Data analysis challenges in LISA inference

R. Buscicchio

11th LISA CosmoWG Workshop - Porto 2024/06/19 <u>riccardo.buscicchio@unimib.it</u>



A comparison A recipe for disaster

Ground based (2G)



۰.



(+ noise)

Space based



Pulsar Timing Array





<u> Alex</u>

(+ noise)

(+ noise)

The upside down

e.g. Braglia+ 2406.10048





Find the differences

Ready for mixtures?



Find the differences

Ready for mixtures?



EMRI foregrounds In unknown noise





- Suitable for known & unknown global spectral shape
- Suitable for narrow spectral features
- Suitable for evidence-driven adaptive refinement

EMRI foregrounds Stationary?





Piarulli, Buscicchio+ 2024

EMRI foregrounds Stationary?





EMRI foregrounds Stationary?





DWD foreground Cyclostationary



 $1/T_{
m mission} \leq 2/T_{
m orb} \ll f_s \ll 1/\Delta t$



Sidenote: Time-domain windowing and gaps have similar effect 10

DWD foreground Cyclostationary



11

 10^{-1}

DWD foreground Cyclostationarity

Heavy-tailed likelihoods for the characterization of stochastic gravitational wave background signals

Karnesis, Buscicchio+ (in prep)



Buscicchio, Klein+ (in prep)



Cyclostationary spectra An intermediate step





Pozzoli, Buscicchio+ (in prep) see also Digman, Cornish (2022)

The junkyard A quick digression



Any plan for gating in LISA? Line notching? ¹⁴

The junkyard Why important?

Heinzel+ (2023)





60% 60%

Thanks! Questions?





Backup slides

Cyclostationarity The key ingredient

_

Pozzoli, Buscicchio+ (in prep)

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} d\theta_N \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\phi_N \ p(\theta_N, \phi_N) e^{in\theta_N} e^{im\phi_N} = \exp\left[-\frac{1}{4} \left(m^2 + n^2\right) \sigma_+^2 + \frac{1}{4} \left(m^2 - n^2\right) \sigma_{-,c}^2 + \frac{mn}{2} \sigma_{-,s}^2\right] e^{in\theta_M} e^{im\phi_M}$$

$$\sigma_+^2 = \sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2,$$

$$\sigma_{-,c}^2 = (\sigma_1^2 - \sigma_2^2) \cos 2\beta,$$

$$\sigma_{-,s}^2 = (\sigma_1^2 - \sigma_2^2) \sin 2\beta.$$

$$\phi_X(t) = E\left[e^{itX}\right]$$
Characteristic function over integers!
- exists analytical for many probability distributions
- closed upon mixing
Smoothly interpolates pixel and spherical harmonics decomposition
$$\int_{-0.4}^{0} \frac{1}{-0.2} \int_{-0.4}^{0.2} \int_{-0.2}^{0.0} \int_{-0.4}^{0.2} \int_{-0.2}^{0.2} \int_{-0.4}^{0} \int_{-0.2}^{0.2} \int_{-0.4}^{0} \int_{-0.2}^{0.2} \int_{-0.4}^{0} \int_{-0.2}^{0} \int_{-0.4}^{0} \int_{-0.4}^{$$

Non-gaussian SGWB Draw the line where you need to



A different perspective

Campbell processes (Van Kampen 1981)

$$egin{array}{ll} H_1:s=n+g+h\ H_0:s=n \end{array}$$

$$h^{\mathcal{A}}(t) = \sum_{\sigma=1}^{N} u^{\mathcal{A}}(t- au_{\sigma}; heta_{\sigma})$$



Where do you draw the line? Confusion noise

Non-gaussian SGWB

An effective theory: Part 1

Non-gaussian SGWB

An effective theory: Part 1

$$\begin{split} \hat{Y}(s) &= \frac{1}{2} [\check{\mathbb{C}}_g]_{ij}^{\mathcal{AB}} \mathfrak{s}_i^{\mathcal{A}} \mathfrak{s}_j^{\mathcal{B}} + \chi_h \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \check{\Gamma}_{i_1 \cdots i_n}^{\mathcal{A}_1 \cdots \mathcal{A}_n} \mathfrak{s}_{i_1}^{\mathcal{A}_1} \cdots \mathfrak{s}_{i_n}^{\mathcal{A}_n} \quad \text{Buscicchio, Ain+ (2022)} \\ & \uparrow \\ \text{Free field} & \text{Interactions} & \text{Nothing more than} \\ \text{vertices} \quad \Gamma^{\mathcal{A}_1 \cdots \mathcal{A}_n}(t_1, \cdots, t_n) = \rho \int \left\langle \prod_{k=1}^n u^{\mathcal{A}_k}(t - t_k; \hat{\theta}) \right\rangle_{\hat{\theta}}^{\mathcal{A}_n} dt \\ \text{Extremely fast to evaluate} \end{split}$$

Non-gaussian SGWB An effective theory: Part 1

Nothing more than population model Vertices $\Gamma^{\mathcal{A}_1 \cdots \mathcal{A}_n}(t_1, \cdots, t_n) = \rho \int \left\langle \prod_{k=1}^n u^{\mathcal{A}_k}(t - t_k; \hat{\theta}) \right\rangle_{\hat{\alpha}} dt$ Extremely fast to evaluate $W[J] = \langle e^{{
m i} J_{{\cal A}_k} u^{{\cal A}_k}}
angle$. One current per detector Generating functional of

connected diagrams

Non-gaussian SGWB

An effective theory: Part 1

$$\begin{split} \hat{Y}(s) &= \frac{1}{2} [\check{\mathbb{C}}_g]_{ij}^{\mathcal{AB}} \mathfrak{s}_i^{\mathcal{A}} \mathfrak{s}_j^{\mathcal{B}} + \chi_h \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \check{\Gamma}_{i_1 \cdots i_n}^{\mathcal{A}_1 \cdots \mathcal{A}_n} \mathfrak{s}_{i_1}^{\mathcal{A}_1} \cdots \mathfrak{s}_{i_n}^{\mathcal{A}_n} \quad \text{Buscicchio, Ain+ (2022)} \\ & \uparrow \\ \text{Free field} & \text{Interactions} & \text{Nothing more than} \\ \text{Vertices} \quad \Gamma^{\mathcal{A}_1 \cdots \mathcal{A}_n}(t_1, \cdots, t_n) = \rho \int \left\langle \prod_{k=1}^n u^{\mathcal{A}_k}(t - t_k; \hat{\theta}) \right\rangle_{\hat{\theta}}^k dt \\ \text{Extremely fast to evaluate} \end{split}$$

Generating functional of connected diagrams

$$W[J] = \langle e^{{
m i} J_{{\cal A}_k} u^{{\cal A}_k}}
angle$$
 . One current per detector

Unfortunately: if the noise hypothesis is not under control (you cannot switch off the SGWB), poor performances.

Non-gaussian SGWB An effective theory: Part 2

Ballelli, Buscicchio+ (2023)

Theorem III.1. For a given statistics $S(u^1, \dots, u^{N_D})$, where $u^A = h^A + n^A$, if n^A are statistically independent stochastic variables (i.e., the noise in our context) with non-zero even momenta and zero odd momenta, we consider the formal Taylor expansion in powers of u^A . A modified statistics where under \mathcal{H}_0 terms with non-zero expectation values are canceled while preserving others is

$$\mathcal{S}_{s}(u^{1}, \cdots, u^{N_{D}}) = \mathcal{S}\left(u^{1}, \cdots, u^{N_{D}}\right) + \frac{1}{N_{D}} \sum_{\varepsilon_{1}=-1,1} \cdots \sum_{\varepsilon_{N_{D}}=-1,1} \mathcal{S}\left(\varepsilon_{1}u^{1}, \cdots, \varepsilon_{N_{D}}u^{N_{D}}\right) .$$
(28)

Robust to noise mismodelling

