# New Dark Matter Search Results from the LUX-ZEPLIN Experiment





#### Amy Cottle, UCL



### LZ COLLABORATION

- Black Hills State University
- Brookhaven National Laboratory
- Brown University
- Center for Underground Physics
- Edinburgh University
- Fermi National Accelerator Lab.
- Imperial College London
- King's College London
- Lawrence Berkeley National Lab.
- Lawrence Livermore National Lab.
- LIP Coimbra
- Northwestern University
- Pennsylvania State University
- Royal Holloway University of London
- SLAC National Accelerator Lab.
- South Dakota School of Mines & Tech
- South Dakota Science & Technology Authority
- STFC Rutherford Appleton Lab.
- Texas A&M University
- University of Albany, SUNY
- University of Alabama
- University of Bristol
- University College London
- University of California Berkeley
- University of California Davis
- University of California Los Angeles
- University of California Santa Barbara
- University of Liverpool
- University of Maryland
- University of Massachusetts, Amherst
- University of Michigan
- University of Oxford
- University of Rochester
- University of Sheffield
- University of Texas at Austin
- University of Wisconsin, Madison
- University of Zürich





Thanks to our sponsors and participating institutions! 38 Institutions: 250 scientists, engineers, and technical staff

Science and Technology **Facilities Council** 











#### DM SEARCHES WITH XENON DETECTORS





- Weakly Interacting Massive Particles (WIMPs)  $\rightarrow$  key galactic DM candidate SM SM DM DM
- Direct detection via scatters with target nuclei
  - Xe experiments driving sensitivity to WIMPs in last 15 years







## WHY XENON?

- Coherent scalar WIMPnucleus scattering ( $\sigma \propto A^2$ )
- Highest charge & light yields of all noble elements
- Commercially available & easily purified
- Dense  $\rightarrow$  short attenuation lengths - self-shielding
- Scalable  $\rightarrow$  potential for large target mass



Amy Cottle - LIDINE '24



#### **LZ + Predecessors**



**ZEPLIN-III** 12 kg (7 kg) 2008

LUX 250 kg (100 kg) 2013





LZ 7000 kg (5500 kg) 2022-







### TIME PROJECTION CHAMBER (TPC)

- Interactions in the xenon create
  - Light prompt scintillation S
  - Charge electrons drifted and extracted into gas  $\rightarrow$  proportional scintillation - S2
- Time separation between SI & S2 = drift time
- Excellent 3D position reconstruction (~mm)
- Distinguish between single scatter (SS) and multiple scatter (MS) interactions
- S2:SI ratio discriminate electronic recoils (ERs) from potential WIMP nuclear recoils (NRs)

Electrons

Incoming Particle

**S**2

Amy Cottle - LIDINE '24



Outgoing

Particle



### THE LZ EXPERIMENT







**Outer veto detector: Gd-doped liquid** scintillator

LXe TPC

LXe "Skin" veto detector

- Based at the Sanford Underground Research Facility (SURF) in Lead, SD
- 7 t active dual-phase xenon TPC
- Skin & outer detector (OD) veto systems  $\rightarrow$  tag gamma rays & neutrons





## THE LZ EXPERIMENT

#### **TOP PMT ARRAY EXTRACTION REGION**

#### **TOP SKIN**

#### TPC FIELDCAGE (ACTIVE XENON)

CATHODE GRID **REVERSE-FIELD REGION BOTTOM PMT ARRAY** 

**TPC Field Cage** 







#### **Bottom Side Skin PMTs**



#### **Instrumented OD**

#### **Bottom Dome Skin PMTs**









#### 



#### **TPC Underground**





#### 2022 WS2022 Starts

#### Installation Complete



#### Commissioning Begins



WS2024

Starts







First WIMP Search Results (WS2022)





## **RECAP OF WIMP SEARCH 2022 (WS2022)**



• 60 live-day analysis using data from demonstration run with no blinding or salting

- Minimum cross-section of  $\sigma_{SI} = 9.2 \times 10^{-48} \text{ cm}^2$  for WIMP mass of 36 GeV/c<sup>2</sup>

Backgrounds extensively assessed, with high contribution from cosmogenic activation



### **WIMP SEARCH 2024 (WS2024)**



- 220 live-day exposure using data from March '23 to end March '24



• Major milestones: bias mitigation ("salting") began July 3rd; circulation state change July 12th Amy Cottle - LIDINE '24





### WS2024 SCIENCE RUN CONDITIONS

- Drift field of 97 V/cm ightarrow
- Extraction field of 3.4 kV/cm (in liquid)
- Continuous purification at 3.3 t/day through hot getter system
- Electron lifetime (measure of purity) at >8 ms for most of the run
- >95% science data-taking efficiency throughout the run
- Science data spatio-temporally corrected using calibrations

mS

Electron Lifetime



### CALIBRATIONS

- Backgrounds predominantly ERs; lacksquareWIMPs produce NRs
- Radiolabelled methane (<sup>3</sup>H, <sup>14</sup>C) injection to calibrate ER band
  - Spatially homogenous β source
- DD neutron generator (NR band)
  - Mono-energetic 2.45 MeV neutrons
- 99.8% discrimination of beta backgrounds under flat NR band median achieved



[[phd]]

(S2c



(light gain) = 0.112 + - 0.002 phd/photongl g2 (charge gain) = 34.0 +/- 0.9 phd/e<sup>-</sup>



### BIAS MITIGATION





- "Salting" fake signal events injected randomly during science data-taking
- Salt created using SIs & S2s from sequestered calibration data
- Parent distribution exponential WIMP recoil spectrum + flat pedestal
- Rate capped by WS2022 cross-section
- Parameters unknown when analysing - $\rightarrow$  unsalting performed after all inputs are defined for statistical inference









### XENON FLOW

 Circulation & cooling systems allow control of temperature & xenon flow

#### High-mixing state More turbulent flow $\rightarrow$ uniform distribution of injected calibration sources

Low-mixing state Slower, laminar flow

- <sup>222</sup>Rn emanates from detector materials
- $^{222}Rn^{-218}Po pairs (T_{1/2} = 3.1 min)$  $\rightarrow$  used to map the flow vectors



#### LZ Preliminary







### RADON TAG



- "Naked"  $^{214}$ Pb  $\beta$  decays = biggest ER background
- Simulations of neutral and charged <sup>214</sup>Pb movement using flow and field maps  $\rightarrow$  use to create a "radon tag" in low-mixing state
- Define co-moving volumes around "streamlines" where <sup>214</sup>Pb is likely to be found
  - Each volume active 81 mins ( $\sim 3x^{214}PbT_{1/2}$ )
- Tagged & untagged data both in WIMP analysis

	% <sup>214</sup> Pb of Total	% Volume of To
Tagged	60 ± 4	I 5
Untagged	<b>40 ± 4</b>	85















Effective untagged <sup>214</sup>Pb activity of 1.8  $\pm$  0.3  $\mu$ Bq/kg (compared to 3.9  $\pm$  0.6  $\mu$ Bq/kg in total exposure)



## ELECTRON CAPTURES

• <sup>127</sup>Xe & <sup>125</sup>Xe decay by electron capture (EC)

- Produced by cosmogenic & neutron activation → much lower activity than WS2022
- L-shell EC (5.2 keV) relevant for WIMP search
  - Auger/X-ray cascade  $\rightarrow$  more nucleated energy deposition than  $\beta$  = more NR-like i.e. charge-suppressed ER response
  - Charge suppression first measured in XELDA PRD 104, 112001 ('21)
  - In-situ measurement in LZ for WS2024:  $Q_L/Q_\beta = 0.86 \pm 0.01$







## 124XE DOUBLE ELECTRON CAPTURE (DEC)



• "World's rarest decay" -  $T_{1/2} = (1.09 \pm 0.14_{stat} \pm 0.05_{sys}) \times 10^{22}$  yr (LZ preliminary measurement\*)

• KX-shell measured; LM (6.0 keV) & LL-shell (10.0 keV) relevant for WIMP search \*Paper in preparation









## MODELLING 124XE LM- & LL-SHELL DEC





Expect 7.1 (LM) + 12.3 (LL) = 19.4 counts with 20% uncertainty

• LM modelled with same as single L-shell charge suppression

LL expected to be further charge-suppressed due to higher ionisation density i.e.  $Q_{LL}/Q_{\beta} < Q_{L}/Q_{\beta}$ 

• Vary  $Q_{LL}/Q_{\beta}$  in fitting of our data:

 $0.65 < Q_{LL}/Q_{\beta} < 0.87$ 

2x L-shell ionization density









## MODELLING 124XE LM- & LL-SHELL DEC



Best-fit value of  $Q_{LL}/Q_{\beta} = 0.70 \pm 0.04$ 

Amy Cottle - LIDINE '24

Expect 7.1 (LM) + 12.3 (LL) = 19.4 counts with 20% uncertainty

• LM modelled with same as single L-shell charge suppression

LL expected to be further charge-suppressed due to higher ionisation density i.e.  $Q_{LL}/Q_{\beta} < Q_{L}/Q_{\beta}$ 

• Vary  $Q_{LL}/Q_{\beta}$  in fitting of our data:  $0.65 < Q_{LL}/Q_{\beta} < 0.87$ 









### ACCIDENTAL COINCIDENCES

- Unrelated SIs & S2s can accidentally combine to produce single scatter events  $\rightarrow$  could mimic a WIMP signal
- Rate: population of definite accidental events with unphysical drift time >1 ms
- Distribution: fake events constructed from lone SI & S2 pulse waveforms
- Analysis cuts developed to combat observed pulse/event pathologies
  - >99.5% rejection efficiency
  - WS2024 counts: 2.8 ± 0.6





### NEUTRONS & OD

- Neutrons induce NRs  $\rightarrow$  dangerous background
- 17 tonnes Gd-loaded scintillator in OD
  - High thermal neutron capture cross-section
  - Release of ~8 MeV gammas from capture
- $\rightarrow$  delayed OD veto cut to reject neutrons
- AmLi neutron calibration-derived neutron veto efficiency =  $89 \pm 3$  %
- Simulated neutron veto efficiency for radiogenic, background neutrons =  $92 \pm 4 \%$  $\rightarrow$  used for neutron constraint in final analysis









# FIDUCIAL VOLUME (FV)



Events delayed-tagged by the vetoes



[ ms]

- FV defined to avoid higher background rates at TPC edges (self-shielding)
- TPC radial edge curved due to electric field  $\rightarrow$  see Sparshita Dey's talk at 15:55 today
- FV definition:
  - 71  $\mu$ s < drift time < 1030  $\mu$ s
  - Azimuthally & drift time-dependent radial cut chosen to ensure <0.01 wall background counts in the FV
- Calculated fiducial mass of 5.5 ± 0.2 t





## ROI & ANALYSIS CUT SUMMARY

- Region of interest (ROI)
  - 3 < SIc < 80 photons detected (phd); three-fold PMT coincidence
  - S2 > 645 phd (14.5 electrons);  $\log |0| (S2c) < 4.5$
- Cuts developed on non-WIMP ROI data
- Event selection criteria
  - FV, ROI, single scatter cuts
  - Veto detector anti-coincidence
  - SI- & S2-based cuts









## **BACKGROUNDS MODEL EXPECTATIONS**



• Total expected NR counts in WS2024: 0. 8 from CEVNS (no neutrons - in-situ fit constraint) Amy Cottle - LIDINE '24





### WS2024 DATA - SALTED

- Final exposure of 220 live days \* 5.5 tonnes = 3.3 tonne years
- 1227 events remaining







![](_page_25_Picture_8.jpeg)

### WS2024 DATA - SALTED

- Final exposure of 220 live days \* 5.5 tonnes = 3.3 tonne years
- 7 salt events pass all analysis cuts out of 8 total injected in WS2024  $\rightarrow$  inline with evaluated signal efficiency

![](_page_26_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_8.jpeg)

### WS2024 DATA - SALTED

- Final exposure of 220 live days \* 5.5 tonnes = 3.3 tonne years
- 7 salt events pass all analysis cuts out of 8 total injected in WS2024  $\rightarrow$  inline with evaluated signal efficiency
- **1220 events** remain after unsalting
- Statistical analysis of these data in observed  $log_{10}(S2c)$ -SIc space  $\rightarrow$  no post-unsalting changes to model

![](_page_27_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_10.jpeg)

#### WS2024 DATA - RADON TAGGED VS UNTAGGED

![](_page_28_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### 0.3 tonne years

Amy Cottle - LIDINE '24

1.8 tonne years

![](_page_28_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_6.jpeg)

### COMBINED LIKELIHOOD

#### **Exposures in Each Sample in Tonne Years**

![](_page_29_Figure_2.jpeg)

Six samples combined in likelihood for final statistical analysis

- WS2024 represented by samples 1-4
- $\rightarrow$  provide a direct constraint on the neutron background rate
- WS2022 sample (6) unmodified since first result  $\rightarrow$  push sensitivity further

![](_page_29_Picture_8.jpeg)

	4	5	6
ed	Radon Untagged	Skin/OD Vetoed	WS2022
	<b>8.</b> I	n/a	0.9

• Skin/OD vetoed sample (5) - full 3.3 tonne years of WS2024, but failing veto coincidence cuts

![](_page_29_Picture_13.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_14.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_15.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_16.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_17.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_18.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_19.jpeg)

## WS2024 FIT RESULTS

Component	Expected Events	Best F
<sup>214</sup> Pbβ decays	743 ± 88	733
<sup>85</sup> Kr + <sup>39</sup> Ar + detector γs	162 ± 22	161
Solar v ERs	102 ± 6	10
<sup>212</sup> Pb + <sup>218</sup> Po β decays	62.7 ± 7.5	63.7
<sup>3</sup> H + <sup>14</sup> C β decays	58.3 ± 3.3	59.7
<sup>136</sup> Xe 2vββ decay	55.6 ± 8.3	55.8
<sup>124</sup> Xe DEC	19.4 ± 3.9	21.4
<sup>127</sup> Xe + <sup>125</sup> Xe EC	3.2 ± 0.6	2.7
Atm. v CEvNS	$0.12 \pm 0.02$	0.12
<sup>8</sup> B + hep v CEvNS	$0.06 \pm 0.01$	0.06
Det. Neutrons		0.
Accidentals	2.8 ± 0.6	2.6
Total	1210 ± 91	120

it Events

- ± 34
- ± 21
- $2 \pm 6$
- ± 7.4
- ± 3.3
- ± 8.2
- ± 3.6
- ± 0.6
- $\pm 0.02$
- ± 0.01
- 0<sup>+0.2</sup>
- $\pm 0.6$
- 3 ± 41

![](_page_30_Figure_19.jpeg)

- Best fit of zero WIMPs at all masses tested (9 GeV/ $c^2$  - 100 TeV/ $c^2$ )
- Good agreement with background-only hypothesis in all spaces examined

![](_page_30_Picture_22.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_23.jpeg)

## WS2024 FIT RESULTS

Component	Expected Events	Best F
<sup>214</sup> Pbβ decays	743 ± 88	733
<sup>85</sup> Kr + <sup>39</sup> Ar + detector γs	162 ± 22	161
Solar v ERs	102 ± 6	
<sup>212</sup> Pb + <sup>218</sup> Po β decays	62.7 ± 7.5	63.7
<sup>3</sup> H + <sup>14</sup> C β decays	58.3 ± 3.3	59.7
<sup>136</sup> Xe 2vββ decay	55.6 ± 8.3	55.8
<sup>124</sup> Xe DEC	19.4 ± 3.9	21.4
<sup>127</sup> Xe + <sup>125</sup> Xe EC	3.2 ± 0.6	2.7
Atm. v CEvNS	$0.12 \pm 0.02$	0.12
<sup>8</sup> B + hep v CEvNS	$0.06 \pm 0.01$	0.06
Det. Neutrons		0.
Accidentals	2.8 ± 0.6	2.6
Total	1210 ± 91	120

it Events

- ± 34
- ± 21
- $2\pm 6$
- ± 7.4
- ± 3.3
- ± 8.3
- ± 3.6
- ± 0.6
- $\pm 0.02$
- ± 0.01
- **0**<sup>+0.2</sup>
- ± 0.6
- 3 ± 41

Amy Cottle - LIDINE '24

![](_page_31_Figure_20.jpeg)

32

## WS2024-ONLY SPIN-INDEPENDENT LIMIT

![](_page_32_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_2.jpeg)

Two-sided profile likelihood ratio test statistic

- Power constrained at  $-I\sigma$  as per recommended conventions EPIC 81,907 ('21)
- Under-fluctuation in accidental backgrounds in the region of largest overlap with WIMP signal PDF
- WS2024-only best limit of  $\sigma_{SI} = 2.3 \times 10^{-48} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ at } 43 \text{ GeV/c}^2$

![](_page_32_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_13.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_14.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_15.jpeg)

## WS2024+WS2022 SPIN-INDEPENDENT LIMIT

![](_page_33_Figure_1.jpeg)

Two-sided profile likelihood ratio test statistic

Power constrained at  $-I\sigma$  as per recommended conventions EPJC 81, 907 ('21)

Extra under-fluctuation from WS2022 result

Best limit from combined analysis of  $\sigma_{SI} = 2.2 \times 10^{-48} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ for } 43 \text{ GeV/c}^2$ 

Amy Cottle - LIDINE '24

![](_page_33_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_15.jpeg)

34

## WS2024+WS2022 SPIN-DEPENDENT LIMITS

#### **WIMP-Neutron Scattering**

![](_page_34_Figure_2.jpeg)

Uncertainty bands represent the theoretical uncertainty on the Xe nuclear structure factor

Amy Cottle - LIDINE '24

#### **WIMP-Proton Scattering**

![](_page_34_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Picture_9.jpeg)

### CONCLUSIONS

- New world-leading WIMP search limits achieved in LZ with WS2024+WS2022 4.2 tonne year exposure exceeding previous best constraints by >4 times
  - Radon tag developed and used for the first time: 60% reduction in main ER background
  - First observation of charge-suppressed <sup>124</sup>Xe DEC
- LZ will take data until 2028, towards 1000 live days
  - Multiple other physics channels to explore e.g. <sup>8</sup>B CEVNS, neutrinoless double beta decay
  - LZ is discovery-ready for WIMPs

![](_page_35_Picture_13.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Picture_15.jpeg)

## MORE ON LZ

Selected papers already available:

- First dark matter results from the LZ Experiment  $\bullet$ PRL 131, 041002 ('23)
- Background Determination for LZ Dark Matter Experiment ightarrowPRD 108, 012010 ('23)
- Search for new physics in low-energy electron recoils from the first LZ exposure <u>PRD 108, 072006 ('23)</u>
- First Constraints on WIMP-Nucleon Effective Field Theory Couplings in an Extended Energy Region From LZ PRD 109,092003 ('24)

![](_page_36_Picture_6.jpeg)

<u>https://lz.lbl.gov/</u>

@lzdarkmatter

![](_page_36_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Picture_13.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Picture_14.jpeg)

# Back Up Slides

![](_page_37_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_2.jpeg)

#### WS2024 DATA - UNSALTED; RADON TAGGED

![](_page_38_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### <u>3.3 tonne years</u>

Amy Cottle - LIDINE '24

0.3 tonne years

![](_page_38_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Picture_6.jpeg)

#### **IO TEV/C<sup>2</sup> WIMP**

![](_page_39_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Picture_3.jpeg)

### WS2024 DATA PIE PLOT

![](_page_40_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Picture_4.jpeg)

#### <sup>124</sup>XE LL-SHELL COMPARED TO DARK MATTER SPECTRA

WIMP spectra normalised to LZ's 4.2 tonne year median  $3\sigma$ discovery potential:

• 9 events @ 40 GeV

• I l events @ 1000 GeV

![](_page_41_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Picture_7.jpeg)

## GOODNESS OF FITS IN KEY 124XE REGION

![](_page_42_Figure_1.jpeg)

60 < S1c < 70138 counts

σ	Expt.	Obs.	$p_{LR}$	$p_{MC}$	$p_{Pois}$
[-5, -4]	0.11	1	0.1	0.092	5.2e-03
[-4, -3]	0.86	4	0.014	8.6e-03	2.0e-03
[-3, -2]	6.1	7	0.74	0.84	0.28
[-2, -1]	23	25	0.77	0.85	0.34
[-1, 0]	51	41	0.14	0.25	0.087
[0, 1]	51	37	0.030	0.063	0.02
[1, 2]	19	22	0.62	0.66	0.26
[2, 3]	2.4	1	0.31	0.45	0.31

LZ Preliminary

 $Q_{LL}/Q_{\beta} = 0.87$ 

(i.e. L-shell suppression)

![](_page_42_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Figure_8.jpeg)

#### 60 < S1c < 70138 counts

$\sigma$	Expt.	Obs.	$p_{LR}$	$p_{MC}$
[-5, -4]	0.44	1	0.47	1.0
[-4, -3]	2.6	4	0.42	0.54
[-3, -2]	7.4	7	0.89	1.0
[-2, -1]	21	25	0.52	0.52
[-1, 0]	50	41	0.17	0.33
[0, 1]	52	37	0.026	0.063
[1, 2]	19	22	0.63	0.68
[2, 3]	2.4	1	0.29	0.43

LZ Preliminary

#### $Q_{LL}/Q_{\beta} = 0.65$

(i.e. double L-shell ionisation density)

![](_page_42_Picture_15.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Picture_16.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Picture_17.jpeg)

#### **ACCIDENTALS MODEL & SIDEBAND COMPARISONS**

Comparing manufactured accidental events and unphysical drift accidentals

Good agreement before application of SI- and S2-based cuts

![](_page_43_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Picture_7.jpeg)

## CHECKS OF ACCIDENTALS IMPACT ON LIMIT

![](_page_44_Figure_1.jpeg)

1. Remove accidental rate constraint: best fit drops  $2.6 \rightarrow 1.4$ 

- 2. Remove constraint & outlier event: best fit drops  $1.4 \rightarrow 0$ 
  - Outlier event holds model up, over subtracting in the WIMP region
- 3. Adding fake events props limit back up

→ under-fluctuation of accidental events in the WIMP region

- Amy Cottle LIDINE '24

![](_page_44_Figure_12.jpeg)

45

## 

![](_page_45_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Rejection of live time with detector instabilities, high TPC pulse rates
- 86% live time remaining after all analysis live time exclusions  $\rightarrow$  mainly driven by improved live time retention of e-train veto

![](_page_45_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Picture_8.jpeg)

### E-TRAIN VETO

- Large S2s induce pulse "trains" lasting 100s of ms, much longer than the event window
- High pulse rates can lead to piled-up photon or electron pulses that mimic SIs and S2s, thus contributing to accidental coincidence backgrounds
- Removal of periods after S2s (e-/ph trains) excludes ~10% of our live time in WS2024 (compared to ~30%) for WS2022)
- Improvement due to optimisations & smaller S2s (= shorter exclusions)

![](_page_46_Figure_5.jpeg)

## WS2024 VS WS2022 CONDITIONS

	Analysis Live Time (Days)	Drift Field [V/cm]	Extraction Field (in liquid) [kV/cm]	Single Electro Size [phd]
WS2024	220	97	3.4	44.5
WS2022	60	193	4.4	58.5

- Lowered gate-anode  $\Delta V$  by 0.5 kV to reduce spurious electron emissions
- long-term, stable running of the detector

Amy Cottle - LIDINE '24

Optimisations performed following WS2022: trigger configuration; electrode voltages; circulation

Optimised drift field to 97 V/cm to maintain similar ER/NR discrimination whilst enabling

![](_page_47_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Picture_10.jpeg)

#### NEST MODEL OF ER LEAKAGE VS DRIFT FIELD

![](_page_48_Figure_1.jpeg)

arXiv:2211.10726

![](_page_48_Picture_7.jpeg)

### **WS2022 DATA**

- 335 events after all cuts
- PDFs created with energy deposit + detector response simulations\*
- Profile likelihood ratio (PLR) analysis

#### Key

- 1 & 2-Sigma Contours
- Post-fit total background distribution
- <sup>37</sup>Ar
- <sup>8</sup>B
- 30 GeV/c<sup>2</sup> WIMP
- NR band from DD

4.50 4.25 4.00 [[phd]] 3.75  $\log_{10}(S2c$ 3.50 3.25 3.00 2.75

\*j.astropartphys.2020.102480

![](_page_49_Figure_14.jpeg)

![](_page_49_Picture_15.jpeg)

## **WS2022 LIMIT**

- Two-sided PLR search with power-constrained limit defined using rejection power
- Minimum cross-section of  $\sigma_{SI} = 9.2 \times 10^{-48} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ for WIMP}$ mass of 36 GeV/c<sup>2</sup>
- No evidence for WIMPs

#### Key

- Observed limit
- Median expected sensitivity

![](_page_50_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_50_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_50_Picture_11.jpeg)