



# Impedance and beam stability considerations

D. Amorim, E. Kvikne, E. Métral Thanks to F. Batsch, J. S. Berg, F. Boattini, L. Bottura, X. Buffat, C. Carli, A. Chancé, H. Damerau, M. Gast, A. Grudiev, I. Karpov, T. Pieloni, D. Schulte, K. Skoufaris, L. Thiele

MuCol mini-workshop on RCS pulsed magnets and powering CERN, 2024-05-14



Funded by the European Union (EU). Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the EU or European Research Executive Agency (REA). Neither the EU nor the REA can be held responsible for them.



This work was performed under the auspices and with support from the Swiss Accelerator Research and Technology (CHART) program (www.chart.ch).



- Introduction
- Impact of RF cavities
- Impact of normal conducting magnets beam pipe
- Summary and relevant topics for impedance and transverse beam stability





- Introduction
- Impact of RF cavities
- Impact of normal conducting magnets beam pipe
- Summary and relevant topics for impedance and transverse beam stability





- Bunch tracking through the RCS chain
- Check the transverse emittance at the end of the chain (ejection of RCS 4) versus at injection of RCS 1
- Can include impedance effects, transverse damper, chromaticity...





### Beam and machine parameters for the RCS

Beam parameters	Unit	Value
Bunch length 1σ	mm	5.7
Bunch intensity	Particles per bunch	2.7e12
ε <sub>x</sub> / ε <sub>y</sub>	µm rad	25
<b>ε</b> <sub>x</sub> <b>/ ε</b> <sub>y</sub> # of macropaticles	µm rad	<b>25</b> 400k
<ul> <li>ε<sub>x</sub> / ε<sub>y</sub></li> <li># of macropaticles</li> <li># of turns wakefield</li> </ul>	µm rad	25 400k 5

Machine parameters	Unit	RCS 1	RCS 2	RCS 3	RCS 4
Circumference	m	5990	5990	10700	35000
NC magnet length	m	3655	2539	4366	20376
Bunch intensity	<b>10</b> <sup>12</sup>	2.7	2.7	2.7	2.7
Beam momentum	GeV/c	63	313.8	750	1500
Energy increase per turn	GeV	14.7	7.9	11.3	63.6
Rev. frequency	kHz	50	50	28	8.6
RF frequency	MHz	1300	1300	1300	1300
Harmonic number		25957	25957	46295	151433
RF voltage	GV	20.9	11.22	16.1	90.0
α <sub>p</sub>		0.0024	0.0024	0.001	0.001
Avg. beta x/y	m	50 / 50	50 / 50	50 / 50	50 / 50
Chromaticity Q'x/Q'y		scan	scan	scan	scan
Detuning from octupoles x/y	m⁻¹	0/0	0/0	0/0	0/0

Parameters from <u>F. Batsch RCS tables</u>

2024-05-15

Impedance and beam stability considerations



#### Example of start-to-end simulations

visible

well

RCS chain, horizontal beam properties  $Q'_{\star} = 0$ , initial offset 1000.0  $\mu m$ 



- Chromaticity **Q' = 0**, reduced impedance
- Initial transverse offset = 1 mm at each machine injection
- A 20-turn transverse damper is included in each ring (at station #9)



#### Example of start-to-end simulations

RCS chain, horizontal beam properties  $Q'_{\rm r} = 10$ , initial offset 0.0  $\mu m$ 





- Introduction
- Impact of RF cavities
- Impact of normal conducting magnets beam pipe
- Summary and relevant topics for impedance and transverse beam stability





#### Impedance model for this study: RF cavities High Order Modes (HOMs) only

- Single cavity: Low Loss TESLA type cavity, all transverse HOMs included. Assume all HOMs have Q=10<sup>5</sup>. (see https://accelconf.web.cern.ch/p05/papers/tppt056.pdf)
- Multiply by the number of cavities: there are (700, 380, 540, 3000) cavities in (RCS1, RCS2, RCS3, RCS4)
- Main assumptions for the RCS are
  - 32 RF stations in each machine
  - One transverse damper unit, located at RF station 9 (~¼ of the ring)
- Scan several parameters
  - Chromaticity Q' from Q'=-20 to Q'=+20
  - Damper gain from 4-turn to 100-turn + no damper
  - Initial transverse offset of the bunch (in each RCS), from 1  $\mu$ m to 1 mm

#### **Results of scans versus positive chromaticity** Chain of 4 RCS (up to 5 TeV) UON Collider Collaboration No emittance Large emittance growth (ratio > 10) growth (ratio=1) Emittance growth ratio Q'=20, impedance scaling 1/010 5 2 1 Damper gain [turns] 10

10

Init. x offset [µm]

1

100

1000

- ~ .

2024-05-15

Impedance and beam stability considerations

20 50 100 inf -

Ó



#### Results of scans versus positive chromaticity Chain of 4 RCS (up to 5 TeV)





- ~ .



#### Results of scans versus positive chromaticity Chain of 4 RCS (up to 5 TeV)







## Results of scans versus positive chromaticity Chain of 4 RCS (up to 5 TeV)



- Withe the nominal
  HOMs, a strong damper,
  4-turn to 10-turn range,
  combined with
  chromaticity Q'=20, are
  required to stabilize the
  beam
- The admissible offset is reduced to 1 µm level



#### Example of start-to-end simulation with RCS 4

- Chromaticity **Q' = 20**
- No initial transverse offset
- A **50-turn transverse damper** is included in each ring (at station #9)







## Reducing the R/Q of the modes



- Here the full impedance model is scaled by a factor 0.5 → like dividing the R/Q of every mode by 2
- We recover transverse coherent stability with larger offset



- Introduction
- Impact of RF cavities
- Impact of normal conducting magnets beam pipe
- Summary and relevant topics for impedance and transverse beam stability





# Normal conducting magnets beam pipe

- Normal conducting magnets have a very high ramp rate O(4.2 kT/s)
- Power loss from eddy currents scales as  $P \propto \dot{B}^2 a^3$ 
  - B' the ramp rate, a the chamber thickness
- In a continuous metallic pipe, large eddy current losses would be induced (see <u>E. Kvikne presentation</u>)
- Possible solution is to use a striped design for the RF shielding, with an insulating substrate



Study and slides by E. Kvikne



Impedance and beam stability considerations



## **RF-Shield on the Inside of Insulating substrate**

- Copper stripes modeled as a **thin cylindrical layer**.
- For simplicity, the magnet is modeled as an infinitely thick layer of copper.
- We use IW2D to compute the impedance and **scan over different copper thicknesses** to find the amount of copper needed to provide the beam with sufficient RF-shielding.
- The inner radius is kept constant at **10mm** while the ceramic thickness is set to **5mm**.

Study and slides by E. Kvikne







# **Beam Coupling Impedance**

- In presence of a dielectric, large resonances appear in the impedance, at ~2 GHz and above
- A very thin (~nm) layer of copper can reduce these resonances
  - This effect is explained by Zotter on page 168 in <u>"Impedances and Wakes in High Ener</u> gy Particle Accelerators"
- Increasing the thickness of the copper layer to 10 μm can suppress these high frequencies resonances.

Transverse dipolar impedance of 1m of beam pipe







### Stability simulation results up to RCS 4

- Impedance model: normal conducting beam pipe only
- A copper thickness of 10 μm is sufficient to keep the beam stable for all chromaticities (Q' ranging from -20 to 20), as long as the initial offset at the entrance of each RCS is less than 1mm.
- Additionally, this implies that any copper shield thicker than 10 µm will also provide sufficient RF-shielding.



20

Study and slides by E. Kvikne

Impedance and beam stability considerations





- Introduction
- Impact of RF cavities
- Impact of normal conducting magnets beam pipe
- Summary and relevant topics for impedance and transverse beam stability





- Studies assume the Low Losses TESLA cavities.
- The HOMs have a strong impact on transverse beam stability, in particular in RCS 4
  - Mitigation measures are required, such as chromaticty + transverse damper, or HOMs shunt impedance reduction (a factor 2 at least)
- The list of HOMs can evolve and be updated in the model
- Relevant topics for impedance
  - Integration of RF in the machine: **number of RF stations, transition design**
  - RF cavity and HOM coupler design: **R/Q and Q factor of HOMs, number of cavities**





# Magnets: summary and relevant topics for impedance

- Normal conducting magnets
  - Around 2/3 of the total magnet length  $\rightarrow$  can be a large impedance source
  - This is a first iteration on the vacuum chamber design considering eddy current and impedance effects
  - Relevant topics for impedance
    - Beam pipe **geometry and materials**
    - **RF shielding** for impedance effects
    - Continuity of the RF shielding: transitions between NC and SC magnets, aperture changes

- ME

- Superconducting magnets
  - Not yet included in the impedance model
  - Relevant topics
    - Beam pipe geometry and materials, operating temperature
    - Muon decay shielding

# Thank you !



Funded by the European Union (EU). Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the EU or European Research Executive Agency (REA). Neither the EU nor the REA can be held responsible for them.



- D. Amorim, <u>Collective effects and start-to-end simulations</u>, IMCC and MuCol annual meeting 2024
- D. Amorim, <u>Stability thresholds of first RCS stage for resonator wakefield</u>, 9<sup>th</sup> HEMAC meeting
- E. Kvikne, Impedance Study of the RCS Normal Conducting Beam Pipe, to be presented at design meeting
- E. Kvikne, Eddy currents, IMCC and MuCol annual meeting 2024
- F. Boattini et al., <u>Resistive magnets design and analysis baseline</u>, IMCC and MuCol annual meeting 2024
- L. Thiele, <u>RF parameters in the high energy acceleration chain</u>, IMCC and MuCol annual meeting 2024
- M. Gast, <u>Magnet and power converter optimization</u>, IMCC and MuCol annual meeting 2024



#### Normal conducting magnets chamber impedance





# Ceramic pipe with RF-shield on the outside

- As per the Tentative parameter report the NC magnet aperture is **30x100mm**.
- Beam pipe design for impedance computation:
  - Inner radius of 10 mm
  - Ceramic thickness of 5 mm
  - Varying thickness of copper used in calculations





Study and slides by E. Kvikne



# Comparison of Beam Pipe and Cavity Impedance for RCS2

- The resonances caused by the ceramic are **significantly larger** than the ones from the cavities.
- Given that the resonances from the beam pipe are ~10x greater than those from the cavities, a stable beam is not achievable with this design.





#### Impact of TESLA cavities, with 3 RCS (63 GeV to 1.5 TeV chain)





#### **Results of scans versus chromaticity**



- Positive chromaticity is required to stabilize the beam, at least Q'=+15
- The transverse damper is not required for high chromaticities
- An initial transverse offset can be tolerated, up to 10-100 µm





### Reducing the R/Q of the modes



- Here the full impedance model is scaled by a factor 0.5 → like dividing the R/Q of every mode by 2
- More relaxed situation: chromaticity can be reduced to Q' > ~0
- An initial transverse offset can be tolerated, up to 10-100 µm



#### Summary for three RCS

	Positive Q'	Negative Q'
<b>Nominal R/Q</b> of the HOMs	Q'>15 + any damper offset up to 100 µm	Always unstable
Half R/Q of the HOMs	Q'>0 + any damper Offset up to 100 µm	Q'< -15 + 4-turn damper Offset up to 100 µm





#### Summary for four RCS

	Positive Q'	Negative Q'
<b>Nominal R/Q</b> of the HOMs	Q'>15 + 10-turn damper offset up to 1 µm	Always unstable
Half R/Q of the HOMs	Q'>0 + any damper Offset up to 100 µm	Q'< -15 + 4-turn damper Offset up to 100 µm

