2024 Seoul Particle Theory Workshop, May 30, 2024

Missing the Obvious? Fermiophobic Light Higgs through Diphoton Jets

Jeonghyeon Song (Konkuk University, Korea)

With D.Wang, J.H. Cho, J. Kim, S. Lee, and P.~Sanyal in Phys.Rev.D 109 (2024) 1, 015017

2024 Seoul Particle Theory Workshop

Welcome to 2024 Seoul Particle Theory Workshop. The workshop aims to bring together a mix of theorists working on all aspects of **beyond the standard model physics**, as well as experimentalists. We plan a relaxed program with ample time for discussions.

Disappointing situation at the LHC

Abstract

A search for new physics in final states consisting of at least one photon, multiple jets, and large missing transverse momentum is presented, using proton-proton collision events at a center-of-mass energy of 13 TeV. The data correspond to an integrated luminosity of 137 fb^{-1}, recorded by the CMS experiment at the CERN LHC from 2016 to 2018. The events are divided into mutually exclusive bins characterized by the missing transverse momentum, the number of jets, the number of b-tagged jets, and jets consistent with the presence of hadronically decaying W, Z, or Higgs bosons. The observed data are found to be consistent with the prediction from standard model processes. The results are interpreted in the context of simplified models of pair production of supersymmetric particles via strong and electroweak interactions. Depending on the details of the signal models, gluinos and squarks of masses up to 2.35 and 1.43 TeV, respectively, and electroweakinos of masses up to 1.23 TeV are excluded at 95% confidence level


16th SEOUL JAZZ FESTIVAL 2024
sjf
2024.5.31 FRI
—6.2 SUN
OLYMPIC PARK
PRIVATE CURVE

Good theme of Jazz

"Overlooked Harmony"
"Elusive Melodies"
"The Unseen Beat"
"Invisible Swing"
"Shadowed Notes"
"Mystery in Minor"
"Veiled Rhythms"
"Obscured Cadence"

Can we miss the obvious BSM signal? YEP


CMS Experiment at LHC, CERN
Data recorded: Wed Nov 25 12:21:51 2015 CET
Run/Event: 262548 / 14582169
Lumi section: 309
LHCb
Event 2598326
Run 168486
Wed, 25 Nov 2015 12:51:53
ATLAS
EXPERIMENT
ALICE

We see what we wanna see!

We order a final state!


CMS Experiment at LHC, CERN
Data recorded: Wed Nov 25 12:21:51 2015 CET
Run/Event: 262548 / 14582169
Lumi section: 309
LHCb
Event 2598326
Run 168486
Wed, 25 Nov 2015 12:51:53
ATLAS
EXPERIMENT
ALICE

What if we miss a treasure?

A good example:

Very light fermiophobic Higgs boson in type-I 2HDM

1. Fermiophobic Higgs boson in Type-I 2HDM
2. Jet subparticles and pileups
3. Cut-based analysis
4. Mass reconstruction
5. Machine Learning Techniques
6. Conclusions

1. Fermiophobic Higgs boson in Type-I 2HDM

- Basic theory setup

$$\Phi_i = \begin{pmatrix} w_i^+ \\ \dfrac{v_i + h_i + i\eta_i}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad i = 1, 2,$$

where $v = \sqrt{v_1^2 + v_2^2} = 246$ GeV.

- Basic theory setup

$$\Phi_i = \begin{pmatrix} w_i^+ \\ \frac{v_i + h_i + i\eta_i}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad i = 1, 2,$$

where $v = \sqrt{v_1^2 + v_2^2} = 246$ GeV.

- Discrete Z_2 symmetry to avoid tree-level FCNC

$$\Phi_1 \to \Phi_1, \quad \Phi_2 \to -\Phi_1$$

- Basic theory setup

$$\Phi_i = \begin{pmatrix} w_i^+ \\ \dfrac{v_i + h_i + i\eta_i}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad i = 1, 2,$$

where $v = \sqrt{v_1^2 + v_2^2} = 246$ GeV.

- Discrete Z_2 symmetry to avoid tree-level FCNC

$$\Phi_1 \to \Phi_1, \quad \Phi_2 \to -\Phi_1$$

- Scalar potential with CP-invariance

Soft braking of Z_2

$$\begin{aligned} V_\Phi = \;& m_{11}^2 \Phi_1^\dagger \Phi_1 + m_{22}^2 \Phi_2^\dagger \Phi_2 - m_{12}^2 (\Phi_1^\dagger \Phi_2 + \text{H.c.}) \\ & + \frac{1}{2}\lambda_1 (\Phi_1^\dagger \Phi_1)^2 + \frac{1}{2}\lambda_2 (\Phi_2^\dagger \Phi_2)^2 + \lambda_3 (\Phi_1^\dagger \Phi_1)(\Phi_2^\dagger \Phi_2) + \lambda_4 (\Phi_1^\dagger \Phi_2)(\Phi_2^\dagger \Phi_1) \\ & + \frac{1}{2}\lambda_5 \left[(\Phi_1^\dagger \Phi_2)^2 + \text{H.c.} \right], \end{aligned}$$

Branco et al.[arXiv:1106.0034]

- Multiple Higgs bosons

$$h, H, A, H^{\pm}$$

- Four types according to the Z_2 parities of the right-handed fermions

	Φ_1	Φ_2	u_R	d_R	ℓ_R	Q_L, L_L
Type I	$+$	$-$	$-$	$-$	$-$	$+$
Type II	$+$	$-$	$-$	$+$	$+$	$+$
Type X	$+$	$-$	$-$	$-$	$+$	$+$
Type Y	$+$	$-$	$-$	$+$	$-$	$+$

Very light fermiophobic Higgs boson

Our setup

$$\text{fermiophobic type-I:} \quad M_H = 125 \text{ GeV}, \quad \alpha = \pi/2.$$

$$\xi_f^h = \frac{c_\alpha}{s_\beta}, \quad \kappa_f^H = \frac{s_\alpha}{s_\beta}, \quad \xi_t^A = -\xi_b^A = -\xi_\tau^A = \frac{1}{t_\beta}.$$

Zero

Akeroyd [hep-ph/9511347]
Barroso et al.[hep-ph/9901293].
Arhrib et al. [0805.1603].
Berger et al. [1203.6645]
Gabrielli et al. [1204.0080].
Delgado et al. [1603.00962]
Kim et al. [2205.01701]

Q. Are there enough viable parameter points?

YEP!

(1) Theoretical requirements

— Scalar potential bounded from below

— Perturbative unitarity of scalar-scalar scattering at tree level

— Vacuum stability

— cutoff scale > 10 TeV

(2) Experimental constraints

— B physics

— Higgs precision data via HiggsSignals

— Direct search bounds at the LEP, Tevatron, and LHC via HiggsBounds

(1) Theoretical requirements

— Scalar potential bounded from below

— Perturbative unitarity of scalar-scalar scattering at tree level

— Vacuum stability

— cutoff scale > 10 TeV

(2) Experimental constraints

— B physics

— Higgs precision data via HiggsSignals

— Direct search bounds at the LEP, Tevatron, and LHC via HiggsBounds

Why imposing cutoff scale > 10 TeV?
Scalar quartic couplings run fast under RGEs!



- Quartic couplings can be very large at high energy scale.
- Stability at EW scale cannot guarantees the stability at higher energy scale.

Oredsson [1810.02588]
Kim et al. [2302.05467]



Theoretical stability is broken at Λ.

⬇

NP is not valid at Λ.

⬇

Λ is the cutoff scale of NP.

Let's focus on the light fermiophobic Higgs boson.

$$m_{h_\mathrm{f}} \in [1, 30]\ \mathrm{GeV}, \qquad M_{A/H^\pm} \in [80, 900]\ \mathrm{GeV},$$
$$t_\beta \in [0.5, 50]\,, \qquad m_{12}^2 \in [0, 20000]\ \mathrm{GeV}^2.$$

Impact on Λ_{cut} the viable parameter space



No Λ_{cut} condition



Λ_{cut} > 10 TeV

< 430 GeV



< 330 GeV



- The upper bound on $M_{H^\pm}$ is reduced into 330 GeV.
- Most parameter points for $m_{h_f} \in [20,30]$ GeV are excluded by the cutoff scale condition.

Impact on Λ_{cut} the viable parameter space



No Λ_{cut} condition

$\Lambda_{cut} > 10$ TeV

Preferred mass range for the fermiophobic Higgs boson



- Survival rate is high for m_{h_f} in [1,10] GeV.
- NOT studied for the LHC phenomenology.

Very light fermion phobic Higgs boson

$$m_{h_{\rm f}} \in [1, 10]\ \mathrm{GeV}.$$

Practically, one decay mode

$$\mathrm{Br}(h_{\rm f} \to \gamma\gamma) \simeq 100\%$$

Almost fixed decay modes for $H^{\pm}, A$



$H^{\pm} \to W^{\pm} h_f$



$A \to Z h_f$

Golden discovery channel for the light h_f

$$pp \to W^* \to h_\mathrm{f} H^\pm (\to h_\mathrm{f} W^\pm) \to \gamma\gamma + \gamma\gamma + \ell^\pm E_T^{\mathrm{miss}}$$



Sizable cross sections



Q1. Has it been ordered?

NO

Searches for additional low-mass Higgs bosons at the LHC

Suzanne GASCON-SHOTKIN
IP2I Lyon (IN2P3-CNRS)/Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1

Cosmology, Astrophysics, Theory and

Collider Higgs 22+2

May 1 , 2024 DIAS Dublin (IR)

- Light (pseudo-) scalars a:
  - In association with Z from $H_{125} \rightarrow Za \rightarrow \ell\ell\gamma\gamma$
  - In pairs from H_{125} or $X \rightarrow aa$ or $\phi\phi \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\gamma\gamma$
  - In pairs from $pp \rightarrow (H_{125}) \rightarrow aa \rightarrow \mu\mu\mu\mu$
  - In pairs from VH_{125}, $H_{125} \rightarrow aa \rightarrow bbbb$ with 2DHM + S
- SM-like (or not) scalar H (or X)$\rightarrow \gamma\gamma$
- 'Dark' Higgs boson s from $Z'^* \rightarrow Z' + s \rightarrow \chi\chi$ + bb
- Scalar and pseudoscalar h,A from $Z^* \rightarrow h/H\ A \rightarrow \tau\tau\tau\tau$ (60-160 GeV)

ALP

vector portal, NMSSM

Not associated with a lepton plus MET!

Sizable cross sections



Promising?

Light mass in [1,10] GeV

➡Highly collimated two photons with $\Delta R_{\gamma,\gamma} < 0.4$

➡Failing photon isolation!



Two collimated photons are tagged as a jet: Two diphoton jets + lepton + MET



The signal appears as two jets!



Huge QCD backgrounds!!

Background	Cross section [pb]	n_{gen}	Background	Cross section [pb]	n_{gen}
$W^{\pm}(\to L^{\pm}\nu)jj$	3.54×10^3	5×10^8	$W^{\pm}Z$	3.16×10	3×10^6
$Z(\to L^+L^-)jj$	2.67×10^2	5×10^7	$Z(\to L^+L^-)j\gamma$	2.09	10^6
$t\bar{t}(\to b\bar{b}W_{L\nu}W_{jj})$	1.23×10^2	1.2×10^7	ZZ	1.18×10	10^6
$W^{\pm}(\to L^{\pm}\nu)j\gamma$	2.53×10	3×10^6	$W^{\pm}(\to L^{\pm}\nu)\gamma\gamma$	3.28×10^{-2}	10^6
W^+W^-	8.22×10	9×10^6	$Z(\to L^+L^-)\gamma\gamma$	1.12×10^{-2}	10^6

2. Jet subparticles and pileups



A jet consists of many subparticles

Subparticle information from Delphes:
p_T, η, ϕ + EFlow object

	With track	Without track
ECAL	EFlowElectron	EFlowPhoton
HCAL	EFlowChargedHadron	EFlowNeutralHadron

The signal jet should consist of two EFlowPhotons!

Diphoton jet

Distinguishable from QCD jets? BUT

About 200 Pileups at the HL-LHC





Pileup subtraction is important

Hybrid method: CHS + SoftKiller0

- Charged Hadron Subtraction (CHS) removes charged pileup particles
- SoftKiller removes neutral pileup particles

CMS-PAS-JME-14-001
Cacciari et al. [1407.0408]

Jet images to demonstrate the excellence of CHS+SK0




PU=0
No PU
All
EFlowPhotons
EFlowChargedHadron
EFlowNeutralHadron
log(r)
PU=200 with CHS+SK$_0$
PU=200 with SoftKiller
ϕ
η


PU=0
All
EFlowPhotons
EFlowChargedHadron
EFlowNeutralHadron
log(r)
PU=200 with CHS+SK$_0$
Hybrid
PU=200 with SoftKiller
η
ϕ

Jet images to demonstrate the superiority of CHS+SK0



EFlowChargedHadron



3. Cut-based analysis

18 BPs

BP no.	m_{h_f}	$M_{A/H^\pm}$	$s_{\beta-\alpha}$	m_{12}^2 [GeV^2]	t_β
BP-1	1 GeV	150 GeV	−0.123	0.0786	8.06
BP-2		175 GeV	−0.0909	0.0400	11.0
BP-3		200 GeV	−0.0929	0.0813	10.7
BP-4		250 GeV	−0.0941	0.0494	10.6
BP-5		300 GeV	−0.0985	0.0237	10.1
BP-6		331 GeV	−0.0974	0.0634	10.2
BP-7	5 GeV	150 GeV	−0.0737	0.305	13.5
BP-8		175 GeV	−0.0922	2.20	10.8
BP-9		200 GeV	−0.0983	1.93	10.1
BP-10		250 GeV	−0.0907	1.99	11.0
BP-11		300 GeV	−0.0984	1.84	10.1
BP-12		331 GeV	−0.0920	2.17	10.8
BP-13	10 GeV	150 GeV	−0.0748	1.17	13.3
BP-14		175 GeV	−0.0993	1.70	10.0
BP-15		200 GeV	−0.0919	0.973	10.8
BP-16		250 GeV	−0.0974	0.851	10.2
BP-17		300 GeV	−0.0917	0.0396	10.9
BP-18		328.3 GeV	−0.0979	1.15	10.2

First characteristics of the signal

- For the signal jets, the leading and subleading sub-particles are EFlowPhotons, i.e., diphoton jet.



Mistagging rate is only a few percent.

Second characteristics of the signal

$$r_{ij} = \frac{p_T^{s_{ij}}}{p_T^{J_j}}$$

- p_T of two leading subparticles $\simeq p_T/2$ of the mother jet



Second characteristics of the signal

$$r_{ij} = \frac{p_T^{s_{ij}}}{p_T^{J_j}}$$

- p_T of two leading subparticles $\simeq p_T/2$ of the mother jet



With 10% uncertainty

Cross sections in units of fb at the 14 TeV LHC with $\mathcal{L}_{\rm tot} = 3$ ab^{-1}						
Cut	BP-7	$W^\pm jj$	Zjj	$t\bar{t}$	$W^\pm j\gamma$	$\mathcal{S}^{10\%}_{\rm BP-7}$
Basic	34.8	372 622	27 727	32 052	3 047	1.09×10^{-3}
$E_T^{\rm miss} > 50$ GeV	29.7	318 407	23 274	27 395	2 610	9.01×10^{-4}
$r_{11} > 0.50$	24.9	102 182	7 843	4 150	1 214	2.15×10^{-3}
$r_{12} > 0.50$	18.7	36 204	2 853	692	541	4.56×10^{-3}
$r_{21} > 0.25$	7.06	4 218	323	62.2	55.8	1.49×10^{-2}
$r_{22} > 0.25$	2.40	840	61.3	8.61	10.1	2.56×10^{-2}
$J_1 \to J_{\gamma\gamma}$	2.29	18.6	2.31	0.205	0.467	1.01
$J_2 \to J_{\gamma\gamma}$	1.98	0.363	0.0589	0.00	0.00849	22.8

With 10% uncertainty

Cross sections in units of fb at the 14 TeV LHC with $\mathcal{L}_{\text{tot}} = 3\ \text{ab}^{-1}$						
Cut	BP-7	$W^{\pm}jj$	Zjj	$t\bar{t}$	$W^{\pm}j\gamma$	$\mathcal{S}^{10\%}_{\text{BP}-7}$
Basic	34.8	372 622	27 727	32 052	3 047	1.09×10^{-3}

- There must be exactly one lepton with $p_T^{\ell} > 20$ GeV and $|\eta_\ell| < 2.5$.
- The leading jet is required to satisfy $p_T^{J_1} > 50$ GeV and $|\eta_{J_1}| < 2.5$.
- The subleading jet should fulfill the conditions $p_T^{J_2} > 30$ GeV and $|\eta_{J_2}| < 2.5$.
- The missing transverse energy should exceed $E_T^{\text{miss}} > 10$ GeV.

With 10% uncertainty

Cross sections in units of fb at the 14 TeV LHC with $\mathcal{L}_{\rm tot} = 3\ {\rm ab}^{-1}$						
Cut	BP-7	$W^{\pm}jj$	Zjj	$t\bar{t}$	$W^{\pm}j\gamma$	$\mathcal{S}^{10\%}_{\rm BP-7}$
Basic	34.8	372 622	27 727	32 052	3 047	1.09×10^{-3}
$E_T^{\rm miss} > 50$ GeV	29.7	318 407	23 274	27 395	2 610	9.01×10^{-4}
$r_{11} > 0.50$	24.9	102 182	7 843	4 150	1 214	2.15×10^{-3}
$r_{12} > 0.50$	18.7	36 204	2 853	692	541	4.56×10^{-3}
$r_{21} > 0.25$	7.06	4 218	323	62.2	55.8	1.49×10^{-2}
$r_{22} > 0.25$	2.40	840	61.3	8.61	10.1	2.56×10^{-2}
$J_1 \to J_{\gamma\gamma}$	2.29	18.6	2.31	0.205	0.467	1.01
$J_2 \to J_{\gamma\gamma}$	1.98	0.363	0.0589	0.00	0.00849	22.8

With 10% uncertainty

Cross sections in units of fb at the 14 TeV LHC with $\mathcal{L}_{\rm tot} = 3$ ab^{-1}						
Cut	BP-7	$W^{\pm}jj$	Zjj	$t\bar{t}$	$W^{\pm}j\gamma$	$\mathcal{S}^{10\%}_{\rm BP-7}$
Basic	34.8	372 622	27 727	32 052	3 047	1.09×10^{-3}
$E_T^{\rm miss} > 50$ GeV	29.7	318 407	23 274	27 395	2 610	9.01×10^{-4}
$r_{11} > 0.50$	24.9	102 182	7 843	4 150	1 214	2.15×10^{-3}
$r_{12} > 0.50$	18.7	36 204	2 853	692	541	4.56×10^{-3}
$r_{21} > 0.25$	7.06	4 218	323	62.2	55.8	1.49×10^{-2}
$r_{22} > 0.25$	2.40	840	61.3	8.61	10.1	2.56×10^{-2}
$J_1 \to J_{\gamma\gamma}$	2.29	18.6	2.31	0.205	0.467	1.01
$J_2 \to J_{\gamma\gamma}$	1.98	0.363	0.0589	0.00	0.00849	22.8

Significances for all 18 benchmark points

Results in the cut-based analysis at the 14 TeV LHC with $\mathcal{L}_{\rm tot} = 3\ {\rm ab}^{-1}$								
	$\sigma_{\rm final}$ [fb]	$\mathcal{S}^{10\%}$		$\sigma_{\rm final}$ [fb]	$\mathcal{S}^{10\%}$		$\sigma_{\rm final}$ [fb]	$\mathcal{S}^{10\%}$
BP-1	1.46	18.5	BP-7	1.98	22.8	BP-13	1.81	21.5
BP-2	1.19	16.1	BP-8	1.68	20.4	BP-14	1.56	19.4
BP-3	0.927	13.4	BP-9	1.37	17.7	BP-15	1.29	17.1
BP-4	0.529	8.71	BP-10	0.900	13.0	BP-16	0.857	12.7
BP-5	0.303	5.49	BP-11	0.582	9.40	BP-17	0.566	9.19
BP-6	0.216	4.09	BP-12	0.457	7.74	BP-18	0.456	7.72

Most have more than 5σ

4. Mass reconstruction?

Although we could observe two diphoton signals with 5σ,
can we tell it is from this channel?

Two masses to be reconstructed!



After the final selection

Big obstacle from backgrounds!

Extremely small selection efficiency for the BG!
Too small background events after the final selection

Background	Cross section [pb]	$n_{\rm gen}$	Background	Cross section [pb]	$n_{\rm gen}$
$W^{\pm}(\to L^{\pm}\nu)jj$	3.54×10^3	5×10^8	$W^{\pm}Z$	3.16×10	3×10^6
$Z(\to L^+L^-)jj$	2.67×10^2	5×10^7	$Z(\to L^+L^-)j\gamma$	2.09	10^6
$t\bar{t}(\to b\bar{b}W_{L\nu}W_{jj})$	1.23×10^2	1.2×10^7	ZZ	1.18×10	10^6
$W^{\pm}(\to L^{\pm}\nu)j\gamma$	2.53×10	3×10^6	$W^{\pm}(\to L^{\pm}\nu)\gamma\gamma$	3.28×10^{-2}	10^6
W^+W^-	8.22×10	9×10^6	$Z(\to L^+L^-)\gamma\gamma$	1.12×10^{-2}	10^6

Only 51 events after the final selection

Too small background events after the final selection

Background	Cross section [pb]	n_{gen}	Background	Cross section [pb]	n_{gen}
$W^{\pm}(\to L^{\pm}\nu)jj$	3.54×10^3	5×10^8	$W^{\pm}Z$	3.16×10	3×10^6
$Z(\to L^{+}L^{-})jj$	2.67×10^2	5×10^7	$Z(\to L^{+}L^{-})j\gamma$	2.09	10^6
$t\bar{t}(\to b\bar{b}W_{L\nu}W_{jj})$	1.23×10^2	1.2×10^7	ZZ	1.18×10	10^6
$W^{\pm}(\to L^{\pm}\nu)j\gamma$	2.53×10	3×10^6	$W^{\pm}(\to L^{\pm}\nu)\gamma\gamma$	3.28×10^{-2}	10^6
$W^{+}W^{-}$	8.22×10	9×10^6	$Z(\to L^{+}L^{-})\gamma\gamma$	1.12×10^{-2}	10^6

Only 4 events

Too small background events after the final selection

Background	Cross section [pb]	n_{gen}	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
$W^\pm(\to L^\pm\nu)jj$	3.54 [illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible] $\times 10^6$
$Z(\to L^+$ [illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	2.09	10^6
$t\bar{t}($ [illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	ZZ	1.18×10	10^6
$W^\pm$ [illegible]	[illegible] $\times 10$	3×10^6	$W^\pm(\to L^\pm\nu)\gamma\gamma$	3.28×10^{-2}	10^6
W^+W^-	8.22×10	9×10^6	$Z(\to L^+L^-)\gamma\gamma$	1.12×10^{-2}	10^6

No reliable background distributions after the final selection!

Only 4 events

Weighting Factor Method

N : the expected number of events

n : the number of generated events

E_{cut} : the set of events satisfying "cut"

$$n_{\text{cut}} \equiv \# E_{\text{cut}}.$$

X-section after the final selection in the cut-based analysis

$$\sigma_{\text{final}}^{\text{cut-based}} = \sum_{e \in E_{\text{final}}} 1 \times \frac{\sigma_{\text{tot}}}{n_{\text{gen}}} = \frac{n_{\text{final}}}{n_{\text{gen}}} \sigma_{\text{tot}},$$

Either 0 or 1 weighting factor for the entire generated events

Cut
Basic
$E_T^{\rm miss} > 50$ GeV
$r_{11} > 0.50$
$r_{12} > 0.50$
$r_{21} > 0.25$
$r_{22} > 0.25$
$J_1 \to J_{\gamma\gamma}$
$J_2 \to J_{\gamma\gamma}$

Weighting Factor Method

$$\sigma_{\rm final}^{\rm WFM} = \sum_{e \in E_{r_{22}}} P_e(j_1 \to J_{\gamma\gamma}) P_e(j_2 \to J_{\gamma\gamma}) \times \frac{\sigma_{\rm tot}}{n_{\rm gen}}.$$

- Covering a larger event sample.
- Instead, we multiply two diphoton tagging efficiencies as a continuous weighting factor.
- Instead of completely being removed, background events can partially survive.

Invariant mass of two leading subparticles



Well-separated resonance peak around m_{h_f}

Transverse mass for $H^{\pm}$



5. Machine Learning Techniques

Heavy $M_{H^{\pm}}$: low significances

Results in the cut-based analysis at the 14 TeV LHC with $\mathcal{L}_{\text{tot}} = 3\ \text{ab}^{-1}$								
	σ_{final} [fb]	$\mathcal{S}^{10\%}$		σ_{final} [fb]	$\mathcal{S}^{10\%}$		σ_{final} [fb]	$\mathcal{S}^{10\%}$
BP-1	1.46	18.5	BP-7	1.98	22.8	BP-13	1.81	21.5
BP-2	1.19	16.1	BP-8	1.68	20.4	BP-14	1.56	19.4
BP-3	0.927	13.4	BP-9	1.37	17.7	BP-15	1.29	17.1
BP-4	0.529	8.71	BP-10	0.900	13.0	BP-16	0.857	12.7
BP-5	0.303	5.49	BP-11	0.582	9.40	BP-17	0.566	9.19
BP-6	0.216	4.09	BP-12	0.457	7.74	BP-18	0.456	7.72


1D CNN
Subparticle Input
30 × 2
Conv1D
kernel size 3,
stride 1, padding 1
Feature map
30 × 1
Sigmoid
Weights
30 × 1
30 × 2
Conv1D
kernel size 3, stride 1,
padding 1 + ReLU
30 × 32
8 layers
Conv1D
kernel size 3, stride 1,
padding 1 + ReLU
30 × 32
Avgpool1D
30 nodes
Fully connected
Linear
128 nodes
MLP1
Event Input
21 × 1
Fully connected
Linear + BatchNorm +
ReLU + Dropout
128 nodes
Fully connected
Linear + BatchNorm +
ReLU + Dropout
128 nodes
Fully connected
Linear + BatchNorm +
ReLU + Dropout
128 nodes
Fully connected
Linear + BatchNorm +
ReLU + Dropout
128 nodes
Fully connected
Linear + BatchNorm +
ReLU + Dropout
128 nodes
Fully connected
Linear + BatchNorm +
ReLU + Dropout
128 nodes
Concatenation
MLP2
256 nodes
Fully connected
Linear + BatchNorm +
ReLU + Dropout
256 nodes
Fully connected
Linear + BatchNorm +
ReLU + Dropout
256 nodes
Fully connected
Linear + BatchNorm +
ReLU + Dropout
64 nodes
Fully connected
Linear + BatchNorm +
ReLU + Dropout
16 nodes
Fully connected
Linear
1 node
Sigmoid
1 node
Output

1D CNN

Subparticle features:
For 2 jets, 10 leading subparticles

$$p_T, \eta, \phi$$

$$30 \times 2$$





MLP1

Events features:

$$\mathbf{v}_{\text{event}} = \Big[p_T^{J_1}, \eta_{J_1}, \phi_{J_1}, m_{J_1}, p_T^{J_2}, \eta_{J_2}, \phi_{J_2}, m_{J_2}, p_T^{\ell}, \eta_\ell, \phi_\ell, E_T^{\text{miss}}, \phi_{\vec{E}_T^{\text{miss}}}, \Delta R_{J_1 J_2}, \Delta R_{J_1 \ell}, \Delta R_{J_2 \ell}, \Delta R_{J_1 \vec{E}_T^{\text{miss}}}, \Delta R_{J_2 \vec{E}_T^{\text{miss}}}, \Delta R_{\ell \vec{E}_T^{\text{miss}}}, M_T^{J_1}, M_T^{J_2} \Big],$$



MLP2

Final decision

Impressive enhancement

$$x_{\rm cut} = 0.5: \qquad \mathcal{S}^{10\%}_{\text{BP-6}} = \ \ 9.0, \quad \mathcal{S}^{10\%}_{\text{BP-12}} = 15.4, \quad \mathcal{S}^{10\%}_{\text{BP-18}} = 15.0;$$

$$x_{\rm cut} = 0.9: \qquad \mathcal{S}^{10\%}_{\text{BP-6}} = 18.9, \quad \mathcal{S}^{10\%}_{\text{BP-12}} = 33.2, \quad \mathcal{S}^{10\%}_{\text{BP-18}} = 32.4.$$

6. Conclusions

- The very light fermiophobic Higgs boson in type-I 2HDM yields a jet consisting of two photons.
- HL-LHC has a high discovery potential to the very light fermiophobic Higgs boson via probing diphoton jets.
- Mass reconstructions can identify the origin of exotic diphoton jet signals.