N-Jettiness and LHC Jet Masses at NNLL

Iain Stewart MIT & Harvard

Boost workshop, Princeton May 2011 N-Jettiness Event Shape $\mathcal{T}_N = \mathcal{T}_N(q_a, q_b, q_1, \dots, q_N)$ $\mathcal{T}_N \to 0$ for N-jets **Factorization Friendly** $\mathcal{T}_N = \mathcal{T}_N^a + \mathcal{T}_N^b + \mathcal{T}_N^1 + \ldots + \mathcal{T}_N^N$

IS, Tackmann, Waalewijn arXiv: 1004.2489



Want to calculate N-jet exclusive cross-sections. eg. differential jet masses $\frac{d\sigma}{d\mathcal{T}_N^a \cdots d\mathcal{T}_N^N}$

Jouttenus, IS, Tackmann, Waalewijn arXiv: 1102.4344

Why?

- sum logs beyond the parton shower (up to NNLL)
 - realistic estimates for theory errors
 - test and tune Monte Carlo
 - reweight Monte Carlo (eg. Higgs Search)

Exclusive Jet Measurements

- signal may prefer N-jets (eg. top is 2, 4, or 6)
- backgrounds vary with # of jets

 \Rightarrow Be exclusive in the number of jets

- $\blacktriangleright pp \rightarrow H(\rightarrow WW^*) + 0, 1, 2$ jets
- Also relevant for $H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$



ത്താത്താത്ത

00000

Typical Event with Hard Interaction:



Factorization:

"cross section can be computed as product of independent pieces"

Shower MC programs assume factorization:



Events with a Hard Interaction:



Events with a Hard Interaction:





SCET = Soft-Collinear Effective Theory







QCD



QCD





Factorization Friendly Observables

eg.
$$e^+e^- \rightarrow 2$$
 jets

dijet event shape

 $e = e_1 + e_2 + e_s$



$$\frac{d\sigma}{de} = H(Q) \int de_1 de_2 de_s J(e_1) J(e_2) S(e_s) \delta(e - e_1 - e_2 - e_s)$$

Not as friendly for resummation: soft radiation grouped by jet algorithms Procedures that introduce multiple jet or soft scales see eg. Ellis, Hornig, Lee, Vermilion, Walsh; Banfi, Dasgupta, Khelifa-Kerfa, Marzani; Kelley, Schwartz, Zhu

N-Jettiness T_N $pp \rightarrow \text{jets}, pp \rightarrow W/Z + \text{jets}, \dots$

consider an inclusive N-jet sample with jet energies E_i & directions \hat{n}_i determined by anti-kT (or any suitable algorithm)

$$q_{i}^{\mu} = E_{i}(1, \hat{n}_{i}) \qquad \begin{array}{l} q_{a}^{\mu} = \frac{1}{2}x_{a} E_{\rm cm}(1, \hat{z}), & x_{a}x_{b} = \frac{Q^{2}}{E_{\rm cm}^{2}} = \frac{(q_{1} + \ldots + q_{N} + q)^{2}}{E_{\rm cm}^{2}} \\ q_{b}^{\mu} = \frac{1}{2}x_{b} E_{\rm cm}(1, -\hat{z}) & \ln\frac{x_{a}}{x_{b}} = Y = \ldots \\ (\text{set } x_{a} = x_{b} = 1 \text{ for cases with MET}) \end{array}$$

measure $T_N = \sum_k |\vec{p}_{kT}| \min\{d_a(p_k), d_b(p_k), d_1(p_k), d_2(p_k), \dots, d_N(p_k)\}$



- d_{a,b}(p_k), d_j(p_k): Distance of particle k
 to beam and jet directions
- Divides phase space into
 N jet regions and 2 beam regions



N-Jettiness T_N $pp \rightarrow \text{jets}, pp \rightarrow W/Z + \text{jets}, \dots$

consider an inclusive N-jet sample with jet energies E_i & directions \hat{n}_i determined by anti-kT (or any suitable algorithm)

$$q_{i}^{\mu} = E_{i}(1, \hat{n}_{i}) \qquad \begin{array}{l} q_{a}^{\mu} = \frac{1}{2}x_{a} E_{\rm cm}(1, \hat{z}), & x_{a}x_{b} = \frac{Q^{2}}{E_{\rm cm}^{2}} = \frac{(q_{1} + \ldots + q_{N} + q)^{2}}{E_{\rm cm}^{2}} \\ q_{b}^{\mu} = \frac{1}{2}x_{b} E_{\rm cm}(1, -\hat{z}) & \ln\frac{x_{a}}{x_{b}} = Y = \ldots \\ (\text{set } x_{a} = x_{b} = 1 \text{ for cases with MET}) \end{array}$$

measure
$$\mathcal{T}_N = \sum_k \min\left\{\frac{2q_a \cdot p_k}{Q_a}, \frac{2q_b \cdot p_k}{Q_b}, \frac{2q_1 \cdot p_k}{Q_1}, \dots, \frac{2q_N \cdot p_k}{Q_N}\right\}$$



- Here Q_j determines the measure
- Small \mathcal{T}_N constrains us to N-jets (one added scale) $\mathcal{T}_N^{\mathrm{alg.1}} = \mathcal{T}_N^{\mathrm{alg.2}} + \mathcal{O}[(\mathcal{T}_N^{\mathrm{alg.2}})^2]$ Large \mathcal{T}_N has >N jets

N-Jettiness T_N $pp \rightarrow \text{jets}, pp \rightarrow W/Z + \text{jets}, \dots$

consider an inclusive N-jet sample with jet energies E_i & directions \hat{n}_i determined by anti-kT (or any suitable algorithm)

$$q_{i}^{\mu} = E_{i}(1, \hat{n}_{i}) \qquad \begin{array}{l} q_{a}^{\mu} = \frac{1}{2}x_{a} E_{\rm cm}(1, \hat{z}), & x_{a}x_{b} = \frac{Q^{2}}{E_{\rm cm}^{2}} = \frac{(q_{1} + \ldots + q_{N} + q)^{2}}{E_{\rm cm}^{2}} \\ q_{b}^{\mu} = \frac{1}{2}x_{b} E_{\rm cm}(1, -\hat{z}) & \ln\frac{x_{a}}{x_{b}} = Y = \ldots \\ (\text{set } x_{a} = x_{b} = 1 \text{ for cases with MET}) \end{array}$$

measure
$$\mathcal{T}_N = \sum_k \min\left\{\frac{2q_a \cdot p_k}{Q_a}, \frac{2q_b \cdot p_k}{Q_b}, \frac{2q_1 \cdot p_k}{Q_1}, \dots, \frac{2q_N \cdot p_k}{Q_N}\right\}$$

"make it a true event shape"

• Determine q_i by minimization

For
$$Q_i = |\vec{q}_{iT}|$$
 , $\vec{p}_{jet}^{\ i} = \sum_{k \in i} \vec{p}_k$

Extension to N-subjettiness

Thaler, Van Tilburg [Jesse's talk]

N-Jettiness Factorization

$$\mathcal{T}_{N} = \sum_{k} \min\left\{\frac{2q_{a} \cdot p_{k}}{Q_{a}}, \frac{2q_{b} \cdot p_{k}}{Q_{b}}, \frac{2q_{1} \cdot p_{k}}{Q_{1}}, \dots, \frac{2q_{N} \cdot p_{k}}{Q_{N}}\right\}$$
$$\mathcal{T}_{N} = \left(\sum_{k \in \text{soft}} \min_{m}\left\{\frac{2q_{m} \cdot p_{k}}{Q_{m}}\right\}\right) + \sum_{j=a,b,1,\dots,N} \left(\sum_{k \in \text{coll}_{j}} \frac{2q_{j} \cdot p_{k}}{Q_{j}}\right)$$
$$\bigvee_{j=a,b,1,\dots,N} \left(\sum_{k \in \text{coll}_{j}} \frac{2q_{j} \cdot p_{k}}{Q_{j}}\right)$$

Only non are determined by the q_m

MJ.

(more later)

N-Jettiness & Jet Masses

$$\mathcal{T}_N = \sum_k \min\left\{\frac{2q_a \cdot p_k}{Q_a}, \frac{2q_b \cdot p_k}{Q_b}, \frac{2q_1 \cdot p_k}{Q_1}, \dots, \frac{2q_N \cdot p_k}{Q_N}\right\}$$
$$\mathcal{T}_N = \mathcal{T}_a + \mathcal{T}_b + \mathcal{T}_1 + \dots + \mathcal{T}_N$$

$$\mathcal{T}_N^j = \sum_{k \in j} \left| \vec{p}_{kT} \right| d_j(p_k)$$

Can measure:

 $\frac{d\sigma}{d\mathcal{T}_a d\mathcal{T}_b d\mathcal{T}_1 \cdots d\mathcal{T}_N}$

with jet axes aligned These are Jet Masses:

$$M_J^2 = P_J^2 = P_J^- P_J^+ = Q_i \mathcal{T}_N^i$$

So one can study the masses of jets! (or subjets!)



Jet definition:

N-jettiness divides particles into jet and beam regions

$$\mathcal{T}_{N} = \sum_{k} |\vec{p}_{kT}| \min\{d_{a}(p_{k}), d_{b}(p_{k}), d_{1}(p_{k}), d_{2}(p_{k}), \dots, d_{N}(p_{k})\}$$



Jet definition:

N-jettiness divides particles into jet and beam regions

$$\mathcal{T}_{N} = \sum_{k} |\vec{p}_{kT}| \min\{d_{a}(p_{k}), d_{b}(p_{k}), d_{1}(p_{k}), d_{2}(p_{k}), \dots, d_{N}(p_{k})\}$$



Jets treatment of soft radiation depends on the distance measure

$$\hat{q}_i^{\mu} \equiv \frac{q_i^{\mu}}{Q_i}, \quad \mathcal{T}_N \equiv \sum_k \min_i \left\{ 2\hat{q}_i \cdot p_k \right\}$$



Wednesday, May 25, 2011

21

N-Jettiness Factorization Formula T_N^{a}

N-Jettiness Factorization Formula T_N^{a}

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}T_{N}^{a}\,\mathrm{d}T_{N}^{b}\cdots\mathrm{d}T_{N}^{N}} = \int \mathrm{d}x_{a}\mathrm{d}x_{b}\int \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{phase space})$$

$$\times \sum_{\kappa}\int \mathrm{d}t_{a}\,B_{\kappa_{a}}(t_{a},x_{a})\int \mathrm{d}t_{b}\,B_{\kappa_{b}}(t_{b},x_{b})\prod_{J=1}^{N}\int \mathrm{d}s_{J}\,J_{\kappa_{J}}(s_{J})$$

$$\times \mathrm{tr}\left[H_{N}^{\kappa}(\{q_{i}\cdot q_{j}\},\kappa_{a,b})\,\widehat{S}_{N}^{\kappa}\left(T_{N}^{a}-\frac{t_{a}}{Q_{a}},T_{N}^{b}-\frac{t_{b}}{Q_{b}},T_{N}^{1}-\frac{s_{1}}{Q_{1}},\ldots,T_{N}^{N}-\frac{s_{N}}{Q_{N}},\{\hat{q}_{i}\cdot\hat{q}_{j}\}\right)\right]$$

$$\overset{\text{hard virtual corrections}}{2\to N+q}$$

$$\overset{N-\mathrm{jettiness}}{B_{\kappa}=\mathcal{I}_{\kappa\kappa'}\otimes f_{\kappa'}}$$

$$\overset{N-\mathrm{jettiness}}{Soft function}$$

$$\overset{N-\mathrm{jettiness}}{Soft}$$

 $q_i \cdot q_j = (Q_i Q_j)(\hat{q}_i \cdot \hat{q}_j)$

N-Jettiness Factorization Formula

Assumptions needed to sum logs with this formula:

) $T_i \sim T_j$ ($T_i \ll T_j$ gives non-global logs of Dasgupta & Salam) [Chris Lee's talk]

2) $\hat{q}_i \cdot \hat{q}_j \gg T_i/Q_i$ jets are well separated (jets merge, "Ninja" limit) [Jon Walsh's talk]

 T_N^{a}

3) $Q_i \sim Q_j$

N-Jettiness Factorization Formula

Assumptions needed to sum logs with this formula:

) $T_i \sim T_j$ ($T_i \ll T_j$ gives non-global logs of Dasgupta & Salam) [Chris Lee's talk]

2) $\hat{q}_i \cdot \hat{q}_j \gg T_i/Q_i$ jets are well separated (jets merge, "Ninja" limit) [Jon Walsh's talk]

 T_N^{a}

3) $Q_i \sim Q_j$

A couple of interesting projections

One Central Jet's Mass

 $\frac{d\sigma}{d\mathcal{T}}(Q_i, R, \ldots) = \int_0^{Q_a R/2} d\mathcal{T}_a \int_0^{Q_b R/2} d\mathcal{T}_b \left[\int d\mathcal{T}_1 \prod_{j \ge 2} \int_0^{Q_j R/2} d\mathcal{T}_j \right] \frac{d\sigma}{d\mathcal{T}_a d\mathcal{T}_b d\mathcal{T}_1 \cdots d\mathcal{T}_N} \delta(\mathcal{T} - \mathcal{T}_1)$

A Central Jet "Thrust"

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\mathcal{T}}(Q_i, R, \ldots) = \int_0^{Q_a R/2} d\mathcal{T}_a \int_0^{Q_b R/2} d\mathcal{T}_b \left[\int \prod_j d\mathcal{T}_j \right] \frac{d\sigma}{d\mathcal{T}_a d\mathcal{T}_b d\mathcal{T}_1 \cdots d\mathcal{T}_N} \delta\left(\mathcal{T} - \frac{1}{N} \sum_j \mathcal{T}_j\right)$$

where $m_J^2 = Q_J \mathcal{T}$

eg. Higgs Jet Veto

Berger, Marcantonini, IS, Tackmann, Waalewijn

Higgs +
$$0 \text{ jets}$$

$$gg
ightarrow H
ightarrow WW
ightarrow \ell ar{
u} ar{\ell}
u$$

• Strong discovery potential at the LHC for $m_H \gtrsim 130 \,\text{GeV}$ $pp \to H \to WW \to \mu^+ \nu_\mu e^- \bar{\nu}_e$

dominant channel in Tevatron search

$$p\bar{p} \to H \to WW \to \mu^+ \nu_\mu e^- \bar{\nu}_e$$





 $\Rightarrow \text{ Veto events with central jets, measure } pp \rightarrow H(\rightarrow WW) + 0 \text{ jets}$ (Sensitivity dominated by 0-jet sample)



Jet veto restricts ISR, gives double logs

0000 $L = \log$ **TODOOX** LO NLO **NNLO** $+ \alpha_s^2 L^4$ $+ \alpha_s^3 L^6 + \dots$ $\sigma_{0\text{-jet}} = 1 + \alpha_s L^2$ $+ \alpha_s^2 L^3$ $+ \alpha_s^3 L^5$ $+ \alpha_s L$ $+\ldots$ $+ \alpha_s n_1(p_T^{\text{cut}}) + \alpha_s^2 L^2$ $+ \alpha_s^3 L^4 + \dots$ $+ \alpha_s^3 L^3 + \dots$ $+ \alpha_s^2 L$ Fixed Order to $+ \alpha_s^2 n_2(p_T^{\text{cut}})$ $+ \alpha_s^3 L^2$ $+\ldots$ NNLO





0000000000

σ [fb]

Jet veto restricts ISR, gives double $\log_{2^{0}} \frac{10^{10}}{10^{10}}$ Fixed Order to

Current recipe being used by experiments [Anastasiou et al., arXiv:0905.3529]

Common scale variation for jet bins, e.g. for the Tevatron

$$\frac{\Delta\sigma}{\sigma} = \underbrace{66.5\% \times \binom{+5\%}{-9\%}}_{0 \text{ jets}} + \underbrace{28.6\% \times \binom{+24\%}{-22\%}}_{1 \text{ jet}} + \underbrace{4.9\% \times \binom{+78\%}{-41\%}}_{\geq 2 \text{ jets}} = \binom{+14\%}{-14\%}$$

Problem:

$$\begin{split} \sigma_{\text{total}} &= 1 + \alpha_s + \alpha_s^2 + \cdots \\ \sigma_{\geq 1}(p_T^{\text{cut}}) &= \alpha_s(L^2 + L) + \alpha_s^2(L^4 + L^3 + L^2 + L) + \cdots \\ \sigma_0(p_T^{\text{cut}}) &= \sigma_{\text{total}} - \sigma_{\geq 1}(p_T^{\text{cut}}) \\ &= \left[1 + \alpha_s + \alpha_s^2 + \cdots\right] - \left[\alpha_s(L^2 + L) + \alpha_s^2(L^4 + \cdots) + \cdots\right] \end{split}$$

- perturbative series have different structures and are not related
- small uncertainties are result of cancellation of two large corrections



Current recipe being used by experiments [Anastasiou et al., arXiv:0905.3529]

Common scale variation for jet bins, e.g. for the Tevatron

$$\frac{\Delta\sigma}{\sigma} = \underbrace{66.5\% \times \begin{pmatrix} +5\% \\ -9\% \end{pmatrix}}_{0 \text{ jets}} + \underbrace{28.6\% \times \begin{pmatrix} +24\% \\ -22\% \end{pmatrix}}_{1 \text{ jet}} + \underbrace{4.9\% \times \begin{pmatrix} +78\% \\ -41\% \end{pmatrix}}_{\geq 2 \text{ jets}} = \begin{pmatrix} +14\% \\ -14\% \end{pmatrix}$$

Proposed Fixed Order Solution [Tackmann, ...]

The inclusive jet cross sections are considered uncorrelated

 $\sigma_{\text{total}}, \sigma_{\geq 1}, \sigma_{\geq 2}$ for scale variation

• The covariance matrix for the *exclusive* jet cross sections follows from

$$\sigma_0=\sigma_{
m total}-\sigma_{\geq 1}\,,\qquad \sigma_1=\sigma_{\geq 1}-\sigma_{\geq 2}\,,\qquad \sigma_{\geq 2}$$



Current recipe being used by experiments [Anastasiou et al., arXiv:0905.3529]

Common scale variation for jet bins, e.g. for the Tevatron



Proposed Fixed Order Solution [Tackmann, ...]



0000000000 Jet veto restricts ISR, gives double logs 0000 00000× using "beam thrust" or "o-jettiness" LO NLO **NNLO** $+ \alpha_s^3 L^6 + \dots LL$ $+ \alpha_s^2 L^4$ $\sigma_{0\text{-jet}} = 1 + \alpha_s L^2$ $+ \alpha_s^3 L^5 + \dots \text{ NLL}$ $+ \alpha_s^2 L^3$ $+ \alpha_s L$ $+ \alpha_s^3 L^4 + \dots$ $+ \alpha_s n_1(p_T^{\text{cut}}) + \alpha_s^2 L^2$ $+ \alpha_s^3 L^3 + \dots NNLL$ $+ \alpha_s^2 L$ $+ \alpha_{s}^{2} n_{2}(p_{T}^{\text{cut}}) + \alpha_{s}^{3} L^{2} + \dots$ $+ \alpha_s^3 L + \dots$ Our calculation: $+ \alpha_s^3 + \dots$ NNLL + NNLO ∞ two orders of summa \boldsymbol{H} beyond LL shower pi 00000 Soft

Wednesday, May 25, 2011

let /////

 ℓ

Berger, Marcantonini,







NNLO: using inclusive jet cross sections & correlation matrix



- NNLO uncertainties now consistent with those from NNLL+NNLO resummation
 - increased theory errors will impact Higgs bound

Jet Mass

Jouttenus, IS, Tackmann, Waalewijn

N-Jettiness Factorization Formula

Pieces needed for NNLL are now all in hand:

- Three Loop Cusp Anom. Dim, Two Loop Non Cusp.
 (Note: Beam function has same Logs as Jet Function)
- One Loop Hard functions: when available in QCD literature (only part that restricts N)
- Jet & Beam Functions at one loop
- N-jet Soft function

Jouttenus, IS, Tackmann, Waalewijn T_N^a

N-Jettiness Factorization Formula

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}T_N^a \,\mathrm{d}T_N^b \cdots \mathrm{d}T_N^N} = \int \mathrm{d}x_a \mathrm{d}x_b \int \mathrm{d}(\text{phase space})$$

$$\times \sum_{\kappa} \int \mathrm{d}t_a \, B_{\kappa_a}(t_a, x_a) \int \mathrm{d}t_b \, B_{\kappa_b}(t_b, x_b) \prod_{J=1}^N \int \mathrm{d}s_J \, J_{\kappa_J}(s_J)$$

$$\times \mathrm{tr} \left[H_N^\kappa \left(\{q_i \cdot q_j\}, x_{a,b} \right) \, \widehat{S}_N^\kappa \left(T_N^a - \frac{t_a}{Q_a}, T_N^b - \frac{t_b}{Q_b}, T_N^1 - \frac{s_1}{Q_1}, \dots, T_N^N - \frac{s_N}{Q_N}, \{\hat{q}_i \cdot \hat{q}_j\} \right) \right]$$

$$q_i \cdot q_j = (Q_i Q_j) (\hat{q}_i \cdot \hat{q}_j)$$

With assumptions: $\mathcal{T}_i \sim \mathcal{T}_j$, $\hat{q}_i \cdot \hat{q}_j \gg \mathcal{T}_i/Q_i$, $Q_i \sim Q_j$

Can explore angular dependence, R dependence, Q_i dependence

Have Color / Kinematic info. Can look at jet mass in samples with various amounts of quarks vs. gluons.

 T_N^a

Unfortunately we did not quite get final results in time for the workshop ...

Jouttenus, IS, Tackmann, Waalewijn



The End