Physics with high-luminosity proton-nucleus collisions at the LHC

04/07/24



Exclusive quarkonium photoproduction in pPb collisions at the HL-LHC

Chris A. Flett

Université Paris-Saclay

CNRS, IJCLab,

Orsay, France





This project is supported by the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under Grant agreement no. 824093

#### Contents

#### State of the art

Exclusive heavy-vector-meson photoproduction from experiment past&present

Theory developments

Focus on pQCD developments

Desirable measurements and Outlook

Polarisation studies

t dependence

gluon PDF probes

#### Exclusive J/psi photoproduction to date (fixed target+ ep, pp, pPb)



ep@HERA:

hep-ex/0201043, hep-ex/0404008, hep-ex/0510016, hep-ex/0404008



Tagged electron => measure photon virtuality. Here, discuss photoproduction (quasi-real photons)

Photon fluctuation into heavy-quark pair, producing J/psi via two-parton colour-singlet exchange

#### Exclusive J/psi photoproduction to date (fixed target+ ep, pp, pPb)



#### Exclusive J/psi photoproduction to date (fixed target+ ep, pp, pPb)



pPb@LHC: 1406.7819, 1809.03235 , 2304.12403

-For pp, have more lumi. but more pileup than pPb

-For pp, have W+/W- ambiguity,

#### very much less so for pPb

-For pp, more model dependence in survival factor/photon flux combination

-For pp, more contamination from Odderon-pomeron due to relatively smaller impact parameter

ALICE: Exclusive J/psi photoproduction in pPb at 5.02 & 8.16 TeV [no Ups, psi'] 5.02TeV at both semi-forward, forward & midrapidity (wrt to p directn) pPb (2.5 < y < 4)yield ~70-400 depend. on Pbp (-3.6 < y < -2.6)rapidity and lumi Lint: 4-8 nb<sup>-1</sup> 8.16 TeV forward only (wrt to p directn) yield ~1180 pPb (2.5 < y < 4)**CMS**: Exclusive Ups photoproduction in pPb yield ~24, Lint: 33 nb<sup>-1</sup> at 5.02 TeV 1809.11080 DAE Symp. Nucl. Phys., 63:958-959, 2018 Y = 0Y = 0Pb Pb  $W_{-}$  $W_{\perp}$ Pb Pb $W_{\perp}$ W

Forward Direction

Predictions from variety of approaches: kt fact., colour dip., CGC, pQCD.

#### **Current pQCD:**

Two approaches to cure the infamously large scale dependence of the gamma p -> J/psi

p NLO amplitude in coll. fact. (CF) driving the ep and pp/pPb collisions



CAF, Jones, Martin, Ryskin, Teubner 1908.08398, 2006.13857

#### Theory developments

Current pQCD:

From NLO CF + scale-fixing + Q0 subtr. approach, obtained pre- and postdictions for rapidity-differential pPb distributions + quantified size of photoproduction on Pb contribution



CAF, Jones, Martin, Ryskin, Teubner 2206.10161

#### Desirable measurements and Outlook

#### Polarisation

Leading-twist collinear factorisation (CF) => transversely polarised J/psi



=> Validate these assumptions via explicit polarisation studies

Polarisation measurements of J/psi photoproduction in ep at HERA confirmed transverse J/psi polarisation, i.e. s-channel helicity conservation hep-ex/0510016, hep-ex/0404008 Vector-meson photoproduction analyses

at the LHC always assumed transverse
 J/psi polarisation

If the conservation is seen and no helicity flip then can put constraints on higher twist Pb+Pb analysis 2304.10928 constitutes the first measurement of the J/psi polarisation in UPCs where they find value consistent with unity

On-going analysis in peripheral PbPb puts 2sig deviation from unity.

=>  $\Delta\lambda_{\theta} = 0.5$  with 1700 events. To reach  $\Delta\lambda_{\theta}' = 0.1$  need 42,500 events

→ HL-LHC and complement with pPb measurement

#### t dependence



Complement first analysis by ALICE in PbPb where they measure t-differential cross section

In coherent PbPb, t dependence driven by Pb size. In pPb it is wrt. proton size as photoproduction on p largely dominates.

tmin practically the same in pp and pPb low t: coherent-production dominated

such measurements can probe validity of tdependence factorisation in GPD modellings widely adopted

#### RA

PbPb allows access to nuclear modification factor but then currently no robust understanding of GPDs in nuclear environment (and W+/W- ambiguity in PbPb)

**Probe of nucleon gluon PDF** 

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dt}(\gamma^*p \to J/\psi p) \bigg|_{t=0} = \frac{\Gamma_{ee}^{J/\psi} M_{J/\psi}^3 \pi^3}{48\alpha_{em}} \left[\frac{\alpha_s(\bar{Q}^2)}{\bar{Q}^4} R_g x g(x, \bar{Q}^2)\right]^2 \left(1 + \frac{Q^2}{M_{J/\psi}^2}\right)$$
Sensitive to GPD not PDF! but can relate PDF & GPD at low x reliably via the so-called Shuvaev transform 1908.08398 & 2006.13857  
UPC -> large W photoproduction -> constraints on gluon PDF  
LHCb data  
In pPb, survival factor close to unity/  
less modelling dependence in (1) as compared to pp

HERA gives W-

There will be data from EIC in eA where such modelling does not play a role but then the energy range is limited. **Only** in pPb can we push the **precision** of (2), i.e. that of low x and low scale exclusive quarkonium data as constraints on the gluon PDF **Probe of nucleon gluon PDF** 

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}t}(\gamma^* p \to J/\psi p) \bigg|_{t=0} = \frac{\Gamma_{ee}^{J/\psi} M_{J/\psi}^3 \pi^3}{48\alpha_{\mathrm{em}}} \left[ \frac{\alpha_s(\bar{Q}^2)}{\bar{Q}^4} R_g x g(x, \bar{Q}^2) \right]^2 \left( 1 + \frac{Q^2}{M_{J/\psi}^2} \right)$$

Sensitive to GPD not PDF! but can relate PDF & GPD at low x reliably via the so-called Shuvaev transform 1908.08398 & 2006.13857



#### Summary

Good variety of exclusive quarkonium-photoproduction measurements in small and large collision systems covering wide kinematic range

> Current pp and pPb systems from LHC extend the coverage of HERA and upcoming EIC measurements

But generally, pPb@HL-LHC has the potential for **discovery** (i.e. probes at unprecedented x), measure with high precision (plentiful statistics anticipated) and be less susceptible to model dependences

> A variety of observables can be measured to supplement existing data or ignite new discoveries (polarisation, t dep., gluon) PDF probes)

All such data will increase our understanding of the underlying theoretical mechanisms at play in these interactions and, importantly, lead to an improved understanding of the behaviour of the gluon distribution at small x.

### Thank you

# backup

### Other results in UPC: Photon flux in Upsilon photoprod. in PA



For J/psi rapidity outside border of LHCb acceptance (y \sim 5.125) and sqrts = 7 TeV, find (ss1307.7099\*flux1307.7099)/(ssBudney\*fluxBudney)= 1.24832 ~ 25% effect

Upsilon photoproduction photon energies will be larger so discrepancy between fluxes (and survival factors) will be larger and we enter the region where the approximation of 1307.7099 flux breaks down at much lower rapidities and, importantly, within the acceptance of LHCb

=> use Budney flux (without negligence of O(x) terms)

=> large W unfolded photoproduction LHCb data should be shifted upwards

#### Exclusive J/psi photoproduction to date



ALICE: Exclusive J/psi photoproduction in pPb at 5.02 & 8.16 TeV [no ups, psi'] 5.02TeV at both semi-forward, forward & midrapidity (wrt to p directn)

yield ~70-400 depend. on Pbp (-3.6 < y < -2.6)rapidity and lumi

8.16 TeV forward only (wrt to p directn)

yield ~1180

**CMS**: Exclusive Ups photoproduction in pPb at 5.02 TeV



#### Introduction

- Inclusive processes do not well constrain small x/Regge limit domain of PDFs
- Exclusive processes offer sensitive probe of this domain but as of yet not included in global analyses PDF determination – why?
  - 1. Off forward kinematics imply sensitivity to GPD over conventional PDFs
  - 2. Scale dependence and stability of theoretical predictions
- As higher CM energies are realised at LHC, pushed towards small x domain, W ~ 1/x DLLA exclusive J/ psi production:  $\frac{d\sigma}{dt}(\gamma^* p \to J/\psi p)\Big|_{t=0} = \frac{\Gamma_{ee}^{J/\psi} M_{J/\psi}^3 \pi^3}{48\alpha_{em}} \left[\frac{\alpha_s(\bar{Q}^2)}{\bar{Q}^4}R_g xg(x,\bar{Q}^2)\right]^2 \left(1 + \frac{Q^2}{M_{J/\psi}^2}\right)$

Inclusive – e.g. DIS included in global parton analyses Exclusive - can we use the data?





#### Introduction

- Inclusive processes do not well constrain small x/Regge limit domain of PDFs
- Exclusive processes offer sensitive probe of this domain but as of yet not included in global analyses PDF determination – why?
  - 1. Off forward kinematics imply sensitivity to GPD over conventional PDFs
  - 2. Scale dependence and stability of theoretical predictions

Scope: how to counteract these problems and so allow exclusive J/psi data to probe gluon PDF down to  $x\sim 3 imes 10^{-6}$  &  $\mu=O(M_{J/\psi}/2)$ 



#### General Set up and Framework

Exclusive J/psi photoproduction in p+p (A+A) UPC collisions in collinear factorisation



### GPDs and the Shuvaev transform

#### GPDs generalise PDFs: outgoing/incoming partons carry different



Idea: Conformal moments of GPDs = Mellin moments of PDFs

(up to corrections of O(xi^2) @ LO and O(xi) @ NLO)

- Construct GPD grids in multidimensional parameter space x, xi/x, gsg with forward PDFs from LHAPDF
- Costly computationally due to slowly converging double integral transform
- Regge theory considerations => <u>Shuvaev</u> transform valid in space-like (DGLAP) region only. In time-like (ERBL) region imaginary part of coefficient function is zero

## Stability of NLO prediction I+II

Framework in a

nutshell:

#### 'Effective' small-x resummation NLO in MSbar scheme 1507.06942 hep-ph/0401131 Resummation of Bad perturbative convergence |NLO<sub>correctn.</sub>| > |LO| and Strong dependence on scale µ<sub>F</sub> opp. sign В. $(\alpha_{sln}(1/\xi) \ln(\mu_{F/m}))^n$ 1.5 1.5 $A_{g}^{(0)}$ $A_{q}^{(1)} + A_{g}^{(1)} + A_{g}^{(0)}$ $A_{g}^{(0)}$ $A_{q}^{(1)} + A_{g}^{(1)} + A_{g}^{(0)}$ - $\mu = \mu_F = \mu_B$ $\mu_F = m_c$ $\mu = \mu_f = \mu_B$ 1 1 CT18ANLO 4.8 CT18ANLO m A / W<sup>2</sup> [GeV<sup>-2</sup>] m A / W<sup>2</sup> [GeV<sup>-2</sup>] 0.5 0.5 μ<sup>2</sup>=4.8 2.4 μ**ε**<sup>2</sup> 3) 0 (1.3) GeV<sup>2</sup> 0 2.4 4.8 -0.5 -0.5 -1 200 900 -1 100 300 400 500 600 700 800 1000 100 200 300 400 500 600 700 800 900 1000 CM Energy -W [GeV] $\gamma p$ W [GeV]

## Stability of NLO prediction I+II

#### NLO in MSbar scheme

Framework in a

nutshell:

hep-ph/0401131

- A. Bad perturbative convergence |NLO<sub>correctn.</sub>| > |LO| and
- B. Strong dependence on scale µ<sub>F</sub>





## 'Effective' small-x resummation

<u>Resummation</u> of (α<u>sln(1/ξ) ln(μ<sub>F</sub>/m))</u>n



## Framework in a nutshell: Stability of NLO prediction II+III

#### 'Effective' small-x resummation

1507.06942

## Low I<sub>t</sub> < Q<sub>0</sub> subtraction



Predictions based on three global PDF analyses differ dramatically in large energy LHC region but are compatible in lower energy HERA region\*

#### Left Approach I: Fit a low x gluon PDF ansatz to the data Right Approach 2: Bayesian reweight current global PDF analyses

	λ	n	$\chi^2_{ m min}$	$\chi^2_{\rm min}/{\rm d.o.f}$
NNPDF3.0	0.136	0.966	44.51	1.04
MMHT14	0.136	1.082	47.00	1.09
CT14	0.132	0.946	48.25	1.12

 $xg^{
m new}(x,\mu_0^2) = nN_0 (1-x) x^{-\lambda}$ 

lambda = 0.136 + / - 0.006n = 0.966 + / - 0.025







#### Unfolding photoproduction from UPC







Quark contribution separated from hard scattering by at least *one* step of DGLAP evolution and is therefore removed after imposition of Q<sub>Q</sub> subtraction (as reflected in the numerics) Gluon driven like at LO