# **Topological Portal to the Dark Sector**

Davighi, AG, Selimovic; [2401.09528](https://arxiv.org/abs/2401.09528)

Admir Greljo





16.05.2024, CERN TH BSM Forum

## *Motivation*



- Dark matter revealed its presence through gravitational interactions.
- Portals to the dark sector are critical to probing its nature.
- They drive:
	- Cosmological history (Relic abundance),
	- Direct / Indirect detection,
	- Collider searches.
- Known portals are scarce.
	- Renormalizable:  $H^\dagger H S^\dagger S$ ,  $B_{\mu\nu} X^{\mu\nu}$ ,  $\bar{L} HN$ .
	- Higher-dimensional:  $aG \wedge G$ , …

## *Today*



 $\mathsf{hep\text{-}th}\Big|$  A novel portal topological interaction between QCD and Dark Pions!

Elegant realization of the light thermal inelastic DM scenario. hep-ph

• Novel signatures at Belle-II hep-ex

# Introduction

- *χPT*
- Chiral anomalies
- Dirac quantisation
- The WZW term

# *Chiral Lagrangian*

- Low-energy limit of QCD
- Chiral symmetry breaking

$$
G = SU(3)L \times SU(3)R
$$

$$
m_{u,d,s} < \Lambda \qquad \qquad \langle \bar{q}_L^i q_R^j \rangle \approx \Lambda^3 \delta^{ij}
$$

$$
\mathcal{H} = SU(3)L+R
$$

- Coset space  $=$  vacuum manifold  $X =$ *G*  $\langle \rangle_{ij} \rightarrow (L^{\dagger}R)_{ij}$   $X = \frac{\partial}{\partial \ell} = SU(3)$
- Goldstone (Pion) matrix

$$
U(x) : M^4 \to SU(3)
$$
  

$$
G: U(x) \to L^{\dagger}U(x)R
$$

# *Chiral Lagrangian*

- Low-energy limit of QCD
- Chiral symmetry breaking

 $G = SU(3)_{L} \times SU(3)_{R}$  $m_{u,d,s} < \Lambda$   $\langle \bar{q}_L^i q_R^j \rangle \approx \Lambda^3 \delta^{ij}$  $\mathcal{H} = SU(3)_{I+R}$ 

- Coset space  $=$  vacuum manifold  $\langle \rangle_{ij} \rightarrow (L^{\dagger}R)_{ij}$   $X =$ *G* ℋ  $= SU(3)$
- Goldstone (Pion) matrix  $U(x): M^4 \rightarrow SU(3)$  $G: U(x) \rightarrow L^{\dagger}U(x)R$

• *χPT* (non-linear effective action) Weinberg '68, CCWZ '69

$$
\mathcal{L} = \frac{f_{\pi}^2}{4} tr \left( D_{\mu} U^{\dagger} D^{\mu} U \right) + \mathcal{O}(D_{\mu}^4)
$$

$$
U(x) = \exp \left( \frac{2i}{f_{\pi}} \pi(x) \right)
$$

$$
\pi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\pi^0}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{\eta}{\sqrt{6}} & \pi^+ & K^+ \\ \pi^- & -\frac{\pi^0}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{\eta}{\sqrt{6}} & K^0 \\ K^- & \bar{K}^0 & -\frac{2\eta}{\sqrt{6}} \end{pmatrix}
$$

- Symmetries
	- 1.  $P_0: \vec{x} \to -\vec{x}$
	- 2.  $(-1)^{N_\pi}: U \to U^{\dagger} \implies \pi^a \to -\pi^a$
	- CCWZ terms are invariant under both.
	- QCD preserves only  $P = P_0(-1)^{N_\pi}$ e.g.  $K^+K^- \rightarrow (\phi) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$

## *Chiral anomalies*





Anomaly matching ('t Hooft): Deep IR

Q: How does  $\mathcal{L} = \frac{N_c e^2}{96\pi^2 f_\pi} \pi^0 \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} F_{\mu\nu} F_{\rho\sigma}$  arise in a *G*-invariant EFT? Wess, Zumino '71 Witten '83

## *Invariant action?*

• An attempt to construct a *G*-invariant Lagrangian:

$$
\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \operatorname{tr} \left( U^{\dagger} \left( \partial_{\mu} U \right) U^{\dagger} \left( \partial_{\nu} U \right) U^{\dagger} \left( \partial_{\rho} U \right) U^{\dagger} \left( \partial_{\sigma} U \right) \right) = 0
$$
\n
$$
P_0 \text{-odd} \qquad \text{No } G\text{-invariant term in 4d violates } P_0
$$

Witten '83 • But 4d covariant EOM exist:

$$
\frac{1}{2} f_{\pi}^{2} \partial_{\mu} (U^{\dagger} \partial^{\mu} U) = \frac{k}{48\pi^{2}} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} U^{\dagger} (\partial_{\mu} U) U^{\dagger} (\partial_{\nu} U) U^{\dagger} (\partial_{\rho} U) U^{\dagger} (\partial_{\sigma} U)
$$
\n
$$
P_{0} \text{odd}
$$

• How can we build an invariant action?

# *Invariant action?*

Witten '83: Global aspects of current algebra

- The WZW action:
	- Extend  $U(x)$  to a 5d bulk  $D$  whose boundary is a 4d spacetime • Action  $S \sim \int_D \omega_5$  where  $\omega_5 \sim \text{tr}(U^{-1}dU)^5$  is a 5-form

## <u>Properties of  $ω_5$ </u>:

- *G*-invariant
- Closed:  $d\omega_5 = 0$
- Integral: The path integral phase  $e^{iS}$  *independent* of  $D$  (Topological)

 $\left($ 

## *Dirac quantisation*

Consider a charge *e* moving on a unit sphere  $S_2$  around a monopole g

EOM: 
$$
m \frac{d\mathbf{v}}{dt} = e\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B}
$$
  

$$
\mathbf{B} = \frac{g\hat{r}}{4\pi r^2} \implies \int d\mathbf{S} \cdot \mathbf{B} = g
$$

- Symmetries of  $\ddot{x}_i = \epsilon_{ijk} x_j \dot{x}_j$  $\dot{x}_k$
- *SO*(3) rotations
- $-$  LHS:  $P$  and  $T$
- RHS: *PT*

## *Dirac quantisation*

Consider a charge *e* moving on a unit sphere  $S_2$  around a monopole g

EOM: 
$$
m \frac{d\mathbf{v}}{dt} = e\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B}
$$
  
\n
$$
\nabla \times \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{B} = \frac{g\hat{r}}{4\pi r^2} \implies \int d\mathbf{S} \cdot \mathbf{B} = g
$$
\n
$$
A_{\phi}^{N} = \frac{g(1 - \cos \theta)}{4\pi r \sin \theta}
$$

#### Dirac string

Rotational symmetry violated, thus

$$
S = \int dt \left( \frac{1}{2} m \mathbf{v}^2 + e \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{A} \right)
$$

is not manifestly symmetric.

- Symmetries of  $\ddot{x}_i = \epsilon_{ijk} x_j \dot{x}_j$  $\dot{x}_k$
- *SO*(3) rotations
- $-$  LHS:  $P$  and  $T$
- RHS: *PT*

## *Dirac quantisation*

Consider a charge *e* moving on a unit sphere  $S_2$  around a monopole g

In QM, a phase of a closed orbit

$$
\alpha = \oint_{\gamma} \mathbf{A} \cdot d\mathbf{x} = \int_{D} d\mathbf{S} \cdot \mathbf{B}
$$

$$
d\mathbf{S} \cdot \mathbf{B} \qquad \bullet \quad SO(3)\text{-invariant 2-form} \\
F_{ij} \sim \epsilon_{ijk} x^{k} / |x|^{3} \\
\text{but } D \text{ is not unique.}
$$

Witten '83



Thus, 
$$
e^{ie\alpha} = e^{ie\alpha'}
$$
, implies  
 $eg = 2\pi n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ 

"integrality condition"  $\pi_2(S^2) = Z$ 

)

#### $W_{\text{max}}$  will work in the Euclidean path integral and the argument is simple in the argument is simplest if we take our spacetime to be S<sup>4</sup>. We introduce a five-dimensional ball, *D*, such that @*D* = S<sup>4</sup>.  $The WZW action$

- Compactify the spacetime to a large 4d sphere  $S^4$  (fields asymptote at infinity)  $\qquad$  Witten '83 Witten '83
- The goldstone matrix  $U(x) : S^4 \to SU(3)$  $\begin{CD} \n\begin{bmatrix}\n\mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\
\mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\
\mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0}\n\end{bmatrix}\n\end{CD}$

$$
S = \frac{f_{\pi}^2}{4} \int d^4x \, \text{tr}(\partial_{\mu} U^{\dagger} \partial^{\mu} U) + k \int_{D} d^5y \, \omega \qquad \partial D = \mathbf{S}^4
$$

 $1.$   $G$ -invariant

 $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ 

 $d\omega = 0$ 

 $\frac{1}{3}$ 

2. Closed:

*G*

@*y*⌧

 $\overline{U}$ *,*  $\overline{G}$ 

• 
$$
U(y): S^5 \rightarrow SU(3)
$$
, unique 5-form:

$$
\omega = -\frac{i}{240\pi^2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma\tau} \text{tr}\left(U^{\dagger} \frac{\partial U}{\partial y^{\mu}} U^{\dagger} \frac{\partial U}{\partial y^{\nu}} U^{\dagger} \frac{\partial U}{\partial y^{\rho}} U^{\dagger} \frac{\partial U}{\partial y^{\sigma}} U^{\dagger} \frac{\partial U}{\partial y^{\tau}}\right) \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I} & \mathbf{G}\text{-invariant} \\ 2 & \text{Closed: } d\omega = 0 \\ 2 & \text{Closed: } d\omega = 0 \end{bmatrix}
$$

Tong, Gauge Theory the West-Zumino-Wither are a few things to say about the method of the method of the West-Zumino-Wither and the same are a few things to say about the same are a few things to say about the same and the Tong, Gauge Theory

#### $W_{\text{max}}$  will work in the Euclidean path integral and the argument is simple in the argument is simplest if we take our spacetime to be S<sup>4</sup>. We introduce a five-dimensional ball, *D*, such that @*D* = S<sup>4</sup>. The WZW action

- Compactify the spacetime to a large 4d sphere  $S^4$  (fields asymptote at infinity)  $\qquad$  Witten '83 Witten '83
- The goldstone matrix  $U(x) : S^4 \rightarrow SU(3)$  $\begin{CD} \n\begin{bmatrix}\n\mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\
\mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\
\mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0}\n\end{bmatrix}\n\end{CD}$

$$
S = \frac{f_{\pi}^2}{4} \int d^4x \, \text{tr}(\partial_{\mu} U^{\dagger} \partial^{\mu} U) + k \int_{D} d^5y \, \omega \qquad \partial D = \mathbf{S}^4
$$

• 
$$
U(y): S^5 \to SU(3)
$$
, unique 5-form:

$$
\omega = -\frac{i}{240\pi^2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma\tau} \text{tr}\left(U^{\dagger} \frac{\partial U}{\partial y^{\mu}} U^{\dagger} \frac{\partial U}{\partial y^{\nu}} U^{\dagger} \frac{\partial U}{\partial y^{\rho}} U^{\dagger} \frac{\partial U}{\partial y^{\sigma}} U^{\dagger} \frac{\partial U}{\partial y^{\tau}}\right) \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I} & \mathbf{G}\text{-invariant} \\ 2 & \text{Closed: } d\omega = 0 \\ 2 & \text{Closed: } d\omega = 0 \end{bmatrix}
$$

Tong, Gauge Theory the West-Zumino-Wither are a few things to say about the method of the method of the West-Zumino-Wither and the same are a few things to say about the same are a few things to say about the same and the Tong, Gauge Theory Tong, Gauge Theory ball **D** with  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  and  $\beta$  and  $\beta$  and  $\beta$  are  $\beta$  and  $\beta$  and  $\beta$  and  $\beta$  are  $\beta$  and  $\beta$  and

- $\sigma \tau \left( \text{V1/}(3) \right) = 0 \text{ } \partial D = \mathcal{S}^4$  $\pi \cdot (SI/(3)) = 0 \, \partial D = S^4$  $w_4(\text{UC}(3)) = 0, 0D$ •  $\pi_4(SU(3)) = 0, \, \partial D = S^4.$
- 16  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{S})) = \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{D}) = \mathcal{S}$  $\frac{d^5 y}{dx^6}$  with the choice of *D*, mase independence of *D*  $\left( \int_0^5 y \omega = 2\pi n \right)$  winding  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .  $\exp\left(ik \int d^5y \,\omega\right) = \exp\left(-ik \int d^5y \,\omega\right)$   $\qquad \qquad \sigma_s(SU(3)) = Z$  $\begin{array}{cc} \begin{array}{ccc} \bullet & D & \end{array} & \begin{array}{ccc} \bullet & \bullet & \end{array} & \begin{array}{ccc} \bullet$  $E \in \mathbb{Z}$  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int d^5x(t)\right) = \exp\left(-i\frac{1}{2} \int d^5x(t)\right)$   $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ couple of  $\left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \end{array}\right)$  in  $\left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \end{array}\right)$  involved calculation shows that the variation shows the vari opposite orientation.  $\int \frac{1}{\exp\left(ik\int d^5y\,\omega\right)} = \exp\left(-ik\int d^5y\,\omega\right)$   $\int$   $\int$   $\frac{1}{\exp\left(5U(3)\right)} = Z$  $D\cup D'$  $=\mathbf{S}^5$  $\bullet$  Ambiguity in the choice of *D*  $d^5y \; \omega$  $= \exp \left(-ik \right)$  $D^{\prime}$  $d^5y$   $\omega$ ◆  $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}$  $D \cup D' = S^{\circ}$  exp  $\left\{ \int_{S^5} d^{\circ} y \right\}$  $\bullet$   $\pi$ <br> $\bullet$   $\land$ ● Ambig  $y(t) = 0, \, dD = S^4$ <br>*u*ity in the choice of @*y<sup>µ</sup> Phase* i ase ir depende  $\overline{y}$  $\mathsf{C} \mathsf{e} \; \mathsf{of} \, D$  $\exp\left(ik \int d^5y \,\omega\right) = \exp\left(-ik \int d^5y \,\omega\right)$   $\sigma_s(SU(3)) = Z$ the subset of  $\bigcup_{\text{opposite orientation}} J D'$  *D*<sup>*f*</sup> *N<i>I*</sup>  $\bigcup_{\text{opposite orientation}} J$  *R*  $S_{\text{L}}$  is the choice extension of  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  $\mathcal{L} \circ \mathcal{L} \sim$   $\mathcal{L} \left( \int_{\mathbf{S}^5} \int_{\mathbf{S}^5} \int_{\mathbf{S}^5} \int_{\mathbf{S}^5} d\mathbf{S} \right)$ opposite orientation • Ambiguity in the choice of D. Phase independence of D  $\Longrightarrow$
- $\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}$  $d^5$  $\pi_5(SU(3)) = Z$

*y* ! = 2⇡*n*

 $=$   $\frac{1}{2}$ 

 $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ 

*d*5

, also with @*D*<sup>0</sup>

 $\mathfrak{p}$ 



 $\frac{1}{3}$ 

## *The WZW action*

• Expand 
$$
U^{\dagger} \partial_{\mu} U = \frac{2i}{f_{\pi}} \partial_{\mu} \pi + \mathcal{O}(\pi^2)
$$
 Witten '83

$$
\int_{D} d^{5}y \,\omega = \frac{2}{15\pi^{2}f_{\pi}^{5}} \int_{D} d^{5}y \, \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma\tau} \partial_{\mu} \operatorname{tr} \left( \pi \partial_{\nu}\pi \partial_{\rho}\pi \partial_{\sigma}\pi \partial_{\tau}\pi \right) + \mathcal{O}(\pi^{6}) \quad \text{Use Stokes's}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{2}{15\pi^{2}f_{\pi}^{5}} \int_{\mathbf{S}^{4}} d^{4}x \, \epsilon^{\nu\rho\sigma\tau} \operatorname{tr} \left( \pi \partial_{\nu}\pi \partial_{\rho}\pi \partial_{\sigma}\pi \partial_{\tau}\pi \right) + \mathcal{O}(\pi^{6})
$$



- Violates  $(-1)^{N_\pi}$
- Phenomenology dominated by gauging, next slide

 $rac{2}{3}$  0 0

 $\sum_{i=1}^{n}$ 

 $\begin{array}{c} \hline \end{array}$ 

 $0 - \frac{1}{3} 0$ 

 $0 \quad 0 \quad -\frac{1}{3}$ 

 $Q=% {\textstyle\sum\nolimits_{j\in N(i)}} e_{j}e_{j}^{\dag}e_{j}$ 

 $\sqrt{2}$ 

 $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ 

### *Gauging the WZW* At low energies, the relevant force is electromagnetism. The *U*(1)*EM* of electromag-

Witten '83

• Gauge 
$$
U(1)_{QED} \supset SU(3)_{L+R}
$$

$$
\bullet \ \ U \to U + i\epsilon[Q, U]
$$

- $\bullet$  Gauging must take place in 4d where no manif need to replace the derivatives in (5.7) with covariant derivatives,  $\bullet$  Gauging must take place in 4d where no manifest  $G$ -invariance
	- *S* =  $\bigcirc$ *d*4 *x f* 2 *J derivation using Noether method (* • Non-trivial derivation using Noether method  $(\delta S \sim \int \partial_{\mu} \epsilon J^{\mu}).$

 $rac{2}{3}$  0 0

 $\sum_{i=1}^{n}$ 

 $\begin{array}{c} \hline \end{array}$ 

 $0 - \frac{1}{3} 0$ 

 $0 \quad 0 \quad -\frac{1}{3}$ 

 $Q=% {\textstyle\sum\nolimits_{j\in N(i)}} e_{j}e_{j}^{\dag}e_{j}$ 

 $\sqrt{2}$ 

 $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ 

### *Gauging the WZW* At low energies, the relevant force is electromagnetism. The *U*(1)*EM* of electromag-

Witten '83

• Gauge 
$$
U(1)_{QED} \supset SU(3)_{L+R}
$$

$$
\bullet \ \ U \to U + i\epsilon[Q, U]
$$

- Gauging must take place in 4d where no manif need to replace the derivatives in (5.7) with covariant derivatives,  $\bullet$  Gauging must take place in 4d where no manifest  $G$ -invariance
	- *I* deri |
|ation <mark>u</mark>  $\nu$ sing Nc  $\partial_{\mu}$ ether method ( $\delta S \thicksim \thinspace \left| \thinspace \partial_{\mu} \epsilon. \right.$  $\overline{I}$ with the four-dimensional current given by the four-dimensional current given by the four-dimensional current<br>The four-dimensional current given by the four-dimensional current given by the four-dimensional current given *S* =  $\bigcirc$ *d*4 *x f* 2 *J derivation using Noether method (* • Non-trivial derivation using Noether method  $(\delta S \sim \int \partial_{\mu} \epsilon J^{\mu}).$

• After trial and error 
$$
J^{\mu} = \frac{1}{48\pi^2} \epsilon^{\mu\rho\sigma\tau} tr \left( \{ Q, U^{\dagger} \} \partial_{\rho} U U^{\dagger} \partial_{\sigma} U U^{\dagger} \partial_{\lambda} U \right)
$$

However, it turns out that we're still not done. To get a fully gauge-invariant action, it turns out that we h

$$
S_{WZW} = k \left[ \int_D d^5 x \, \omega - e \int d^4 x \, A_\mu(x) J^\mu \right. + \frac{ie^2}{24\pi^2} \int d^4 x \, \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} (\partial_\mu A_\nu) A_\rho \text{tr} \left( \{ Q^2, U^\dagger \} \partial_\sigma U + U^\dagger Q U Q U^\dagger \partial_\sigma U \right) \right]
$$

#### **Gauging the WZW**  $\overline{G}$ ugin  $\mathsf{A} \in \mathsf{WZW}$

Z

*ie*<sup>2</sup>

Witten '83

$$
S_{WZW} = k \left[ \int_D d^5 x \, \omega - e \int d^4 x \, A_\mu(x) \, \frac{1}{48\pi^2} \epsilon^{\mu\rho\sigma\tau} \text{tr} \left( \{ Q, U^\dagger \} \partial_\rho U \, U^\dagger \partial_\sigma U \, U^\dagger \partial_\lambda U \right) \right. \\
\left. + \frac{ie^2}{24\pi^2} \int d^4 x \, \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} (\partial_\mu A_\nu) A_\rho \text{tr} \left( \{ Q^2, U^\dagger \} \partial_\sigma U + U^\dagger Q U Q U^\dagger \partial_\sigma U \right) \right] \\
\left. \mathcal{L} = \frac{ke^2}{96\pi^2 f_\pi} \pi^0 \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} F_{\mu\nu} F_{\rho\sigma} \\
k = N_c
$$

#### **Gauging the WZW**  $\overline{G}$ <u>ugin</u> *he* W *<sup>µ</sup>*⌫⇢(@*µA*⌫)*A*⇢tr ⇣

Z

*ie*<sup>2</sup>



## *Invariant forms?*

$$
G = SU(3)L \times SU(3)R
$$
  

$$
X = \frac{G}{\mathcal{H}} = SU(3)
$$
  

$$
\mathcal{H} = SU(3)L+R
$$

## The only two *G*-invariant forms on *X*

$$
\omega_5 \sim \text{tr}(U^{-1}dU)^5
$$

$$
5 \qquad \qquad \omega_3 \sim \text{tr}(U^{-1}dU)^3
$$

WZW What can we do with this?

## **The 3-form**  $\omega_3 \sim \text{tr}(U^{-1} dU)^3$  $\sim$  3  $-$  ( $\sim$

- It does not appear in the QCD action.  $\overline{B}$  as not appear in the QCD action. then we e↵ectively compactify R<sup>3</sup> to S<sup>3</sup>. Now static configurations can be thought of
- However, it does appear in the topologically conserved current, the baryon number! the compact we copen we ested to say to see the static configurations can be the thought of the thought of the thought of the thought of the static configuration of the static configuration of the static configuration of t the baryon number! Goldstone, Wilczek '81 • However, it does appear in the topologically conserved current,

Consider a static field configuration:  $U(\mathbf{x}): \mathbf{S}^3 \mapsto \mathbf{A}$  $U(\mathbf{x}): \mathbf{S}^3 \mapsto SU(N_f)$ 11 i<br>|-<br>| *a*ration:  $\frac{1}{2}$  $\text{Per a static field configuration:} \quad U(\mathbf{x}): \mathbf{S}^3 \mapsto SU(N_f)$ 

$$
B^{\mu} = \frac{1}{24\pi^2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \text{tr}\left(U^{\dagger}(\partial_{\nu}U)\, U^{\dagger}(\partial_{\rho}U)\, U^{\dagger}\,\partial_{\sigma}U\right)
$$

$$
\partial_{\mu}B^{\mu} = 0 \quad B = \int d^{3}x \ B^{0} \text{ Conserved winding no: } B \in \mathbb{Z}
$$
  
\n<sub>(antisymmetry)</sub>  
\nThe global symmetry of QCD:  $G \times U(1)_{V}$ 

 $(antisymmetry)$ (antisymmetry)

 $\gamma$  of QCD:  $G$  > The global symmetry of QCD:  $G \times U(1)_V$ 

 $\frac{1}{2}$  =  $\frac{1}{2}$ lidat  $J(1)_V$ <br>(po po otrovic  $\overline{I}$   $\overline{I}(1)$  <u>U</u> The only candidate symmetry is  $U(1)_V$ ! ate s mme<sup>-</sup>  $\sum_{x}$   $U(1)_x$  ! The only candidate symmetry is  $U(1)_V$  !

## What else can we do with this?

 $\omega_5^{\text{PORTAL}} = \omega_3^{\text{QCD}} \wedge \omega_2^{\text{DARK}}$ Davighi, AG, Selimovic; [2401.09528](https://arxiv.org/abs/2401.09528)

# The topological portal

- Formulation
- Relic abundance
- Direct/Indirect detection
- Collider searches

## *The setup*

• We formulate a low-energy EFT for pions and dark pions

$$
X = \frac{SU(3)_L \times SU(3)_R \times K}{SU(3)_{L+R} \times H} \cong SU(3) \times \frac{K}{H}
$$
  
Dark sector coset

## *The setup*

• We formulate a low-energy EFT for pions and dark pions

$$
X = \frac{SU(3)_L \times SU(3)_R \times K}{SU(3)_{L+R} \times H} \cong SU(3) \times \frac{K}{H}
$$
  
Dark sector coset

- Properties of  $\omega_5^{\text{PORTAL}} = \omega_3^{\text{QCD}} \wedge \omega_2^{\text{DARK}}$ 
	- Closed:  $d\omega_5^{\text{PORTAL}} = 0$  implies  $d\omega_2^{\text{DARK}} = 0$  since  $d\omega_3^{\text{QCD}}$  $= 0$
	- $G$ -invariance: Product structure implies  $\omega_2^{\text{DARK}}$  is  $K$ -invariant
	- Integrality: Cycles factorize; normalize  $\omega_2^{\text{QCD}}$  and  $\omega_2^{\text{DARK}}$  separately

## Which dark coset fits?

# *Cosetology*

Searching for a closed, invariant, and integral *K*/*H* 2-form

• Consider following cosets (motivated by QCD-like theories):

$$
\frac{K}{H} = \left\{ \frac{SU(N)_L \times SU(N)_R}{SU(N)_{L+R}}, \frac{SU(N)}{SO(N)}, \frac{SU(2N)}{Sp(2N)} \right\}
$$

• All these are *symmetric* spaces  $\Longrightarrow$  $K$ -invariant forms on  $K/H$  are in 1-to-1 with cohomology classes see e.g. Davighi, Gripaios, Randal-Williams, 2011.05768

The portal J iff 
$$
H^2(K/H) \neq 0
$$

de Rham cohomology  $H^k(M)$  - the set of closed modulo exact  $k$ -forms on  $M$ 

## *Cosetology*

• Unique choice of the dark coset!





QCD

(mutually exclusive)

• Take  $K/H = SU(2)/SO(2) \cong S^2$ 

Two dark pions

- $\delta \Omega_2 = \epsilon_{ij} d\chi_i \wedge d\chi_j/(8\pi f_D^2) \, \bullet$  the volume form on  $S^2$ <br> $\chi_1/f_D \in [0,\pi], \, \chi_2/f_D \in [0,2\pi)$
- $\omega_{\text{portal}} = \frac{n}{24\pi^2} \text{Tr} (U^{-1} dU)^3 \wedge \Omega_2, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}$ • The portal

- Take  $K/H = SU(2)/SO(2) \cong S^2$ 
	- $\Omega_2=\epsilon_{ij}d\chi_i\wedge d\chi_j/(8\pi f_D^2)$   $\bullet$  the volume form on  $S^2$ <br> $\chi_1/f_D\in [0,\pi],$   $\chi_2/f_D\in [0,2\pi)$

Two dark pions

- $\omega_{\text{portal}} = \frac{n}{24\pi^2} \text{Tr} (U^{-1} dU)^3 \wedge \Omega_2, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}$ • The portal
- Expanding  $U^{-1}dU$  ⇒
- Use Stoke's theorem

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\text{portal}}^{e=0} = \frac{in\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}}{48\pi^2 f_\pi^3 f_D^2} f_{abc} \epsilon_{ij} \pi_a \partial_\mu \pi_b \partial_\nu \pi_c \partial_\rho \chi_i \partial_\sigma \chi_j
$$





$$
\mathcal{L}_{\rm portal}^{\rm e=0}=\frac{in\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}}{48\pi^2f_\pi^3f_D^2}f_{abc}\epsilon_{ij}\pi_a\partial_\mu\pi_b\partial_\nu\pi_c\partial_\rho\chi_i\partial_\sigma\chi_j
$$



*χ*1

*χ*2

• As before, QED gauging drives the phenomenology 1  $f_{\pi}^2$  $\partial_{\mu}\pi^{+}\partial^{\mu}\pi^{-} \rightarrow eF^{\mu\nu}$ *γ*  $\pi^{0}$ 

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\text{portal}}^{e=0} = \frac{in \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}}{48\pi^2 f_\pi^3 f_D^2} f_{abc} \epsilon_{ij} \pi_a \partial_\mu \pi_b \partial_\nu \pi_c \partial_\rho \chi_i \partial_\sigma \chi_j
$$



- As before, QED gauging drives the phenomenology 1  $f_{\pi}^2$  $\partial_{\mu}\pi^{+}\partial^{\mu}\pi^{-} \rightarrow eF^{\mu\nu}$ *γ*
- Prescription

Yonekura, 2009.04692

$$
\begin{array}{ll}\n\text{Shift } \omega_3^{\text{QCD}} & \text{by} \\
\frac{-e}{4\pi^2} F \wedge \text{Tr} \left( Qg^{-1} dg \right)\n\end{array} \right.
$$



$$
\mathscr{L} = \frac{ne \, \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}}{16\pi^2 f_\pi f_D^2} \left( \pi^0 + \frac{\eta}{\sqrt{3}} \right) F_{\mu\nu} \partial_\rho \chi_1 \partial_\sigma \chi_2
$$

The leading portal in the EFT power counting. The next term  $\frac{1}{f_{\pi}^2 f_D^2} (D_{\mu} \pi_a D^{\mu} \pi^a) (\partial_{\nu} \chi_i \partial^{\nu} \chi^i)$ 33

## *DM relic abundance*



- Quick thermalization all we need is the theory at the freeze-out!
- Our EFT applies when the freeze-out occurs after the QCD phase transition

## *DM relic abundance*

- Consistent EFT description:  $m_\chi \lesssim 23 T_{\rm QCD} \approx 3.7 \, {\rm GeV}$
- Relic abundance fits for  $f_D \sim \mathcal{O}(5.5-7) \times \sqrt{n} \, m_\chi$  $\Longrightarrow \chi_{1,2}$  indeed the lightest dark states

Dark number conservation  $(Z_2$  symmetry) ensures the stability of the lightest dark pion.

- A small explicit breaking of  $K$  needed to give  $m_{\chi} \neq 0$ , as in QCD
- For a small mass splitting  $\sim$  co-annihilations

$$
\Delta := \frac{\Delta m_{\chi}}{m_{\chi_1}} \qquad \Longrightarrow \qquad f_D(\Delta) \approx f_D(0) \, e^{-\frac{x_{\text{max}}\Delta}{4}}
$$

⟹ (Boltzmann suppression)

## *Direct & Indirect DM detection*

- $\chi_2 \rightarrow \chi_1 \gamma \pi^0$  before the onset of BBN when  $\Delta m_\chi > m_\pi$
- Dark matter is composed only of *χ*1

### Indirect detection:

2-form antisymmetrization: γ<sub>1</sub> ∧ γ<sub>2</sub>  $\chi_1 \chi_1$  annihilations highly suppressed Evades limits from CMB anisotropies on elastic s-wave scattering, Planck, 1807.06209

### • Direct detection:

 $\chi_1 \rightarrow \chi_2$  inelastic up-scattering kinematically forbidden

 $\chi_1 \rightarrow \chi_1$  highly suppressed

The antisymmetrization inherent to forms provides an elegant and natural realization of the *light thermal inelastic DM* scenario!

### *Collider searches* How, then, can we test this scenario? Interestingly, collider experiments out of the promising average average average average average average average average aver<br>In the promising average avera

- $\bullet$  Production • Production  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma^* \rightarrow \chi_1 \chi_2 \pi^0$
- $\sigma$ <sup>1</sup> **o** Signature  $\left|\left\langle m_{\nu}\right\rangle\right|\leq1.7m_{\nu}$  and  $\left|\left\langle m_{\nu}\right\rangle\right|\geq1.7m_{\nu}$  and here is engnature  $\boxed{\pi^0 + \cancel{E}_T \boxed{\pi^0 + \cancel{E}_T + \text{DV}(\pi^0 \gamma \cancel{E}_T)} }$  $\Delta m_\chi \quad \left| \lesssim 1.7 m_{\pi^0} \right| \quad \quad \geq 1.7 m_{\pi^0}$  $\text{Signature} \left[ \left. \pi^0 + \rlap{\,/}E_T \right. \right. \left. \left. \right| \pi^0 + \rlap{\,/}E_T + \text{DV}(\pi^0 \gamma \rlap{\,/}E_T) \right]$ • Signatures



- by the experiment
- 
- 
- 

## *Outlook*

• A well-rounded EFT tale



•The open question:

# UV completion?

**Alhambra** of **Granada**



*Thank you*



<https://physik.unibas.ch/en/persons/admir-greljo/> [admir.greljo@unibas.ch](mailto:admir.greljo@unibas.ch)