

Cosmological Constant and Renormalization

Vincenzo Branchina

University of Catania and INFN - Italy

27/08/2024

QCD Vacuum Structure and Confinement

26 - 30 August 2024 Naxos Island of Cyclades, Greece

Carlo Branchina , VB, Filippo Contino , Arcangelo Parnace, in preparation

One-loop VDW Effective Action

- * Consider Euclidean action - Einstein-Hilbert truncation

$$S_{\text{grav}} = \frac{1}{16\pi G} \int d^4x \sqrt{g} (-R + 2\Lambda)$$

- * Cosmological framework: manifolds with typical length scale $l \gg M_P^{-1}$
- * Gauge-invariant one-loop effective action: $\Gamma_{\text{grav}}^{1l} = S_{\text{grav}} + \delta S_{\text{grav}}^{1l}$
geometrical approach pioneered by Vilkovisky and DeWitt
- * Strategy put forward by Fradkin and Tseytlin / Taylor and Veneziano
- * Particular attention to the role played by the measure
- * Background field method: $g_{\mu\nu} = \bar{g}_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu}$ ($\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}$ is the background)
- * When $\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}$ has spherical symmetry, the one-loop VDW effective action coincides with the standard one calculated with the gauge-fixing term

$$S_{\text{gf}} = \frac{1}{32\pi G\xi} \int d^4x \sqrt{\bar{g}} \left[\nabla_\mu \left(h_\nu^\mu - \frac{1}{2} \delta_\nu^\mu h_\sigma^\sigma \right) \right]$$

after taking the limit $\xi \rightarrow 0$ at the end of the calculation

Reabsorb $G^{-1/2} a^{-1}$ in $h_{\mu\nu} \implies \hat{h}_{\mu\nu} = (32\pi G)^{-1/2} a^{-1} h_{\mu\nu}$

$S_2 + S_{\text{gf}}$ rewritten as

$$S_2 + S_{\text{gf}} = \int d^4x \sqrt{g^{(1)}} \left[\frac{1}{2} \bar{h}^{\mu\nu} (-\nabla_\rho \nabla^\rho - 2a^2 \Lambda + 8) \hat{h}_{\mu\nu} + \hat{h}^2 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{\xi}\right) \nabla^\rho \bar{h}_{\rho\mu} \nabla^\sigma \bar{h}_\sigma^\mu \right]$$

with $\hat{h} \equiv g_{\mu\nu}^{(1)} \hat{h}^{\mu\nu}$, $\bar{h}_{\mu\nu} \equiv \hat{h}_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu}^{(1)} \hat{h}$, indexes raised with $g^{(1)\mu\nu}$, covariant derivatives in terms of $g_{\mu\nu}^{(1)}$

Clearly $\hat{h}_{\mu\nu}$ defined on a sphere of unitary radius

Redefinition $v_\mu \rightarrow (32\pi G)^{\frac{1}{2}} v_\mu$ (covariant derivatives in terms of $g_{\mu\nu}^{(1)}$)

$$S_{\text{ghost}} = \int d^4x \sqrt{g^{(1)}} g^{(1)\mu\nu} v_\mu^* (-\nabla_\rho \nabla^\rho - 3) v_\nu$$

As $\hat{h}_{\mu\nu}$: v_μ defined on a sphere of unitary radius

Note: when written in terms of $\hat{h}_{\mu\nu}$ and v_μ , $\delta S^{(2)} = S_2 + S_{\text{gf}} + S_{\text{ghost}}$ contains only dimensionless quantum fluctuation operators

Back to the measure

$$[\mathcal{D}u(h)\mathcal{D}v_\rho^* \mathcal{D}v_\sigma] \equiv \prod_x [a^{-10} g^{(1)00}(x) (g^{(1)}(x))^{-1} \left(\prod_{\alpha \leq \beta} dh_{\alpha\beta}(x) \right) \left(\prod_\rho dv_\rho^*(x) \right) \left(\prod_\sigma dv_\sigma(x) \right)]$$

From $\hat{h}_{\mu\nu} = (32\pi G)^{-1/2} a^{-1} h_{\mu\nu}$

$$\prod_{\alpha \leq \beta} dh_{\alpha\beta}(x) = (32\pi G)^5 a^{10} \prod_{\alpha \leq \beta} d\hat{h}_{\alpha\beta}(x)$$

Therefore

$$[\mathcal{D}u(h)\mathcal{D}v_\rho^* \mathcal{D}v_\sigma] = \mathcal{N} \prod_x \left[\left(\prod_{\alpha \leq \beta} d\hat{h}_{\alpha\beta}(x) \right) \left(\prod_\rho dv_\rho^*(x) \right) \left(\prod_\sigma dv_\sigma(x) \right) \right]$$

with a -independent terms as $\prod_x g^{(1)00}(x) (g^{(1)}(x))^{-1}$ included in the harmless constant \mathcal{N}

Disappearance of a in the measure: crucial point

Since $\widehat{h}_{\mu\nu}$ and v_μ fields on a **sphere of radius $a = 1$** \implies
bases for symmetric tensors and vectors with eigenfunctions of the

Dimensionless Laplace-Beltrami operator $-\square_{a=1}^{(s)} \equiv -a^2 \square_a^{(s)}$

$-\square_a^{(s)}$ Laplace-Beltrami for sphere of radius a ; s spins: $s = 0, 1, 2$

Dimensionless eigenvalues $\lambda_n^{(s)}$ and corresponding degeneracies $D_n^{(s)}$

$$\lambda_n^{(s)} = n^2 + 3n - s \quad ; \quad D_n^{(s)} = \frac{2s+1}{3} \left(n + \frac{3}{2}\right)^3 - \frac{(2s+1)^3}{12} \left(n + \frac{3}{2}\right)$$

where $n = s, s+1, \dots$

Expanding $\widehat{h}_{\mu\nu}$, v_ρ^* and v_σ for $\delta S_{\text{grav}}^{1l}$ we have (backup slides)

$$\delta S_{\text{grav}}^{1l} = -\frac{1}{2} \log \frac{\det_1[-\square_{a=1}^{(1)} - 3] \det_2[-\square_{a=1}^{(0)} - 6]}{\det_0[-\square_{a=1}^{(2)} - 2a^2\Lambda + 8] \det_2[-\square_{a=1}^{(0)} - 2a^2\Lambda]} + \frac{1}{2} \log(2a^2\Lambda) + \mathcal{B}$$

\mathcal{B} inessential a -independent term. The index i in \det_i signals that the product of eigenvalues starts from $\lambda_{s+i}^{(s)}$.

$$\delta S_{\text{grav}}^{1/} = -\frac{1}{2} \log \frac{\det_1[-\square_{a=1}^{(1)} - 3] \det_2[-\square_{a=1}^{(0)} - 6]}{\det_0[-\square_{a=1}^{(2)} - 2a^2\Lambda + 8] \det_2[-\square_{a=1}^{(0)} - 2a^2\Lambda]} + \frac{1}{2} \log(2a^2\Lambda) + \mathcal{B}$$

$\frac{1}{2} \log(2a^2\Lambda)$ (from the integration over one of the modes in which $\widehat{h}_{\mu\nu}$ is decomposed (backup slides)) and \mathcal{B} : irrelevant for our scopes

Truly important term: the **first one** in the right hand side

Peculiarity: written in terms of **dimensionless determinants** \implies

No need to introduce any **arbitrary mass scale μ :**

the determinants are automatically dimensionless

In typical calculations of $\delta S_{\text{grav}}^{1/}$, the arguments in \det_i are dimensionful. To take care of that an arbitrary mass scale μ is introduced

Note: although the calculation is performed for a sphere of generic radius a , the Laplace-Beltrami operators are those for a sphere of unitary radius

Note: a only comes in the combination $a^2\Lambda$.

Calculation of the fluctuation determinants

Two different strategies

First: **direct calculation in terms of eigenvalues** of Laplace-Beltrami ops.

Second: **proper-time**, as usually done

Anticipating: both calculations show that quartically and quadratically divergent contributions to the vacuum energy usually present in the literature are actually absent

⇒ **No need** for **supersymmetric embedding** of the theory (**SUGRA**)

Calculation in terms of the eigenvalues $\lambda_n^{(s)}$

$$\delta S_{\text{grav}}^{1/} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=2}^{N-2} \left[D_n^{(2)} \log \left(\lambda_n^{(2)} - 2a^2 \Lambda + 8 \right) + D_n^{(0)} \log \left(\lambda_n^{(0)} - 2a^2 \Lambda \right) \right. \\ \left. - D_n^{(1)} \log \left(\lambda_n^{(1)} - 3 \right) - D_n^{(0)} \log \left(\lambda_n^{(0)} - 6 \right) \right] + \frac{1}{2} \log(2a^2 \Lambda) + B$$

UV cutoff introduced as gauge invariant numerical cut N on the number of eigenvalues ($N - 2$ rather than N simplifies the expression)

Note: De Sitter solution for the classical action

$$a_{\text{ds}} = \sqrt{\frac{3}{\Lambda_{\text{cc}}}}$$

a_{ds} size of the universe \implies connection between N and physical cutoff scale $\Lambda_{\text{cut}} \sim M_P$ given by

$$\Lambda_{\text{cut}} \sim M_P = \frac{N}{a_{\text{ds}}} = N \sqrt{\frac{\Lambda_{\text{cc}}}{3}}$$

Calculation in terms of the $\lambda_n^{(s)}$ continued

$N - 2$: number of modes retained in the calculation of the determinants

Since the eigenvalues $\tilde{\lambda}_n^{(s)}$ of $-\square_a^{(s)}$ go like $\tilde{\lambda}_n^{(s)} \equiv \frac{\lambda_n^{(s)}}{a^2} \sim \frac{n^2}{a^2}$, the requirement $n \leq N - 2$ **is not equivalent** to require $\tilde{\lambda}_n^{(s)} \leq \Lambda_{\text{cut}}^2$

This latter choice **might seem natural**, since it would amount to require that the **maximal eigenvalue** $\tilde{\lambda}_{\text{max}}^{(s)}$ is $\tilde{\lambda}_{\text{max}}^{(s)} \sim \Lambda_{\text{cut}}^2$

But this reasoning is misleading. Since the $\tilde{\lambda}_n^{(s)}$ go like a^{-2} , such a choice would introduce an **unphysical a -dependence** in the implementation of the cutoff, i.e. on the background metric $g_{\mu\nu}^{(a)}$

This simple observation is fundamental to obtain the correct result for $\delta S_{\text{grav}}^{1/}$, in particular to see that there are

No quartic and quadratic divergences in the vacuum energy

Calculation in terms of the $\lambda_n^{(s)}$ continued

Remarkably, sum in $S_{\text{grav}}^{1/}$ obtained in closed form (backup slides)

Expanding for $N \gg 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \delta S_{\text{grav}}^{1/} = & - \left(\Lambda_{\text{cc}}^2 \log N^2 \right) a^4 + \Lambda_{\text{cc}} \left(-N^2 + 8 \log N^2 \right) a^2 \\ & + \frac{N^4}{24} \left(-1 + 2 \log N^2 \right) + \frac{N^2}{36} \left(203 - 75 \log N^2 \right) - \frac{779}{90} \log N^2 + \mathcal{B} \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \log(2a^2 \Lambda_{\text{cc}}) + \mathcal{F}(a^2 \Lambda_{\text{cc}}) + \mathcal{O}(N^{-2}) \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{F}(a^2 \Lambda)$ contains only UV-finite terms (no dependence on N)

Using $\Lambda_{\text{cut}} \sim M_P = \frac{N}{a_{\text{ds}}} = N \sqrt{\frac{\Lambda_{\text{cc}}}{3}}$

$$\begin{aligned} \delta S_{\text{grav}}^{1/} = & - \left(\Lambda_{\text{cc}}^2 \log \frac{3\Lambda_{\text{cut}}^2}{\Lambda_{\text{cc}}} \right) a^4 + \left(-3\Lambda_{\text{cut}}^2 + 8\Lambda_{\text{cc}} \log \frac{3\Lambda_{\text{cut}}^2}{\Lambda_{\text{cc}}} \right) a^2 \\ & + \frac{3\Lambda_{\text{cut}}^4}{8\Lambda_{\text{cc}}^2} \left(-1 + 2 \log \frac{3\Lambda_{\text{cut}}^2}{\Lambda_{\text{cc}}} \right) + \frac{\Lambda_{\text{cut}}^2}{12\Lambda_{\text{cc}}} \left(203 - 75 \log \frac{3\Lambda_{\text{cut}}^2}{\Lambda_{\text{cc}}} \right) - \frac{779}{90} \log \frac{3\Lambda_{\text{cut}}^2}{\Lambda_{\text{cc}}} + \mathcal{B} \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \log(2a^2 \Lambda_{\text{cc}}) + \mathcal{F}(a^2 \Lambda_{\text{cc}}) + \mathcal{O}(\Lambda_{\text{cut}}^{-2}) \end{aligned}$$

Calculation with proper-time

Being $(-\square_{a=1}^{(s)} - \alpha)$ dimensionless \implies determinants regularized in terms of a **dimensionless proper-time** τ (lower cut: number $N_{\text{pt}} \gg 1$)

$$\det_i(-\square_{a=1}^{(s)} - \alpha) = e^{-\int_{1/N_{\text{pt}}^2}^{+\infty} \frac{d\tau}{\tau} K_i^{(s)}(\tau)}.$$

The **kernel** $K_i^{(s)}(\tau)$ is

$$K_i^{(s)}(\tau) = \sum_{n=s+i}^{+\infty} D_n^{(s)} e^{-\tau(\lambda_n^{(s)} - \alpha)}$$

After integration over τ , the sum over n done with the **EML** sum formula

$$\sum_{n=n_i}^{n_f} f(n) = \int_{n_i}^{n_f} dx f(x) + \frac{f(n_f) + f(n_i)}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^p \frac{B_{2k}}{(2k)!} (f^{(2k-1)}(n_f) - f^{(2k-1)}(n_i)) + R_{2p}$$

p is an integer, B_m are Bernoulli numbers, R_{2p} is the rest given by

$$R_{2p} = \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{B_{2k}}{(2k)!} (f^{(2k-1)}(n_f) - f^{(2k-1)}(n_i)) = \frac{(-1)^{2p+1}}{(2p)!} \int_{n_i}^{n_f} dx f^{(2p)}(x) B_{2p}(x - [x])$$

$B_n(x)$ are the Bernoulli polynomials, $[x]$ the integer part of x , and $f^{(i)}$ the i -th derivative of f with respect to its argument

Calculation with proper-time continued

Expanding for $N_{\text{pt}} \gg 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \delta S_{\text{grav}}^{1/} &= - \left(\Lambda_{\text{cc}}^2 \log N_{\text{pt}}^2 \right) a^4 + \Lambda_{\text{cc}} \left(-N_{\text{pt}}^2 + 8 \log N_{\text{pt}}^2 \right) a^2 \\ &\quad - \frac{N_{\text{pt}}^4}{12} + \frac{17}{3} N_{\text{pt}}^2 - \frac{1859}{90} \log N_{\text{pt}}^2 + \mathcal{B} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \log(2a^2 \Lambda_{\text{cc}}) + \mathcal{G}(a^2 \Lambda_{\text{cc}}) + \mathcal{O}(N_{\text{pt}}^{-2}) \end{aligned}$$

$\mathcal{G}(a^2 \Lambda)$ contains UV-finite terms (no dependence on N_{pt}). As before, the connection between N_{pt} and the dimensionful cutoff Λ_{pt} is given by

$$\Lambda_{\text{pt}} \equiv \frac{N_{\text{pt}}}{a_{\text{ds}}} = \sqrt{\frac{\Lambda_{\text{cc}}}{3}} N_{\text{pt}} \quad \Longrightarrow$$

$$\begin{aligned} \delta S_{\text{grav}}^{1/} &= - \left(\Lambda_{\text{cc}}^2 \log \frac{3\Lambda_{\text{pt}}^2}{\Lambda_{\text{cc}}} \right) a^4 + \left(-3\Lambda_{\text{pt}}^2 + 8\Lambda_{\text{cc}} \log \frac{3\Lambda_{\text{pt}}^2}{\Lambda_{\text{cc}}} \right) a^2 \\ &\quad - \frac{3\Lambda_{\text{pt}}^4}{4\Lambda_{\text{cc}}^2} + \frac{17\Lambda_{\text{pt}}^2}{\Lambda_{\text{cc}}} - \frac{1859}{90} \log \frac{3\Lambda_{\text{pt}}^2}{\Lambda_{\text{cc}}} + \mathcal{B} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \log(2a^2 \Lambda_{\text{cc}}) + \mathcal{G}(a^2 \Lambda_{\text{cc}}) + \mathcal{O}(\Lambda_{\text{pt}}^{-2}) . \end{aligned}$$

Note: the two methods give the same result

Coefficients of a^4 and a^2 identify the one-loop corrections to $\frac{\Lambda_{\text{cc}}}{G}$ and $\frac{1}{G}$

$$\frac{\Lambda_{\text{cc}}^{1/}}{G^{1/}} = \frac{\Lambda_{\text{cc}}}{G} \left(1 - \frac{3G\Lambda_{\text{cc}}}{\pi} \log \frac{3L^2}{\Lambda_{\text{cc}}} \right) + \text{finite}$$

$$\frac{1}{G^{1/}} = \frac{1}{G} \left[1 + \frac{G}{2\pi} \left(3L^2 - 8\Lambda_{\text{cc}} \log \frac{3L^2}{\Lambda_{\text{cc}}} \right) \right] + \text{finite}$$

L is equivalently either Λ_{cut} or Λ_{pt} ($\sim M_P$)

Unexpected result: *only* logarithmic corrections to $\rho = \frac{\Lambda_{\text{cc}}}{8\pi G}$

Moreover: Taking for G the **natural value** $G \sim M_P^{-2}$ we see that **quantum corrections do not spoil the naturalness of this relation**

No naturalness problem with the renormaliz. of the Newton constant

$$G \sim G^{1/} \sim \frac{1}{M_P^2}$$

Vacuum energy

$$\frac{\Lambda_{\text{cc}}^{1/4}}{G^{1/4}} = \frac{\Lambda_{\text{cc}}}{G} \left(1 - \frac{3G\Lambda_{\text{cc}}}{\pi} \log \frac{3L^2}{\Lambda_{\text{cc}}} \right)$$

Quantum correction to the vacuum energy $\rho = \frac{\Lambda_{\text{cc}}}{8\pi G}$ goes like

log M_P rather than M_P^4

Usual result: $\rho \sim M_P^4 \implies$ **bare value of $\rho \sim M_P^4$** with a coefficient that must be **enormously fine-tuned** for it to cancel (quite exactly) the one-loop generated M_P^4 correction

Our result: **loop corrections \rightarrow only mild (log) correction to $\rho \implies$**

In pure gravity **no naturalness problem arises**: the bare cosmological constant Λ_{cc} does not need to be $\sim M_P^2$. We may naturally have $\Lambda_{\text{cc}} \ll M_P^2$, and so

$$\Lambda_{\text{cc}}^{1/4} \sim \Lambda_{\text{cc}}$$

Incorrect identification of the cutoff

What is at the origin of our unexpected result?

Why usually quartic and quadratic divergences found?

Connect for a moment N_{pt} and Λ_{pt} through

$$\Lambda_{\text{pt}} = \frac{N_{\text{pt}}}{a} \quad (\text{rather than through } \Lambda_{\text{pt}} = \frac{N_{\text{pt}}}{a_{\text{ds}}})$$

which corresponds to the (incorrect) identification of Λ_{pt} with the maximal eigenvalue $\tilde{\lambda}_{\text{max}}^{(s)}$... then for $\delta S_{\text{grav}}^{1/}$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \delta S_{\text{grav}}^{1/} = & - [\Lambda_{\text{cc}}^2 \log (\Lambda_{\text{pt}}^2 a^2)] a^4 + \Lambda_{\text{cc}} [-\Lambda_{\text{pt}}^2 a^2 + 8 \log (\Lambda_{\text{pt}}^2 a^2)] a^2 \\ & - \frac{\Lambda_{\text{pt}}^4}{12} a^4 + \frac{17}{3} \Lambda_{\text{pt}}^2 a^2 - \frac{1859}{90} \log (\Lambda_{\text{pt}}^2 a^2) \end{aligned}$$

Trivially rewritten as

$$\delta S_{\text{grav}}^{1/} = - \left[\frac{\Lambda_{\text{pt}}^4}{12} + \Lambda_{\text{cc}} \Lambda_{\text{pt}}^2 + \Lambda_{\text{cc}}^2 \log (\Lambda_{\text{pt}}^2 a^2) \right] a^4 + \left[\frac{17}{3} \Lambda_{\text{pt}}^2 + 8 \Lambda_{\text{cc}} \log (\Lambda_{\text{pt}}^2 a^2) \right] a^2 - \frac{1859}{90} \log (\Lambda_{\text{pt}}^2 a^2) .$$

known result found with **heat-kernel** (Taylor, Veneziano ; Fradkin, Tseytlin)

What we have just seen is that implementing the cut in the fluctuation determinants **taking as physical cutoff the maximal eigenvalues** $\tilde{\lambda}_{\text{max}}^{(s)}$ introduces in $\delta S_{\text{grav}}^{1/}$ **spurious, unphysical dependence on the metric** $g_{\mu\nu}^{(a)}$

The connection between N_{pt} and Λ_{pt} must be realised through a_{ds}

a_{ds} is the size of the universe

Additional comments

$\frac{1}{2} \log(2a^2\Lambda_{\text{cc}})$ and $\mathcal{G}(a^2\Lambda_{\text{cc}})$ are negligible $\mathcal{O}(1)$ contributions to $\delta S_{\text{grav}}^{1/}$

The constant terms (proportional to a^0) in principle could be interpreted as corrections to $\int d^4x \sqrt{g} R^2$ rather than as constants to be discarded

... Due to the high symmetry of the background considered (sphere), it is impossible to distinguish between constant terms and corrections to R^2

... since our universe seems to be well described by the Einstein-Hilbert action (with cosmological constant) even at large energy scales, we rather expect these terms to be interpreted as inessential constants ...

This question should be further investigated ...

Matter contribution

Consider the free theory of a real **scalar field ϕ of mass m** defined on the classical gravitational background $g_{\mu\nu}^{(a)}$ (sphere of radius a)

$$S = \frac{\pi\Lambda}{3G} a^4 - \frac{2\pi}{G} a^2 + \int d^4x \sqrt{g^{(a)}} \left[\frac{1}{2} g^{(a)\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \phi \partial_\nu \phi + \frac{1}{2} m^2 \phi^2 \right]$$

Write $\phi(x) = \Phi + \eta(x)$, Φ constant background. Effective gravitational action $S_{\text{grav}}^{\text{eff}}$ with quantum fluctuations of ϕ included

$$S_{\text{grav}}^{\text{eff}}(a) = \frac{\pi\Lambda}{3G} a^4 - \frac{2\pi}{G} a^2 + \delta S_{\text{grav}}$$

with δS_{grav} given by

$$e^{-\delta S_{\text{grav}}} = \int \prod_x \left[\left(g^{(a)00}(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(g^{(a)}(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} d\eta(x) \right] e^{-\int d^4x \sqrt{g^{(a)}} \left[-\frac{1}{2} \eta \square \eta + \frac{1}{2} m^2 \eta^2 \right]}$$

As before $\left(g^{(a)00}(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(g^{(a)}(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{4}}$ from **integration over conjugate momenta** (Fradkin,

Vilkovisky). Now, since $g_{\mu\nu}^{(a)} = a^2 g_{\mu\nu}^{(1)} \implies$

$\left(g^{(a)00}(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(g^{(a)}(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} = a \left(g^{(1)00}(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(g^{(1)}(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{4}}$, **no dimensionful parameter** in

$$e^{-\delta S_{\text{grav}}} = \mathcal{N} \int \prod_x [d\hat{\eta}(x)] e^{-\int d^4x \sqrt{g^{(1)}} \left[-\frac{1}{2} \hat{\eta} \left(\square_{a=1}^{(0)} \right) \hat{\eta} + \frac{1}{2} a^2 m^2 \hat{\eta}^2 \right]}$$

$-\square_{a=1}^{(0)}$: Laplace-Beltrami operator for sphere of unitary radius

$\hat{\eta} \equiv a\eta$: dimensionless fluctuation field

\mathcal{N} : inessential a -independent constant

Expanding $\hat{\eta}(x)$ in terms of the eigenfunctions² $\phi_n^{(i)}(x)$ (i degeneracy index and $n = 0, 1, \dots$) of $-\square_{a=1}^{(0)}$: $\hat{\eta} = \sum_{n,i} a_n^{(i)} \phi_n^{(i)}$

$$e^{-\delta S_{\text{grav}}} = \mathcal{N} \int \prod_{n,i} da_n^{(i)} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n,i} [a_n^{(i)}]^2 (\lambda_n^{(0)} + a^2 m^2)}$$

and then (\mathcal{C} inessential a -independent constant)

$$S_{\text{grav}}^{\text{eff}} = \frac{\pi\Lambda}{3G} a^4 - \frac{2\pi}{G} a^2 + \frac{1}{2} \log \left[\det \left(-\square_{a=1}^{(0)} + a^2 m^2 \right) \right] + \mathcal{C}.$$

²The $\phi_n^{(i)}$ are normalized as $\int d^4x \sqrt{g^{(1)}} \phi_n^{(i)}(x) \phi_m^{(j)}(x) = \delta^{ij} \delta_{nm}$.

Calculate determinant with direct product of $\lambda_n^{(0)}$ up to $n = N - 2$ as before, and expand for $N \gg 1$

$$S_{\text{grav}}^{\text{eff}} = \frac{\pi}{3} \left(\frac{\Lambda}{G} - \frac{m^4}{8\pi} \log N^2 \right) a^4 - 2\pi \left[\frac{1}{G} - \frac{m^2}{24\pi} (N^2 + 2 \log N^2) \right] a^2 \\ + \frac{N^4}{48} (-1 + 2 \log N^2) - \frac{N^2}{72} (13 + 3 \log N^2) - \frac{29}{180} \log N^2 + \mathcal{C} \\ + \mathcal{H}(a^2 m^2) + \mathcal{O}(N^{-2})$$

Similarity with the result obtained in the pure gravity case : evident

Consider the vacuum energy term. Once again : if N correctly related to

$\Lambda_{\text{cut}} \sim M_P$ through $\Lambda_{\text{cut}} \sim M_P = \frac{N}{a_{\text{ds}}} = N \sqrt{\frac{\Lambda_{\text{cc}}}{3}}$, $\rho = \frac{\Lambda_{\text{cc}}}{8\pi G}$ receives only

mild logarithmically divergent correction

$$\delta \left(\frac{\Lambda_{\text{cc}}}{G} \right) = -\frac{m^4}{8\pi} \log \frac{3\Lambda_{\text{cut}}^2}{\Lambda_{\text{cc}}}$$

... However ...

If again we perform the *incorrect* replacement of N as $N = a \Lambda_{\text{cut}}$, again we generate **spurious quartically and quadratically divergent terms**. For instance:

$$-\frac{N^4}{48} \longrightarrow -\frac{\Lambda_{\text{cut}}^4}{48} a^4$$

Quartically divergent contribution to $\frac{\Lambda_{\text{cc}}}{G}$

$$\frac{N^2}{12} m^2 a^2 \longrightarrow \frac{\Lambda_{\text{cut}}^2}{12} m^2 a^4$$

Quadratically divergent contribution to $\frac{\Lambda_{\text{cc}}}{G}$

Conclusions

The **absence** of **quartic and quadratic divergences** in
our result for the **vacuum energy**
even when the **presence of matter** is taken into account
possibly a **progress** towards the **solution of the CC problem**

Naturally

the question of **how to dispose** of the terms $m^4 \log \Lambda_{\text{cut}}$
needs to be **further investigated**
maybe along the lines put forward in the present work

ADDITIONAL SLIDES

Expansion of $\hat{h}_{\mu\nu}$, v_ρ^* and v_σ

We indicate with $h_n^{\mu\nu(i)}$ (transverse-traceless), $\xi_n^{\mu(i)}$ (transverse) and $\phi_n^{(i)}$ the pure spin-2, spin-1 and spin-0 eigenfunctions of the Laplace-Beltrami operator on the sphere of unitary radius that are normalized as

$$\delta^{ij} \delta_{nm} = \int d^4x \sqrt{g^{(1)}} h_n^{\mu\nu(i)}(x) h_{\mu\nu}^{m(j)}(x) = \int d^4x \sqrt{g^{(1)}} \xi_n^{\mu(i)}(x) \xi_\mu^{m(j)}(x) = \int d^4x \sqrt{g^{(1)}} \phi_n^{(i)}(x) \phi_m^{(j)}(x) \quad (1)$$

corresponding to the eigenvalues $\lambda_n^{(2)}$, $\lambda_n^{(1)}$ and $\lambda_n^{(0)}$ respectively. The modes $\{h_n^{\mu\nu}, v_n^{\mu\nu}, w_n^{\mu\nu}, z_n^{\mu\nu}\}$, with

$$\begin{aligned} v_n^{\mu\nu} &= \left[\frac{1}{2} (\lambda_n^{(1)} - 3) \right]^{-\frac{1}{2}} \nabla^{(\mu} \xi_n^{\nu)}, \quad n = 2, \dots, \\ w_n^{\mu\nu} &= \left[\lambda_n^{(0)} \left(\frac{3}{4} \lambda_n^{(0)} - 3 \right) \right]^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(\nabla^\mu \nabla^\nu - \frac{1}{4} g^{(1)\mu\nu} \square \right) \phi_n, \quad n = 2, \dots, \\ z_n^{\mu\nu} &= \frac{1}{2} g^{(1)\mu\nu} \phi_n, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

of which we do not write explicitly the degeneracy indexes form the orthonormal basis for symmetric tensors.

Moreover, defining the longitudinal vector modes

$$l_n^\mu = \left(\lambda_n^{(0)}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \nabla^\mu \phi_n, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, \quad (3)$$

the latter, together with the transverse modes ξ_n^μ , form the orthonormal basis for vectors.

Expand the graviton field $\widehat{h}^{\mu\nu}$ as [8]

$$\widehat{h}^{\mu\nu} = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n h_n^{\mu\nu} + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n v_n^{\mu\nu} + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} c_n w_n^{\mu\nu} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e_n z_n^{\mu\nu} \quad (4)$$

$$\widehat{h} \equiv g_{\mu\nu}^{(1)} \widehat{h}^{\mu\nu} = 2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e_n \phi_n, \quad (5)$$

and the ghost field v^μ as

$$v^\mu = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n \xi_n^\mu + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n l_n^\mu \quad (6)$$

so that we have

$$\begin{aligned}
64\pi G (S_2 + S_{\text{gf}}) &= \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n^2 \left[\lambda_n^{(2)} - 2a^2\Lambda + 8 \right] \\
&+ \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n^2 \left[\xi^{-1} \left(\lambda_n^{(1)} - 3 \right) - 2a^2\Lambda + 6 \right] \\
&+ \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} c_n^2 \left[\xi^{-1} \left(\frac{3}{4} \lambda_n^{(0)} - 6 \right) - \frac{\lambda_n^{(0)}}{2} - 2a^2\Lambda + 6 \right] \\
&+ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e_n^2 \left[\frac{-3 + \xi^{-1}}{2} \lambda_n^{(0)} + 2a^2\Lambda \right] \\
&+ \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} 2e_n c_n (\xi^{-1} - 1) \left[\lambda_n^{(0)} \left(\frac{3}{4} \lambda_n^{(0)} - 3 \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (7)
\end{aligned}$$

$$32\pi G S_{\text{ghost}} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n^* g_n \left(\lambda_n^{(1)} - 3 \right) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n^* f_n \left(\lambda_n^{(0)} - 6 \right) . \quad (8)$$

Therefore, the functional measure in (??) can be written as (defined as)

$$\widehat{\mathcal{D}h_{\mu\nu}} \mathcal{D}v_{\rho}^* \mathcal{D}v_{\sigma} \equiv \frac{1}{V_{SO(5)}} \prod_{n=2}^{\infty} da_n \prod_{n=2}^{\infty} db_n \prod_{n=2}^{\infty} dc_n \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} de_n \prod_{n=2}^{\infty} dg_n^* \prod_{n=2}^{\infty} dg_n \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} df_n^* \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} df_n, \quad (9)$$








Notice that there is no integration over the zero modes g_1^* and g_1 of S_{ghost} [16]. The corresponding ghost fields are proportional to the ten Killing vectors ξ_1^{μ} . These zero eigenmodes correspond to residual gauge invariances which are not eliminated by gauge fixing in the presence of an $SO(5)$ spherical symmetry. Overcounting has been compensated by inserting the explicit group-volume factor $V_{SO(5)}$ in Eq. (9) (see, e.g., [17]).








Sum over the eigenvalues in closed form




$$\begin{aligned}
 F(a^2\Lambda) = & 9\Lambda a^2 - \frac{1}{6}\Lambda\sqrt{8\Lambda a^2 + 9}\log\Gamma\left(\frac{7}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{8\Lambda a^2 + 9}\right) a^2 - 5\Lambda\psi^{(-2)}\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\sqrt{8a^2\Lambda - 15} + 7\right)\right) a^2 \\
 & - 5\Lambda\psi^{(-2)}\left(\frac{7}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{8a^2\Lambda - 15}\right) a^2 - \Lambda\psi^{(-2)}\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\sqrt{8\Lambda a^2 + 9} + 7\right)\right) a^2 \\
 & - \Lambda\psi^{(-2)}\left(\frac{7}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{8\Lambda a^2 + 9}\right) a^2 + \frac{1}{6}\Lambda\log\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\sqrt{8\Lambda a^2 + 9} + 7\right)\right) \sqrt{8\Lambda a^2 + 9}a^2 \\
 & - 5\log(120) + \frac{49\log(A)}{3} - 2\sqrt{\frac{11}{3}}\log\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\sqrt{33} + 7\right)\right) \\
 & - \frac{5}{6}\left(a^2\Lambda - 5\right)\sqrt{8a^2\Lambda - 15}\log\Gamma\left(\frac{7}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{8a^2\Lambda - 15}\right) \\
 & - \frac{1}{6}\sqrt{8\Lambda a^2 + 9}\log\Gamma\left(\frac{7}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{8\Lambda a^2 + 9}\right) + 3\psi^{(-4)}(1) + 3\psi^{(-4)}(6) + \psi^{(-4)}\left(\frac{7}{2} - \frac{\sqrt{33}}{2}\right) \\
 & + \psi^{(-4)}\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\sqrt{33} + 7\right)\right) - 5\psi^{(-4)}\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\sqrt{8a^2\Lambda - 15} + 7\right)\right) - 5\psi^{(-4)}\left(\frac{7}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{8a^2\Lambda - 15}\right) \\
 & - \psi^{(-4)}\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\sqrt{8\Lambda a^2 + 9} + 7\right)\right) - \psi^{(-4)}\left(\frac{7}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{8\Lambda a^2 + 9}\right) + \frac{15\psi^{(-3)}(1)}{2} - \frac{15\psi^{(-3)}(6)}{2} \\
 & - \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{33}\psi^{(-3)}\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\sqrt{33} + 7\right)\right) - \frac{5}{2}\sqrt{8a^2\Lambda - 15}\psi^{(-3)}\left(\frac{7}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{8a^2\Lambda - 15}\right)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{8\Lambda a^2+9}\psi^{(-3)}\left(\frac{7}{2}-\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{8\Lambda a^2+9}\right)+\frac{33\psi^{(-2)}(1)}{4}+\frac{33\psi^{(-2)}(6)}{4} \\
& +\frac{49}{12}\psi^{(-2)}\left(\frac{7}{2}-\frac{\sqrt{33}}{2}\right)+\frac{49}{12}\psi^{(-2)}\left(\frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{33}+7)\right)+\frac{175}{12}\psi^{(-2)}\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\sqrt{8a^2\Lambda-15}+7\right)\right) \\
& +\frac{175}{12}\psi^{(-2)}\left(\frac{7}{2}-\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{8a^2\Lambda-15}\right)-\frac{13}{12}\psi^{(-2)}\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\sqrt{8\Lambda a^2+9}+7\right)\right) \\
& -\frac{13}{12}\psi^{(-2)}\left(\frac{7}{2}-\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{8\Lambda a^2+9}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\psi^{(-3)}\left(\frac{7}{2}-\frac{\sqrt{33}}{2}\right)\sqrt{33}+2\log\Gamma\left(\frac{7}{2}-\frac{\sqrt{33}}{2}\right)\sqrt{\frac{11}{3}} \\
& +\frac{5}{6}(a^2\Lambda-5)\log\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\sqrt{8a^2\Lambda-15}+7\right)\right)\sqrt{8a^2\Lambda-15} \\
& +\frac{5}{2}\psi^{(-3)}\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\sqrt{8a^2\Lambda-15}+7\right)\right)\sqrt{8a^2\Lambda-15} \\
& +\frac{1}{6}\log\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\sqrt{8\Lambda a^2+9}+7\right)\right)\sqrt{8\Lambda a^2+9} \\
& +\frac{1}{2}\psi^{(-3)}\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\sqrt{8\Lambda a^2+9}+7\right)\right)\sqrt{8\Lambda a^2+9}+\frac{7\zeta(3)}{4\pi^2}-\frac{2}{3}\zeta'(-3)-\frac{20801}{1080}
\end{aligned}$$

$$g_{\mu\nu}^{(a)} = \begin{pmatrix} a^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a^2 \sin^2 \theta_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a^2 \sin^2 \theta_1 \sin^2 \theta_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & a^2 \sin^2 \theta_1 \sin^2 \theta_2 \sin^2 \theta_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

-  A. M. Polyakov, *Phase transitions and the universe*, Sov. Phys. Usp. **25** (1982), 187.
-  A. M. Polyakov, *String theory as a universal language*, Phys. Atom. Nucl. **64** (2001), 540.-
-  R. Jackiw, C. Nunez and S. Y. Pi, *Quantum relaxation of the cosmological constant*, Phys. Lett. A **347** (2005), 47.
-  S. R. Coleman, *Why There Is Nothing Rather Than Something: A Theory of the Cosmological Constant*, Nucl. Phys. B **310** (1988), 643.
-  W. G. Unruh, *Quantum Coherence, Wormholes, and the Cosmological Constant*, Phys. Rev. D **40** (1989), 1053
-  G. Veneziano, *Wormholes, Nonlocal Actions and a New Mechanism for Suppressing the Cosmological Constant*, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **4** (1989), 695.
-  T. R. Taylor and G. Veneziano, *Quenching the Cosmological Constant*, Phys. Lett. B **228** (1989), 311.

-  T. R. Taylor and G. Veneziano, *Quantum Gravity at Large Distances and the Cosmological Constant*, Nucl. Phys. B **345** (1990), 210
-  I. L. Shapiro and J. Sola, *Cosmological constant problems and renormalization group*, J. Phys. A **40** (2007), 6583.
-  G. A. Vilkovisky, *The Unique Effective Action in Quantum Field Theory*, Nucl. Phys. B **234** (1984), 125.
-  B.S. DeWitt, *The effective action*, in: Architecture of Fundamental Interactions at Short Distances: Proceedings, Les Houches 44th Summer School of Theoretical Physics, France, July 1-August 8, 1985, pt.2, Eds. P. Ramond and R. Stora, p. 1023.
-  E. S. Fradkin and A. A. Tseytlin, *On the New Definition of Off-shell Effective Action*, Nucl. Phys. B **234** (1984), 509
-  E. S. Fradkin and G. A. Vilkovisky, *S matrix for gravitational field. ii. local measure, general relations, elements of renormalization theory*, Phys. Rev. D **8** (1973), 4241.
-  R. K. Unz, *Path Integration and the Functional Measure*, Nuovo Cim. A **92** (1986), 397.

-  S. Weinberg, *The Cosmological Constant Problem*, Rev. Mod. Phys. **61** (1989), 1
-  B. Allen, *The Graviton Propagator in De Sitter Space*, Phys. Rev. D **34** (1986), 3670
-  J. Polchinski, *The phase of the sum over spheres*, Phys. Lett. B **219** (1989), 251