

HSE Radiation Protection

Target and Target complex – Radiation Protection challenges

<u>C. Ahdida</u>, G. Mazzola HI-ECN3 BDF target & target complex initial review 29/04/2024

Content

1. BDF Target

- BDF Baseline Target
- Alternative Claddings
- TDR studies

2. BDF Target Complex

- BDF @ ECN3
- TDR studies



HSE

Radiation Protection

BDF Baseline Target

- Main RP studies for the BDF Baseline Target were performed during the BDF CDS phase
- Target:
 - 13 TZM blocks (Mo alloy)
 - 5 Tungsten blocks
 - Tantalum* cladding
- Target disks are water-cooled
- Maximum of 5 years of irradiation with a total of 4×10¹⁹ PoT
- RP studies:
 - Residual radiation
 - Radionuclide inventory
 - Water activation
 - Alternative claddings (after CDS)
 - Prototype target tests

*Used pure Ta cladding not Ta2.5W for CDS RP studies



Radiation Protection

HSE

Target and Target complex - RP challenges | C. Ahdida, G. Mazzola

C. Ahdida *et al.*, SPS Beam Dump Facility - Comprehensive Design Study, **CERN-2020-002 M. Casolino** *et al.*, *Radiological Assessment of the Beam Dump Facility at CERN*, **EDMS 2263868**

CDS BDF FLUKA model



CDS target complex - target, shielding and hadron stopper - view from top

07/06/2023

Residual Radiation

C. Ahdida et al., SPS Beam Dump Facility - Comprehensive Design Study, CERN-2020-002 M. Casolino et al., Radiological Assessment of the Beam Dump Facility at CERN, EDMS 2263868

Total PoT 2×10²⁰ (5 yrs)

Longitudinal cut along the target





The residual dose rates of the target were studied for 5 years of operation (now we have a max. of 15 years) and different cool-down times

- The highest dose rates are in the order of 100 Sv/h after 4 hours of cooling and a few Sv/h after 1 year
- Even after 30 years, dose rates at 40 cm still of the order of a few mSv/h → dedicated storage place in facility for irradiated target
- For radioactive transport the max. dose rate level at any point on the external surface of a package shall not exceed 2 mSv/h
- Thick iron cask (~30 cm thick) for transportation and storage as well as during handling



Radiation Protection

HSE

C. Ahdida et al., SPS Beam Dump Facility - Comprehensive Design Study, CERN-2020-002 M. Casolino et al., Radiological Assessment of the Beam Dump Facility at CERN, EDMS 2263868

Radionuclide inventories

Total PoT 2×10²⁰ (5 yrs)

Tungsten – Total Activity (Bq)

Radionuclide	Half-life		Activity [Bq]			Ra
		$T_c = 1 \mathrm{m}$	$T_c = 1y$	$T_c = 10$ y	$T_c = 30 \mathrm{y}$	
H-3	12.33y	6.2E+12	5.9E+12	3.6E+12	1.2E+12	H
Pm-145	17.70y	6.6E+10	8.1E+10	7.0E+10	3.2E+10	Co
Gd-148	74.60y	3.0E+10	3.0E+10	2.7E+10	2.3E+10	Ba
Tb-157	99.00y	2.8E+10	2.8E+10	2.6E+10	2.3E+10	Pr
Lu-172m	3.7min	4.9E+12	3.5E+12	1.2E+11	7.5E+07	Εu
Lu-172	6.7d	5.0E+12	3.5E+12	1.2E+11	7.6E+07	G
Hf-172	1.87y	4.9E+12	3.5E+12	1.2E+11	7.5E+07	G
Lu-173	1.34y	6.9E+12	4.3E+12	4.0E+10	1.3E+06	Εu
Hf-175	70.0d	1.9E+13	6.7E+11	5.0E-03	2.0E-34	Lu
Ta-178	9.3min	2.9E+13	6.3E+08	1.0E-37	1.9E-139	H
W-178	21.6d	2.9E+13	6.3E+08	1.0E-37	1.9E-139	Lu
Ta-179	1.61y	2.8E+13	1.9E+13	3.9E+11	7.2E+07	Lu
W-181	121.0d	1.0E+14	1.5E+13	1.0E+05	6.8E-14	H
Ta-182	114.7d	6.7E+12	8.8E+11	3.5E+04	3.3E+04	Ta
W-185	75.1d	6.5E+14	2.9E+13	2.0E+00	1.1E-29	W
Sum of all		9.2E+14	8.8E+13	4.6E+12	1.3E+12	Ta W

Pure alpha/beta emitters are shown in bold

Dominant radionuclide is shown in red

Main contributors (>1%), sum for all radionuclides

Tungsten – Multiple of LL

Radionuclide	Half-life	Multiple of LL value			•
		$T_c = 1 \mathrm{m}$	$T_c = 1y$	$T_c = 10$ y	$T_c = 30 \mathrm{y}$
H-3	12.33y	9.0E+04	8.5E+04	5.1E+04	1.7E+04
Co-60	5.27y	1.3E+05	1.2E+05	3.6E+04	2.6E+03
Ba-133	10.54y	8.8E+05	8.3E+05	4.6E+05	1.2E+05
Pm-145	17.70y	9.6E+03	1.2E+04	1.0E+04	4.6E+03
Eu-146	4.6d	1.9E+06	1.6E+04	5.1E-17	1.5E-62
Gd-146	48.3d	1.7E+06	1.4E+04	4.6E-17	1.4E-62
Gd-148	74.60y	4.3E+04	4.3E+04	4.0E+04	3.3E+04
Eu-150	36.36y	3.4E+03	3.4E+03	2.8E+03	1.9E+03
Lu-172	6.7d	7.1E+06	5.0E+06	1.8E+05	1.1E+02
Hf-172	1.87y	7.0E+05	5.0E+05	1.8E+04	1.1E+01
Lu-173	1.34y	9.9E+06	6.2E+06	5.8E+04	1.8E+00
Lu-174	3.56y	1.4E+05	1.3E+05	2.4E+04	4.9E+02
Hf-175	70.0d	2.7E+07	9.7E+05	7.2E-09	2.9E-40
Ta-178	9.3min	4.2E+06	9.1E+01	1.5E-44	2.7E-146
W-178	21.6d	4.2E+06	9.1E+01	1.5E-44	2.7E-146
Ta-179	1.61y	4.0E+06	2.7E+06	5.7E+04	1.0E+01
W-181	121.0d	1.5E+07	2.1E+06	1.4E-02	9.8E-21
Ta-182	114.7d	9.6E+07	1.3E+07	5.0E-01	4.7E-01
Re-184m	168.0d	3.2E+06	8.0E+05	1.0E+00	8.6E-14
Sum of all		1.9E+08	3.4E+07	9.6E+05	1.9E+05

Tantalum – Multiple of LL

Radionuclide	Half-life	Multiple of LL value			2
		$T_c = 1 \mathrm{m}$	$T_c = 1y$	$T_c = 10$ y	$T_c = 30 \mathrm{y}$
H-3	12.33y	2.8E+05	2.7E+05	1.6E+05	5.2E+04
Co-60	5.27y	4.8E+05	4.3E+05	1.3E+05	9.4E+03
Ba-133	10.54y	3.0E+06	2.8E+06	1.5E+06	4.1E+05
Pm-145	17.70y	3.1E+04	3.8E+04	3.3E+04	1.5E+04
Gd-148	74.60y	1.4E+05	1.4E+05	1.3E+05	1.0E+05
Eu-150	36.36y	1.2E+04	1.2E+04	1.0E+04	6.9E+03
Lu-172	6.7d	2.4E+07	1.7E+07	5.9E+05	3.6E+02
Hf-172	1.87y	2.3E+06	1.7E+06	5.9E+04	3.6E+01
Lu-173	1.34y	3.7E+07	2.3E+07	2.2E+05	6.8E+00
Lu-174	3.56y	1.5E+06	1.4E+06	2.5E+05	5.1E+03
m-Hf-178	4s	6.2E+04	6.1E+04	5.0E+04	3.2E+04
Ta-179	1.61y	1.3E+07	8.6E+06	1.8E+05	3.3E+01
Ta-182	114.7d	1.7E+11	2.2E+10	5.3E+01	3.7E-18
Sum of all		1.7E+11	2.2E+10	3.4E+06	6.5E+05

LL: CERN clearance limit (see backup slides)

Total of ~18 TBq of H-3 during 5 yrs operation



Radiation Protection

HSE

Water activation

- Activation of water from cooling circuits was estimated
- Shielding estimate around demineralization cartridges was performed assuming Be-7 to be stopped, but no target debris

 \rightarrow 50 cm cylindrical concrete shielding was foreseen and for the roof of the area 165 cm concrete

- Remaining water in circuit mostly contains H-3 with a concentration of around 0.5 GBq/I per year of operation
- Due to the high H-3 production in the target (~18 TBq during 5 yrs operation), a significant contribution to the H-3 concentration in the water can come from H-3 out-diffusion from the target disks and subsequent trapping in the cooling water
- In case of 1% of out-diffusion every 2 months (best guess, no data available) and 100% trapping, the H-3 concentration from outdiffusion amounts to ~60 MBq/I every 2 months
- The exchange of cooling water (1 m³) in one year would result in ~220 GBq of H-3 activity

C. Ahdida et al., SPS Beam Dump Facility - Comprehensive Design Study, CERN-2020-002 M. Casolino et al., Radiological Assessment of the Beam Dump Facility at CERN, EDMS 2263868

PoT 1×10¹⁹ (1 yr)

Total Activity (Bq) for H-3 and Be-7

Radioisotope	Target	Proximity shielding	Magnetic coil
Be-7	1.3×10^{12}	$2.6 imes 10^9$	$6.2 imes 10^6$
H-3	7.4×10^{10}	$1.8 imes 10^8$	$4.1 imes 10^5$

Results above do not take out-diffusion from target into account



Radiation Protection

HSE

Target and Target complex - RP challenges | C. Ahdida, G. Mazzola

07/06/2023

Alternative Claddings

Cladding materials (EDMS 2838723):

- 1. Tantalum rho = 16.6 g/cm3
- 2. Nb (ASTM R04210 Type 2) rho = 8.6 g/cm3
- 3. Nb-1Zr (ASTM R04261 Type 4) rho = 8.6 g/cm3
- 4. Nb-10Hf-1Ti (ASTM R04295) rho = 8.86 g/cm3

Total PoT 2×10²⁰ (5 yrs)

	Activity/LL -	Activity/LL -	Max. LMA	RN exceeding	
Material	5y	300y	fraction	LMA	RW Class.
Та	1.30E+07	7.72E+03	7.58E+01	H-3 (75), Gd-148 (1.65)	FA-MA (CH)
Nb	1.62E+07	7.36E+06	6.19E+03	Nb-94 (6190), H-3 (65)	FA-MA (CH)
Nb-1Zr	1.60E+07	7.28E+06	5.23E+03	Nb-94 (5230), H-3 (66)	FA-MA (CH)
Nb-10Hf-1Ti	1.55E+07	6.22E+06	6.12E+03	Nb-94 (6120) <i>,</i> H-3 (65)	FA-MA (CH)

Waste classification as FA-MA waste to be disposed of in Switzerland

Total PoT 2×10²⁰ (5 yrs), 1y cool-down **Residual dose rates (uSv/h)**



No difference in the residual dose rates for the various Nb claddings

* LMA: Limite Maximale d'Admissibilité, no summation rule for the LMA



HSE

Radiation Protection

raiget and ra

Prototype Target Tests

- BDF target prototype w/ in total 14 h irradiation in TCC2, leading to 2.4E16 PoT
- Target activation was measured and compared to FLUKA simulations showing excellent agreement (uncertainty mainly from measurement position)
- Cooling water activation was estimated w/ FLUKA
- Estimated residual dose rate after 1h of cooling at 40 cm from the cartridge is 18.7 mSv/h, while the PMI monitor measured 16.9 mSv/h → 10% discrepancy may be due to the fact that not
 - all ions are captured by the cartridge
- Both samples showed the presence of high-Z spallation products some of them could have been produced in the target materials

Benchmark of residual dose rates (mSv/h)

Position	Ambient dose rate	Ratio	
	Predicted (FLUKA)	Simulated	Predicted/Measured
contact	25.15 ± 0.01	26 ± 1	0.97 ± 0.04
40 cm	4.42 ± 0.01	5 ± 1	0.9 ± 0.2

Radionuclides in water samples

Radionuclide	Activity	y [Bq/l]
	Sample 1	Sample 2
H-3	$1.96 imes 10^5 \pm 4.0\%$	$4.8 imes 10^5 \pm 4.0\%$
Be-7	$7.7\times10^3\pm6.6\%$	$2.37 \times 10^{3} \pm 6.8\%$
ScjSc44m	$2.49 \times 10^{1} \pm 6.9\%$	$4.85 \times 10^{1} \pm 5.7\%$
Sc-46	$1.51 \times 10^1 \pm 7.8\%$	$6.88 imes 10^1 \pm 6.8\%$
Sc-47	-	$1.17 \times 10^2 \pm 9.2\%$
Y-87	$1.45 \times 10^1 \pm 8.4\%$	${4.85\times10^{1}\pm6.2\%}$
Ru-97	-	$1.27\times10^1\pm9.3\%$
Ag-106m	$1.41 \times 10^{1} \pm 9.6\%$	-
In-111	-	$1.13 \times 10^{1} \pm 8.5\%$
Eu;Gd146	-	$1.19\times10^1\pm8.3\%$
Gd-149	-	$3.79 \times 10^{1} \pm 8.1\%$
Tb-155	-	${4.57\times10^{1}\pm7.0\%}$
Tm-166	-	$7.05\pm7.7\%$
Tm-167	-	$7.14 \times 10^{1} \pm 8.9\%$
Yb-169	-	$3.13 \times 10^{1} \pm 7.8\%$
Lu-171	-	$8.51 \times 10^1 \pm 6.8\%$

Water samples were analysed by liquid scintillation and gamma spectrometry

EDX of debris in water was inconclusive of material. No peaks were found for Ta, W, Mo or Ti. Metallic particle (Al, Ca, Fe, Cl, Fe, Cr) (EDMS 2364297)



Radiation Protection

HSE

Target and Target complex - RP challenges | C. Ahdida, G. Mazzola

07/06/2023

TDR Studies for the Target

WP6.2 Radiation Protection

- Execute the required RP studies for latest target design option(s) and full 15-years lifecycle of facility
 - Residual dose rates
 - Radionuclide inventories
 - Shielding requirements
 - Water activation
- Execute the required RP studies for beam tests with prototype targets
- WP6.3 Radioactive Transport and Waste Management
 - Study the radioactive transport and waste aspects for the prototype target tests
 - Provide guidance and support related to radioactive transport and waste for the BDF target design(s)



HSE

Content

1. BDF Target

- BDF Baseline Target
- Alternative Claddings
- TDR studies

2. BDF Target Complex

- BDF @ ECN3
- TDR studies



HSE

Radiation Protection

HI ECN3 facility design optimization

- RP studies based on FLUKA MC simulations were performed for a design optimization of BDF/SHiP@ECN3
- ALARA approach

Optimization required to ensure that exposure of personnel to radiation and radiological impact on environment are As Low As Reasonably Achievable



PROMPT RADIATION

Reduce prompt radiation to comply with radiation area classification in the surrounding accessible areas as well as the 1 mSv limit at the CERN fence

RESIDUAL RADIATION

Limit activation of target and experimental area to reduce residual dose rates to be compatible with an adequate area classification



AIR AND SOIL ACTIVATION

Reduce activation of air and its releases into the environmental. Limit soil activation (³H<1000 Bg/kg, ²²Na<50 Bg/kg) and transfer to groundwater



ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT

Reduce environmental impact from prompt radiation and releases of activated air to fulfill CERN's dose objective for the public of <10 uSv/year

Radiation area classification

	Area	Annual dose limit (vear)	Ambient dose equivalent rate		Airborne activity concentration	Surface contamination	
		() /	permanent occupancy	low occupancy			
	Non-designated	1 mSv	0.5 µSv/h	2.5 µSv/h	0.05 CA	1 CS	
	Supervised	6 mSv	3 μSv/h	15 µSv/h	0.1 CA	1 CS	
Area	Simple Controlled	20 mSv	10 µSv/h	50 µSv/h	0.1 CA	1 CS	e
ation	Limited Stay	20 mSv	-	2 mSv/h	100 CA	4000 CS	ed Are
Radi	High Radiation	20 mSv		100 mSv/h	1000 CA	40000 CS	ontroll
	Prohibited		-	> 100 mSv/h			ŭ



HSE

RP studies for a high intensity facility in the CERN's ECN3 area | C. Ahdida, HPTW2023

11

BDF/SHiP FLUKA model



FLUKA hosted by CERN (FLUKA v4-3.0) [1-3]



Target complex & muon shield, Created using FLAIR [4]





- A detailed BDF/SHiP target complex together with the muon shield was implemented in FLUKA
- It was integrated in a FLUKA geometry including the full underground TCC8/ECN3 cavern and surrounding galleries, tunnels, rooms, etc.
- Ground profile data from CERN's Geographic Information System and technical drawings were used to model the surrounding ground



Radiation Protection

HSE

Prompt radiation in target area

Side view

Avg. intensity of 5.6×10¹² p/s

Cross-sectional view



Annua Ambient dose equivalent rate dose limi (year) permanent low occupancy occupancy 0.5 µSv/h 2.5 µSv/h Non-designated 1 mSv 6 mSv 3 µSv/h 15 µSv/h pervised Simple Controlled 20 mSv 10 µSv/h 50 µSv/h B Limited Stay 20 mSv 2 mSv/h 00 20 mSv 100 mSv/ 80

Along y-axis



- Shielding design is optimized for the prompt radiation
- > Thanks to shielding reinforcements towards the bottom, no increase of soil activation expected

100 rem = 1Sv



HSE

Residual radiation in target area

Total PoT 6×10²⁰



The shielding design contains well the high residual dose rates reaching in the central target region several 10 Sv/h after 1 month of cool-down

> The residual dose rates outside the shielding are < 1 μ Sv/h



Upstream of vessel w/o upstream shielding Preliminary worst case manual intervention scenario



- After removal of the shielding upstream of the vessel, residual dose rates are within a Limited Stay Controlled Radiation Area
- Supervised Radiation Area on the sides
- Further optimization by movable shielding



HSE Radiation Protection Target and Target complex - RP challenges | C. Ahdida, G. Mazzola

07/06/2023

100 rem = 1Sv

Air and soil activation

Total PoT 6×10²⁰

Specific activity of ³H and ²²Na in the soil below TCC8 (most critical area)



- Thanks to floor iron shielding, ³H and ²²Na activity concentrations in the soil are below respective design limits
- A hydro-geological study is underway, which will allow to refine the design limits and possibly allow to reduce the required shielding

Area Annua Ambient dose **Airborn** Surface dose limit equivalent rate activity contaminatio concentratior (vear) permanen low occupancy occupancy Non-designated 1 mSv 0.5 µSv/h 2.5 µSv/h 0.05 CA 1 CS 6 mSv 3 µSv/h 15 µSv/h 0.1 CA Supervised Simple Controlled 20 mSv 10 uSv/h 50 µSv/h 0.1 CA 1 CS imited Stay 20 mSv 2 mSv/h 100 CA 4000 CS 20 mSv 100 mSv/h 1000 CA 40000 CS ligh Radiatio

PoT 4×10¹⁹ per year **Air activation**

- Activation of air in target complex area were studied
- Production of radionuclides evaluated with FLUKA in combination with ActiWiz [5]

		CASE 1			CASE 2
Region	Volume [m ³]	Total A [Bq]	As [Bq/m ³]	CA [μ Sv/h]	Total A [Bq]
Air	2127	3.69×10^6	$1.73 imes 10^3$	3.34×10^{-1}	1.19×10^{11}

- **CASE 1**: build-up of radionuclides during operation w/o air extraction and 30 min cooldown time before air release
- **CASE 2**: constant immediate release of air (worst-case for upper limit of environmental impact)
- Flush of target complex with fresh air before any access to reduce specific airborne radioactivity to be compatible with 0.1 CA

¹ Person working 40h/w, 50w/y with standard breathing rate in activated air with CA = 1 receives 20 mSv



Radiation Protection

HSE

Environmental impact



Dose from air releases

• Used max. dose coefficients from different age groups [6]

Effective dose estimates

Air	Total A [Bq]	Effective Dose [μ Sv/y]
CASE 1	3.69×10^6	1×10^{-5}
CASE 2	1.19×10^{11}	3×10^{-3}

H-3 release due to air activation of ~80 kBq

Positions of nearby population groups



- Worst-case immediate air release (CASE 2) yields 3 nSv/year and is thus well below the annual dose objective of CERN
- Exposure of members of the public due to air releases is negligible

Dose from stray radiation



Annual limit of Non-designated Area on CERN domain (5 mSv/y) and at CERN fence (1 mSv/y) as well as dose objective for members of the public (10 uSv/y) by far met



Radiation Protection

HSE

Target and Target complex - RP challenges | C. Ahdida, G. Mazzola

100 rem = 1Sv

TDR Studies for the Target Complex

WP6.2 Radiation Protection

- Re-evaluate the target complex shielding requirements depending on the outcome of the hydrogeological study and possibly relaxed soil activation limits
- Execute the required RP studies for the design optimization/integration of the target complex including handling considerations
- Perform the residual dose rate studies for maintenance scenarios (e.g. target exchange) w/ different irradiation and cool-down scenarios
- Perform the required RP studies (e.g. residual dose rates, radionuclide inventories, shielding requirements) for target sub-systems for transport, waste disposal and FIRIA
- Evaluate RP requirements (e.g. shielding, ventilation, cooling station) for the service building
- Evaluate RP requirements for irradiation stations at the Target Complex

WP6.3 Radioactive Transport and Waste Management

- Provide guidance and support related to radioactive transport and waste for the BDF complex design



HSE

Thank you for your attention!



Radiation Protection

HSE

Target and Target complex - RP challenges | C. Ahdida, G. Mazzola

07/06/2023

18

Radioactive Material

When is a material radioactive?

Specific and total activity exceed clearance limits (LL values) as given in the Annex of EDMS 942170 (adopted from Swiss legislation)

OR

Net ambient dose equivalent rate > 0.1 μ Sv/h in 10 cm distance

OR

Sum rule for mixture of radionuclides:

Examples: 0.1 Bg/g for ²²Na, ⁵⁴Mn, ⁶⁰Co

1000 Bq/g for ⁵⁵Fe

 $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{a_i}{LL_i} < 1$

 $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{c_i}{CS_i} < 1$

Date: 02-03-2021 Operational Radiation Protection Rule **Clearance Limits for Radioactive Material at CERN** DOCUMENT PRÉPARÉ PAR OCUMENT VÉRIFIÉ PAI DOCUMENT APPROUVÉ PAR C. Theis G. Dumont S. Roesler HSE-RP Hz. Vincke HSE-RP HSE-RP GROUPE D'APPROBATION

942170

8.0

RELEASED

CERN

CH1211 Genève 23



HSE Radiation Protection

Surface contamination exceeds limits

as given in the Annex of EDMS 942170 (> 1 CS)

Target and Target complex - RP challenges | C. Ahdida, G. Mazzola

Sum rule for mixture of radionuclides: