## Highlights from the LUX-ZEPLIN experiment



View from Black Elk Wilderness area, South Dakota, USA, home of @lzdarkmatter



Jim Dobson for the LZ Collaboration <u>DISCRETE 2024, Ljubljana, Slovenia</u>



#### Direct detection of Dark Matter



#### **Standard Halo Model**

- Isothermal sphere of DM,  $\rho \propto r^{-2}$
- Local density  $\rho_0 \sim 0.3$  GeV/cm3
- Maxwellian (truncated) velocity distribution
- Characteristic velocity v<sub>0</sub>=220 km/s → non-relativistic!



#### Direct detection of Dark Matter



km/s  $\rightarrow$  non-relativistic!









#### Leading technology above a -few GeV

#### ZEPLIN-III



12 kg (7 kg)

2008



62 kg (34 kg)

2013



LUX

250 kg (100 kg)

2016

**PANDAX-II** 



2017

XENON1T



2,000 kg (1,042 kg) 2018



7,000 kg (5,600 kg)

3

#### The LUX-ZEPLIN Detector



#### Multi-detector active veto system

Optically separated Xe **Skin** detector + Outer Detector (**OD**) with 17 tonnes of Gd-doped LS  $\rightarrow$  <u>BG suppression and in-situ characterisation</u>



#### Multi-detector active veto system

Optically separated Xe **Skin** detector + Outer Detector (**OD**) with 17 tonnes of Gd-doped LS  $\rightarrow$  <u>BG suppression and in-situ characterisation</u>



#### Multi-detector active veto system

Optically separated Xe **Skin** detector + Outer Detector (**OD**) with 17 tonnes of Gd-doped LS  $\rightarrow$  <u>BG suppression and in-situ characterisation</u>



#### Started taking physics data December 2021 2018



assembly at Brown University

2020-2021



Offsite Kr removal of 10t of LXe at SLAC

Fully assembled TPC at SURF surface lab

2021

2019



TPC inside inner cryostat vessel (ICV) being transported underground



ICV being lowered into the outer vessel inside the water tank



Fully assembled detector - OD ready for GdLS + water fill

# Started taking physics data December 2021



## Changes Since LZ's 1st Result (WS2022)

- Following LZ's first results, various optimisation campaigns carried out:
  - grid voltages
  - Xe circulation
  - trigger configuration
  - Calibrations
- Extraction region lowered by *Δ*V by 0.5 kV (anode) to reduce spurious emission
- Cathode voltage lowered (from -32 kV to -18 kV) in response to light emission observed in Skin
  - ER/NR discrimination not affected
- LZ detector is performing well, stable running!



#### New physics run Apr $23 \rightarrow Apr 24$

370 days of WIMP search data interspersed with calibrations

95.2% detector up-time during WIMP search → **220 live-day exposure** 



### Extensive in-situ calibrations

#### • Electronic recoils (background)

- Spatially homogeneous  $\beta$  decays
- High stats (165k events) injection of tritium radiolabeled methane (CH3T, 18.6 keV) + <sup>14</sup>C (156 keV)
- Also: injected <sup>83m</sup>Kr, <sup>131m</sup>Xe, activation lines

#### Nuclear recoils (signal-like)

- High stats (~11k evts) run of Deuterium-Deuterium generator: collimated 2.45 MeV neutrons
- Also: AmLi neutrons in calibration tubes

#### • Used to tune NEST-based response model

- Light gain: 0.112 ± 0.002 phd/photon
- Charge gain: 34.0 ± 0.9 phd/electron
- Single electron size: 44.5 phd



### Xe flow model to remove dominant <sup>214</sup>Pb background

- Fine control of circulation/Xe cooling → control Xe flow pattern
- Two flow states for 2024 data:
  - High Mixing turbulent flow, uniform distribution of Rn & injected sources
  - Low Mixing laminar-like flow, low-radon central region
- In low mixing state, use  $^{222}Rn^{-218}Po$  coincidences  $\rightarrow$  map liquid flow to efficiently tag  $^{214}Pb \beta$ 's



#### Xe flow model to remove dominant <sup>214</sup>Pb background



### Accidental coincidence background

- Pile-up of uncorrelated isolated S1 and S2 pulses
- Extensive analysis cuts to reject
  - 99.5% rejection, minimal signal loss
- Rate constrained by events with unphysical drift times
  - 2.8 ± 0.6 events expected in 2024 exposure
- Shape modelled through random pairing of isolated S1-only and S2-only events (trigger-less data)
  - Apply all analysis cuts to manufactured accidental events



## Electron Capture (EC) decay backgrounds

- Single EC: <sup>127,125</sup>Xe NR calibration activation
  - Field-dependent suppressed charge yield related to β
  - $\circ$  Q<sub>L</sub>/Q<sub>B</sub> = 0.87 +/- 0.03 (5.2 keV L-shell)
  - Measured in-situ [paper in progress] and external test setup\*
- Double EC: <sup>124</sup>Xe 0.095% nat. Abundance
  - LL mode has increased ionization density relative to single-L capture
  - Float as parameter in final profile likelihood fit
  - Best fit  $Q_{LL}/Q_{\beta} = 0.7$
  - In-situ rate measurement 19.4 ± 3.9 events





\* Temples et al, Phys. Rev. D 104, 112001 (2021)

#### WIMP-search 2024 dataset

- Bias mitigation: fake WIMP events added "salted" into raw data
- 1227 events in WS2024 before "unsalting", after all cuts
  - 7 of these were salt events. 8 total salt events injected



WS2024 corresponds to  $3.3 \pm 0.1$  t.y (c.f. 0.9 ty for WS2022)

### WIMP-search 2024 dataset

- Bias mitigation: fake WIMP events added "salted" into raw data
- 1227 events in WS2024 before "unsalting", after all cuts
  - 7 of these were salt events. 8 total salt events injected
- 1220 events remain in after "unsalting"



WS2024 corresponds to  $3.3 \pm 0.1$  t.y (c.f. 0.9 ty for WS2022)

### 2024 WIMP-search results

- Combined likelihood
   WS2024 + WS2022
  - Total 4.2 tonne.year exposure
- Frequentist two-sided profile likelihood ratio test statistic
  - Power constrained at -1σ
- Data consistent with BG-only,
- World leading constraints at all WIMP masses tested
  - Strongest constraint at  $\sigma_{s1} = 2.1 \times 10^{-48} \text{ cm}^2$  at 36 GeV



### + broad physics programme ongoing



### Conclusions and outlook

- World's largest Xe-TPC operating stably
- Most stringent WIMP constraints from a 4.2 tonne-year exposure
- Leveraging novel analysis techniques for background reduction
- Around 1/3rd of the eventual 1000 live-day dataset
- Updated WIMP and other physics searches to come!

#### Thanks to our sponsors and 38 participating institutions!



## Backups

#### LZ (LUX-ZEPLIN) Collaboration, 38 Institutions



SANFORD UNDERGROUND RESEARCH

- Black Hills State University
- Brookhaven National Laboratory
- Brown University
- Center for Underground Physics
- Edinburgh University
- Fermi National Accelerator Lab.
- Imperial College London
- King's College London
- Lawrence Berkeley National Lab.
- Lawrence Livermore National Lab.
- LIP Coimbra
- Northwestern University
- Pennsylvania State University
- Royal Holloway University of London
- SLAC National Accelerator Lab.
- South Dakota School of Mines & Tech
- South Dakota Science & Technology Authority
- STFC Rutherford Appleton Lab.
- Texas A&M University
- University of Albany, SUNY
- University of Alabama
- University of Bristol
- University College London
- University of California Berkeley
- University of California Davis
- University of California Los Angeles
- University of California Santa Barbara
- University of Liverpool
- University of Maryland
- University of Massachusetts, Amherst
- University of Michigan
- University of Oxford
- University of Rochester
- University of Sheffield
- University of Sydney
- University of Texas at Austin
- University of Wisconsin, Madison
- University of Zürich
- US Europe Asia Oceania

#### 250 scientists, engineers, and technical staff





#### Thanks to our sponsors and participating institutions!



Institute for Basic Science

#### Data cuts and efficiency



### Background fit

Source	Pre-fit Expectation	Fit Result
$^{214}\text{Pb}\ \beta\text{s}$	$743\pm88$	$733\pm34$
$^{85}$ Kr + $^{39}$ Ar $\beta$ s + det. $\gamma$ s	$162\pm22$	$161\pm21$
Solar $\nu$ ER	$102\pm 6$	$102\pm 6$
$^{212}$ Pb + $^{218}$ Po $\beta$ s	$62.7 \pm 7.5$	$63.7\pm7.4$
Tritium+ <sup>14</sup> C $\beta$ s	$58.3\pm3.3$	$59.7\pm3.3$
$^{136}$ Xe $2 uetaeta$	$55.6\pm8.3$	$55.8\pm8.2$
$^{124}$ Xe DEC	$19.4\pm3.9$	$21.4\pm3.6$
$^{127}$ Xe + $^{125}$ Xe EC	$3.2\pm0.6$	$2.7\pm0.6$
Accidental coincidences	$2.8\pm0.6$	$2.6\pm0.6$
Atm. $\nu$ NR	$0.12\pm0.02$	$0.12\pm0.02$
$^{8}\mathrm{B}+hep \ \nu \ \mathrm{NR}$	$0.06\pm0.01$	$0.06\pm0.01$
Detector neutrons	$^{\mathrm{a}}0.0^{+0.2}$	$0.0^{+0.2}$
$40 \ { m GeV}/c^2 \ { m WIMP}$	-	$0.0^{+0.6}$
Total	$1210 \pm 91$	$1203\pm42$

<sup>a</sup> The expected number of neutron events results from a fit to the sample of veto detector-tagged events. This expectation is not explicitly used in the final combined fit as this sample is included directly in the likelihood, as described in the text.



#### WS2022+24 spin-dependent neutron results



#### WS2022+24 spin-dependent proton results

