Simulating Calorimeter Detector Signatures with the Lorenzetti Showers Framework for Electron Trigger Studies using Machine Learning CHIPP 2024 Annual Meeting

Meinrad Schefer

June 19th, 2024

IINIVERSITÄT

Lorenzetti Showers

A general-purpose framework for supporting signal reconstruction and triggering with calorimeters

- Simulations are essential for preparing experiments, designing sub-detectors, interpreting results and for guiding upgrades in high energy physics.
- The high luminosity LHC upgrade will produce extreme signal pileup levels for which a fast and accurate simulation will become very important.
- The use of event simulation and reconstruction software is often restricted to one collaboration.
- Lorenzetti Showers provides a flexible general purpose framework for calorimetry which can be adapted for different detector specifications.
- <https://github.com/lorenzetti-hep/lorenzetti>

Event Generation and Processing

- Event generation based on Pythia 8
- Event propagation based on Geant4

Simulating the ATLAS Calorimeter System

Showers with Lorenzetti

Forward Calorimeters in ATLAS

- ATLAS does not feature any tracking in the forward regions ($\eta > 2.5$).
- Therefore, calorimeter information becomes crucial for particle identification.
- Forward regions are more challenging due to reduced tracking information, lesser granularity and more inactive material.

The NeuralRinger Algorithm for Electron Identification

- The NeuralRinger is an algorithm using calorimetric data to identify electrons by building rings and calculating their energy sums.
- Training of neural networks (NN) on the ring sums provides selections to distinguish real from fake electrons

E_T Distributions

- \bullet ATLAS:
- Electron gun: 20 GeV $\lt E_T \lt 100$ GeV, Dijets: $E_T > 50$ GeV
- **•** Lorenzetti:
- **•** Electron gun: 100 GeV $\lt E \lt 1000$ GeV, Dijets: $E_T > 20$ GeV

Normalized Ring Profiles

- Input variables for neural networks
- Lorenzetti simulation has an additional presampling layer in the EMEC
- Similar behaviour between ATLAS rings 1-14 and Lorenzetti rings 5-18
- Previous ATLAS studies were able to reduce fake acceptance by an order of magnitude

Using Z→ee Samples for Electron Candidates

- \bullet Z \rightarrow ee samples required to have at least 1 electron going in $2.5 < |\eta| < 3.2$
- \bullet Dijets: $E_T < 1$ TeV
- **•** Still a clear difference between the ring profiles of both samples visible

- As a general-purpose calorimetry framework Lorenzetti Showers provides a very useful tool for detector studies.
- It features an event generation and reconstruction chain which allows to provide samples based on calorimetry information.
- The same ring structures as the ones for ATLAS have been implemented in Lorenzetti.
- The ring energy distributions look quite similar for both.
- Comparisons are also being performed using shower moments which ATLAS uses for cutbased triggers.
- The studies are being extended by including different pileup scenarios.

Thank you!

Backup

- The LHC's beam luminosity of 10^{34} cm $^{-2}$ s $^{-1}$ results in 40 million bunch crossings with each about 20 collisions per second.
- To store all this information would fill up data storages and overwhelm them with events irrelevant for analyses.
- Therefore a trigger system is used to select events of interest.

e/γ Trigger Processing Workflow

The NeuralRinger algorithm has been introduced in 2017 in the Fast Calo step to reduce CPU demands.

Processing Flow

- An ensemble of Multi Layer Perceptrons (MLPs) is trained with the informations from the rings to discriminate signal from background.
- The MLPs provide the discriminants for the trigger software.
- Using this technique it was possible to significantly reduce the CPU time and the rate of fake candidates that are passed on.

Multi Layer Perceptrons (MLPs)

- MLPs are a class of feedforward artificial neural networks.
- Each node except the input has a nonlinear activation function which allows MLPs to distinguish data which are not linearly separable.

NeuralRinger CPU Reduction

Using the NeuralRinger it was possible to reduce the electron trigger CPU time by 38 ms per event.

Using the NeuralRinger it was possible to reduce the fake electron rates in the FastCalo step by an order of magnitude.

Forward Ring Shapes

- According to detector granularities only 4 rings are built in the EMEC layers and only 2 rings in the HEC layers.
- The Lorenzetti simulation has 3 EMEC layer, whereas ATLAS only has 2, both have 3 HEC layers.
- Rings reaching into regions of different granularity are cut at $\eta < 2.5$ and $\eta > 3.2$.

Example of Rings that are Cut

If the hottest cell is for example at $\eta = 2.65$, the cells with $\eta < 2.5$ are cut from the 3rd and 4th ring.

EMEC1 / EMEC2: 4 rings

Ring Energies

- ATLAS (total Energy) vs Lorenzetti (E_T)
- Standard deviation error bars; large energy range (see Slide 9) \rightarrow large deviation in the highest energetic cell
- ATLAS rings 1-14 very comparable to Lorenzetti rings 5-18

NN Output Distribution (ATLAS)

$$
\bullet \; SP = \sqrt{\sqrt{P_D(1 - F_a)} \cdot \frac{1}{2}(P_D + 1 - F_a)}.
$$

\n- $$
P_D
$$
: Probability of detection $\left(\frac{N_{signal}(passed)}{N_{signal}(total)}\right)$.
\n- F_a : False alarm $\left(\frac{N_{bkg}(passed)}{N_{bkg}(total)}\right)$.
\n- (passed = identified as signal)
\n