

Extended Higgs sectors

Talk given at Higgs 2024, Uppsala, November 2024

Odd Magne Øgreid

Motivations for extended Higgs sectors:

- Shortcomings of the Standard Model of particle physics. Fails to explain phenomena like for instance
	- The Matter-Antimatter asymmetry of the universe
	- Dark matter and dark energy
	- The strong CP problem
	- › Neutrino masses and oscillations
	- Does not include gravity
	- It is just a low-energy approximation of the interactions between particles, and becomes invalid at higher energies.
	- Does not explain the large spread of the masses of the elementary particles.
	- Does not explain the difference in strength between the fundamental forces.
- › Experimental access to Higgs sector since July 2012. There might be answers to some of these questions hidden in this sector.
- Extended Higgs sectors may allow for
	- Dark matter
	- Additional sources of CP violation
- › A CP-conserving 2HDM is embedded in SUSY models
- › Provides a rich (but not too rich) particle zoo.
- › Expect large portions of parameter space testable at LHC for such models.
- › Some popular extensions
	- Extra scalar singlets (complex or real)
	- Extra doublets (NHDMs)
	- **Triplets**
	- **Composite Higgs**
	- $\sum_{i=1}^{n}$

The Higgs-Doublet of the Standard Model
\nIn SM we have only one Higgs-Doublet
$$
\Phi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\frac{G^+}{v + h + iG^0} \right)
$$
 Free-field + interactions
\n
$$
\frac{1}{\text{Write term:}} \mathcal{L}_{\text{kin}} = (D^\mu \Phi)^\dagger (D_\mu \Phi)
$$
\n
$$
\frac{1}{\text{Note that:}} -\mathcal{L}_V = \mu^2 (\Phi^\dagger \Phi) + \lambda (\Phi^\dagger \Phi)^2
$$
\n
$$
\frac{1}{\text{Matrices that:}} -\mathcal{L}_V = \frac{1}{Q_L^0} \tilde{\Phi} \eta^{U,0} U_R^0 + \frac{1}{Q_L^0} \Phi (\eta^{D,0})^\dagger D_R^0
$$
\n
$$
+ \frac{1}{Q_L^0} \Phi (\eta^{E,0})^\dagger E_R^0 + \text{h.c.}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{1}{\text{Equation masses }+}
$$

Fermion masses + fermion interactions

The general 2HDM potential

$$
V = V_2 + V_4
$$

\n
$$
V_2 = -\frac{1}{2} \left\{ m_{11}^2 \Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_1 + m_{22}^2 \Phi_2^{\dagger} \Phi_2 + \left[m_{12}^2 \Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_2 + \text{h.c.} \right] \right\}
$$

\n
$$
V_4 = \frac{\lambda_1}{2} (\Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_1)^2 + \frac{\lambda_2}{2} (\Phi_2^{\dagger} \Phi_2)^2 + \lambda_3 (\Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_1) (\Phi_2^{\dagger} \Phi_2) + \lambda_4 (\Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_2) (\Phi_2^{\dagger} \Phi_1)
$$

\n
$$
+ \frac{1}{2} \left[\lambda_5 (\Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_2)^2 + \text{h.c.} \right] + \left\{ \left[\lambda_6 (\Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_1) + \lambda_7 (\Phi_2^{\dagger} \Phi_2) \right] (\Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_2) + \text{h.c.} \right\}
$$

- › 14 parameters (reducible to 11)
- 4 **complex** parameters
- › Model implies flavor-changing neutral currents not observed. Remedy: Constrain model by imposing a symmetry (Z_2)
- › Leads to study of allowed symmetries of the 2HDM potential extensible to Lagrangian
- Six (seven?) transformations on the doublets are known that leave both the potential and kinetic terms unchanged. In addition, there are custodial symmetries.

Three Higgs-Family-symmetries: Z_2 , U(1) and SO(3)

 Z_2 : Invariance under the transformation

$$
\Phi_1 \to \Phi_1, \quad \Phi_2 \to -\Phi_2
$$

U(1): Invariance under the transformation

$$
\Phi_1 \to e^{-i\theta} \Phi_1, \quad \Phi_2 \to e^{i\theta} \Phi_2
$$

SO(3): Invariance under the transformation

$$
\left(\begin{array}{c}\Phi_1\\\Phi_2\end{array}\right)\rightarrow \left(\begin{array}{cc} e^{-i\alpha}\cos\theta&e^{-i\beta}\sin\theta\\ -e^{i\beta}\sin\theta&e^{i\alpha}\cos\theta\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}\Phi_1\\\Phi_2\end{array}\right)
$$

Three CP-symmetries: CP1, CP2 and CP3

CP1: Invariance under the transformation

$$
\Phi_1 \to \Phi_1^*, \quad \Phi_2 \to \Phi_2^*
$$

CP2: Invariance under the transformation

$$
\Phi_1 \to \Phi_2^*, \quad \Phi_2 \to -\Phi_1^*
$$

CP3: Invariance under the transformation

$$
\left(\begin{array}{c}\Phi_1\\\Phi_2\end{array}\right)\rightarrow \left(\begin{array}{cc} \cos\theta & \sin\theta\\ -\sin\theta & \cos\theta\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}\Phi_1^*\\\Phi_2^*\end{array}\right)
$$

Each of these symmetries constrains the 2HDM, leaving us with fewer parameters, and constraints upon observable masses and couplings of the model.

Also possible to break these symmetries softly or spontaneously.

Implications for the potential parameters in the symmetry basis

- › Symmetries may or may not be spontaneously broken by the vacuum.
- \rightarrow Possible to introduce soft breaking terms in V_2 .
- › Many different models possible.
- › How to distinguish between all these models? They all imply different physics.
- › Introduce new parameters (masses and couplings)

 $\langle \Phi_1 \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\begin{array}{c} 0 \ v_1 \end{array} \right), \quad \langle \Phi_2 \rangle = \frac{e^{i\xi}}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\begin{array}{c} 0 \ v_2 \end{array} \right).$

The physical parameter set \mathcal{P} and counting of parameters.

- › Potential has initially 14 parameters
- \rightarrow Exploit the freedom to change basis and reduce to 11 independent parameters.
- › Traditional approach: Work out masses and couplings expressed in terms of the initial 14 (or 11) parameters of the potential (exchange some for VEVs).
- › Alternative approach: Work the other way around. Pick a set of 11 independent physical masses and couplings (all invariants) and express the initial 14 parameters in terms of these
- \rightarrow If we choose our set of 11 independent parameters to consist of:
	- Four squared masses
	- Three gauge couplings
	- Four scalar couplings

 $\mathcal{P} \equiv \{M_{H^{\pm}}^2, M_1^2, M_2^2, M_3^2, e_1, e_2, e_3, q_1, q_2, q_3, q\}$

- Observables from the potential (invariants) expressible through these.
- \rightarrow Trilinear and quadrilinear scalar couplings expressible through these.

$$
e_i \equiv \frac{2}{g^2} \text{Coefficient}(\mathcal{L}, H_i W^- W^+)
$$

$$
q_i \equiv \text{Coefficient}(V, H_i H^- H^+)
$$

$$
q \equiv \text{Coefficient}(V, H^-H^-H^+H^+).
$$

Satisfying: $v^2 = e_1^2 + e_2^2 + e_3^2$

Description of translation process: Ogreid: PoS CORFU2017 (2018) 065

Remaining scalar couplings expressible in terms of \mathcal{P} : Grzadkowski, Haber, Ogreid & Osland: JHEP 12 (2018) 056

Symmetries of potential (exact, spontaneously broken or softly broken all described in terms of \mathcal{P} : Ferreira, Grzadkowski, Ogreid & Osland: JHEP 02 (2021) 196 Ferreira, Grzadkowski, Ogreid & Osland: JHEP 01 (2023) 143

Results for exact symmetries

Y

Results for spontaneously broken symmetries

\ /

More solutions were found. These were mass-degenerate cases which turned out to be RGE-unstable and therefore not included here.

Results for softly broken CP1

Results for softly broken *Z2*

- › Demanding invariance of *V4* under CP1
- \angle Equivalent to $I_{67}= 0$ (Gunion & Haber)
	- I_{6Z} = $c_{21}M_{H+q}^4 + c_{20}M_{H+q}^4 + c_{12}M_{H+q}^2 + c_{11}M_{H+q}^2$ $+c_{10}M_{H+}^2+c_{03}q^3+c_{02}q^2+c_{01}q+c_{00}=0$
- \rightarrow Here, c_{ij} are polynomials in the parameters $\{M_1^2, M_2^2, M_3^2, e_1, e_2, e_3, q_1, q_2, q_3\}$
- › 10 free parameters
- \triangleright Demanding invariance of V_4 under Z_2
- Equivalent to $[Z^{(1)}, Z^{(11)}]= 0$ (Davidson & Haber)

 $q = d_{010} - \frac{1}{2}d_{012} - d_{101} - \frac{4 \operatorname{Im} J_{11} + \operatorname{Im} J_{2} + 2 \operatorname{Im} J_{30}}{2 \operatorname{Im} J_{1}},$ $2\text{Im}J_1[2(d_{012}+d_{101}-d_{010})\text{Im}J_1+4\text{Im}J_{11}+\text{Im}J_2+2\text{Im}J_{30}]M_{H^\pm}^2$ $= v^2 \{ 2(d_{010}d_{012} - d_{010}d_{101} - d_{022} + d_{200}) (\text{Im} J_1)^2$ $+[4(2d_{101}-d_{010})\text{Im}J_{11}+(d_{012}-2d_{010}+3d_{101})\text{Im}J_2+2(d_{101}-d_{012})\text{Im}J_{30}]\text{Im}J_1$ $+(2\,\mathrm{Im}J_{11}+\mathrm{Im}J_2)(4\,\mathrm{Im}J_{11}+\mathrm{Im}J_2+2\,\mathrm{Im}J_{30})\}$

- \rightarrow Here, d_{ijk} and Im J_i are polynomials in the parameters $\{M_1^2, M_2^2, M_3^2, e_1, e_2, e_3, q_1, q_2, q_3\}$
- 9 free parameters
- Physical description of the C2HDM
- Popular model since FCNC are constrained and CP is broken
- No dark matter

The seventh(?) symmetry of the 2HDM

Working out the constraints for a softly broken CP3, we discovered a model with extraordinary properties.

- › It is RG-stable, yet does not correspond to any of the six known symmetries of the 2HDM
- › What is going on? The set of constraints $\lambda_6 + \lambda_7 = 0,$

$$
\begin{array}{rcl} \lambda_2 & = & \lambda_1, \\ m_{11}^2 + m_{22}^2 & = & 0, \end{array}
$$

was found to be RGE-stable to all loop orders (using results of Bednyakov).

12

Bilinears formalism of the 2HDM and the r_o -symmetry

› Potential in bilinear notation

$$
V = M_{\mu} r^{\mu} + \Lambda_{\mu\nu} r^{\mu} r^{\nu}
$$
 where

 r^{μ} = $(r_0, r_1, r_2, r_3) = (r_0, \vec{r}),$ $M^\mu \;\; = \;\; \left(m_{11}^2 + m_{22}^2 \, , \, 2 \text{Re}(m_{12}^2) \, , \, - 2 \text{Im}(m_{12}^2) \, , \, m_{22}^2 - m_{11}^2 \right) \; = \; (M_0 \, , \, \vec{M}) \, ,$

$$
\Lambda^{\mu\nu}=\begin{pmatrix}\Lambda_{00}&\vec\Lambda\\\vec\Lambda^T&\Lambda\end{pmatrix}=\begin{pmatrix}\frac{1}{2}(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)+\lambda_3&-\mathrm{Re}\left(\lambda_6+\lambda_7\right)&\mathrm{Im}\left(\lambda_6+\lambda_7\right)&\frac{1}{2}(\lambda_2-\lambda_1)\\\mathrm{-Re}\left(\lambda_6+\lambda_7\right)&\lambda_4+\mathrm{Re}\left(\lambda_5\right)&-\mathrm{Im}\left(\lambda_5\right)&\mathrm{Re}\left(\lambda_6-\lambda_7\right)\\\mathrm{Im}\left(\lambda_6+\lambda_7\right)&-\mathrm{Im}\left(\lambda_5\right)&\lambda_4-\mathrm{Re}\left(\lambda_5\right)&-\mathrm{Im}\left(\lambda_6-\lambda_7\right)\\\frac{1}{2}(\lambda_2-\lambda_1)&\mathrm{Re}\left(\lambda_6-\lambda_7\right)&-\mathrm{Im}\left(\lambda_6-\lambda_7\right)&\frac{1}{2}(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)-\lambda_3\end{pmatrix}
$$

$$
r_0 = \frac{1}{2} \left(\Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_1 + \Phi_2^{\dagger} \Phi_2 \right),
$$

\n
$$
r_1 = \frac{1}{2} \left(\Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_2 + \Phi_2^{\dagger} \Phi_1 \right) = \text{Re} \left(\Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_2 \right),
$$

\n
$$
r_2 = -\frac{i}{2} \left(\Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_2 - \Phi_2^{\dagger} \Phi_1 \right) = \text{Im} \left(\Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_2 \right),
$$

\n
$$
r_3 = \frac{1}{2} \left(\Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_1 - \Phi_2^{\dagger} \Phi_2 \right).
$$

- The six «old» symmetries can be explained from invariance under $\vec{r} \rightarrow S \vec{r}$ **e.g.**
 $S_{Z_2} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, $S_{CP1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$.
- Can we express the «new symmetry» in the bilinear formalism?
- Turns out that invariance under $r_0 \rightarrow -r_0$ leads to our model
- \rightarrow Hence the name r_o -symmetry
- **Impossible to change sign of** r_o **using** HF- or CP-transformations

More on the r_o-symmetry

Parameterise the two doublets as

$$
\Phi_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1 + i\phi_2 \\ \phi_3 + i\phi_4 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Phi_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_5 + i\phi_6 \\ \phi_7 + i\phi_8 \end{pmatrix}
$$

then

$$
r_0 = \frac{1}{2}(\phi_1^2 + \phi_2^2 + \phi_3^2 + \phi_4^2 + \phi_5^2 + \phi_6^2 + \phi_7^2 + \phi_8^2),
$$

\n
$$
r_1 = \phi_1 \phi_5 + \phi_2 \phi_6 + \phi_3 \phi_7 + \phi_4 \phi_8,
$$

\n
$$
r_2 = -\phi_2 \phi_5 + \phi_1 \phi_6 - \phi_4 \phi_7 + \phi_3 \phi_8,
$$

\n
$$
r_3 = \frac{1}{2}(\phi_1^2 + \phi_2^2 + \phi_3^2 + \phi_4^2 - \phi_5^2 - \phi_6^2 - \phi_7^2 - \phi_8^2).
$$

Example 2 Want to change sign of r_0 while r_1 , r_2 , r_3 are unchanged

works!!!

What about the kinetic terms? Define

$$
D^{\mu} = \partial^{\mu} + \frac{ig}{2} \sigma_i W_i^{\mu} + i \frac{g'}{2} B^{\mu},
$$

and scalar kinetic terms $\mathcal{L}_k = (D_\mu \Phi_1)^\dagger (D^\mu \Phi_1) + (D_\mu \Phi_2)^\dagger (D^\mu \Phi_2)$

Turns out to be invariant under r_o provided also
 $\partial_{\mu} \rightarrow -i \partial_{\mu}, \quad B_{\mu} \rightarrow i B_{\mu},$

 $W_{1\mu} \rightarrow iW_{1\mu}$, $W_{2\mu} \rightarrow -iW_{2\mu}$, $W_{3\mu} \rightarrow iW_{3\mu}$.

› Call this "*the extended r0 transformation*"

Implies $x_{\mu} \rightarrow ix_{\mu}$, but leaves d^4x invariant

- \triangleright Gauge kinetic terms $\mathcal{L}^B = -\frac{1}{4}B_{\mu\nu}B^{\mu\nu} \frac{1}{4}W_{i\mu\nu}W_i^{\mu\nu}$ also invariant. $B^{\mu\nu} = \partial^{\nu}B^{\mu} - \partial^{\mu}B^{\nu}$,
	- $W_i^{\mu\nu} = \partial^{\nu} W_i^{\mu} \partial^{\mu} W_i^{\nu} + g \epsilon_{ijk} W_i^{\mu} W_k^{\nu},$ Stay tuned…

Three Higgs doublet models:

- › In 2HDM, one can have Dark Matter OR CP violation, but not both simultaneously
- › In 3HDM, one can have both Dark Matter and CP violation simultaneously.
- › 3HDM Adds two more neutral scalars and one extra pair of charged scalars to the list.
- › Study of allowed symmetries in the 3HDM and their implications
- › Weird models with CP-symmetries of order 4.
- Again, symmetries may be broken either spontaneously or softly
- › Large zoo of possible symmetry-constrained models available. Pick one you like…
- › Some popular models
	- \rightarrow Z_2XZ_2
	- \rightarrow S_3
	- \rightarrow U(1)₁ or U(1)₂
	- › CP4

Constraints for NHDMs

Theoretical constraints

- › Positivity of squared masses
- › Boundedness from below
- › Unitarity at tree level
- › Perturbativity
- › Metastability

Experimental constraints

- Near-alignment $W^+W^-h_{\rm SM}$
- › CP constraints $h_{\rm SM} \rightarrow \tau \bar{\tau}$
- › Electroweak precision observables *S*, *T*, *U*
- Digamma signal strength $h_{\text{SM}} \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$
- $\bar{B}\to X_s\gamma$ ›
- › Electron EDM

CP-symmetries of the NHDM potential (and the VEV)

Whenever there exists a U(N) matrix X_{ij} so that both the NHDM potential and the VEV is invariant under the transformation

 $\Phi_i \to X_{ij} \Phi_i^*$

the NHDM is CP-invariant, or **CP-conserving**.

If only the potential is invariant, but not the VEV, then CP is spontaneously broken.

If only V_4 is CP-invariant, but not V_2 , then CP is softly broken.

Alternative form for NHDM-potential useful

$$
V(\Phi_1,\Phi_2) \quad = \quad Y_{ab}\Phi_a^\dagger\Phi_b + \frac{1}{2}Z_{abcd}(\Phi_a^\dagger\Phi_b)(\Phi_c^\dagger\Phi_d)
$$

Tensor constructed from VEVs useful

$$
V_{ab} = \frac{v_a v_b^*}{v^2}
$$

For 2HDM:

$$
Y_{11} = -\frac{m_{11}^2}{2}, \quad Y_{12} = -\frac{m_{12}^2}{2},
$$

\n
$$
Y_{21} = -\frac{(m_{12}^2)^*}{2}, \quad Y_{22} = -\frac{m_{22}^2}{2},
$$

\n
$$
Z_{1111} = \lambda_1, \quad Z_{2222} = \lambda_2, \quad Z_{1122} = Z_{2211} = \lambda_3,
$$

\n
$$
Z_{1221} = Z_{2112} = \lambda_4, \quad Z_{1212} = \lambda_5, \quad Z_{2121} = (\lambda_5)^*.
$$

\n
$$
Z_{1112} = Z_{1211} = \lambda_6, \quad Z_{1121} = Z_{2111} = (\lambda_6)^*,
$$

\n
$$
Z_{1222} = Z_{2212} = \lambda_7, \quad Z_{2122} = Z_{2221} = (\lambda_7)^*.
$$

CP-symmetry of the 2HDM

CP-properties of the 2HDM determined by three CP-odd invariants, first discovered by Lavoura and Silva. Re-expressed by Gunion and Haber as:

Im
$$
J_1 = -\frac{2}{v^2}
$$
Im $[V_{da}Y_{ab}Z_{bccd}]$,
\nIm $J_2 = \frac{4}{v^4}$ Im $[V_{ab}V_{dc}Y_{be}Y_{cf}Z_{eafd}]$,
\nIm $J_3 =$ Im $[V_{ab}V_{dc}Z_{bgge}Z_{chhf}Z_{eafd}]$

2HDM (potential and vacuum) is CP conserving iff

$$
\operatorname{Im} J_1 = \operatorname{Im} J_2 = \operatorname{Im} J_3 = 0
$$

› Understood in terms of physical parameters

$$
e_k=q_k=0
$$

 M

[Ref: Grzadkowski, Ogreid, Osland, JHEP11 (2014) 084]

Another set of invariants determine if potential only is CP invariant.

$$
I_{Y3Z} = \text{Im} \left[Z_{a\bar{c}}^{(1)} Z_{e\bar{b}}^{(1)} Z_{b\bar{c}c\bar{d}} Y_{d\bar{a}} \right],
$$

\n
$$
I_{2Y2Z} = \text{Im} \left[Y_{a\bar{b}} Y_{c\bar{d}} Z_{b\bar{a}d\bar{f}} Z_{f\bar{c}}^{(1)} \right],
$$

\n
$$
I_{3Y3Z} = \text{Im} \left[Z_{a\bar{c}b\bar{d}} Z_{c\bar{c}d\bar{g}} Z_{e\bar{h}f\bar{q}} Y_{g\bar{a}} Y_{h\bar{b}} Y_{q\bar{f}} \right],
$$

\n
$$
I_{6Z} = \text{Im} \left[Z_{a\bar{b}c\bar{d}} Z_{b\bar{f}}^{(1)} Z_{d\bar{h}}^{(1)} Z_{f\bar{a}j\bar{k}} Z_{k\bar{j}m\bar{n}} Z_{n\bar{m}h\bar{c}} \right].
$$

2HDM potential is CP invariant iff

$$
I_{Y3Z} = I_{2Y2Z} = I_{3Y3Z} = I_{6Z} = 0
$$

Understood in terms of physical parameters

$$
\begin{array}{rcl} \frac{2}{4} & = & \frac{e_1q_1M_2^2M_3^2+e_2q_2M_1^2M_3^2+e_3q_3M_1^2M_2^2-M_1^2M_2^2M_3^2}{2(e_1^2M_2^2M_3^2+e_2^2M_3^2M_1^2+e_3^2M_1^2M_2^2)},\\ q & = & \frac{(e_2q_3-e_3q_2)^2M_1^2+(e_3q_1-e_1q_3)^2M_2^2+(e_1q_2-e_2q_1)^2M_3^2+M_1^2M_2^2M_3^2}{2(e_1^2M_2^2M_3^2+e_2^2M_3^2M_1^2+e_3^2M_1^2M_2^2)} \end{array}
$$

18

Processes containing Im J₂:

- › Summing over all possible combinations of *i,j,k*, we find $\mathcal{M} \propto \text{Im} J_2$
- › Amplitudes directly proportional to Weak-basis invariant. **Ideal** place to discover CPV from the extra doublet.

CP-symmetry of the 3HDM

3HDMs provide more phases in the potential as well as in the VEV, thereby providing more sources of CP violation.

There exist 3HDMs with complex potential parameters (irremovable phases) that are CP conserving. (unlike the 2HDM).

For the general 3HDM,

- › No set of invariants equivalent to a CP conserving 3HDM is known.
- \rightarrow No set of invariants equivalent to a CPconserving potential is known
- › CP-conservation in 3HDM is not yet understood in terms of physical parameters
- › Some special cases has been worked out.

The CP4 3HDM

Only one doublet ϕ_1 has non-vanishing VEV $V = -m_{11}^2(\phi_1^{\dagger}\phi_1) - m_{22}^2(\phi_2^{\dagger}\phi_2 + \phi_3^{\dagger}\phi_3)$ $+\lambda_1(\phi_1^{\dagger}\phi_1)^2+\lambda_2[(\phi_2^{\dagger}\phi_2)^2+(\phi_3^{\dagger}\phi_3)^2]+\lambda_3'(\phi_2^{\dagger}\phi_2)(\phi_3^{\dagger}\phi_3)$ $+\lambda_3(\phi_1^{\dagger}\phi_1)[(\phi_2^{\dagger}\phi_2)+(\phi_3^{\dagger}\phi_3)]$ $+\lambda'_{4}(\phi_{2}^{\dagger}\phi_{3})(\phi_{3}^{\dagger}\phi_{2})+\lambda_{4}[(\phi_{1}^{\dagger}\phi_{2})(\phi_{2}^{\dagger}\phi_{1})+(\phi_{1}^{\dagger}\phi_{3})(\phi_{3}^{\dagger}\phi_{1})]$ $+\left\{\lambda_5(\phi_3^{\dagger}\phi_1)(\phi_2^{\dagger}\phi_1)+\frac{1}{2}\lambda_6[(\phi_2^{\dagger}\phi_1)^2-(\phi_1^{\dagger}\phi_3)^2]\right\}$ $+\lambda_8(\phi_2^{\dagger}\phi_3)^2 + \lambda_9(\phi_2^{\dagger}\phi_3)[(\phi_2^{\dagger}\phi_2) - (\phi_3^{\dagger}\phi_3)] + \text{h.c.}$

Invariant under CP-transform

 $\phi_i \rightarrow W_{ij} \phi_j^*, \quad W = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & i \ 0 & -i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$

CP-conserving, yet irremovable phases

3HDM with S₃ symmetry

Both Dark matter and CP violation possible

$$
V = \mu_0^2 h_S^{\dagger} h_S + \mu_1^2 (h_1^{\dagger} h_1 + h_2^{\dagger} h_2),
$$

+ $\lambda_1 (h_1^{\dagger} h_1 + h_2^{\dagger} h_2)^2 + \lambda_2 (h_1^{\dagger} h_2 - h_2^{\dagger} h_1)^2 + \lambda_3 [(h_1^{\dagger} h_1 - h_2^{\dagger} h_2)^2 + (h_1^{\dagger} h_2 + h_2^{\dagger} h_1)^2]$
+ $\left[\lambda_4 \left\{ (h_S^{\dagger} h_1)(h_1^{\dagger} h_2 + h_2^{\dagger} h_1) + (h_S^{\dagger} h_2)(h_1^{\dagger} h_1 - h_2^{\dagger} h_2) \right\} + \text{h.c.} \right] + \lambda_5 (h_S^{\dagger} h_S)(h_1^{\dagger} h_1 + h_2^{\dagger} h_2)$
+ $\lambda_6 [(h_S^{\dagger} h_1)(h_1^{\dagger} h_S) + (h_S^{\dagger} h_2)(h_2^{\dagger} h_S)] + \left[\lambda_7 \left\{ (h_S^{\dagger} h_1)(h_S^{\dagger} h_1) + (h_S^{\dagger} h_2)(h_S^{\dagger} h_2) \right\} + \text{h.c.} \right]$
+ $\lambda_8 (h_S^{\dagger} h_S)^2,$

$$
Y_{11} = \mu_1^2, \quad Y_{22} = \mu_1^2, \quad Y_{33} = \mu_0^2.
$$

,

$$
I_{5Z}^{(1)} = \text{Im} [Z_{aabc} Z_{cedg} Z_{edfh} Z_{gihb} Z_{ifjj}],
$$

\n
$$
I_{5Z}^{(2)} = \text{Im} [Z_{abbc} Z_{cedg} Z_{edfh} Z_{giha} Z_{ijjf}],
$$

\n
$$
I_{6Z}^{(1)} = \text{Im} [Z_{acbd} Z_{cade} Z_{egfh} Z_{gihk} Z_{ibjl} Z_{kflj}],
$$

\n
$$
I_{6Z}^{(2)} = \text{Im} [Z_{acbe} Z_{cadf} Z_{egfi} Z_{gbhk} Z_{idjl} Z_{khlj}],
$$

\n
$$
I_{7Z} = \text{Im} [Z_{acbd} Z_{cedf} Z_{egfi} Z_{gahj} Z_{ikjm} Z_{kbln} Z_{mhnl}]
$$

\n
$$
I_{2Y3Z} = \text{Im} [Y_{ac} Y_{be} Z_{cgdf} Z_{ehfd} Z_{gbha}].
$$

$$
Y_{11} = \mu_1^2, \quad Y_{22} = \mu_1^2, \quad Y_{33} = \mu_0^2,
$$

\n
$$
Z_{1111} = Z_{2222} = 2\lambda_1 + 2\lambda_3, \quad Z_{3333} = 2\lambda_8,
$$

\n
$$
Z_{1122} = Z_{2211} = 2\lambda_1 - 2\lambda_3, \quad Z_{1133} = Z_{2233} = Z_{3311} = Z_{3322} = \lambda_5,
$$

\n
$$
Z_{1221} = Z_{2112} = -2\lambda_2 + 2\lambda_3, \quad Z_{1331} = Z_{2332} = Z_{3113} = Z_{3223} = \lambda_6,
$$

\n
$$
Z_{1212} = Z_{2121} = 2\lambda_2 + 2\lambda_3, \quad Z_{1313} = Z_{2323} = Z_{3131} = Z_{3232} = 2\lambda_7,
$$

\n
$$
Z_{1123} = Z_{1213} = Z_{1312} = Z_{1321} = Z_{2113} = Z_{2311} = \lambda_4^*,
$$

\n
$$
Z_{1132} = Z_{1231} = Z_{2131} = Z_{3112} = Z_{3121} = Z_{3211} = \lambda_4,
$$

\n
$$
Z_{2223} = Z_{2322} = -\lambda_4^*, \quad Z_{2232} = Z_{3222} = -\lambda_4.
$$

Potential is CP invariant iff all 6 invariants vanish.

3HDM with Z2 x Z2 symmetry (real parameters, complex VEV)

 $V = - [m_{11}(\phi_1^{\dagger} \phi_1) + m_{22}(\phi_2^{\dagger} \phi_2) + m_{33}(\phi_3^{\dagger} \phi_3)]$ $+\lambda_{11}(\phi_1^{\dagger}\phi_1)^2+\lambda_{22}(\phi_2^{\dagger}\phi_2)^2+\lambda_{33}(\phi_3^{\dagger}\phi_3)^2$ $+\lambda_{12}(\phi_1^{\dagger}\phi_1)(\phi_2^{\dagger}\phi_2) + \lambda_{13}(\phi_1^{\dagger}\phi_1)(\phi_3^{\dagger}\phi_3) + \lambda_{23}(\phi_2^{\dagger}\phi_2)(\phi_3^{\dagger}\phi_3)$ $+\lambda'_{12}(\phi_1^{\dagger}\phi_2)(\phi_2^{\dagger}\phi_1)+\lambda'_{13}(\phi_1^{\dagger}\phi_3)(\phi_3^{\dagger}\phi_1)+\lambda'_{23}(\phi_2^{\dagger}\phi_3)(\phi_3^{\dagger}\phi_2)$ $+\lambda_1 [(\phi_2^{\dagger} \phi_3)^2 + (\phi_3^{\dagger} \phi_2)^2] + \lambda_2 [(\phi_3^{\dagger} \phi_1)^2 + (\phi_1^{\dagger} \phi_3)^2] + \lambda_3 [(\phi_1^{\dagger} \phi_2)^2 + (\phi_2^{\dagger} \phi_1)^2].$

> Model is CP conserving iff all 15 invariants vanish.

Both Dark matter and CP violation possible

 $J_1 = \text{Im} \{\hat{V}_{ac} \hat{V}_{be} Z_{cadf} Z_{edfg} Z_{gbhh}\},\$ $J_2 = \text{Im}\{\hat{V}_{ac}\hat{V}_{be}Z_{cadf}Z_{edfg}Z_{ghhb}\},\,$ $J_3 = \text{Im}\{\hat{V}_{ac}\hat{V}_{be}Z_{cadf}Z_{egfd}Z_{ghhh}\},\,$ $J_4 = \text{Im}\{\hat{V}_{ac}\hat{V}_{bd}Z_{cedg}Z_{eafh}Z_{gbhf}\},\,$ $J_5 = \text{Im}\{\hat{V}_{ac}\hat{V}_{bd}Z_{cedg}Z_{ehfa}Z_{gfhb}\},\,$ $J_6 = \text{Im}\{\hat{V}_{ac}\hat{V}_{bd}Z_{cedf}Z_{eafg}Z_{qbhh}\},\$ $J_7 = \text{Im}\{\hat{V}_{ad}\hat{V}_{be}\hat{V}_{cf}Z_{daeh}Z_{fbqi}Z_{hciq}\},\$ $J_8 = \text{Im}\{\hat{V}_{ad}\hat{V}_{be}\hat{V}_{cf}Z_{daeh}Z_{figb}Z_{hgic}\},\$ $J_9 = \text{Im}\{\hat{V}_{ad}\hat{V}_{be}\hat{V}_{cf}Z_{deeg}Z_{fbgh}Z_{hcii}\},\$ $J_{10} = \text{Im}\{\hat{V}_{ad}\hat{V}_{be}\hat{V}_{cf}Z_{daeg}Z_{fhgi}Z_{hbic}\},\$ $J_{11} = \text{Im}\{\hat{V}_{ac}\hat{V}_{be}Z_{cadj}Z_{edf}Z_{gihh}Z_{ibjj}\},\$ $J_{12} = \text{Im}\{\hat{V}_{ac}\hat{V}_{be}Z_{cadg}Z_{effd}Z_{ghhi}Z_{ijjb}\},\,$ $J_{13} = \text{Im}\{\hat{V}_{ac}\hat{V}_{be}Z_{cadf}Z_{edfg}Z_{gihj}Z_{ibjh}\},\,$ $J_{14} = \text{Im}\{\hat{V}_{ac}\hat{V}_{bd}Z_{cedf}Z_{eafg}Z_{qihj}Z_{ibjh}\},\,$ $v^6 J_{15} = \text{Im} \{ \hat{V}_{ac} \hat{V}_{bd} Y_{cf} Y_{da} Y_{ea} Z_{fbae} \}.$

3HDM with Z2 x Z2 symmetry (complex parameters)

 $=$ $-[m_{11}(\phi_1^{\dagger}\phi_1)+m_{22}(\phi_2^{\dagger}\phi_2)+m_{33}(\phi_3^{\dagger}\phi_3)]$ V_{\parallel} $+\lambda_{11}(\phi_1^{\dagger}\phi_1)^2+\lambda_{12}(\phi_1^{\dagger}\phi_1)(\phi_2^{\dagger}\phi_2)+\lambda_{13}(\phi_1^{\dagger}\phi_1)(\phi_3^{\dagger}\phi_3)+\lambda_{22}(\phi_2^{\dagger}\phi_2)^2$ $+\lambda_{23}(\phi_2^{\dagger}\phi_2)(\phi_3^{\dagger}\phi_3)+\lambda_{33}(\phi_3^{\dagger}\phi_3)^2$ $+\lambda'_{12}(\phi_1^{\dagger}\phi_2)(\phi_2^{\dagger}\phi_1)+\lambda'_{13}(\phi_1^{\dagger}\phi_3)(\phi_3^{\dagger}\phi_1)+\lambda'_{23}(\phi_2^{\dagger}\phi_3)(\phi_3^{\dagger}\phi_2)$ $+\left\{\lambda_1(\phi_2^{\dagger}\phi_3)^2 + \lambda_2(\phi_3^{\dagger}\phi_1)^2 + \lambda_3(\phi_1^{\dagger}\phi_2)^2 + \text{ h.c.}\right\}$

> Potential is CP conserving iff all 15 invariants vanish. Spontaneous CP violation may happen. Soft CP violation may happen if only the first 10 invariants vanish.

Summary!

- › 2HDM well understood. Physical implications known. "New symmetry" yet to be understood.
- › 3HDM lots of work in progress. Physical implications partially known.
- › NHDM some studies done. Lots left to do!
- › Multi-Higgs models provide DM candidates and extra sources of CP violation.

The future:

Still awaiting the discovery of additional scalar particles (Higgses).

95 GeV

125 GeV

152 GeV

??? GeV ??? GeV

