



# Outlook for fluctuations and correlations

Jiangyong Jia May 31, 2024



2024 ALICE USA meeting at Yale

# Heavy ion collisions



Three pillars of understanding: Properties, Dynamics, Initial condition



Two snap-shots: Final state particles, Nuclear structures →Measure more observables or collide more systems

### A plethora of observables

• Single particle distribution Flow vector:  $oldsymbol{V}_n = v_n e^{\mathrm{i}n\Psi_n}$ 

$$\frac{d^2 N}{d\phi dp_{\rm T}} = N(p_T) \left[ 1 + 2\sum_n v_{\rm n}(p_T) \cos n(\phi - \Psi_n(p_T)) \right]$$
$$= N(p_T) \left[ \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} V_{\rm n}(p_T) e^{in\phi} \right]$$
Anisotropic flow

Two-particle correlation function

$$\left\langle rac{d^2 N_1}{d \phi d p_{\mathrm{T}}} rac{d^2 N_2}{d \phi d p_{\mathrm{T}}} 
ight
angle \quad \Rightarrow \ \left\langle oldsymbol{V}_n(p_{T1}) oldsymbol{V}_n^*(p_{T2}) 
ight
angle \quad n-n=0$$

Multi-particle correlation function

$$egin{aligned} &\langle [p_{\mathrm{T}}]^k rac{d^2 N_1}{d \phi d p_{\mathrm{T}}} \dots rac{d^2 N_m}{d \phi d p_{\mathrm{T}}} 
ight
angle &\Rightarrow ig\langle [p_{\mathrm{T}}]^k oldsymbol{V}_{n_1} oldsymbol{V}_{n_2} \dots oldsymbol{V}_{n_m} ig
angle \ &p([p_{\mathrm{T}}], oldsymbol{V}_2, oldsymbol{V}_3 \dots) = rac{1}{N_{\mathrm{evts}}} rac{\psi}{d[p_{\mathrm{T}}] d oldsymbol{V}_2 d oldsymbol{V}_3 \dots} \end{aligned}$$

EbyE fluctuations of initial volume, size and shape

E-by-E flow amplitude distribution p(vn)



Event-plane correlation  $p(\Psi_n, \Psi_m, \Psi_k)$ 



 $v_n$  amplitude correlation  $p(v_n, v_m)$ 





 $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$  (GeV)

#### From Weiyao Ke, Jetscape

# **Uncertainty quantification**

Norm. Pb-Pb 2.76 TeV	N[2.76 TeV]	[10, 20]
Norm. Au-Au 200 GeV	N[0.2 TeV]	[3, 10]
generalized mean	p	[-0.7, 0.7]
nucleon width	w	[0.5, 1.5] fm
min. dist. btw. nucleons	$d_{\min}^3$	$[0, 1.7^3]  \text{fm}^3$
multiplicity fluctuation	$\sigma_k$	[0.3, 2.0]
free-streaming time scale	$ au_R$	[0.3, 2.0] fm/c
free-streaming energy dep.	α	[-0.3, 0.3]
particlization temperature	$T_{ m sw}$	[0.135, 0.165] Ge

emperature of $(\eta/s)$ kink	$T_{\eta}$	[0.13, 0.3] GeV
$(\eta/s)$ at kink	$(\eta/s)_{\rm kink}$	[0.01, 0.2]
ow temp. slope of $(\eta/s)$	alow	[-2, 1] GeV <sup>-1</sup>
high temp. slope of $(\eta/s)$	$a_{\rm high}$	[-1, 2] GeV <sup>-1</sup>
shear relaxation time factor	$b_{\pi}$	[2, 8]
maximum of $(\zeta/s)$	$(\zeta/s)_{\rm max}$	[0.01, 0.25]
emperature of $(\zeta/s)$ peak	$T_{\zeta}$	[0.12, 0.3] GeV
width of $(\zeta/s)$ peak	wc	[0.025, 0.15] GeV
asymmetry of $(\zeta/s)$ peak	$\lambda_{\zeta}$	[-0.8, 0.8]

PRL 126.24230



#### Only a subset of observables are used



- Extraction of QGP properties is limited by the initial condition
- At this moment, more observables do not necessarily improve the situation.

### Isolating the impact of initial condition



Initial condition & pre-equiibrium

What is the nature of quantum fluctuations? How is the energy deposited? What are the DoFs? How does the system hydrodynamize/thermalize? timescales?

# Isolating the impact of initial condition

$$au = 0^-_{ au = 0^+} au = 0^{+-}$$

Constraints from small system scan



What is the nature of quantum fluctuations? How is the energy deposited? What are the DoFs?

How does the system hydrodynamize/thermalize? timescales?

Three experimental approaches:

- Explore nuclear structure
- Longitudinal correlation
- Small system scan

#### Constraints from nuclear structure



#### Image U shape via Isobar-like U+U vs Au+Au collisions $R_{\mathcal{O}} = \langle \mathcal{O} \rangle_{U+U} / \langle \mathcal{O} \rangle_{Au+Au} \rightarrow$ Insensitive to final state parameters $\langle (\delta p_T)^2 \rangle_U / \langle (\delta p_T)^2 \rangle_{A_U}$ $\left< v_2^2 \right>_U \left< \left< v_2^2 \right>_{Au} \right>_{Au}$ $0.2 < p_T < 3 \text{ GeV/c} < V_2^2 >$ $^{2}_{2}\delta p_{T}\rangle$ <( $\delta p_T$ )<sup>2</sup>> $\langle v_2^2 \delta p^{}_T \rangle^{}_U /$ $< v_2^2 \delta p_T$ < > 1.5 2subevent method 0.2 < p\_ < 3 GeV/c Au+Au Centrality [%

U deformation dominates the ultra-central collisions  $\rightarrow$  50%-70% modification on  $\langle v_2^2 \rangle$  and  $\langle (\delta p_T)^2 \rangle$ , 300% for  $\langle v_2^2 \delta p_T \rangle$ 

40

20

Centrality [%]

20

Centrality [%]

40

20

Centrality [%]

40



Reasonable agreement with IPGlasma+Music+UrQMD hydro model 2005.14682

Constraints from  $\langle \delta p_T^2 \rangle$  and v<sub>2</sub>-p<sub>T</sub>:  $\beta_{2U} = 0.297 \pm 0.013$   $\gamma_U = 8.6^\circ \pm 4.8^\circ$ 

#### Isobar <sup>96</sup>Ru+<sup>96</sup>Ru and <sup>96</sup>Zr+<sup>96</sup>Zr collisions at RHIC 200 GeV

$$R_{\mathcal{O}} \equiv \frac{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Ru}}}{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Zr}}}$$

Insensitive to parameters in the final state

• Deviation from one reflects differences in nuclear structure

#### Structure influences everywhere

Nuclear structure is inherently part of Heavy ion problem



#### Nuclear structure via v<sub>2</sub>-ratio and v<sub>3</sub>-ratio



$$R_{\mathcal{O}} \equiv \frac{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Ru}}}{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Zr}}} \approx 1 + c_1 \Delta \beta_2^2 + c_2 \Delta \beta_3^2 + c_3 \Delta R_0 + c_4 \Delta a$$

Simultaneously constrain four structure parameters



#### Nuclear structure via v<sub>2</sub>-ratio and v<sub>3</sub>-ratio



- $β_{2Ru} \sim 0.16$  increase v<sub>2</sub>, no influence on v<sub>3</sub> ratio
- $\beta_{3Zr} \sim 0.2$  decrease  $v_2$  and  $v_3$  ratio
- $\Delta a_0 = -0.06$  fm increase v<sub>2</sub> mid-central,
- Radius  $\Delta R_0 = 0.07$  fm slightly affects  $v_2$  and  $v_3$  ratio.



Simultaneously constrain four structure parameters



#### Isobar ratio constraints on the initial condition



c<sub>n</sub> relates nuclear structure and initial condition



# Longitudinal structure

- Sensitive to stopping and entropy production mechanism
- Varying the timescales  $\, au \sim e^{-\Delta\eta}$
- Short-range structure sensitive to hydrodynamization (also non-flow)



Phys. Rev. C 94 (2016) 4, 044907

Jiangyong Jia, Peng Huo Phys. Rev. C 90 (2014) 034905



Long-range sees geometry, short-range sees microscopic origin of collectivity



How to deal with non-flow?

# Deformation-assisted study of longitudinal structure



# **Observables for long-range collectivity**

- n<sup>th</sup>-order long-range correlations are azimuthal flow harmonics v<sub>n</sub>.
  - Most studies of collectivity use this, in particular small system.
- 0<sup>th</sup>-order long-range correlation is energy/multiplicity
  - Such correlation comes from boost invariance of initial condition. Does not require final state effects
- $1^{st}$ -order long-range correlation is  $< p_T >$  or radial flow.



17

### Small system scan

# Why small systems

• Need to consider full energy-momentum tensor  $T_{\mu\nu}(\tau = 0)$  for the initial condition



• Interplay of different sources holds key to hydrodynamization and its timescales



### **Disentangle sources of collectivities**

#### Identifying the geometry response via geometry scan



#### Quantify the fraction of each component





### Small system scan

Design isobar collisions with drastically different geometry



QGP's rapidity structures by comparing symmetric vs asymmetric systems

Decorrelation should be different from large systems



Precision requires a scan from small to medium-sized systems. And understand the role of non-flow

#### **Future**

Require large acceptance detector and flexible collision species





- not tested yet, but ok from simulations
- to be validated, should be possible at least for short runs
- to be validated, should be possible for short runs at end of data-taking
- to be validated, should be possible for short runs at end of data-taking

#### any isotope for approved gas should be ok

# Summary

- Precision understanding of QGP properties, its initial condition, and dynamics.
  - Can we reach within 10-20% uncertainties?
- Exploration of the full 3D structure
   →ALICE 3, ATLAS/CMS/LHCb
- Design collision species with different geometries: shape, size, and correlations
- System scan from small to medium species.
  - $\rightarrow$  Enable by LHC and SMOG2

A	isobars	A	isobars	A	isobars	A	isobars	A	isobars	A	isobars
36	Ar, S	80	Se, Kr	106	Pd, Cd	124	Sn, Te, Xe	148	Nd, Sm	174	Yb, Hf
40	Ca, Ar	84	Kr, Sr, Mo	108	Pd, Cd	126	Te, Xe	150	Nd, Sm	176	Yb, Lu, Hf
46	Ca, Ti	86	Kr, Sr	110	Pd, Cd	128	Te, Xe	152	Sm, Gd	180	Hf, W
48	Ca, Ti	87	Rb, Sr	112	Cd, Sn	130	Te, Xe, Ba	154	Sm, Gd	184	W, Os
50	${\rm Ti},{\rm V},{\rm Cr}$	92	Zr, Nb, Mo	113	Cd, In	132	Xe, Ba	156	Gd,Dy	186	W, Os
54	Cr, Fe	94	Zr, Mo	114	Cd, Sn	134	Xe, Ba	158	Gd,Dy	187	Re, Os
64	Ni, Zn	96	Zr, Mo, Ru	115	In, Sn	136	Xe, Ba, Ce	160	Gd,Dy	190	Os, Pt
70	Zn, Ge	98	Mo, Ru	116	Cd, Sn	138	Ba, La, Ce	162	Dy,Er	192	Os, Pt
74	Ge, Se	100	Mo, Ru	120	Sn, Te	142	Ce, Nd	164	Dy,Er	196	Pt, Hg
76	Ge, Se	102	Ru, Pd	122	Sn, Te	144	Nd, Sm	168	Er,Yb	198	Pt, Hg
78	Se, Kr	104	Ru, Pd	123	Sb, Te	146	Nd, Sm	170	Er,Yb	204	Hg, Pb

#### Strategy for nuclear shape imaging



Compare two systems of similar size but different structure

$$R_{\mathcal{O}} \equiv \frac{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Ru}}}{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Zr}}} \approx 1 + c_1 \Delta \beta_2^2 + c_2 \Delta \beta_3^2 + c_3 \Delta R_0 + c_4 \Delta a \quad \text{arXiv: 2111.15559}$$

Deviation from unity depends only on their structure differences  $c_1$ - $c_4$  are function of centrality