SVD Timing in Tracking at Belle II

Thomas Lück

May 8, 2024





Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung



うせん 正則 ふゆやえゆ ふしゃ

Thomas Lück

- Tracking devices of Belle II
- Tracking finding algorithms
- Usage of SVD time in track finding
- Summary

▲ 王 ▶ 王 王 ● ○ ○ ○



Central Drift Chamber (CDC)

- 14.2k wires in 56 layers radius
 168 1111 mm
- arranged into 9 super layers of axial and stereo wires
- stereo wires skewed w.r.t. axial wires to get z information
- drift cell sizes from $\approx 1 cm$ to $\approx 2 cm$









Silicon Vertex Detector (SVD)

- 4 layers of double-sided silicon strip sensors (r=39, 80, 104, 135mm)
- 172 sensors, 220k readout strips
- ullet strip distance between 50 and 240 μm
- strips are arranged perpendicular to get 2D information
- \bullet < 1% X_0 per layer



Pixel Detector (PXD)

- 2 layers of DEPFET silicon pixel sensors (r = 14, 22 mm)
- pixel sizes 50x (55-85) μm
- 40 sensors
- in total 7.7 million pixels
- 20 μs integration time
- 0.2% X₀ per layer





Global CDC track finder

- tracks coming from IP
- conformal mapping: $u = \frac{x}{x^2 + y^2}; v = \frac{y}{x^2 + y^2}$
- Legendre transformation for Hough space:
 - parameter space representing all tangents to a drift circle
 - $\rho = x_0 \sin(\theta) + y_0 \cos(\theta) \pm R_{Drift}$
- Quad-Tree-Search for finding track parameters in Hough space



Local CDC track finder using Cellular Automaton (CA)

Cellular automaton for segment building in CDC

- segments: shorter track pieces (usually within one super layer)
- start combining triplets of hits assuming straight trajectory



Cellular automaton for track building in CDC

- cell: pair of axial + stereo wire segments
- combining cells into tracks starting from a seed, by selecting longest path



SVD Standalone track finder (VXDTF2)

- local algorithm utilizing Cellular Automaton
- segments (cell): connection between hits on neighboring sensors
- connections of segments are filtered using simple requirements
- Cellular automaton collects longest paths
- start from outer most hits due to less background



SVD track finder: Hit Filtering

- filter hits during CA step
- divide sensor into rectangular sectors (4×4 sectors per sensor)
- only hits on related sectors are considered
- selection of hit combinations:
 - consider 2-hit and 3-hit combinations of sectors
 - simple geometric quantities (angles, distances, radii) and hit times
 - individual cut values for each sector combination
- training on MC samples:
 - learn relations between sectors
 - learn cut values for each sector combination
 - use 13 mio MC events (mostly BB and some e^+e^- and $\mu^+\mu^-$)

Illustration of the sector concept



Combinatorial Kalman Filtering (CKF) in Belle II tracking

Track Finding

- use found track as seed track
- extrapolate track into other sub-detector to look for hits
- from CDC to SVD and vice versa
- PXD hits only via CKF

Track Merging

- one track as seed
- use CKF to update seed track with hits from other track

Deterministic Annealing Filter for Track Fitting

- iterate Kalman filtering for track candidate
- reject hits farthest away from track in each iteration
- use Genfit2 package for CKF algorithms





Object-Tracking-Kalman-Filter-with-Ease

Bringing it all together



- 2 different tracking algorithms for CDC
- one stand alone algorithm for SVD
- have to combine tracks found in different detectors
- attach PXD hits to tracks



< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Usage of SVD time in track finding

- time information at the moment only for SVD track finding
- both SVD standalone algorithm and CKF use space points as input

Space Point

- global 3D coordinates of hit
- SVD space point: combine positions of perpendicular Clusters (u,v)
- filter by time during space point creation:
 - absolute time for single hits: $|t_{u,v}| < 50 ns$
 - time difference between u- and v-Clusters on same sensor: $|t_u t_v| < 20 ns$
- time filters applied during CA step of SVD track finding
 - time difference between u- and v-Clusters same sensor
 - time difference between Clusters from different sensors

1 = 900

- cut values learned during training phase
- individual cuts for different combination of sectors

Other and future applications

- SVD time for Event T0 estimation
 - estimate Event T0 from time associated to SVD hits attached to tracks
 - only track candidates with p_t > 250MeV to avoid curling particles
 - on average less than 1 ns resolution on data
 - $\bullet\,$ previous method based on CDC hits: 2000 $\times\,$ slower
- provide track time information (Luigi's slides) to analysts in future
 - included in new release
 - not yet used in MC production or data reprocessing
- replace time cuts by hit time grouping (see Luigi's slides)

◎ ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ■ ● ● ●

- currently cut on times for space point selection
- grouping of SVD hit times promises improvement
- SVD hit times for CDC to SVD CKF hit selection
 - work in progress

Performance SVD hit time grouping

- finding efficiency for tracks normalized to MC based track finder (ideal track finder)
- fake rate: fraction of fake tracks and tracks from beam background
- clone rate: fraction of multiple tracks reconstructed per single particle (e.g. looper)

SVD hit time grouping: See Luigi's talk



• selection on hit time grouping reduces fake rate by 50%

	Hit time grouping		Rel. difference
	off	on	
Track finding eff.	93.67 ± 0.24 %	$93.69\pm0.24~\%$	+0.02 %
Fake rate	$9.55\pm0.29~\%$	$4.37\pm0.20~\%$	-54.26 %
Clone rate	$3.81\pm0.19~\%$	$3.56\pm0.18~\%$	-6.62 %
프리트 《프 》《트 》《탄 》《曰》			



• usage of SVD hit time during Belle II track finding:

- so far only for SVD track finding
- hit filtering before track finding
- filtering of hit combinations during track finding
- Event T0 estimation
- SVD hit time information powerful tool to reject beam background
- Belle II constantly increases luminosity
 - beam background will become more important in future

▲ E ▶ ▲ E ▶ E E ● 9 Q Q

• future updates promise further improvement

Tracking Performance



◆□ > ◆□ > ◆三 > ◆三 > 三日 のへの

Tracking Performance



◆□ > ◆□ > ◆三 > ◆三 > 三日 のへの

Thomas Lück