



# Probing CPV mixing in the Higgs sector in VBF at 1 TeV ILC

# N. VUKASINOVIC, I. BOZOVIC JELISAVCIC, G. KACAREVIC

ON BEHALF OF THE ILD DETECTOR CONCEPT GROUP



VINCA Institute of Nuclear Sciences, Belgrade, Serbia

# OUTLINE

- We explore the possibility that CP is violated through mixing of CP eigenstates of opposite parities
- Generic assumption that 125 GeV Higgs mass eigenstate is a mixture of scalar and pseudoscalar via mixing angle  $\Psi_{CP}(h_{125} = H \cdot \cos \Psi_{CP} + A \cdot \sin \Psi_{CP})$ ?
- $\circ$   $\;$  What is the precision to measure  $\Psi_{\rm CP}$  in ZZ-fusion at 1 TeV e^+e^- collider ILC ?
- The first fully simulated measurement in VBF (arXiv:2205.07715v3[hep-ex], only ZH scaled to higher E and  $\mathcal{L}$ )



### SIGNAL AND BACKGROUND

~ 1 TeV energies are optimal due to interplay of x-section and centrality

1 TeV	σ (fb)	Expected in 8 ab <sup>-1</sup> full range	Reconstructed with ILD			
Signal:	12	104000	$6 \cdot 10^5$ DELPHES ~ 46 ab <sup>-1</sup>			
$e^+e^-  ightarrow Hee, H  ightarrow b\overline{b}$	13		3495 full sim. ~ 0.27 ab <sup>-1</sup>			
$e^+e^-  ightarrow q\bar{q}e^+e^-$	2.4·10 <sup>3</sup>	19·10 <sup>6</sup>	2·10 <sup>5</sup>			
$e^+e^-  o q\bar{q}$	3.6·10 <sup>3</sup>	29·10 <sup>6</sup>	4·10 <sup>5</sup>			
$e^+e^-  ightarrow q\bar{q}ev$	3·10 <sup>3</sup>	24·10 <sup>6</sup>	2.6·10 <sup>6</sup>			
$e^+e^- \rightarrow llll$	8·10 <sup>3</sup>	64·10 <sup>6</sup>	1.5·10 <sup>6</sup>			
$e^+e^-  ightarrow eeqqqq$	37	30·10 <sup>4</sup>	1.104			
$e^+e^- \rightarrow e\nu_e qqqq$	51	4·10 <sup>5</sup>	1·10 <sup>6</sup>			
$e^+e^- \rightarrow qq\nu_e ee\nu_e$	5.6	45·10 <sup>3</sup>	5·10 <sup>4</sup>			

#### Unpolarized beams

- Generator level WHIZARD V2.8.3/UFO/Higgs characterization model signal and WHIZARD 1.95/SM background
- $\circ$  Higgs decays to 2 b-jets to avoid ee $\!\gamma$  background
- CP-odd coupling to vector bosons at loop level

$$\mathcal{L}_{0}^{V} = \left\{ c_{\alpha} \kappa_{\mathrm{SM}} \left[ \frac{1}{2} g_{HZZ} Z_{\mu} Z^{\mu} + g_{HWW} W_{\mu}^{+} W^{-\mu} \right] - \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{\Lambda} \left[ c_{\alpha} \kappa_{HZZ} Z_{\mu\nu} Z^{\mu\nu} + s_{\alpha} \kappa_{AZZ} Z_{\mu\nu} \widetilde{Z}^{\mu\nu} \right] - \frac{1}{\Lambda} c_{\alpha} \left[ \kappa_{H\partial\gamma} Z_{\nu} \partial_{\mu} A^{\mu\nu} + \kappa_{H\partial Z} Z_{\nu} \partial_{\mu} Z^{\mu\nu} + \left( \kappa_{H\partial W} W_{\nu}^{+} \partial_{\mu} W^{-\mu\nu} + h.c. \right) \right] \right\} X_{0}$$

• Generator parameters are set in a way that production cross-section depends only on  $\Psi_{CP}$  – otherwise multidimensional analysis would be reqired including variations of  $\kappa_{AZZ}$ 

#### SENSITIVE OBSERVABLE

- $\circ~$  CP-sensitive observable: angle between production planes  $\Delta \Phi$
- $\Delta \Phi$  carries the most information on the Higgs CP state [arXiv:2203.11707]

 $\Delta \Phi = \operatorname{sgn}(\Delta \Phi) \cdot \operatorname{arcos}(\vec{n}_1 \cdot \vec{n}_2)$ 

$$\operatorname{sgn}(\Delta \Phi) = \frac{\vec{q}_1 \cdot (\vec{n}_1 \times \vec{n}_2)}{|\vec{q}_1 \cdot (\vec{n}_1 \times \vec{n}_2)|}$$

$$\hat{n}_{1} = \frac{q_{e_{i}^{-}} \times q_{e_{f}^{-}}}{|q_{e_{i}^{-}} \times q_{e_{f}^{-}}|} \qquad \hat{n}_{2} = \frac{q_{e_{i}^{+}} \times q_{e_{f}^{+}}}{|q_{e_{i}^{+}} \times q_{e_{f}^{+}}|}$$



# **GENERATED AND RECONSTRUCTED SIGNAL**

Measurement for the pure scalar  $\Psi_{\rm CP}=0$ 

- Correction for detector acceptance in polar angles
- Generated signal is well reproduced with corrected reconstructed data



- **Preselection electron isolation**:
- $\circ m_{e^+e^-} > 200 \text{ GeV}$  (veto HZ)
- $\circ \quad E_{e\pm} > 60 \text{ GeV}$
- DELPHES electron isolation



$$\circ \quad p_{\text{Tmin}} = 0.5 \text{ GeV}$$
  
$$\circ \quad I = \frac{\sum_{i \neq P}^{p_T(i) > p_T^{min}} p_T(i)}{p_T(P)} < 0.12$$

Signal preselection efficiency: ~85%

• Background is CP insensitive



### **EVENT SELECTION**

#### $\circ$ Selection cuts:

 $◦ m_{j\bar{j}} > 110 \ GeV,$   $◦ p_{Tj_2} > 160 \ GeV,$   $◦ N_{PFO_{1,2}} > 10,$ ◦ Selection efficiency: 82%

o Total signal efficiency with preselection: ~ 70%
 o Unbiased selection w.r.t. △Φ
 o Background is fully suppressed



# ANGULAR OBSERVABLE $\Delta\Phi$ and mixing angle $\Psi_{\rm CP}$

 $\circ~$  Minimum of  $\Delta \Phi$  shifts for non-zero  $\Psi_{\rm CP}$ 

 $\circ~$  Relation between  $\Psi_{\rm CP}$  and  $\Delta\Phi$  has to be extracted **empirically** 



# RECONSTRUCTION OF MIN( $\Delta \Phi$ )

- 1. Determine position of the local minimum from experimental data (corrected, selected S+B):  $f(\Delta \Phi, \Psi_{CP}) = A + B \cdot cos(a \cdot \Delta \Phi - b)$
- b/a defines minimum from the principle of the first derivative



# MIN( $\Delta \Phi$ ) VS. MIXING ANGLE $\Psi_{CP}$



# DETERMINATION OF $\Psi_{CP}$ FROM MIN( $\Delta \Phi$ )

4. Retrieve  $\Psi_{CP}$  by solving the quadratic equation:  $k \cdot \Psi_{CP}^{2} + m \cdot \Psi_{CP} - (b/a) = 0$ (k, m – fit parameters from simulation b, a – fit parameters from the reconstructed data)

Generated values of  $\Psi_{\mathsf{CP}}$  are correctly reproduced

0.05

0

0.1

0.15

0.2

 $\Psi_{\text{true}}$  [rad]

# **PSEUDO-EXPERIMENTS**

- $\circ$  2000 pseudo-experiments at  $\Psi_{\rm CP}$ = 0, with 8 ab<sup>-1</sup> of unpolarised data
- Pull distribution indicates that uncertainties are correctly estimated
- Fit parameters' uncertainties give ~1 mrad systematic error



# DISCUSSION

- First measurement in VBF (HZZ vertex )
- Full background simulation of ILD detector and fast simulation of the signal, realistic ILC running scenario
- Sensitivity in line with the targeted precision from theory (benchmark point 2HDM to explain barion asymetry)  $(f_{CP}, 68\% \text{ CL}, \text{ pure scalar})$  [arXiv:2205.07715v3]

				•••								
Collider	pp	pp	pp	$e^+e^-$	$e^+e^-$	$e^+e^-$	$e^+e^-$	$e^-p$	$\gamma\gamma$	$\mu^+\mu^-$	$\mu^+\mu^-$	target
E (GeV)	14,000	$14,\!000$	100,000	250	350	500	1,000	$1,\!300$	125	125	$3,\!000$	(theory)
$\mathcal{L}$ (fb <sup>-1</sup> )	300	3,000	30,000	250	350	500	8,000	$1,\!000$	250	20	1,000	
HZZ/HWW	$4.0 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$2.5 \cdot 10^{-6}$	$\checkmark$	$3.9 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$2.9 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$1.3 \cdot 10^{-5}$	<b>1.44</b> ·10 <sup>-5</sup>	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$< 10^{-5}$
$H\gamma\gamma$	_	0.50	$\checkmark$	_	_	_	_	_	0.06	_	_	$< 10^{-2}$
$HZ\gamma$	_	$\sim 1$	$\checkmark$	_	_	_	$\sim 1$	_	_	_	-	$< 10^{-2}$
Hgg	0.12	0.011	$\checkmark$	_	-	_	-	_	_	-	-	$< 10^{-2}$
$Ht\bar{t}$	0.24	0.05	$\checkmark$	_	_	0.29	0.08	$\checkmark$	_	_	$\checkmark$	$< 10^{-2}$
H au au	0.07	0.008	$\checkmark$	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.06	_	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$< 10^{-2}$
$H\mu\mu$	-	_	-	_	-	_	-	_	_	$\checkmark$	_	$< 10^{-2}$

 $f_{CP} = sin^2(\varDelta \Psi_{CP}) = (1.44 \pm 0.02) \cdot 10^{-5}$  with 68% CL

# SUMMARY

- First measurement in VBF in HZZ vertex (arXiv:2205.07715v3[hep-ex], only ZH scaled to higher E and  $\mathcal{L}$ )
- Realistic simulation of ILD experiment (luminositiy spectrum, machine background, event reconstruction)
- Demonstrating feasibility of linear  $e^+e^-$  colliders to probe CPV in VBF at high center-of-mass energies (~ 1 TeV)
- Input to the ECFA Study on Higgs/top/EW factories ILD-PHYS-PUB–2024-002
- Published in <u>Phys. Rev. D 110, 032011 (2024)</u>

# THANK YOU!

## BACKUP



82 % @ 500 GeV

41.7 % @ 1 TeV

21.8 % @ 1.4 TeV