Anatomy of LHC collisions
— and Future Challenges

Peter Z Skands

University of Oxford
& Monash University

IOP Meeting
Liverpool, April 2024



THE ROYAL SOCIETY
Australian Government
Australian Research Council
MONASH WARWICK ALLIANCE
MCnet

Anatomy of LHC collisions

Physics Separation of scales

Maths Factorizations

Hard Processes
◯ Hard Interaction
● Resonance Decays

"Hard" means large momentum transfers ≫ 1 GeV



(*: incoming lines are crossed)

[Figure from Bierlich et al., SciPost Phys.Codeb. 2022 (2022) 8 arXiv:2203.11601]

Anatomy of LHC collisions

Physics Separation of scales

Maths Factorizations

Hard Processes
- ◯ Hard Interaction
- ● Resonance Decays

"Hard" means large momentum transfers $\gg 1$ GeV

Brems-strahlung
- ■ Final-State Radiation
- ■ Initial-State Radiation*

Down to momentum transfers ~ 1 GeV

For scales $\mu > 1\,\mathrm{GeV}$:

QCD Running Coupling $\alpha_s(\mu) < 1$

➜ **Perturbative Approximations**



(: incoming lines are crossed)*

[Figure from Bierlich et al., SciPost Phys.Codeb. 2022 (2022) 8 arXiv:2203.11601]

Perturbative Approaches

P.T. ~ Calculate the area of a shape ($\mathrm{d}\sigma$) with higher and higher detail

Difference from exact area $\propto \alpha^{n+1}$



Note: (over)simplified analogy, mainly for IR structure. More at each order than shown here.

Perturbative Approaches

P.T. ~ Calculate the area of a shape ($d\sigma$) with higher and higher detail

Difference from exact area $\propto \alpha^{n+1}$

Fixed Order



Example: Koch Snowflake



Resummation / Parton Showers



Massless gauge theories

Scale invariance ➜ fractal substructure

(+ not hard to build in running coupling, masses)

Note: (over)simplified analogy, mainly for IR structure. More at each order than shown here.

Why go beyond **Fixed-Order** perturbation theory?

Schematic example:

Calculation of the fraction of events passing a **radiation (jet) veto:**

$$\overbrace{1}^{\text{LO}} - \overbrace{\alpha_s(L^2 + L + F_1)}^{\text{NLO}} + \overbrace{\alpha_s^2(L^4 + L^3 + L^2 + L + F_2)}^{\text{NNLO}} + \ \ldots$$

$$L \propto \ln(Q^2_{\text{veto}} / Q^2_{\text{hard}})$$

(Logs arise from integrals over propagators $\propto \dfrac{1}{q^2}$)

The Case for **Embedding** Fixed-Order Calculations **within Showers**



Bremsstrahlung Resummations (Showers) extend domain of validity of perturbative calculations

%-level precision @ LHC ⇒ **NNLO + NNLL** **= Our Target** Not quite there (yet) — but close …

Towards True* NNLO Matching

*In the sense of the fixed-order and shower calculations matching each other point by point in each phase space

Idea: Use (nested) Shower Markov Chain as NNLO Phase-Space Generator

Harnesses the power of showers as efficient phase-space generators for QCD

Efficient: Pre-weighted with the (leading) QCD singular structures = soft/collinear poles



Different from conventional Fixed-Order phase-space generation (eg VEGAS)

Towards True* NNLO Matching

*In the sense of the fixed-order and shower calculations matching each other point by point in each phase space

Continue shower afterwards

No auxiliary / unphysical scales $\Rightarrow$ expect small matching systematics



Proof of concept for $Z \to q\bar{q}$

arXiv:2108.07133

arXiv:2310.18671

Need:

1. Born-Local NNLO ($\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2)$) K-factors: $k_{\mathrm{NNLO}}(\Phi_2)$
2. NLO ($\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2)$) MECs in the first $2 \to 3$ shower emission: $k_{\mathrm{NLO}}^{2\to3}(\Phi_3)$
3. LO ($\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2)$) MECs for next (iterated) $2 \to 3$ shower emission: $k_{\mathrm{LO}}^{3\to4}(\Phi_4)$
4. Direct $2 \to 4$ branchings for unordered sector, with LO ($\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2)$) MECs: $k_{\mathrm{LO}}^{2\to4}(\Phi_4)$

VINCIA NNLO

Part II – Nonperturbative Aspects

Hard Process
- ◯ Hard Interaction
- ● Resonance Decays
- ■ MECs, Matching & Merging

Parton Showers
- ■ QCD Final-State Radiation
- ■ QCD Initial-State Radiation*
- ■ Electroweak Radiation

Underlying Event
- ◯ Multiparton Interactions
- ☐ Beam Remnants*



(: incoming lines are crossed)*

[Figure from arXiv:2203.11601]

Hadronization

Hard Process
- Hard Interaction
- Resonance Decays
- MECs, Matching & Merging

Parton Showers
- QCD Final-State Radiation
- QCD Initial-State Radiation*
- Electroweak Radiation

Underlying Event
- Multiparton Interactions
- Beam Remnants*

Hadronization
- Strings
- Colour Reconnections
- String Interactions
- Bose-Einstein & Fermi-Dirac



(: incoming lines are crossed)*

[Figure from arXiv:2203.11601]

Hadron Decays

Hard Process	○ Hard Interaction ● Resonance Decays ■ MECs, Matching & Merging	
Parton Showers	■ QCD Final-State Radiation ■ QCD Initial-State Radiation* ■ Electroweak Radiation	
Underlying Event	○ Multiparton Interactions □ Beam Remnants*	
Hadronization	▧ Strings ■ Colour Reconnections ■ String Interactions ■ Bose-Einstein & Fermi-Dirac	
Hadron (& τ) Decays	■ Primary Hadrons ■ Secondary Hadrons ■ Hadronic Reinteractions	

(: incoming lines are crossed)*



[Figure from arXiv:2203.11601]

New Discoveries in Hadronization

What a strange world we live in, said ALICE

Ratios of strange hadrons to pions strongly increase with event activity



June 2017

Conventional models (eg Default PYTHIA) ➜ **constant strangess fractions**



New Discoveries in Hadronization

LHC experiments also report very large (factor-10) enhancements in heavy-flavour baryon-to-meson ratios at low p_T!

Conventional models (eg default PYTHIA) ➜ **constant baryon-to-meson ratio**



Figure from Altmann & **PZS**, *String Junctions Revisited*, in progress

Back to Basics — Anatomy of (Linear) Confinement

On lattice, compute potential energy of a colour-singlet $q\bar{q}$ state, as function of the distance, R, between the q and $\bar{q}$:

Short Distances ~ "Coulomb"



"Free" Partons



Long Distances ~ Linear Potential



"Confined" Partons
(a.k.a. Hadrons)

String breaks by quark pair production

$\Longrightarrow$ **strangeness suppression**

$$\propto \frac{\exp\left(\frac{-\pi m_s^2}{\kappa}\right)}{\exp\left(\frac{-\pi m_{u,d}^2}{\kappa}\right)}$$

➡ **Model as strings (Lund Model)**

Beyond the Static Limit

Regard tension κ as an emergent quantity?

Not fundamental strings

Cyclonic and Anticyclonic Winds



May depend on (invariant) time τ

E.g., hot strings which cool down

Hunt-Smith & **PZS** EPJC 80 **(2020)** 11

May depend on σ (excitations)

Working with E. Carragher & J. March-Russell in Oxford.

May depend on environment (e.g., other strings nearby)

Two approaches (so far) within Lund string-model context:

Colour Ropes [Bierlich, Gustafson, Lönnblad, Tarasov JHEP 03 **(2015)** 148; + more recent…]

Close-Packing [Fischer & Sjöstrand JHEP 01 **(2017)** 140; Altmann & **PZS** in progress …]

Non-Linear String Dynamics

MPI $\Longrightarrow$ lots of coloured partons scattered into the final states

Count **# of (oriented) flux lines** crossing $y = 0$ in pp collisions (according to PYTHIA)

And **classify by SU(3) multiplet:**



Confining fields may be reaching **higher effective representations** than simple $q\bar{q}$ (3) ones.

E.g.: 27



27

$p=2$
$q=2$

$C_{27} = 6C_F$

➜ **Is "emergent tension" driving strangeness enhancement in pp?**

Altmann & **PZS** work in progress …

What about Baryon Number?

Types of string topologies:

Open Strings



Closed Strings



SU(3) String Junction



Could we get these at LHC?

String Junctions at LHC ?

Stochastic sampling of SU(3) group probabilities (e.g., $3 \otimes 3 = 6 \oplus \bar{3}$)

$\Longrightarrow$ Random **(re)connections in colour space** (weighted by group weights)

Christiansen & PZS 2015

"QCD Colour Reconnections"

Example of possible colour configuration

Choose this string configuration instead if "string length" ~ total potential energy is lower



Illustration by J. Altmann

Predicted this

ALICE 2021

arXiv:2011.06079 arXiv:2106.08278



Mode 0, 2, 3 are different causality restrictions (0 = none)

Summary

The Goal: use LHC measurements to test hypotheses about Nature

Problem 1: no **exact** solutions to QFT
➜ Perturbative **Approximations**



Elementary Fields,
Symmetries,
Interactions



Problem 2: Confinement
We collide — and observe — **hadrons**