

# **CMS Validation of Geant4.11.2.1**

S. Banerjee, V. Ivantchenko

on behalf of  
CMS Collaboration




# Introduction



- CMS Simulation application is based on Geant4
  - CMS carried out its ultra-legacy MC production for Run2 data sets using Geant4.10.4.p03
    - VecGeom was used for the first time in these productions
  - CMS used Geant4.10.7.p02 (+ some private patches) for the start of Run3 MC production. It used [EPJ Web Conf. 251, 03016 (2021)]
    - The production platform was slc7\_amd64\_gcc10
    - VecGeom version 1.1.17
    - DD4hep version 1.19
    - CLHEP version 2.4.5.1
  - CMS will use Geant4.11.2.p01 for its production version of 2024 Run3 MC
    - The production platform is el8\_amd64\_gcc12
    - VecGeom version 1.2.7
    - DD4hep version 1.27.2
    - CLHEP version 2.4.7.1
  - CMS includes Geant4.11.2.p01 in the baseline preparation for 2025 Run3 MC
- CMS continually evaluates Geant4 developments and reports here the performance of the release version




# CMS Physics List





- CMS is using the same physics list for ultra legacy as well as for the Run3 production
  - FTFP\_BERT\_EMM
- The list FTFP\_BERT uses FTFP and Bertini Cascade models with slightly different transition regions in the two versions. For version Geant4,10.4.p03 (Run2):
  - Bertini Cascade valid at  $\leq 12$  GeV
  - FTFP valid at  $\geq 3$  GeVand since Geant4.10.6.p02 version CMS uses (Run3):
  - Bertini Cascade valid at  $\leq 12$  GeV for pions and  $\leq 6$  GeV for all other hadrons
  - FTFP valid at  $\geq 3$  GeV
- EMM specifies the physics models for electromagnetic processes
  - EMM uses the default multiple scattering models for regions of the sampling calorimeters (HCAL and HGCAL) and simplified multiple scattering models elsewhere
- Coefficients of Birk's law for plastic scintillators are tuned for the new versions of Geant4
- Default values for Birk's constants for HCAL in Run2:
  - C1 = 0.0052; C2 = 0.142; C3 = 1.75
  - The tuned set for Run3:
    - C1 = 0.006; C2 = 0.142; C3 = 1.75



# Testing Geant4.11.2.p01



- Several test jobs were prepared with two different scenarios of CMS corresponding to the Run3 and one Phase2 configuration and for two different builds of Geant4 (native and VecGeom geometry). Two sources of inputs (minimum bias and t-tbar) and five different physics lists (changing the EM options) are used. Each job generated 500-1000 events.
- There were no failures, but two types of warnings were observed (not for the default physics list)
  - Tracks getting killed because track propagation could not move these tracks even with 10 trials
    - This was observed when the EMY physics list was chosen for EM physics
    - This was observed for both for Run3 and Phase2 scenarios
    - Such failure was not seen in the release 11.2 (before patch 1 was released)
    - The stuck tracks were electrons/positrons of low energy travelling in air 6.6 m from IP
    - These failures happen for the VecGeom build and not for the build with Native Geometry
  - Tracks reaching the maximum number of steps set by CMS (20000)
    - Few such cases were reported mostly for the EM physics list EMZ
- The first case has not been reported to the Geant4 team yet. The second case can be handled within CMSSW.



- This test beam setup provided measurements of energy response and energy resolution for pi-plus, kaons of either charge, antiprotons of momenta between 2 and 9 GeV, pi-minus of momenta between 2 and 300 GeV and protons of momenta between 2 and 350 GeV



# Summary from Mean Response




$\chi^2/d.o.f.$  between data and Monte Carlo

	negative pions	positive pions	negative kaons	positive kaons	protons	anti-protons
G4 10.4.p03 FTFP_BERT_EMM	0.54	0.96	24.5	25.0	0.61	1.93
G4 10.6.p02 FTFP_BERT_EMM	0.26	1.29	19.4	15.8	0.73	2.19
G4.10.7.p02 FTFP_BERT_EMM	0.22	1.20	20.6	15.8	0.52	3.30
G4.11.1.p02 FTFP_BERT_EMM	0.28	1.47	18.7	15.7	0.44	3.16
G4.11.2.p01 FTFP_BERT_EMM	0.22	1.94	22.3	16.1	0.54	3.29

- The level of agreement is good for pions and protons, while it is not good for kaons. Response for pions and kaons are very similar in the data but not in MC.
- The predictions from 11.2.p01 show some improvement for negative pions and kaons with respect to 10.4, some deterioration for positive pions and kaons, and acceptable agreement for protons and anti-protons.
- pp collisions at high energies produce mostly pions. So one expects to have a reasonable agreement between data and MC with the current physics list in the Geant4 version 11.2.p01



# Energy Distributions




- Measured energy distributions of the different beams are compared with MC predictions. The difference in the ratio of the energy distributions of data and MC from 1 is studied.
- The mean level of disagreement between data and MC (due to the tails of the distribution)

	10.4.p03	10.6.p02	10.7.p02	11.1.p02	11.2.p01
2 GeV $\pi^-$	(14.4±0.9)%	(11.3±0.9)%	(12.1±0.9)%	(11.8±0.9)%	(11.3±0.9)%
5 GeV $\pi^-$	(10.4±0.5)%	(11.4±0.5)%	(11.2±0.5)%	(11.1±0.5)%	(10.8±0.5)%
8 GeV $\pi^-$	(18.3±0.5)%	(19.2±0.5)%	(20.2±0.5)%	(18.2±0.5)%	(18.5±0.5)%
2 GeV $\pi^+$	(10.5±1.2)%	(11.3±1.2)%	(11.9±1.2)%	(11.8±1.2)%	(11.8±1.2)%
5 GeV $\pi^+$	(9.7±1.0)%	(10.5±1.0)%	(10.1±1.0)%	(9.7±1.0)%	(9.8±1.0)%
8 GeV $\pi^+$	(13.1±0.7)%	(16.1±0.7)%	(17.5±0.7)%	(16.2±0.7)%	(15.8±0.7)%
2 GeV p	(6.4±2.5)%	(7.2±2.5)%	(7.5±2.5)%	(7.6±2.5)%	(7.1±2.5)%
5 GeV p	(13.0±3.1)%	(12.9±3.1)%	(12.3±3.1)%	(12.6±3.1)%	(12.8±3.1)%
8 GeV p	(6.7±1.0)%	(1.1±1.0)%	(0.4±1.0)%	(3.1±1.0)%	(1.0±1.0)%



# Isolated Charged Particles




- Compare ratio of calorimeter energy measurement to track momentum for isolated charged hadrons between data and MC
- Select good charged tracks reaching the calorimeter surface
- Impose isolation of these charged particles
  - propagate all tracks to the calorimeter surface and study momentum of tracks (selected with looser criteria) reaching ECAL (HCAL) within a matrix of  $31 \times 31$  ( $7 \times 7$ ) around the impact point of the selected track. Demand no other track in the isolation region.
  - study energy deposited in an annular region in ECAL (HCAL) between  $15 \times 15$  and  $11 \times 11$  ( $7 \times 7$  and  $5 \times 5$ ) matrices for neutral isolation. Demand energy in either annular region to be less than 2 GeV
- Measure the energy in a matrix of  $N \times N$  cells around the point of impact. Two versions of  $N \times N$  matrix are defined for ECAL and HCAL
  - ECAL uses  $7 \times 7$  or  $11 \times 11$  matrix
  - HCAL uses  $3 \times 3$  or  $5 \times 5$  matrix
- The methodology was developed using 7 TeV data (PAS: JME-10-008) and analysis of the 2016 low pileup data plus the comparisons with earlier Geant4 model predictions were presented in earlier CHEP conferences.






# Quadrant of the CMS



Four partitions in the CMS detector are used in the measurement of calorimeter response



# Level of Disagreement




- The level of (dis)agreement is calculated from the deviation from 1.0 of the ratio (Data/MC)
- The mean level of disagreement between data and MC is between 1.3% and 3.5% for Geant4.11.2.p01, depending on the region of the detector. They are at a similar level to the predictions from version 10.4.p03, 10.6.p02, 10.7.p02 and 11.1.p02

	10.4.p03	10.6.p02	10.7.p02	11.1.p02	11.2.p01
Barrel 1 (N)	(2.3±0.4)%	(2.5±0.4)%	(3.3±0.4)%	(1.4±0.4)%	(1.9±0.4)%
Barrel 2 (N)	(3.1±0.4)%	(1.0±0.4)%	(1.6±0.4)%	(1.7±0.4)%	(1.8±0.4)%
Transition-N	(6.5±0.5)%	(1.3±0.5)%	(3.1±0.5)%	(2.8±0.5)%	(3.5±0.5)%
Endcap (N)	(5.8±0.5)%	(3.0±0.5)%	(3.0±0.5)%	(2.5±0.5)%	(1.3±0.5)%
Barrel 1 (W)	(2.7±0.4)%	(2.6±0.4)%	(3.4±0.4)%	(1.7±0.4)%	(2.4±0.4)%
Barrel 2 (W)	(2.1±0.4)%	(0.9±0.4)%	(1.3±0.4)%	(1.3±0.4)%	(1.5±0.4)%
Transition-W	(4.7±0.5)%	(1.2±0.5)%	(1.4±0.5)%	(2.5±0.5)%	(2.5±0.5)%
Endcap (W)	(5.3±0.5)%	(1.9±0.5)%	(2.2±0.5)%	(2.4±0.5)%	(1.6±0.5)%



# Level of Disagreement



- Level of (dis)agreement is calculated also for the physics list QGSP\_FTFP\_BERT\_EML. The two physics lists provide similar level of agreement

	10.4.p03	10.6.p02	10.7.p02	11.1.p02	11.2.p01
Barrel 1 (N)	(1.6±0.4)%	(2.6±0.4)%	(2.5±0.4)%	(1.6±0.4)%	(1.6±0.4)%
Barrel 2 (N)	(4.1±0.4)%	(0.9±0.4)%	(1.5±0.4)%	(2.1±0.4)%	(1.4±0.4)%
Transition-N	(4.9±0.5)%	(2.5±0.5)%	(2.7±0.5)%	(4.2±0.5)%	(3.6±0.5)%
Endcap (N)	(4.7±0.5)%	(2.3±0.5)%	(1.9±0.5)%	(1.6±0.5)%	(2.7±0.5)%
Barrel 1 (W)	(2.1±0.4)%	(2.5±0.4)%	(2.7±0.4)%	(1.8±0.4)%	(1.8±0.4)%
Barrel 2 (W)	(2.8±0.4)%	(0.6±0.4)%	(1.1±0.4)%	(1.6±0.4)%	(0.9±0.4)%
Transiotn-W	(2.9±0.5)%	(2.5±0.5)%	(2.2±0.5)%	(3.6±0.5)%	(2.9±0.5)%
Endcap (W)	(4.0±0.5)%	(4.0±0.5)%	(2.4±0.5)%	(2.0±0.5)%	(2.4±0.5)%

	(E <sub>7x7</sub> +H <sub>3x3</sub> )/p 11.1(FTFP)	(E <sub>7x7</sub> +H <sub>3x3</sub> )/p 11.1(QGSP)	(E <sub>11x11</sub> +H <sub>5x5</sub> )/p 11.1(FTFP)	(E <sub>11x11</sub> +H <sub>5x5</sub> )/p 11.1(QGSP)
Barrel 1	(1.9±0.4)%	(1.6±0.4)%	(2.4±0.4)%	(1.8±0.4)%
Barrel 2	(1.8±0.4)%	(1.4±0.4)%	(1.5±0.4)%	(0.9±0.4)%
Transition	(3.5±0.5)%	(3.6±0.5)%	(2.5±0.5)%	(2.9±0.5)%
Endcap	(1.3±0.5)%	(2.7±0.5)%	(1.6±0.5)%	(2.4±0.5)%



# Summary



- CMS has been using Geant4 as the simulation tool for comparing data with predictions from known physics models
- Geant4 has evolved over time. For most of the Run2 physics studies, version 10.4.p03 was used. CMS has moved to 10.7.p02 for the first Run3 MC production and is planning to move to 11.2.p01 for future Run3 studies
- Different Geant4 versions are tested by comparing their predictions with some controlled measurements of single particle response
- 2006 test beam data of combined CMS barrel calorimeter (prototype hadron calorimeter and electromagnetic calorimeter) and low luminosity collision data at  $\sqrt{s} = 13$  TeV are used for this comparison
- All 5 versions (10.4.p03, 10.6.p02, 10.7.p02, 11.1.p02 and 11.2.p01) provide good agreement with the data.


# **Additional Slides**



# CMS Simulation in 2023



- CMS approach toward adopting a new version of Geant4
  - Integrate reference versions of Geant4 to special branches of CMSSW
  - Validate against test beam data and collision data with CMS detector
  - Check its CPU and memory performance
- Currently preparing a configuration with
  - `el8_amd64_gcc11` as a production platform
  - Geant4 version 11.1
    - expect patch01 for final integration
  - DD4hep version 1.23
  - VecGeom version 1.21
  - CLHEP version 2.4.6.0
- Currently, the prepared simulation configuration is under official CMS validation



During the 3 years between the versions 10\_6\_X and 12\_5\_X, the CPU time has improved for the processes: minimum bias by 30%, t-tbar by 21%, BSM T1ttt by 17% and Z -> ee by 25%



# 2006 TestBeam Data



- CMS collected data with a prototype of the Hadron Calorimeter Barrel and a supermodule of the barrel Electromagnetic Calorimeter in the H2 test beam area at CERN in 2006.
- Special action was taken to go to low energy hadron beam down to 1 GeV using a secondary target
- The analysis utilized particle identification using data from TOF counters and Cherenkov detectors up to an energy of 9 GeV
- The results consist of mean energy response (measured as the ratio of the total energy in the calorimeter to the beam momentum) as a function of beam momentum for different beam types, the energy resolution and some energy distributions for particles of a given type at a given momentum
- Results from this test beam were published in *Eur. Phys. J. Web Conf.* **214** (2019) 02012 and used in many comparisons presented in an earlier conference