aits,

ACTS and NA60+





NA60+: experimental setup

- NA60+ is a heavy ion fixed target experiment proposed at CERN SPS after LS3 (LOI <u>arXiv:2212.14452</u>)
- Vertex Telescope: 5 Si layers
- Muon Spectrometer: 6 stations of WMPC or GEM placed after thick absorbers
- We aim to study Pb–Pb collision in the energy range $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 6 17 \text{ GeV} \rightarrow \text{high multiplicity environment:}$
 - Up to 1200 tracks in the vertex telescope and 100 in the muon spectrometer



Vertex region

- NA60+ target system will comprise 5 Pb sub-targets:
 - 1.5 mm thick Ο
 - 1 mm diameter Ο
 - 12 mm spacing between targets 0
- "Large" Pb beam ($\sigma_{ph} = 500 \,\mu\text{m}$) \rightarrow we cannot always assume that $x_{py} = y_{py} = 0$
- Vertex telescope (VT) composed of 5 layers:
 - Large area: 30 x 30 cm² sensors Ο
 - Ο
 - Excellent spatial resolution $\sigma_{x(y)}$ = 5 µm 6mm diameter central hole for the non interacting ions Ο
 - Low material budget: 0.01% X_o per station Ο
- VT is used to:
 - Provide precise measurement all particles produced in the collision Ο
 - Reconstruct the primary vertex Ο
 - Study open charm and strange particle production \rightarrow **need to** Ο reconstruct also secondary vertices



Muon spectrometer

- A thick BeO + graphite hadron absorber is positioned upstream of the muon spectrometer
- Six large area stations with a spatial resolution of $\sigma_x = 100 \ \mu m$, $\sigma_v = 500 \ \mu m$
- Two chambers before and two after the dipole magnet
- A second thick graphite wall is place before the last two chambers
- MS is used to track the particles that survive the absorber \rightarrow crucial for the measurement of muon from quarkonia (J/ ψ , ω , ...) or thermal dileptons

	Station	z (cm)	side length (cm)	η _{min}	η _{max}
,	0	300	162	1.37	4
	1	360	240	1.19	8
	2	530	240	1.53	8
	3	590	318	1.38	8
	4	810	437	1.38	8
,	5	850	458	1.38	8

Simulation and reconstruction chain

- Simulation of Pb–Pb collision at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 8.7$ GeV:
 - Vertex telescope:
 - p, K^{\pm} , π^{\pm} , J/ $\psi \rightarrow \mu\mu$, $K^0_{\ s} \rightarrow \pi\pi$, and $\Lambda^0 \rightarrow p\pi$ generated from parametrization (up to 1000 particles per event)
 - Hits from δ electrons simulated with FLUKA (100-200 hits per station)
 - Muon spectrometer:
 - $J/\psi \rightarrow \mu\mu$ generated from parametrization
 - Hits from δ electrons, μ , K[±], π[±] simulated with FLUKA (below 100 hits per station)
- The particles are saved in csv files → read by ACTS and propagate with Fatras
- The FLUKA hits are saved in csv files → read by ACTS and concatenated to the SimHits from Fatras
- Reconstruction based on full_chain_odd.py:
 - Standard Seeding with SP rotation $(x,y,z) \rightarrow (x,z,-y)$
 - CKF
 - Greedy Ambiguity Resolution
 - Iterative Vertex Finder
- Separate reconstruction of VT and MS
- New algorithms have been added to the chain to face the NA60+ specific challenges: they can be find in our fork: <u>https://github.com/NA60plus/acts</u>



particle CSV

reading

Fatras

Digitization

Reconstruction

FLUKA hits CSV

reading

NA60+: magnetic field

- The maps have been converted into the ACTS csv standard
 - Maps expanded to cover a region larger than our experiment to avoid propagation errors
- <u>EstimateTrackParamsFromSeed</u> assumes constant magnetic field along z → **not our case**:
 - By changes in our MS magnet
 - \circ **B**'_z is not negligible
- In EstimateTrackParamsFromSeed we provide the average field → but we may lose efficiency in the MS



Geometry

• Geometry is built via TGeo: few changes to deal with rectangle shapes in ACTS (GeoLayerBuilder.hpp, DiscLayer.cpp, Layer.cpp, TGeoDetector.hpp)

TGeo geometry



ACTS geometry



- Work in progress to create the ACTS geometry starting from a gdml using the new geometry framework
- Open issues on the mapping under discussion with Andreas



Primary vertex identification using tracklets

- We need to have a first guess of PV position to apply *d*₀ selection in the seeding
- We wrote a ACTS algorithm to perform the PV identification by building tracklets in the first two VT planes
- In the (almost) non-bending plane y-z the trajectories are straight lines $y(t) = v_y z + p_y$

 $v_y = \frac{y_{L2} - y_{L1}}{z_{L2} - z_{L1}} \longrightarrow z_{PV} = \frac{y_{PV} - p_y}{v_y} \sim -\frac{p_y}{v_y}$

 $p_y = y_{L1} - v_y z_{L1}$





- Tracklet selection to reduce the background: $|\Delta \phi| < 0.1, -0.15 < \Delta \theta_{z=0} < 0.04$
- In the seeding the collision is assumed to be at the z of the closest target to the maximum of the z_{PV} distribution
- High Target ID efficiency at high track multiplicities
- The SP are shifted for a correct d₀ estimation: (x,y,z) → (x,y,z-z_{py})

Vertex telescope reconstruction

- We are interested in reconstructing decay daughters from long lived particles (ct ~ few cm)
- The secondaries complicate the reconstruction:
 - The seed cannot be selected with d_0 (or other) selections \rightarrow we need to try many triplets of SPs (large MaxSeedsPerMSP)
- **Reconstruction chain in steps**: we reconstruct first the "easy" particles (primary) to remove their hits and reduce the combinatorics in steps for the secondaries
- Each step comprise Seeding + CKF + Ambiguity resolution + new algorithm to remove used hits. The steps are:

1. Quasi-primary: seed with $d_0 < 1$ mm, layer 1-2-3, MaxSeedsPerSpMP = 1

2. Quasi-primary: seed with $d_0 < 1$ mm, layer 2-3-4, MaxSeedsPerSpMP = 1

3. Secondary: seed with layer 1-2-3, MaxSeedsPerSpMP = 20

4. Secondary: seed with layer 2-3-4, MaxSeedsPerSpMP = 20



Vertex telescope reconstruction

• The VT faces high multiplicity events (up to 1000 particles) of which up 800 are reconstructable (4 or more hits)

- (mŋ) ×10⁻³ Fake rate Tracking efficiency Impact parameter resolution 70 0.9 وم، ا 0.95 0.8 60 0.9 0.7 50 0.6 0.85 40 0.5 0.8 0.4 30 0.75 Efficiency 0.3 0.7 20 Fake rate 0.2 0.65 0.1 10 0.6 600 100 200 300 400 500 0, 0.5 1.5 2 2.5 Track multiplicity p_{τ} (GeV/c)
- We are interested in studying all multiplicities

- Good resolution on the d_0 (few tens of μ m) \rightarrow crucial to select non prompt particles
- Ambiguity resolution → no shared hits allowed:
 - Still high tracking efficiency
 - Low Fake rate

Muon spectrometer reconstruction

- Low multiplicities + worse d_0 resolution \rightarrow no need (or benefit) for multi-step reconstruction
- Seeding with station 1-2-3 and we require the tracks to have hits in all stations



- Efficiency drop from the seeding to CKF likely due to bad track parameter estimation
- Studies performed with μ from J/ $\psi \rightarrow$ studies ongoing with other particles $\omega \rightarrow \mu\mu$, $\phi \rightarrow \mu\mu$, $\rho \rightarrow \mu\mu$
- Are there attempt to generalize EstimateTrackParamsFromSeed?

Primary vertex identification

- Interaction rate of 10^5 Hz in Pb–Pb \rightarrow pile-up is negligible (1 collision per event in our simulation)
- In NA60+ $\sigma_{beam} >> \sigma_{d0}$:
 - Gaussian track density seeder with loose d_0 selections ($d_0 < 500 \mu$ m)
 - Secondary particles cannot be removed in the seeding \rightarrow strong bias in the PV reconstruction
- Custom version of the Iterative Vertex Finder: seeding → vertexing fitting → track selection → vertexing fitting



• Next step: try to iterate more times to further reduce the contamination

Primary vertex identification

• Very good resolution on the PV position:

Caveat: the multiple scattering inside the targets is not yet implemented



• The vertexing efficiency decrease going to lower multiplicities \rightarrow likely linked to the tracklet vertexing inefficiencies

Matching

- We aim to measure dimuon to reconstruct particles such as J/ψ , ω , ...
- Low background in the MS thanks to the absorber
- VT provides a precise measurement \rightarrow 4x better resolution on the J/ ψ mass w.r.t. the MS



- Matching MS tracks to the VT tracks can reduce the background and exploit the VT resolution
- New ACTS algorithm added to perform the VT MS matching by comparing the track parameters
- MS track matched to the VT track with the lowest $\chi^2 \rightarrow$ high matching purity = 96%
- The next step is to perform the VT+MS refit to further improve the resolutions

Giacomo Alocco (University & INFN Torino) - ACTS workshop - 18th November 2024

track parameter

 $\frac{(q_{iVT} - q_{iMS})^2}{2}$

Conclusions

 In May 2025 we will submit the Technical Proposal to the SPS committee → our goal is to show that we can use ACTS to perform the event reconstruction

• Achievements:

- We are able to reconstruct the tracks both in the VT and in MS with good efficiency and resolutions
- We can reconstruct the primary vertex
- We are ready to start the physics performance analysis

• Next steps:

- Implement the new geometry
- Perform the particle propagation with Geant4
- Optimize the reconstruction parameters
- Improve the track parameter estimation
- Implement the VT+MS refit for matched tracks
- Estimate the CPU time

Many thanks for your help and suggestions!

Backup

particle CSV reading Vertex telescope reconstruction chain geometry with only vt planes and FLUKA hits CSV reading Fatras magnetic field Digitization 4 hits are required Z., reconstruction with tracklets Seeding with planes Seeding with planes Seeding with planes Seeding with planes $1,2,3 + d_0$ selections $2,3,4 + d_0$ selections 2,3,4 Combinatorial Kalman Combinatorial Kalman Combinatorial Kalman Combinatorial Kalman Filter Filter Filter Filter Greedy ambiguity Greedy ambiguity Greedy ambiguity Greedy ambiguity resolution resolution resolution resolution Merge track Used point removal Used point removal Used point removal parameters containers from step 1 & 2 Primary vertex reconstruction with tracks from step 1 2 Propagation of all tracks to the PV Step 1 Step 2 Step 3 Step 4



Magnetic field both from MEP43 and NA62 dipole 5 hits are required

NA60+: experimental setup

