

ensor radius 145 mm

# **Precision luminometry for tests of the Standard Model Solution Solution**



 $-\Lambda(\sigma) = 3.1\%$ 

 $\Delta(\sigma) = 1.5\%$ 

∆(luminosity) [%]

2.5



# Outline

CMS

Physics motivation: test of the Standard Model

Methodology

Attacking the leading uncertainties

- Understanding beam-beam interactions
- Improved techniques for precision calibration highlights
  - Orbit movements
  - Transverse non-factorization of the beam particle density
  - Z boson counting

Luminosity instrumentation and the CMS phase-2 detector upgrade

# Luminosity

- Quantifies interaction rate at colliders
- Time-dependent "instantaneous" luminosity: ►  $R_{\rm x}(t) = \mathcal{L}(t) \cdot \sigma_{\rm x}$ 
  - Feedback to accelerator, detector operation
- Integrated luminosity over time:  $L_{int} = \int \mathcal{L}(t) dt$ 
  - Necessary to normalize physics measurements to derive cross sections

CMS

3.0

Recorded luminosity (fb<sup>-1</sup>/1.0) 0.7 0.7 2.7 2.7 2.7 2.7

1.0

0.5

Bunches can be different:

single bunch instantaneous luminosity (SBIL):  $\mathcal{L}_{h}(t)$ 

- max. ~ 7 Hz/µb = 7  $\cdot$  10<sup>30</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup>
- Multiple interactions per bunch crossing
  - Event pile up  $\sim 50$



#### 4

# How luminosity affects LHC program?

- Test of the Standard Model
  - precise cross sections (σ) measurements
  - compare to model predictions and other experiments
- Quest for New Physics beyond the Standard Model
  - discovery  $\rightarrow$  measure  $\sigma$
  - no signal  $\rightarrow$  put limit on maximal  $\sigma$  allowed by the results

#### LHC physics goals require a precision around ~1%

- Real-time (online) 2-5% bunch-by-bunch (BbB) measurement
  - Assist beam optimisation, luminosity levelling —
  - Optimisation of detector operations, e.g. fast online "trigger" selection
- Ultimate 1% with final calibration and corrections offline
  - Luminosity uncertainty still dominant in key channels of physics interest (e.g., Drell-Yan, top quark pair, and Higgs studies)
  - ... but subdominant in most analyses

Final ("precision") uncertainty / year: 1.6% (2015), 1.2% (2016) Current preliminary uncertainty / year: 2.3% (2017), 2.5% (2018), 1.4% (2022) Run 2 (2015-2018) preliminary combined: 1.6%









# Drell-Yan lepton pair production at HL-LHC

#### Assuming Run-2 systematics for other experimental contributions



# Top quark pair production at HL-LHC



Two scenarios considered for other experimental uncertainties

- $\blacktriangleright \quad \text{Run 2} \rightarrow \text{total uncertainty on cross-section excluding luminosity: 3.1\%}$
- Phase 2 performance with improved lepton ID (0.5%/lepton), top pT modelling (⅓), jet energy scale (~½), other (½) → total uncert. excluding luminosity: 1.5%





Higgs boson properties at HL-LC



In the most precisely measured Higgs boson production process, gluon fusion (ggH), luminosity uncertainty will dominate the experimental uncertainty at HL-LHC even with the target 1% precision and will remain significant even when including the expected theoretical uncertainties

Data statistical uncertainties in cross sections 0.8% (ggH), 2.6% (VBF), 4.6% (WH), 3.9% (ZH), 1.8% (ttH), in coupling modifier parameters ~1%

# Luminosity measurement strategy

$$R(t) = dN / dt = \mathcal{L}(t) \cdot \sigma$$

**Absolute calibration** 

- Identify luminometers with ~linear rates
- Convert measured rates to luminosity using a calibration constant: visible cross-section (σ<sub>vis</sub>)
- Measure rate and luminosity in-situ from beam parameters in well-controlled environment: van der Meer (vdM) transverse beam-separation scans (well-separated bunches, PU<1)</li>
   → derive visible cross-section
- Main challenge: corrections for various systematic effects

#### Integration over time and bunches

- Calculate "integrated" luminosity in physics conditions for a given time period:
   L = ∫R(t) dt / σ<sub>vis</sub>
  - $\rightarrow$  stability of instrumentation in time (aging, operating conditions,...)
- Extrapolation of σ<sub>vis</sub> to physics conditions (PU up to 70 in Run 2/3, bunch trains)
  - $\rightarrow$  linearity of detector & counting method
- Out-of time effects (e.g., from activation of detector material, electronic time walk, late particles...)



# Luminometer calibration

Luminosity from beam parameters for a single bunch crossing

$$\mathcal{L} = f_{\text{rev}} N_1 N_2 \int \rho_1(x, y) \rho_2(x, y) dx dy = f_{\text{rev}} \frac{N_1 N_2}{2\pi \Sigma_x}$$

Bunch intensities

Bunch particle density distributions in transverse plane

# CMS

Effective bunch overlap widths in x and y transverse directions

Assumes transverse factorisation of bunch particle density distributions: 
$$\rho_i(x,y) = \rho_{x,i}(x) \cdot \rho_{y,1}(y)$$

In a calibration fill optimised for best precision

- Measure head-on luminosity from beam parameters (L) using Van-der-Meer (VdM) transverse beam separation scans (or beam gas imaging in LHCb)
- Measure luminometer head-on rate  $(R_{o})$
- Define the calibration constant as  $\sigma_{vis} = R_0 / \mathcal{L}$

Typical conditions in VdM fills

- low inst. luminosity & PU
- single, well-separated
   bunches (no trains!) to
   minimize long-range
   beam-beam interactions
- large transverse beam size (large β\*) w.r.t. vertex resolution
- zero crossing angle

# $\sigma_{vis}$ determination with vdM method

- Rate for different transverse beam separations ∆x, ∆y for ±6σ<sub>beam</sub> in fine steps
- Bunch overlap widths Σ<sub>x</sub>, Σ<sub>y</sub> given by normalised integral
- ► Fit functions vary: g, g+g, poly×g, etc.
- Visible cross-section

$$\sigma_{\mathsf{vis}} = \frac{2\pi\Sigma_{\mathsf{x}}\Sigma_{\mathsf{y}}}{N_1N_2f_{\mathsf{rev}}} \cdot R_0$$

- Ingredients to measure
  - Bunch intensities  $N_1$ ,  $N_2$
  - Background affecting R<sub>0</sub>
  - Length-scale & orbit movements affecting separation Δx, Δy, and thus
  - Σ<sub>x</sub>, Σ<sub>y</sub>
     Non-factorisation of beam particle densities ρ<sub>1,2</sub>(x,y)
  - Beam-beam interactions affecting bunch shape and separation



Width ~ Integral / Peak:  $\Sigma_x = \int R_x(\Delta x) d(\Delta x) / (\sqrt{2}\sqrt{\pi} R_x(0))$ 

#### Luminosity uncertainties Uncertainty (%) 2022 (preliminary) 20 Correction (%) Uncertainty (%) 2015, 2016 Source (final) Calibration Beam current 3.4 0.2 0.1 Ghost and satellite charges 0.2 0.2 0.4Orbit drift 0.2, 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.8, 0.5 Residual beam positions 0.0 0.3 Beam-beam effects 1.0 0.4 0.5 0.2, 0.3 Length scale -1.0 0.1 0.5 Factorization bias 0.8 1.0Scan-to-scan variation 0.5 0.6, 0.3 Bunch-to-bunch variation 0.1Cross-detector consistency 0.4 Integration 0.3, 0.4 HFET OOT pileup corrections 0.2 0.6, 0.5 Cross-detector stability 0.5 0.5, 0.3 Cross-detector linearity 0.5 1.3, 1.0 Calibration 1.2 Integration 0.8 1.0, 0.7 1.4 1.6, 1.2 Total CMS-PAS-LUM-22-001 EPJC 81 (2021) 800







CM



Luminosity uncertainties Uncertainty (%)						
Source	2022 (pro Correction (%)	eliminary) Uncertaint	2015, 201 y <sup>(%)</sup> (final)	16		
Calibration			(11101)			
Beam current	3.4	0.2	0.1			
Ghost and satellite charges	0.4	0.2	0.2			
Orbit drift	0.1	0.1	0.2, 0.1			
Residual beam positions	0.0	0.3	0.8, 0.5	M		
Beam-beam effects	1.0	0.4	0.5			
Length scale	-1.0	0.1	0.2, 0.3			
Factorization bias	1.0	0.8	0.5			
Scan-to-scan variation	-	0.5	1			
Bunch-to-bunch variation	-	0.1	<b>&gt;</b> 0.6, 0.3			
Cross-detector consistency	-	0.4	<u> </u>			
Integration						
HFET OOT pileup corrections		0.2	0.3, 0.4			
Cross-detector stability		0.5	0.6, 0.5			
Cross-detector linearity		0.5	0.5, 0.3			
Calibration		1.2	1.3, 1.0			
Integration		0.8	1.0, 0.7			
Total		1.4	1.6, 1.2			

CMS-PAS-LUM-22-001

EPJC 81 (2021) 800

# Major contributions of ELTE team

Strong collaboration between experiments and machine experts to tackle common systematics



# Beam-beam (BB) interactions



 $\Sigma = 119.4 \pm 5.3$  [um]

 $N = 0.85 \pm 0.00$  [10

CERN-ACC-NOTE-2013-0006

Electromagnetic interaction between the charged particles of the beams

- $\rightarrow$  all particles perturbed (only few collide!), trajectory change due to non-linear force:
- Affects beam separation: "beam-beam deflection"
  - coherent effect on a bunch
  - estimated analytically using Bassetti-Erskine formula
- Distorts the bunch sizes, shapes: "optical" or "dynamic-beta" effect
  - incoherent effect on single particles
  - modifies bunch overlap area, thus measured rates in luminometers
- Also changes the betatron tunes (tune shift & spread:  $\Delta Q \propto \xi$ ), causes particle losses, emittance blow up...

Model with multiparticle simulations: B\*B & COherent Multibunch Beam-beam Interaction (COMBI)

After Run 2: large correction to previous calculation based on linear approximation (lumi results before 2019 biased by ~1%)



betatron tune => # of transverse oscillations of a particle in one revolution around the ring (Q\_=64.31,  $Q_{=}59.32$  for pp at top energy)

0.6



-0.4



# **Beam-beam interactions**

LHC working group (LLCMWG) effort

 $\rightarrow$  correction scheme, uncertainty estimation prescription

Per-bunch input to calculate luminosity bias  $\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}_0(\Delta \mid \xi_R, q_x, q_y)$ 

- Luminometer based transverse bunch width (assuming round beams with equal sizes:  $\sigma_R^2 = \Sigma_x \Sigma_y/2$ )

1.005

0.990

- Bunch intensity (assuming  $N = N_1 N_2/2$ )
- Beam parameters:  $\beta^*$ ,  $Q_x$ ,  $Q_y$ ,  $E_b$
- Number of collisions per orbit
  - $(\rightarrow$  tune shift, effective fractional tunes  $q_x, q_y$

```
Uncertainties due to Q_x, Q_v, \beta^*, non-Gaussian,
non-round, non-equal sized & charged bunches...
```







# Orbit drift from nominal position

- Measured by beam position monitors (BPMs)
- Correct nominal beam positions & separations (Δx, Δy)
- "Arc" BPMs in LHC arcs adjacent to experiments
  - Their data transformed to beam positions at the interaction points (IPs) using LHC optics model
- Diode Orbit and Oscillation (DOROS) BPMs at Q1 triplet quadrupoles 21.5 m from the IP
- Average Beam 1 & Beam 2 orbit tracked by the movements of the luminous region ("beam spot") at the IPs via reconstructed vertex positions by the tracking detectors
- All orbit measurements are integrated over all bunches
- Orbit drifts have many origins, e.g.,
  - Beam-beam deflection (affects separated colliding bunches)
  - Magnetic non-linearities (systematic "hysteresis")
  - Slow "random" orbit drifts (assumed to be linear between head-on measurements before and after scans)
     LHC BPM data
     DOROS

arc

Orbit jitters (instabilities with few \_\_\_\_\_
 10s of seconds characteristic time)







nominal orbit per second

Measured linear orbit drift wrt. nominal orbit during head-on collisions(before, in the middle, and after scans)EPJC 81 (2021) 800

# "Residual" orbit drifts and magnetic non-linearities

Systematic residual orbit drifts observed in BPM data:

**Residual** = BPM - α·**Nominal** 

- β·BBdeflection
- linearOD

- BPM length scale ( $\alpha$ ) wrt LHC nominal positions from corrector magnet currents

- Beam-beam deflection corrected by a geometric factor to account for the BPM distance from the IP and scaled ( $\beta$ ) to account for non-colliding bunches and BPM instrumental effects

#### Possible source: magnetic non-linearities

- All experiments observe similar effects

- Dedicated measurements performed in Run 3 and by magnet experts in the lab (CERN-ACC-NOTE-2022-0013) showing consistent results





Correction improves consistency of measured visible cross section values from scan to scan

 $\mathbb{L}N$ 

# Transverse length scale (LS) calibration

- Scale factor between nominal displacement from LHC dipole corrector magnet currents to actual displacement in tracker reference frame using luminous region (beamspot) position from reconstructed vertices
- Special scans performed to move the beamspot position
  - Beams moved together in equidistant steps with constant (non-zero) beam separation to measure average B1&B2 LS
    - ▶ Fast, allows to measure back & forth
  - One beam moved in equidistant steps with the other beam performing 3-step mini-scans around it to determine the head on position, having thus variable beam separation during the scan
    - Provides per beam LS
- Main difficulty: orbit drift (OD) during the scans



### Adjusts $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{x'}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{y}$

OD correction:

- Correct nominal positions using beam position monitor (BPM) data
- BPM length scale enters
- Few steps, possible large effect of "random" shifts / jumps

LS correction can reach -1% Typical uncertainty 0.2-0.3%

# Transverse beam particle density factorisation

Even with beam tailoring in the LHC injection chain, the VdM assumption of

 $\rho_i(x,y) = \rho_{x,i}(x) \cdot \rho_{y,1}(y)$  not exact Various methods developed to measure the effect and derive bunch shapes

Beam imaging using a special scan with a stationary beam scanned by the other

Luminous region analysis exploiting the 3D beam spot reconstruction (position,

using reconstructed vertex position distributions



Time [min]



# Transverse beam overlap shape factorisation

- Factorisation effects can change from bunch to bunch (& in time)
- Study directly the overlap area from luminometer rates
- Simultaneous analysis of VdM & offset/diagonal scans
  - Similar to LHCb pioneered 2D scan analysis
  - Orbit drifts during extended data taking need to be controlled
  - Applicable in PbPb collisions where beam size similar to vertex resolution
- First evidence for bunch family dependence (PS Booster ring, number of colliding IPS) <sup>100</sup> (mm) <sup>2</sup> <sup>21</sup> <sup>24</sup>



CMS Preliminary

Scans: vdM3+off BCID: 2965

Detector: HFE

Model: SG

-0.06

0.04 0.03

0.05

0.02

0.00

Fill 8381 (2022, 13.6 TeV

1D SG fit to vdM

1D SG fit to off-axis

vdM data

offset data

# Probing uncorrected / unknown effects



# Bunch-by-bunch and scan-to-scan variation of calibration constant

Luminosity cross-detector comparison in non-scanning periods of a vdM fill



Measures beam-dependent uncorrected effects

Essential to have several, independently calibrated luminometer to check for unknown instrumental biases



# Luminosity uncertainties



# Emittance scans: mini-vdM scans in physics fills

0.15

0.10

0.05





- Less precise than VdM scans due to uncorrected biases, used for relative measurements in similar conditions
- Study time dependence of luminometer response  $\rightarrow$  efficiency monitoring
- Different SBILs from bunch to bunch and at start and end of and fill → measure (non-)linearity





# Integration systematics: stability & linearity

Compare independently calibrated luminometer measurements



CMS-PAS-LUM-22-001

First, each BbB luminometer

- independently vdM calibrated
- corrected for out-of-time effects

 - linearity and efficiency monitored & corrected using short vdM-like "emittance" scans

#### Slope of L(det)/L(ref) vs. L(ref)

Typical linearity uncertainty: 0.5%



Typical stability uncertainty: 0.5-0.6%

#### - Self-calibrating measurement Z counting for luminosity integration (muon efficiency from same data) CMS CMS 20 pb<sup>-1</sup> at 13 TeV (2017) Fill 6255, 13 TeV (2017) $-\sigma(N_{highPU}/N_{lowPU}) = 0.5\%$ in 2017 എ1500 **2 HLT muons** 1.00 Sia. + Bka. $\chi^2$ /dof = 119/115 Bka. Data $N_2^{\rm sig} = 8912 \pm 100$ 81000 $N_{2}^{bkg} = 101 \pm 36$ candida



Luminosity uncertainties Uncertainty (%)								
Source	2022 (pro Correction (%)	eliminary) Uncertaint	2015, 2016 y (%) (final)	5				
Calibration			(initial)					
Beam current	3.4	0.2	0.1					
Ghost and satellite charges	0.4	0.2	0.2					
Orbit drift	0.1	0.1	0.2, 0.1					
Residual beam positions	0.0	0.3	0.8, 0.5					
Beam-beam effects	1.0	0.4	0.5	$\frown$				
Length scale	-1.0	0.1	0.2, 0.3	State-of-the-art i	n 2018			
Factorization bias	1.0	0.8	0.5	at the end of Pu				
Scan-to-scan variation	-	0.5	1 A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A		12			
Bunch-to-bunch variation	-	0.1	<b>&gt;</b> 0.6, 0.3	~2.5%				
Cross-detector consistency	-	0.4						
Integration			PCC:					
HFET OOT pileup corrections		0.2	0.3, 0.4	/ /~ fac	tor 2			
Cross-detector stability		0.5	0.6, 0.5	$\checkmark$ $\checkmark$ improvements	ovement!			
Cross-detector linearity		0.5	0.5, 0.3					
Calibration		1.2	1.3, 1.0	Getting close to				
Integration		0.8	1.0, 0.7	target precision				
Total		1.4	1.6, 1.2	of 1%				

CMS-PAS-LUM-22-001

EPJC 81 (2021) 800

# **HL-LHC** schedule and challenges





Shutdown/Technical stop Protons physics Ions Commissioning with beam Hardware commissioning/magnet training **Goal**: ~15-20x more data than recorded so far

#### Challenges

- High-radiation environment: replace tracker & endcap calorimeter
- High pileup up to (µ)=140-200, high particle multiplicity: improve granularity, use timing information
- Extended physics reach: enlarged acceptance in |η|
- High data rate: upgrade trigger and DAQ





# The CMS Phase-2 Upgrade



Approved in 2022 14 technical systems



- Beam-induced background
- Bunch-by-bunch luminosity: 1% offline. 2% online
- Neutron and mixed-field radiation monitors

SS: stainless steel, FE: front end, BE: back end, MIP: minimum ionizing particle, 29 SiPM: Silicon Photomultiplier



# Main features of CMS Phase-2 upgrade

- ▷ New **silicon pixel and strip tracker** with higher granularity and larger coverage ( $|\eta|$ <4)
- New "imaging" high-granularity endcap calorimeter
- Extended muon coverage in forward region (|η|<2.8), new high-granularity GEM detectors</li>
- ▶ **Precision timing** by dedicated **MIP timing detectors** with 30-50 ps resolution ( $|\eta|$ <3) supplemented by improved timing information from muon detectors and calorimeters
- Upgraded electronics with higher bandwidth
- Fully reconstructed  $p_T > 2$  GeV tracks & particle-flow at level-1 trigger, increased rate (750 kHz) and latency (12.5 µs), 40 MHz scouting
- High-level trigger with heterogeneous architecture,7.5 kHz output rate\*<sup>[1]</sup>

Upgrade in full swing, first full phase-2 detector installed







# Luminosity measurement at HL-LHC

- 1% target precision for integrated luminosity per year in very demanding conditions
  - event pile-up up to 140-200 at 40 MHz
  - 10 years of data taking to collect >3000 fb<sup>-1</sup> data
    - ▶ neutron fluences ~10<sup>16</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup> in forward pixel tracker
    - ► total ionizing dose ~10<sup>7</sup> Gy
- Measure pileup distributions, i.e. bunch-by-bunch luminosity for simulation
- Real-time feedback with  $\sim 2\%$  precision for luminosity levelling
  - from 17 to (5-7.5)  $10^{34}$  cm<sup>-2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup> with  $\beta^*$ , crossing angle, beam separation adjustments
- Manage non-linearity inherent in every luminometer, as well as train effects
  - extrapolating current luminometer linearity performance to HL-LHC  $\rightarrow$  2-3% uncertainty
- Minimize long-term efficiency loss using radiation hard instrumentation
- Understand the beam properties with improved instrumentation







### BRIL SUBSYSTEMS for bunch-by-bunch Phase-2 luminometry



#### **Pillars of luminometry**

1. Consumer of *CMS subsystem data* (much like the trigger)

#### 2. Dedicated BbB luminometer: FBCM

- Independent, under full control of BRIL
- Luminosity & BIB outside stable beams
- Simple, reliable, high precision
- Unique asynchronous / sub-BX timing capabilities
  - Time structure of beams
  - Orthogonal systematics
- Proven technology (Run-2 BCM1F)
- Pragmatic, reuses existing components, while new ones, especially FE ASIC is designed to fulfil only BRIL requirements

#### 3. Principle of maximum commonality

- Histogramming firmware for subsystem backends
- Run control and data acquisition, independent of CMS

Robust system of diverse technologies and counting methods with different systematics <sup>32</sup>

# Luminosity architecture





# Tracker Luminosity

Tracker Endcap Pixel Detector

- Real-time Pixel Cluster Counting (PCC) on 2 m<sup>2</sup> of Si @ 75 kHz
- 2- & 3-fold coincidence counting for calibration & monitoring
- Data split in pixel back end, luminosity events sent to dedicated processor board for real time cluster reconstruction and counting
   Disk 4 Ring 1
- Fully independent (including services), operated by BRIL
- ► Always on → provides beam-induced background and luminosity measurements during machine development, commissioning, filling cycle incl. ramping
- Full trigger bandwidth for BRIL: 825 kHz at PU200, 2-4 MHz at low PU
   Outer Tracker Layer 6 best statistical power
- Histogramming instances at OT back end count stubs from 12 modules each at 40 MHz during stable beams using dynamical error handling

**BRIL Trigger Board** 

- Clocking infrastructure for FBCM / D4R1
- Unbiased luminosity triggers for TEPX / D4R1
- Forwards beam 1 and beam 2 signals from Beam Pickup Timing Experiment (BPTX) To Global Trigger (GT)









# Fast Beam Conditions Monitor (FBCM)

- Stand-alone luminometer under full control of BRIL
- Independent of CMS services (DAQ, TCDS, run control, magnet status)
- Available outside stable beams (additional safety, e.g. tracker high voltage interlock)
- Inspired by Run 2 BCM1F concept: based on Si-pad sensors with fast front-end ASIC
- Adapting Phase-2 Inner Tracker (IT) electronics components
- Triggerless readout with sub-BX timing to study time structure of beams and beam-induced background
- 288 Si-pad sensors of 2.89 mm<sup>2</sup> at r = 14.5 cm arranged on 4 half-disks, with modular design
- Two option for sensors: 290 um 2-pad (Run-3 BCM1F) or 150 um 6-pad (lower S/N, more rad hard, common GND ring to limit sensitive volume, produced on IT wafers)
- Location behind Disk 4 of the TEPX in the Tracker cold volume

#### Good statistical precision, excellent linearity, no significant degradation with aging







Example (Run3 data) of the aggregated per bunch crossing histogram as expected to be read out from Apollo System-on-chip to BRIL <u>DAQ in R</u>un 4

<sup>35</sup> 35



### Fast Beam Condition Monitor design

- □ 2x2 identical half disks at 2.8 m from IP with 12 modules each
- Mechanics follows CMS inner tracker design (materials, manufacturing, vendors) with minor modifications
- Independent, dedicated BRIL ring connected to the Tracker Endcap Pixel (TEPX) detector cooling manifold

   Outer radius
   255 mm

   Sensor radius
   145 mm

Inner radius 120 mm





# **FBCM** half-disk

- 6-channel ASIC optimised for fast time response & low noise, qualified to place production order
- Service boards at higher radius provide power, control, and read out for 3 front-end modules each

service board

DC-DC LV

connector

Front-end HV connector

DC-DC converter  $(12 \text{ V} \rightarrow 1.25 \text{V})$ 



# FBCM read out

1) Si-pad sensors, n-on-p

Send analog LV signal pulse via short, low-capacitance bonds



#### FBCM ASIC top view on test board 6 inputs 6 binary ASIC differential from outputs sensors FBCM ASIC response to consecutive 4.5 fC signals 1.1 E 0.6 0.0

#### 2) FBCM23 front-end ASIC

- 65 nm, radiation hard
- 3x3 mm<sup>2</sup>, wire-bonded
- 6 channels, SLVS output
- Triggerless asynchronous read out
- Electronic noise < 800 e<sup>-</sup> ENC
- Adjustable peaking time (4-8 ns)
- Timewalk below 5 ns
- Linearity up to 6 fC
- Fast amplifier and comparator
  - Fast return to baseline after hit with multiple MIPs (150 fC)
  - Double-hit resolution after discrimination 25 ns
- Expected dose 200 Mrad, fluence  $2.5 \cdot 10^{15} n_{ac}/cm^2$ SEU-protected I<sup>2</sup>Č<sup>4</sup>register block

#### 3) IT portcard

6-pad, 150 um thick

First test beam measurement in April IpGBT transceiver samples binary signal, packs into frames,

-0.1

and outputs via VTRx+ electro-optical interface





#### 4) ATCA-standard back-end **Apollo FPGA board**

- Unpacks data
- Measures ToA and ToT
- Aggregates data to
- sub-bunch-crossing histograms

SLVS = Scalable Low-Voltage Signal SEU = Single Event Upset (bitflip) lpGBT = Low Power GigaBit Transceiver VTRx+ = Versatile Link Plus Transceiver

# **Capabilities of Phase-2 luminometers**

	Available outside stable beams	Independent of TCDS	Independent of foreseeable central DAQ downtimes	Offline luminosity available at LS frequency (bunch-by-bunch)	Statistical uncertainty in physics per LS (bunch-by-bunch)	Online luminosity available at ~1s frequency (bunch-by-bunch)	Statistical uncertainty in vdM scans for σvis (bunch-by-bunch)	Stability and linearity tracked with emittance scans (bunch-by-bunch)
FBCM hits on pads	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	0.037%	$\checkmark$	0.18%	$\checkmark$
D4R1 clusters (+coincidences)	~	$\checkmark$	√	√	0.021%	$\checkmark$	0.07%	$\checkmark$
HFET [sum ET] (+HFOC [towers hit])	~	if configured	if configured	√	0.017%	~	0.23%	$\checkmark$
TEPX clusters (+coincidences)	if qualified beam optics	×	if configured	✓	0.020%	$\checkmark$	0.03%	$\checkmark$
OT L6 track stubs	×	×	if configured	✓	0.006%	$\checkmark$	0.03%	~
MB trigger primitives via back end	~	×	×	√	0.25%	~	1.2%	$\checkmark$
40 MHz scouting BMTF muon	~	×	×	√	0.96%	~	4.7%	~
REMUS ambient dose equivalent rate	~	$\checkmark$	√	orbit integrated	orbit integrated	orbit integrated	orbit integrated	orbit integrated

Chapter 5 of the BRIL Phase-2 TDR

#### Orthogonal instrumentation systematics!

# Precision luminosity determination

- ... required by EW and top physics at (HL-)LHC
- ... challenging (and a lot of fun!)
- ... necessitates
  - good understanding of beam physics <u>10.1140/epjc/s10052-023-12192-5</u>
  - excellent quality of beam instrumentation to determine bunch intensity & shape, orbit position, etc.
  - luminometer data quality rigorously monitored (development of machine learning based tools, e.g. for CMS Pixel Luminosity Telescope (PLT) <u>10.1140/epic/s10052-023-11713-6</u>

 $\sigma_{ZH}$ 

 refined techniques to calculate corrections for the absolute calibration of the luminometer visible cross sections <u>10.1140/epjc/s10052-021-09538-2 CMS-PAS-LUM-22-001</u> <u>10.1140/epjc/s10052-023-12268-2</u>

The requirements at HL-LHC even more severe <u>CERN-BE-2022-001</u> <u>CERN-LHCC-2021-008</u>

- $\rightarrow$  development of dedicated luminometer, FBCM (incl. ELTE, Uni Debrecen) arXiV: 2402.03971
- $\rightarrow$  adaptation of various CMS sub-systems for lumonimetry
- The goal of 1% luminosity precision at HL-LHC is challenging but in our reach









# Beam Radiation, Instrumentation and Luminosity project

15 technical systems for radiation monitoring, beam timing and abort, beam-induced background, and luminosity measurements



#### New

#### BRIL Trigger Board (BTB)

BRIL

M

- Generates independent luminosity triggers
- Encodes beam 1 & 2 discriminated signals from BPTX for Global Trigger
- Generates TCDS2-like control stream based on LHC clock for D4R1 and FBCM

# BRIL Data Acquisition (BRILDAQ)

- Independent run control
- Read out and process luminosity histograms, calibration and monitoring data
- Share data real-time
- Database of BRIL information for physics

Architecture design with CMS DAQ Follow evolution of XDAQ platform

Link to TDR 42

# Histogramming and BRIL DAQ





Data source: reading histograms from hardware memory, publishing to XDAQ b2in eventing Data processor: local data aggregation, plotting & storing of histograms

# Luminometry from Run 2 to Phase 2

Natural progression from Run 2

- Successful construction of two detectors during LS2
- Participation in Run 3 demonstrator systems with Phase-2 histogramming firmware
- ➤ Semi-online PCC in Run 3

Luminometry (counting method)	Run 2	Run 3	Phase-2	New Phase-2 features
Hits on semi-conductor sensors (pulse height, timing)	BCM1F (pCVD+Si, via VME BE)	BCM1F (Phase-2 Si, via µTCA BE): real- time pulse height	<b>FBCM</b> (Phase-2 Si and BE)	More channels
Hit calorimeter towers	HFOC (via de	edicated BE FW)	Potentially ATCA BE	Potentially amplitude
Calorimeter $E_{\rm T}$ sum	HFET (via de	edicated BE FW)	Potentially ATCA BE	
Track stubs	PLT (3x coincidences on telescope)	Rebuilt PLT	OT L6 (2x coincidences on TB2S)	More channels
Pixel clusters	Phase-1 pixel tracker (offline)	Phase-1 pixel tracker (at HLT, in BRILDAQ)	TEPX D4R1	More channels, 2x & 3x coincidences at overlaps
Muon barrel L1 trigger primitives	DT orbit-integrated per 23 s	Demonstrator BbB with histogramming FW	MB Phase-2 BE	BbB per 1 s
Trigger objects via 40 MHz scouting	$\mu$ candidates (demonstrator)	$\mu$ candidates (Phase-1 system with histo FW)	Full Phase-2 system	Access also to calorimeter & track objects
Ambient dose equivalent rate (orbit-int per 1 s)	REMUS (via LHC Timber)	REMUS (in BRILDAQ)		

# Central paradigm: maximum commonality



Since conception of BRIL, strengthen the use of common components in data acquisition and analysis of BRIL instrumentation

Common

- + triggering (BRIL Trigger Board: generate unbiased triggers for TEPX & D4R1, BPTX signal to CMS Global Trigger)
- + readout back-end electronics (e.g., use Apollo and Serenity boards for BRIL luminosity systems)
- + histogramming module for all luminometers
- + data acquisition = BRILDAQ
  - + Read out and process luminosity histograms, monitoring and calibration data
    - + Luminosity data processed in ATCA back end with system-on-chip processors
    - + Read out via control network through gigabit Ethernet
      - + subsystems need to give sufficient bandwidth for (small) BRIL data volume
      - + work with DAQ group to define architecture
    - + Injected to BRILDAQ infrastructure
  - + Independent run control system
  - + Database providing all necessary information for physics analyses
- $\, \bowtie \,$  More on common histogramming FW & BRILDAQ: J. Benitez

# Summary of CMS Phase-2 strategy

- BRIL deliverables include
  - radiation and neutron monitoring (LHC Radmons, REMUS PMIs, GFPCs, Bonner-sphere neutron spectrometers),
  - beam instrumentation: abort (BCML) and timing (BPTX),
  - beam-induced background (BHM, EMTF, TEPX D4R1, FBCM) and luminosity measurements
- Aim to reach (2%) 1% precision on (real-time) ultimate luminosity measurement
  - Optimal exploitation of data from existing subsystems
    - TEPX and BRIL-operated D4R1 with pixel cluster and coincidence counting
    - Strip Tracker OT L6 twofold coincidence counting
    - Hadron Forward (HF) calorimeter with 2 algorithms
    - Muon Barrel (DT+RPC) backend and 40 MHz trigger scouting systems providing muon information
      - 40 MHz scouting extendable to track and calorimeter objects
  - Construction of a fully independent, always-on luminosity detector with asynchronous, s
  - This strategy enables CMS to have 3 (almost) ideal luminometers, and in total 5 independently calibrated bunch-by-bunch measurements, plus additional handles on stability and linearity using different detector technologies and counting methods with orthogonal systematics
- Rich network of collaborations with CMS subsystems, CMS technical coordination, CERN departments, and LHC-wide working groups, the paradigm of maximum commonality of HW/FW/SW components, reliance on proven technologies, and a natural evolution from Run 2 to Phase 2 will help to make these plans a reality





https://cds.cern.ch/record/2272264

10x more radiation hard

# CMS





Inner Tracker: 4.9 m<sup>2</sup>, 4000 modules

- $\,\triangleright\,$  2G hybrid micropixels of 25  $\mu m$  x 100  $\mu m$
- n-in-p type Si sensors of 150 μm thickness (3D @ TBPX1)
- ▷ C-ROC in CMOS 65 nm (CERN RD53): v1 under thorough tests

08-10

▷ Focus on module prototype tests, QC procedures

**Outer Tracker:** 190 + 25 m<sup>2</sup>, 13200 modules,

- 43M microstrips + 170M macropixels
- Input to L1 trigger at 40 MHz











# **Outer Tracker**

- pT discrimination via hit correlation ("stubs") in sensors of double-sided modules
- Flex hybrid to get data from both sensors to  $\triangleright$ a single ASIC
- Different sensor spacing for different detector  $\triangleright$ regions + tunable correlation windows
- Associate track to stubs from OT layers and  $\triangleright$ extract track pT for triggering at L1
- Exploring possibility to reconstruct displaced tracks
- **OT in production mode**: 30% of sensors produced, ASICs in production  $\triangleright$ or ready for it, hybrid design completed
- Preparing extensive integration tests and test beams





# **Barrel calorimeter**

- ▶ PbWO<sub>4</sub> crystals and Avalanche Photodiodes (APDs) kept
- FE electronics to be replaced
  - 30 ps time resolution for 30 GeV  $e/\gamma$
  - Single crystal readout (instead of 5x5) at 40 MHz (no latency)
  - New Very Front End (VFE) removes spikes (anomalous signals due to particles hitting the APD directly)
- 9 C operating temperature (from 18 C) to mitigate APD aging / radiation damage

[sd]

solution

20 ps

50

40

APD vs MCP1

APD vs MCP2

APD vs averaged MCPs

- 2021 Oct testbeam with prototype electronics: good linearity, E and time resolutions
- VFE ASICs (CATIA v2 & LiTE-DTU v2) pre-production: good performance, last design modifications done
- HCAL new BE, common with ECAL



#### https://cds.cern.ch/record/2283189

## Muon detectors

- Existing DT, CSC, RPC detectors with upgraded electronics
  - cope with ~10x higher rates and improve performance
  - improve RPC trigger hit time resolution from 25 ns to 1.5 ns
- New detectors in challenging (high rate, high background) forward region
  - increase redundancy and extend coverage to  $|\eta| = 2.4 2.8$
  - enhance tracking performance
  - allow bending angle measurement at trigger level
- Gas Electron Multiplier chambers
  - GE1/1 (LS2),
     GE2/1 (2024/25 & 2023/24 (E)YETS):
     50+100 m<sup>2</sup> of 2-layer triple-GEM
  - ▶ ME0 (LS3): 60 m<sup>2</sup> of 6-layer triple-GEM
- Improved RPC
  - RE3/1, RE4/1 (2024/25 EYETS)





Posters by S. Mohamed, M.R. Kim

### Muon detectors: first stage completed in LS2



- GE1/1 installed (2x36 SCs of 2 triple-GEMs), good performance in 2021 Oct beam test
- One slice of endcap equipped with new GE2/1, RE3/1, RE4/1 chambers
- CSC on-detector electronics upgraded



1.0

40.4

10

1.1

36.8°

DTs CSCs

RPCs

GEMs

MEO

Phase-2 on-board electronics





New Phase-2

technology



η θ° 1.2 33.5°

1.3 30.5

1.4 27.7

1.5 25.2

1.6 22.8

1.7 20.7

18 18 8

1.9 17.0

2.0 15.4

2.1 14.0° 2.2 12.6°

23 11 5

2.4 10.4

2.5 9.4°

2.8 7.0°

3.0 5.7°

4.0 2.1°

5.0 0.77°



# LHC details

# CMS

# LHC operations

- Bunched beams accelerated by RF cavities
- $f_{RF}$  synchronised to movement of bunches (LHC:  $f_{RF}$  = 400.8 MHz @ full energy)
  - Longitudinal focusing
  - LHC: 3-4.5 cm bunch length
- Dipole magnets keep particles on ~circular orbit with alternating arcs and straight sections
- Quadrupole magnets focus the bunches to tiny cross-sections
  - LHC: 10-16 μm in transverse bunch size
- LHC parameters
  - 3564 bunch locations spaced by 7.5 m (every 10th RF bucket)
  - Collisions at every 10/f<sub>RF</sub> ~ 25 ns
  - 1 orbit takes  $1/f_{rev} = 1/11245 \text{ Hz} \approx 90 \text{ }\mu\text{s}$



# LHC ring & beam instrumentation

- Insertion Regions (IR) at straight sections (~528 m)
- Injection from the SPS at 450 GeV beam energy close to IR2 (ALICE) and IR8 (LHCb)
- Acceleration by RF cavities around IR4 to reach collision energy (13.6 TeV in Run 3)
- Beam collimation at IR3 and IR7
- Collisions at 4 Interaction Points (IP1: ATLAS, IP2: ALICE, IP5: CMS, IP8: LHCb)
- Beam dump system at IR6
- Arcs equipped by superconducting magnets to bend, focus, and correct the orbits of the beams





# The LHC filling cycle

Complex sequence of actions to fill the LHC and prepare for stable collisions takes >1 hour



Beam 1

Beam 2

6

protons

4

intensi

eam

m

2.5

RF frequencies of two beams locked

# Filling scheme with bunch trains (an example)

- 72 bunches from PS to SPS in one go
   → bunch trains
- Variable spacing to accommodate rise times of injection and extraction magnets ("kickers") in PS, SPS and LHC
- Empty bunches also useful to determine beam backgrounds, pile-up and detector noise
- Unique numbering scheme: Bunch Crossing IDentifier (BCID)
- LHC can run with a large variety of filling schemes





# Bunch intensity measurements $(N_1, N_2)$

- DC Current Transformers (DCCT) / Beam Current Transformer - DC (BCTDC): Total charge per beam including bunched and unbunched charges
- Fast Beam Current Transformers (FBCT), a wall current transformer: Relative bunch intensities including charges outside the filled bucket (satellites), but not to the unfilled bunches (ghosts) due to bunch charge limit
- Beam Quality Monitors (BQM), a wall current monitor designed to measure longitudinal bunch parameters such as bunch length and phase: sum of 20 samples of (uncalibrated) intensity per filled bucket (i.e. not affected by satellites)
- Longitudinal Density Monitors (LDM) / Beam Synchrotron Radiation - Longitudinal (BSRL): Longitudinal beam profile to determine satellite and ghost charges with a time resolution of 90 ps (integrated over 5 minutes)
- IP8 beam gas imaging (BGI): Ghost charges by comparing rates for empty - empty and empty - filled bunch crossings



