling	6800	23-34	$1.2 \rightarrow 0.6$	10	2	160	160	200	200
Levelling	6800	34-43	$0.6 \rightarrow 0.3$	10	2	160	160	200	200

Optics in/afs/cern.ch/eng/lhc/optics/runIII/RunIII_dev/Proton_2024/(courtesy of S. Fartoukh)Simulations in/eos/project-c/collimation-team/machine_configurations/LHC_run3/2024/



LHC Cycle in 2024

	E [GeV]	Optics	ß* 1/5 [m]	ß* 2 [m]	ß* 8 [m]	X 1 [µrad] V	X 5 [µrad] H	X 2 [µrad] V	X 8 [µrad] H →V
Injection	450	1	11	10	10	+170	170	170	-170
Ramp	450-680 0	1-20	$11 \rightarrow 2$	10	$10 \rightarrow 2$	170 → 160	170 → 160	170 → 200	-170 → -200
Flat Top	6800	20	2	10	2	+160	160	200	-200
Squeeze + LHCb Rotation	6800	20-22	$2 \rightarrow 1.2$	10	2	+160	160	200	$\begin{array}{c} \text{H: -200} \rightarrow 0 \\ \text{V: } 0 \rightarrow 200 \end{array}$
Tune Change	6800	22	1.2	10	2	+160	160	200	200
Adjust	6800	22	1.2	10	2	+160	160	200	200
Large Levelling	6800	23-34	$1.2 \rightarrow 0.6$	10	2	+160	160	200	200
Levelling	6800	34-43	$0.6 \rightarrow 0.3$	10	2	+160	160	200	200

Optics in/afs/cern.ch/eng/lhc/optics/runIII/RunIII_dev/Proton_2024/(courtesy of S. Fartoukh)Simulations in/eos/project-c/collimation-team/machine_configurations/LHC_run3/2024/



Collimator Settings

			IR7 [σ]			IR3 [σ]		Dum	ρ [σ]		TC	Γ [σ]		Т	CL [o	1
		ТСР	TCSG	TCLA	ТСР	TCSG	TCLA	TCDQ	TCSP	1	2	5	8	4	5	6
Injection		5.7	6.7	10	8	9.3	12	8	7.5	13	13	13	13	-	-	-
Ramp		\downarrow	\downarrow	10	\downarrow	-	-	-								
Flat Top		5	6.5	10	15	18	20	7.3	7.3	18	37	18	18	-	-	-
Squeeze		5	6.5	10	15	18	20	7.3	7.3	\downarrow	37	\downarrow	\downarrow	-	-	-
Tune Chai	nge	5	6.5	10	15	18	20	7.3	7.3	9.35	37	9.35	11.5	-	-	-
Adjust		5	6.5	10	15	18	20	7.3	7.3	9.35	37	9.35	11.5	-	-	-
	120	5	6.5	10	15	18	20	7.3	7.3	\downarrow	37	\downarrow	11.5	\downarrow	\downarrow	\downarrow
Levelling	60	5	6.5	10	15	18	20	7.3	7.3	8.5	37	8.5	11.5	\downarrow	\downarrow	\downarrow
	30	5	6.5	10	15	18	20	7.3	7.3	8.5	37	8.5	11.5	17	42	30
XRP OUT															17	



Collimator Settings

			IR7 [σ]			IR3 [σ]		Dum	ρ [σ]		TC	[σ]		Т	CL [c	ק]
		ТСР	TCSG	TCLA	ТСР	TCSG	TCLA	TCDQ	TCSP	1	2	5	8	4	5	6
Injection		5.7	6.7	10	8	9.3	12	8	7.5	13	13	13	13	-	-	-
Ramp		\downarrow	\downarrow	10	\downarrow	-	-	-								
Flat Top		5	6.5	10	15	18	20	7.3	7.3	18	37	18	18	-	-	-
Squeeze		5	6.5	10	15	18	20	7.3	7.3	\downarrow	37	\downarrow	\downarrow	-	-	-
Tune Chai	nge	5	6.5	10	15	18	20	7.3	7.3	9.35	37	9.35	11.5	-	-	-
Adjust		5	6.5	10	15	18	20	7.3	7.3	9.35	37	9.35	11.5	-	-	-
	120	5	6.5	10	15	18	20	7.3	7.3	\downarrow	37	\downarrow	11.5	\downarrow	\downarrow	\downarrow
Levelling	60	5	6.5	10	15	18	20	7.3	7.3	8.5	37	8.5	11.5	\downarrow	\downarrow	\downarrow
	30	5	6.5	10	15	18	20	7.3	7.3	8.5 *	37	8.5	11.5	17	42	30**
XRP OUT															17	

*maybe not achievable **adapted settings for TCL6 in IP1



Collimation Settings: Open Points

- TCL6 settings in IR1
- TCT at 8σ?



Collimation Settings: Open Points

- TCL6 settings in IR1
- TCT at 8σ ?
- Do we add another squeeze branch for ß* < 30cm?
 - **TCDQ setting wrt TCT** (also if smaller TCT gap)
 - WIRE implementation



TCL6 in IP1

- Background for FASER (left of IP1) strongly influenced by TCL6 opening
- Several considerations to take into account (also dose in AFP experimental area)
- See e.g. <u>CollWG #277</u>
- Proposal:
 - Commission at tightest gap possible (14.5σ)
 - This depends on start of ß*-levelling range:

1.62mm at ß*=120cm, 1.47mm at ß*=99cm, 1.43mm at ß*=93cm, 1.23mm at ß*=68cm

- If needed, adapt in function of measured FASER background:
 - Gap levelling, or
 - Relax minimum setting of 14.5 σ (needs to be simulated and tested)



Aperture Bottleneck in D1.R1 (B1) and D1.L1(B2)

- Aperture bottleneck with new RP optics in D1
- Bottleneck at $9\sigma \Rightarrow$ would need TCT at 8σ

 Proposal: keep tentatively at 8.5σ
 Adapt if issues during aperture measurement (request made to measure aperture indetail)



⁽courtesy of S. Fartoukh)



- New optics! Need to perform all steps..
- Can do ~5 configurations in one fill
- Could we drop the XRP OUT LMs?

The only difference is TCL5 closing, and it would save us a fill

• Will the WIREs be used at 30cm? This defines a potential extra loss map or not.



	45 Ge	50 eV														6.8	TeV	7												
	Injec	ction	СС	Non Ilidi	n ng	Co XR	ollidi P O	ng UT										Co X	ollidi RP	ng IN										WIRE
	_	F		К								Α	nti-t	eles	scop	oic								Tele	esco	opic				
	Prot. IN	Prot. OU	Ę	EoS / Ec	QC	120 cm	60 cm	30 cm	120 cm	112.5 cm	105.5 cm	99 cm	93 cm	87.5 cm	82.5 cm	77.5 cm	72.5 cm	68 cm	64 cm	60 cm	56 cm	52 cm	48.5 cm	45 cm	41.5 cm	38.5 cm	35.5 cm	32.5 cm	30 cm	30 cm
B1H	✓	✓	√	✓	✓	√	~	✓	√	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	~	✓	~	~	~	✓	✓	✓	√	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	~	~
B1V	√	<	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~
B2H	√	✓	~	~	~	~	~	✓	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	\checkmark	~	~	~	~	~	~	~
B2V	√	✓	✓	~	~	✓	~	✓	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	✓	~	✓	~
+dp/ p	✓	√	√		✓				√											✓									~	~
-dp/p	<	<	~		~				~											~									<	~
ASD	✓	✓	✓		✓			(√)	√											✓									~	✓

	45 Ge	50 ∋V														6.8	TeV	7												
	Injec	ction	CO	Nor Ilidi	n ng	Co XR	ollidi P O	ng UT										Co X	ollidi RP	ng N										WIRE
		F		R								Α	nti-t	eles	cop	oic								Tele	esco	pic				
	Prot. IN	Prot. OL	Ŀ	EoS / Ec	g	120 cm	60 cm	30 cm	120 cm	112.5 cm	105.5 cm	99 cm	93 cm	87.5 cm	82.5 cm	77.5 cm	72.5 cm	68 cm	64 cm	60 cm	56 cm	52 cm	48.5 cm	45 cm	41.5 cm	38.5 cm	35.5 cm	32.5 cm	30 cm	30 cm
B1H	<	<	<	<	✓	✓	~	✓	✓	√	~	~	~	✓	√	✓	~	~	~	✓	<	~	✓	~	✓	<	~	✓	✓	✓
B1V	✓	<	∢	√	 ✓ 	<	~	✓	∢	√	~	~	~	✓	√	✓	~	~	~	√	~	~	✓	~	✓	∢	~	~	~	1
B2H	<	<	∢	✓	✓	✓	~	✓	∢	√	~	~	~	✓	√	✓	~	~	~	√	✓	~	✓	~	✓	∢	~	✓	~	✓
B2V	✓	√	√	✓	✓	√	~	<	∢	✓	~	~	~	✓	√	~	~	~	~	✓	~	~	✓	~	✓	✓	~	~	~	√
+dp/ p	✓	<	√		✓				✓											√									~	✓
-dp/p	✓	<	<		✓				∢											<									~	✓
ASD	✓	✓	✓		<	•		(√)	 ✓ 						J					~									~	<

- **Need minimally 7+2 fills at top energy** (including XRP OUT and WIRE)
- This is assuming that in one case we can do 6 steps in one fill (ß* = 112.5 82.5cm)
- If this doesn't work, it would even imply a 10th fill...
- *Ps: need additional loss maps if* $\beta^* < 30cm$ *in commissioning*



- **Need minimally 7+2 fills at top energy** (including XRP OUT and WIRE)
- This is assuming that in one case we can do 6 steps in one fill (ß* = 112.5 82.5cm)
- If this doesn't work, it would even imply a 10th fill...
- Ps: need additional loss maps if ß* < 30cm in commissioning
- **Proposal for alternative approach:**
 - Focus on fills with ASD for first validation (5+2)
 - Perform missing points later, or even only in case of need (2)



Loss Maps Matrix: First Iteration

	45 Ge	50 eV	Var 8.3 Var 8.4 Var 8																											
	Injec	ction	СС	Non Ilidi	n ng	Co XR	ollidi P O	ng UT										Co X	ollidi RP	ng IN										WIRE
	-	F		R								Α	nti-t	eles	cop	oic								Tele	esco	pic				
	Prot. IN	Prot. OL	FT	EoS / Ec	QC	120 cm	60 cm	30 cm	120 cm	112.5 cm	105.5 cm	99 cm	93 cm	87.5 cm	82.5 cm	77.5 cm	72.5 cm	68 cm	64 cm	60 cm	56 cm	52 cm	48.5 cm	45 cm	41.5 cm	38.5 cm	35.5 cm	32.5 cm	30 cm	30 cm
B1H	✓	✓	√	✓	✓	√	✓	✓	√		✓		✓		✓		✓			✓		√		√			<		<	✓
B1V	~	✓	~	~	~	~	~	✓	~		~		~		~		~			~		~		~			~		<	<
B2H	√	<	~	~	~	~	~	~	~		~		~		~		~			~		\checkmark		√			✓		✓	√
B2V	~	✓	~	~	~	~	~	~	~		~		~		~		~			~		~		~			✓		<	<
+dp/ p	✓	✓	√		✓				√											√									√	√
-dp/p	<	<	~		~				✓											~									✓	<
ASD	✓	✓	√		✓			(√)	√											✓									<	✓

Loss Maps Matrix: First Iteration

	45 Ge	50 ∋V													ļ	6.8	TeV	1												
	Injec	ction	CO	Nor Ilidi	ng	Co XR	ollidi P O	ng UT										Co X	ollidi RP	ng IN										WIRE
		F		R								Α	nti-t	eles	cop	ic								Tele	esco	pic				
	Prot. IN	Prot. OU	Εđ	EoS / Eo	g	120 cm	60 cm	30 cm	120 cm	112.5 cm	105.5 cm	99 cm	93 cm	87.5 cm	82.5 cm	77.5 cm	72.5 cm	68 cm	64 cm	60 cm	56 cm	52 cm	48.5 cm	45 cm	41.5 cm	38.5 cm	35.5 cm	32.5 cm	30 cm	30 cm
B1H	~	✓	<	√	✓	√	✓	~	✓		~		✓		✓		✓			✓		~		✓			~		~	<
B1V	~	✓	∢	√	✓	<	✓	✓	∢		✓		✓		✓		✓			✓		✓		✓			✓		~	<
B2H	✓	✓	∢	√	✓	✓	~	✓	∢		~		✓		✓		✓			✓		✓		✓			✓		~	<
B2V	✓	✓	∢	√	✓	V	~	✓	∢		~		~		✓		✓			✓		~		✓			✓		~	√
+dp/ p	✓	√	<		∢				∢											√									~	✓
-dp/p	~	✓	<		✓				∢											~									~	<
ASD	✓	√	√		~	•		(√)	 Image: A start of the start of											~									✓	√

Loss Maps Matrix: Second Iteration

	45 Ge	50 eV		Var 8.3 Var 8.1 Var 8																										
	Injec	ction	со	Non Ilidi	ng	Co XR	ollidi P C	ng UT										Co X	ollidi RP	ng IN										WIRE
	_	F		К								Α	nti-t	eles	scop	oic								Tele	esco	opic				
	Prot. IN	Prot. OL	ΕT	EoS / Ec	QC	120 cm	60 cm	30 cm	120 cm	112.5 cm	105.5 cm	99 cm	93 cm	87.5 cm	82.5 cm	77.5 cm	72.5 cm	68 cm	64 cm	60 cm	56 cm	52 cm	48.5 cm	45 cm	41.5 cm	38.5 cm	35.5 cm	32.5 cm	30 cm	30 cm
B1H										~		✓		✓		~		~	~		~		✓		~	✓		✓		
B1V										~		✓		~		~		~	~		~		~		~	✓		✓		
B2H										~		~		~		~		~	~		~		~		~	~		~		
B2V										~		~		~		~		~	~		~		~		~	~		~		
+dp/ p																														
-dp/p																														
ASD																														

Loss Maps Matrix: Second Iteration

	45 Ge	50 eV														6.8	TeV	7												
	Injec	ction	СО	Non Ilidi	ng	Co XR	ollidi P C	ng UT										Co X	ollidi RP	ng IN										WIRE
	_	F		К								Α	nti-t	eles	scop	oic								Tele	esco	opic				
	Prot. IN	Prot. OL	FT	EoS / Eo	QC	120 cm	60 cm	30 cm	120 cm	112.5 cm	105.5 cm	99 cm	93 cm	87.5 cm	82.5 cm	77.5 cm	72.5 cm	68 cm	64 cm	60 cm	56 cm	52 cm	48.5 cm	45 cm	41.5 cm	38.5 cm	35.5 cm	32.5 cm	30 cm	30 cm
B1H										√		✓		~		~		~	✓		∢		✓		~	✓		<		
B1V										√		~		~		~		~	✓		<		~		~	✓		1		
B2H										√		~		~		~		~	✓		√		√		~	~		~		
B2V										√		~		~		~		~	✓		<		✓		~	✓		1		
+dp/ p																														
-dp/p																														
ASD																														

Conclusions

- A few open points on settings (TCL6 and TCT)
- Loss maps matrix:
 - Do we really need 9 fills for loss maps?
 - Can we choose an alternative approach?
 - Can we drop XRP OUT?
- Exciting times coming our way looking forward to seeing each other again in the CCC!

Thanks for your attention!





home.cern